The Role of Opaque2 in the Control of Lysine-Degrading Activities in Developing Maize Endosperm
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We have isolated a cDNA clone, designated ZLKRSDH, encoding the bifunctional enzyme lysine–ketoglutarate reductase/saccharopine dehydrogenase (LKR/SDH) from maize. The predicted polypeptide has an N-terminal LKR domain and a C-terminal SDH domain that are similar to the yeast LYS1 and LYS9 monofunctional proteins, respectively. The maize LKR/SDH protein is located in the cytoplasm of subaleurone endosperm cell layers. Transcripts and polypeptides as well as enzyme activities showed an upregulation and downregulation during endosperm development. The developmental expression of ZLKRSDH was examined in normal and opaque2 seeds. In the mutant endosperm, mRNA levels were reduced by >90%, with concomitant reductions in polypeptide levels and LKR/SDH activity. These results suggest that lysine levels in the endosperm are likely to be controlled at the transcriptional level by the Opaque2 transcription factor.

INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of the high-lysine opaque2 (o2) maize mutant (Mertz et al., 1964), research efforts have been directed toward understanding the biochemical and molecular mechanisms leading to the increase in lysine content in the endosperm. Studies conducted during the past 30 years revealed that the homoygous o2 mutation causes an ~70% reduction in zein content and affects the content of a number of proteins and enzymes related to nitrogen and sugar metabolism in the maize endosperm (Habben et al., 1993; Giroux et al., 1994; Gallusci et al., 1996). The cloning of the O2 gene revealed that it encodes a basic leucine zipper protein transcription factor involved in the transcriptional control of the zein genes, the b-32 ribosome-inactivating protein, and cytoplasmic pyruvate orthophosphate dikinase (cyPPDK), an enzyme involved in carbon partitioning (Lohmer et al., 1991; Bass et al., 1992; Schmidt et al., 1992; Cord Neto et al., 1995; Gallusci et al., 1996). Lysine catabolism also is affected in the o2 mutant. 14C-lysine feeding experiments revealed that lysine was converted to glutamic acid and proline but to a much lesser extent in o2 than in normal endosperm (Sodek and Wilson, 1970).

Despite the observation of extensive lysine degradation in maize seeds (Sodek and Wilson, 1970; da Silva and Arruda, 1979), there have been several attempts to establish positive selection strategies to isolate mutants with aspartate kinase (AK) insensitivity to feedback inhibition caused by lysine. AK is the first regulatory enzyme of the aspartate pathway, which leads to the biosynthesis of lysine, methionine, threonine, and isoleucine. Thus, the derepression of AK would result in an overproduction of these amino acids (reviewed in Azevedo et al., 1997). AK-insensitive mutants that produce threonine have been described in barley (Bright et al., 1982), maize (Diedrick et al., 1990), and tobacco (Frankard et al., 1992), but they exhibit only marginal effects on lysine accumulation. The absence of lysine overproduction in these mutants was attributed to the second regulatory enzyme, dihydrodipicolinate synthase (DHDPS), which is the first enzyme of the lysine branch of the aspartate pathway. This enzyme is much more sensitive to lysine feedback inhibition than is AK (reviewed in Azevedo et al., 1997). A few experiments succeeded in isolating lysine-insensitive DHDPS mutants, but the seeds from these mutants did not show a significant increase in lysine (Negrutiu et al., 1984). AK/DHDPS-insensitive double mutants did not have a significant increase in lysine content either (Frankard et al., 1991).

Recently, transgenic tobacco and canola plants expressing lysine-insensitive AK or DHDPS or both enzymes were produced. These transgenic plants showed threonine overproduction and appreciable free lysine increments in young leaves and seeds. These mutants, however, displayed severe
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phenotypic alterations (Karchi et al., 1993; Shaul and Galili, 1993; Falco et al., 1995; Pederson et al., 1996), and lysine overproduction was followed by increased lysine degradation (Karchi et al., 1994; Falco et al., 1995).

We have postulated that lysine catabolism is an important mechanism for the control of free lysine levels in maize endosperm cells (Arruda and da Silva, 1979; da Silva and Arruda, 1979). Lysine degradation in maize endosperm is catalyzed by lysine-ketoglutarate reductase (LKR; Arruda et al., 1982). During kernel development, LKR activity is coordinated with nitrogen input and zein protein synthesis (Arruda and da Silva, 1983). Further analysis of the developmental pattern of LKR activity in normal and o2 endosperm showed that enzyme activity is decreased in the mutant, suggesting that reduced lysine degradation in the o2 endosperm could be due to a lower content of LKR polypeptides (Brochetto-Braga et al., 1992).

Maize LKR was purified to homogeneity and demonstrated to be associated with saccharopine dehydrogenase (SDH) in a bifunctional 125-kD polypeptide (Gonçalves-Butruille et al., 1996), similar to the previously isolated mammalian enzyme (Markovitz and Chuang, 1987). The complete genomic and cDNA sequences recently reported for the Arabidopsis LKR/SDH gene confirm the bifunctional nature of the enzyme (Epelbaum et al., 1997; Tang et al., 1997).

To further study the influence of the o2 mutation on lysine accumulation in the developing maize endosperm, we cloned the gene encoding the maize bifunctional LKR/SDH (designated ZLKRSDH for Zea mays LKR and SDH) and examined its spatial and temporal patterns of expression. We found that the maize LKR/SDH is a cytosolic enzyme encoded by a single gene that is highly expressed in the subaleurone cell layers of the distal part of the developing endosperm. In the o2 mutant, LKR/SDH mRNA and protein quantities are severely reduced, and the expression pattern during kernel development is markedly modified.

RESULTS

Isolation of a cDNA Encoding the Maize LKR/SDH Bifunctional Enzyme

LKR/SDH was purified to homogeneity from 17-days after pollination (DAP) maize endosperm, and the N-terminal sequences of four tryptic peptides were used to design a set of 21- to 23-mer degenerate oligonucleotides. These primers and the cDNA produced from reverse-transcribed 17-DAP total endosperm RNA were used in reverse transcription-polymerase chain reactions (RT-PCRs). A 1.2-kb DNA fragment was amplified and confirmed to be part of the ZLKRSDH gene by comparing its sequence with the nucleotide sequences of the yeast Lys1 and Lys9 genes, which encode LKR and SDH, respectively. This fragment was used as a probe to screen an immature endosperm cDNA library (Aukerman et al., 1991). The nucleotide sequences from 20 positive clones were analyzed, but they either contained mostly the SDH domain or were chimeric at their 5’ ends. To obtain a full-length clone, we isolated the 5’ end of the cDNA by using rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE), and the 3.5-kb cDNA was further amplified using primers annealing to the 5’ and 3’ untranslated regions.

As shown in Figure 1A, the amplified cDNA encodes an open reading frame of 1064 amino acids, which predicts a protein of 116.8 kD, close to the maize 125-kD poly peptide observed after SDS-PAGE (Gonçalves-Butruille et al., 1996). The 5’ untranslated region is 178 bp long and presents two in-frame ATG codons. The first one does not present a good initiation context and is followed by a stop codon. On the other hand, the second ATG codon is in a favorable context for translation initiation (Luehrsén and Walbot, 1994). The 3’ untranslated region is 136 bp long and has two putative polyadenylation signals (AATAAA) located 93 and 102 bp downstream from the TAG stop codon (data not shown). Residues H-110, K-113, and R-146 of the maize LKR domain (Figure 1B) are conserved in all LKR sequences obtained to date. These residues have been shown to be essential for substrate binding in the yeast LYS1 protein (Ogawa et al., 1979; Fujioka et al., 1980; Ogawa and Fujioka, 1980; Fujioka and Takata, 1981).

Figure 2 shows a schematic representation of similarities between the predicted maize polypeptide and other known monofunctional and bifunctional LKR/SDH enzymes. A SDH C-terminal domain sharing 42% similarity with the yeast LYS9 protein and an N-terminal domain sharing 27% similarity with the yeast LYS1 protein constitute the bifunctional maize enzyme. Similarities to the related mouse (F. Papes, E.L. Kemper, G. Cord Neto, F. Langone, and P. Arruda, submitted manuscript), Caenorhabditis elegans, and Arabidopsis proteins are significantly higher (44, 46, and 72%, respectively). The interdomain region (Figure 1A), which is ~106 residues long in maize, is 57% identical to the corresponding Arabidopsis sequence. Interestingly, this region seems to be absent in C. elegans and mouse LKR/SDH proteins (Figure 2).

Comparisons of primary protein structures also reveal several conserved motifs (Figure 2). The initiation ATG and stop codons, as well as some motifs with a high degree of similarity, appear at comparable sites in the open reading frame of all compared proteins.

To confirm the structure of the LKR/SDH bifunctional polypeptide, we separated the LKR and SDH domains by limited proteolysis (Figure 3). A partially purified preparation of LKR/SDH protein was chromatographed on an ion exchange column (Figure 3A) or digested with elastase before chromatography (Figure 3B). The most active fractions of LKR and SDH peaks from Figure 3B were immunoblotted with anti-LKR/SDH polyclonal antibodies (Figure 3C). Functional isolated LKR and SDH domains were recovered, confirming the bifunctional enzyme structure (Gonçalves-Butruille et al., 1996; Kemper et al., 1998).
LKR/SDH and the High-Lysine o2 Mutant

We investigated the presence of the ZLKRSDH gene in maize and related cereals. The 1.2-kb RT-PCR DNA fragment, comprising part of the LKR domain, the interdomain region, and part of the SDH domain (residues 444 through 849; Figure 1), was hybridized to genomic DNA from maize, Coix, and sorghum. As shown in Figure 4, both BamHI and EcoRV digests gave single, strong hybridizing bands, suggesting that ZLKRSDH is likely to be present as a single-copy gene in Andropogoneae sp.

Analysis of ZLKRSDH mRNA Levels in Different Maize Tissues

Total RNA extracted from roots, leaves, coleoptiles, embryos, and endosperm was hybridized with either LKR- or SDH-specific probes. As shown in Figure 5, ZLKRSDH mRNA was detected mainly in the endosperm. No signal was detected in embryos, and only marginal amounts of expression were detected in roots, leaves, and coleoptiles.

Five different transcripts were detected in 20-DAP developing endosperm with both probes. Along with the major 3.5-kb band, which corresponds to >90% of the total hybridizing mRNA, alternate transcripts of 4.1, 2.6, 2.4, and 1.5 kb were detected. The 4.1- and 3.5-kb transcripts were detected equally by both probes. The 2.4- and 2.6-kb species seemed to contain mainly SDH sequences (Figure 5B), because they hybridized only weakly with the LKR-specific probe (Figure 5A), whereas the 1.5-kb transcript seemed to contain only LKR sequences (Figure 5A). The nature and function of these alternate transcripts are not known, but they certainly do not encode monofunctional LKR or SDH enzymes because in the results shown in Figure 3, only one polypeptide product was detected in the endosperm by the anti-LKR/SDH polyclonal antibodies. This polypeptide is able to recognize with similar efficacy both the LKR and SDH domains.

Developmental Expression of ZLKRSDH in Normal and o2 Mutant Endosperm

We showed previously that LKR activity is reduced two- to threefold in the o2 endosperm (Brochetto-Braga et al., 1992). To test whether this lower enzyme activity was due to...
reduced expression of the ZLKRSDH gene, we assayed normal and o2 seeds, harvested at 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, and 40 DAP, for enzymatic activities and analyzed them by RNA gel blotting and immunoblotting using anti–LKR/SDH polyclonal antibodies (Kemper et al., 1998).

As shown in Figure 6, transcripts and polypeptides as well as enzyme activities showed an upregulation and a down-regulation during endosperm development. In normal endosperm, LKR and SDH activities and LKR/SDH protein levels reached a maximum at 20 DAP, whereas in the mutant endosperm, maximum polypeptide levels and enzyme activities were observed at 25 DAP. ZLKRSDH mRNA amounts in normal endosperm peaked at 15 DAP, whereas in o2 endosperm, the mRNA amount peaked at 20 to 25 DAP. At 20 DAP, LKR and SDH activities in the mutant were reduced to ~2 and 18%, respectively, of the values in normal seeds (Figure 6A). This was accompanied by an ~90% reduction in the amounts of transcript and polypeptide (Figures 6B and 6C). At 25 DAP, the polypeptide amount increased in the mutant in comparison to the wild type, with a concomitant increase in LKR and SDH activities to ~9 and 50%, respectively.

The correlation between enzyme activities and quantitative immunoblot band intensities, for both normal and o2 endosperm, was 94% for SDH and 81% for LKR activity (data not shown). This indicates that decreased enzyme activity is due to reduced polypeptide levels resulting from a reduced transcript accumulation from the ZLKRSDH gene in the mutant endosperm.

**LKR/SDH Is Located in the Cytosol of Subaleurone Cell Layers**

Histochemical staining for SDH activity was used to locate the LKR/SDH protein within the endosperm. SDH activity was detected in the peripheral distal part of the endosperm (Figures 7A and 7B). An immunological study of LKR/SDH was conducted to further characterize the cells currently expressing the bifunctional enzyme (Figures 7C to 7F). The immunolabeled region was similar to that stained for SDH activity. Expression of LKR/SDH is most intense in the subaleurone layers and surrounding the nuclei and starch grains. In cells far away from the subaleurone cell layers, the immunostaining was weak and tended to be near the peripheral cell regions, adjacent to cell walls. No immunolabeling was detected in the aleurone layer.

Subcellular fractionation was used to determine the cell compartmentalization of LKR/SDH. As shown in Figure 8, LKR and SDH activities were only detected in the cytosol, whereas the activities of the marker enzymes, cytochrome c oxidase and cytochrome c reductase, were observed in the endoplasmatic reticulum and mitochondria, respectively.
LKR/SDH and the High-Lysine o2 Mutant

DISCUSSION

The biochemical and molecular mechanisms by which the o2 mutation increases lysine content in the endosperm have been investigated during the past 30 years. Both lysine synthesis and degradation as well as its incorporation into lysine-rich proteins should contribute to the final content of this amino acid in the seed. In this report, we describe the isolation of a maize cDNA clone encoding the lysine-degrading enzyme LKR/SDH and present evidence on how lysine levels may be controlled in the endosperm. A conspicuous correlation between lysine-degrading activities and transcriptional regulation by the Opaque2 transcription factor was observed. LKR/SDH seems to be located within cells in which zein protein is being actively synthesized.

The Bifunctional Maize LKR/SDH Enzyme

A cDNA encoding the bifunctional enzyme LKR/SDH was isolated from the immature maize endosperm mRNA pool. The cDNA predicts a 117-kD protein (Figure 1) bearing distinct N- and C-terminal domains identified, respectively, as LKR and SDH due to similarities to yeast monofunctional enzymes (SDH lysine- and glutamate-forming enzymes encoded by the Lys1 and Lys9 genes, respectively). Further confirmation of the identity of the maize cDNA was obtained by comparing sequences from similar genes recently isolated from Arabidopsis (Epelbaum et al., 1997; Tang et al., 1997) and mouse (F. Papes, E.L. Kemper, G. Cord Neto, F. Langone, and P. Arruda, submitted manuscript).

In plants and mammals, the saccharopine pathway is used for lysine catabolism, whereas in yeast and other fungi, this pathway is used in the reverse order for lysine biosynthesis. Either bifunctional (maize, soybean, Arabidopsis, bovine, murine, and human) or monofunctional (yeast, rat, and Arabidopsis) polypeptides operate in this pathway. As illustrated in Figure 2, the amino acid sequences from these enzymes share a high degree of similarity. Nevertheless, there are marked differences between bifunctional LKR/SDH polypeptides from plants and animals.

First, the enzyme seems to be expressed in different cell compartments. The mammalian LKR and SDH enzymes have been located in the mitochondrial fraction (Markovitz et al., 1984; Ameen et al., 1987; Blemings et al., 1994), whereas the maize enzyme seems to be located in the cytosol (Figure 8). It is interesting that neither the predicted maize LKR/SDH (Figure 1) nor the Arabidopsis protein (Epelbaum et al., 1997) contains putative mitochondrial or chloroplast targeting sequences.

Second, the interdomain region is present in plants but seems to be absent from the animal enzymes (Figure 2). This region is 57% identical in maize and Arabidopsis, but its functional role remains unknown. Limited proteolysis studies with the maize LKR/SDH suggest that it might be involved in
the modulation of LKR activity (Kemper et al., 1998). Other evidence for the role of the interdomain region on the modulation of LKR activity came from studies of the effect of Ca\(^{2+}\) and ionic strength on maize and bovine enzymes. Maize LKR is activated by Ca\(^{2+}\) and high salt concentrations, whereas bovine LKR is insensitive to these modulators (Kemper et al., 1998).

**Tissue-Specific and Developmental Expression of ZLKRSDH**

RNA gel blot analysis of total RNA from 20-DAP endosperm revealed multiple hybridizing bands ranging in size from 1.5 to 4.1 kb (Figure 5). The 3.5-kb transcript, which accounts for >90\% of total ZLKRSDH transcribed mRNA, has the expected size of the isolated cDNA shown in Figure 1. The alternate transcripts may originate from a single gene, and whether they have any functional relevance is still unknown. Tang et al. (1997) have shown that Arabidopsis cells contain mRNA species, encoding bifunctional LKR/SDH and monofunctional SDH, likely to be transcribed from a single gene. Bifunctional LKR/SDH and monofunctional SDH also were observed in mouse (F. Papes, E.L. Kemper, G. Cord Neto, F. Langone, and P. Arruda, submitted manuscript). In maize, however, the sole protein product detected corresponds to a single protein band of 125 kD exhibiting both LKR and SDH activities (Brochetto-Braga et al., 1992; Gonçalves-Butruille et al., 1996; Kemper et al., 1998). In addition, the immunoblot results shown in Figures 3 and 6 clearly demonstrate the presence of a single 125-kD polypeptide in the maize endosperm cells, and the results shown in Figure 3 clearly demonstrate equal affinity of the LKR/SDH polyclonal serum for the LKR and SDH domains.

Detection of LKR and SDH activities in plants has been reported only for developing seeds (Arruda and da Silva, 1983; Karchi et al., 1994; Gaziola et al., 1997) and Arabidopsis cell suspension cultures (Tang et al., 1997). Although LKR/SDH–encoding transcripts were detected in several organs in Arabidopsis, they were most abundant in the ovary and the em-
In maize, ZLKRSDH mRNA is abundant in the endosperm but is completely absent in the embryo and scarcely detectable in roots, leaves, and coleoptiles (Figure 5). Enzymatic activity, indeed, was not detected in those organs. Furthermore, endosperm specific activity is ~30-fold higher in maize than in soybean and common bean (data not shown), suggesting that LKR/SDH may play an important physiological role in maize endosperm.

Histochemical and immunological assays revealed that LKR/SDH is highly expressed in the subaleurone cell layers (Figure 7). This region comprises cells that are actively expressing zein genes at a high rate (Dolfini et al., 1992). These cells probably have a low lysine requirement because zein proteins, which represent >70% of total endosperm protein, are devoid of lysine residues. Thus, we suggest that LKR/SDH activity is important to regulate lysine levels in this narrow area of the endosperm.

In addition, it could be possible that some product arising from lysine degradation could regulate cellular and developmental processes operating in subaleurone cells. Interestingly, it has been suggested that lysine degradation may have an influence on the growth of the mammalian brain, because LKR is highly active during embryonic rat brain development (Rao et al., 1992).

Effects of the o2 Mutation on ZLKRSDH mRNA Amounts

Altered lysine catabolism (Sodek and Wilson, 1970; Arruda and da Silva, 1979) may be one of the mechanisms by which the o2 mutation creates a high-lysine maize phenotype. Most of the lysine in the endosperm seems to originate from lysine-rich proteins, which are more abundant in o2 than in normal endosperm (Habben et al., 1993). Synthesis of lysine-rich proteins presumes the existence of increased availability of free lysine to be incorporated. We previously observed increased contents of lysine-rich proteins in the double homozygous mutant o2 Ask1 (Azevedo et al., 1990). This was attributed to increased lysine availability due to the feedback-insensitive AK encoded by Ask1 and to reduced lysine degradation determined by o2.

The amount of LKR activity in the o2 endosperm is reduced in comparison to the wild type (Brochetto-Braga et al., 1992). In this work, we calculated LKR and SDH activities from the same lots of wild-type (WT) and o2 endosperm shown in (A) were fractionated in a formaldehyde-containing 1.0% agarose gel, transferred to a nylon membrane, and hybridized with the 1.2-kb RT-PCR cDNA fragment as a probe. The lengths of the detected LKR/SDH-encoding transcripts are shown at right. Loading controls, representing an ethidium bromide-stained gel, are shown at bottom.
per amount of endosperm and found 36-fold and fourfold reductions in LKR and SDH activities, respectively, in the mutant endosperm (Figure 6A). Between 15 and 20 DAP, this decrease correlates well with reduced amounts of both the LKR/SDH polypeptide (Figure 6B) and the ZLKRSDH mRNA (Figure 6C).

Interestingly, in 25-DAP o2 endosperm, LKR/SDH protein accumulated to levels comparable to those in the normal endosperm, but LKR and SDH activities did not reach normal levels. In addition, the high accumulation of the LKR/SDH polypeptide in the 25-DAP o2 endosperm was not accompanied by a proportional accumulation of ZLKRSDH mRNA, suggesting post-transcriptional regulation possibly due to stabilization of ZLKRSDH mRNA by translation (Gallie et al., 1991; Sullivan and Green, 1993; Jacobson and Peltz, 1996; Petracek et al., 1998). LKR activity seems to be differentially modulated in o2 when compared with the normal endosperm. The enzyme is hypophosphorylated in o2, probably due to the absence of a casein kinase II in the mutant endosperm. Curiously, casein kinase II was able to restore LKR

---

**Figure 7.** Localization of LKR/SDH in Normal Endosperm through SDH in Situ Activity and Immunocytochemistry.

(A) Seeds 17 DAP were longitudinally sectioned and incubated in an SDH reaction mixture, as described in Methods. An arrow indicates the positive colorimetric reaction for SDH activity.

(B) Endosperm of a tissue section incubated in the absence of saccharopine, the substrate for the SDH reaction.

(C) Immunolocalization of LKR/SDH from endosperm sections visualized by using a confocal laser scanning microscope. A positive reaction is shown in red. The images include nuclei stained blue by 4',6-diamdino-2-phenylindole. The autofluorescence of the cell walls appears in green and in blue. Immunolabeling was most intense in the subaleurone cell layers. The immunocytochemical reaction for LKR/SDH was barely detected in cells farther away from the first several subaleurone cell layers.

(D) and (F) Tissue sections incubated with nonimmune serum followed by treatment with the secondary antibody.

(E) Higher magnification of (C). LKR/SDH labeling is found around the starch granules and tended to be at the cell periphery, close to the cell wall in cells far away from the subaleurone. a, aleurone layer.

Bars in (A) and (B) = 1 mm; bars in (C) and (D) = 50 µm; bars in (E) and (F) = 12.8 µm.
activity in a lysine-dependent manner, after in vitro dephosphorylation by alkaline phosphatase (E.L. Kemper, F. Papes, A.C. da Silva, A. Leite, and P. Arruda, submitted manuscript).

At 20 DAP, the o2 mutation causes a 90% reduction in ZLKR/SDH steady state mRNA levels. This effect was observed in two near-isogenic mutant lines derived from alleles Oh43o2 (Figure 6) and W64Ao2 (data not shown). The severe reduction caused by the o2 mutation on ZLKR/SDH mRNA accumulation is comparable to the reduction observed for α-zein in the same genetic background (Cord Neto et al., 1995). The O2 protein is a transcriptional activator of the basic domain/leucine-zipper family that is specifically expressed in the nonaleuronic endosperm and recognizes different binding sites in the promoters of several endosperm-specific genes (Lohmer et al., 1991; Varagona et al., 1991; Schmidt et al., 1992; Cord Neto et al., 1995; Gallusci et al., 1996). Recent evidence suggests that O2 is involved in the coordinated regulation of storage protein synthesis and nitrogen and sugar metabolism during seed development (Giroux et al., 1994; Gallusci et al., 1996). Therefore, the involvement of O2 in the transcriptional regulation of lysine catabolism is in keeping with its role of controlling nitrogen metabolism in the seed.

O2 regulates cyPPDK, in an expression pattern similar to that observed for LKR/SDH. cyPPDK is localized in the subaleurone cell layers, with a weak signal spreading toward the central endosperm cells (Gallusci et al., 1996). The endosperm region, where LKR/SDH and cyPPDK are localized, is also the region actively expressing zein genes and the O2 protein (Varagona et al., 1991; Dolfini et al., 1992). This observation correlates with the hypothesis of transcriptional control of LKR/SDH by O2 protein and supports the idea of a general control of amino acid metabolism and protein synthesis by O2.

In yeast, the GCN4 factor is one of the major determinants of the regulatory system termed general control of nitrogen (GCN). In this organism, the synthesis of lysine and many other amino acids is coordinately regulated by nutritional conditions (Hinnebusch, 1988). In addition, it has been demonstrated that the GCN4-like box may be a key element in regulating the response of a barley storage protein to nitrogen (Müller and Knudsen, 1993). Also, the prolamino composition of maize seeds seems to be dictated by nitrogen status (Singletary et al., 1990). The effects of the o2 mutation on enzymes participating in amino acid metabolism and carbon partitioning, coupled with structural (Lohmer et al., 1993) and functional (Mauri et al., 1993) similarities between O2 and the yeast GCN4 factor, suggest that the O2 protein may be involved in similar general amino acid control in the maize endosperm.

### METHODS

#### Plant Material

The commercial maize (Zea mays L.) hybrid F-352 from Agroceres SA (São Paulo, Brazil) was used. To obtain near-isogenic lines exhibiting normal phenotypes and the phenotype of the opaque2 (o2) mutant, we crossed either Oh43o2 or W64Ao2 mutant lines to the F-352 hybrid. The resulting F1 progeny was self-pollinated, and normal seeds from ears segregating for normal and o2-conferred phenotypes were planted. Plants were self-pollinated, and again normal seeds from ears segregating for normal and o2-conferred phenotypes were planted. Six successive rounds of self-pollination were performed. After the sixth generation, seeds from homozygous normal and o2 ears were planted, and the resulting ears were collected for the experiments. Ears were harvested either at 17 days after pollination (DAP) or at distinct developmental stages and stored frozen at −70°C.

Roots, leaves, and coleoptiles from 4-day-old seedlings, grown in vermiculite under controlled conditions, were used for the isolation of total RNA.

#### Enzyme Purification

Lysine-ketoglutarate reductase/saccharopine dehydrogenase (LKR/SDH) was purified from 17-DAP F-352 hybrid endosperms, as described by Gonçalves-Butruille et al. (1996), with minor modifications. All steps were performed at 4°C. Tissues were homogenized in buffer A (25 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, and 5 mM benzamidine) and centrifuged at 20,000g for 10 min, and the supernatant was brought to pH 5.5 by adding solid NaH2PO4.
Polyethylene glycol 8000 at 50% (w/v) was added to the homogenate to obtain a final concentration of 7.5%. The mixture was centrifuged at 20,000g for 10 min, and the supernatant was brought to a 15% (w/v) polyethylene glycol concentration and centrifuged again at 20,000g for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in buffer B (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 1 mM DTT, and 1 mM EDTA) and dialyzed overnight against the same buffer. The dialyzed sample was applied to a DEAE-Sepharose column (2.5 × 40 cm) previously equilibrated with buffer B. The enzyme was eluted from the column with a linear gradient from 0 to 0.5 M NaCl in buffer B. Fractions containing enzyme activity were combined, brought to 70% saturation with solid ammonium sulfate, and centrifuged at 20,000g for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in buffer B, dialyzed against the same buffer, and applied to a Protein-Pak Q 8HR (Waters, Milford, MA) column. The enzyme was eluted from the column with a linear gradient from 0 to 0.5 M NaCl in buffer B. Fractions containing enzyme activity were combined, brought to 70% saturation with solid ammonium sulfate, and centrifuged at 20,000g for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in buffer B and applied to a Superdex 200 HR (Pharmacia) column previously equilibrated with buffer C (buffer B containing 0.3 M NaCl). The enzyme was eluted from the Superdex column with buffer C and stored at −70°C.

N-Terminal Protein Sequencing

The purified LKR/SDH was separated by SDS-PAGE in a 7% gel. After electrophoresis, the gel was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R 250 and sent to the protein sequencing facility of the Weizmann Institute of Science (Rehovot, Israel). The protein band was eluted from the gel and digested with trypsin, and the major peaks were sequenced. Four internal peptide sequences were obtained: (1) GLIDFLHGL, (2) RYEFG5EIMVTL5, (3) RLTPLYEY1, and (4) RELPAFALEHLPNR.

cDNA Cloning

Cloning of a full-length maize LKR/SDH–encoding cDNA was completed by using a combination of three procedures: (1) reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), (2) cDNA library screening, and (3) 5′ rapid amplification of cDNA ends (5′ RACE).

After protein sequencing, a set of degenerate oligonucleotides was synthesized based on the tryptic peptide sequences and used in RT-PCR experiments. One microgram of total RNA extracted from 17-DAP endosperms was used in an RT reaction by using RT-PCR kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A 1.2-kb cDNA fragment was amplified by subsequent PCR reactions (2 μL of template cDNA, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.4, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM deoxynucleotide triphosphate mix, 100 pmol of each primer, and 2.5 units of Taq DNA polymerase in a final volume of 50 μL) by using primers derived from the above-described peptide sequences 2 (5′-ISWARIAGTCACTATATTCC-3′) and 3 (5′-CAACCCTACCTTATAGTATGATAT-3′) S (G or C), W (A or T), R (A or G), D (A, G, or T), and Y (C or T) designate IUB codes for variable nucleotide sites, and I denotes inosine.

Amplification was performed on a thermal cycler (model 480; Perkin-Elmer) as follows: 5 min at 94°C, 35 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 42°C, 2 min at 72°C, and 5 min at 72°C. After sequencing, the 1.2-kb amplified cDNA fragment was confirmed to be part of the maize LKR/SDH–encoding gene by sequence comparison to the yeast Lys1 and Lys9 genes. This fragment then was used as a probe to screen a maize endosperm cDNA library constructed from RNA extracted from 25-DAP seeds of the R-802 inbred line (Aukerman et al., 1991). Twenty clones were isolated and sequenced, but all of them were incomplete. The 5′ cDNA end was cloned by using the RACE system (Gibco BRL), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, with the primers designed on the basis of the cDNA sequences (5′-ATCACAAGTGCCCCAC-3′ and 5′-GTGTTGGAAAAAGG-GCGTA-3′).

The full-length maize ZLKRSDH cDNA clone was finally isolated from F-352 total RNA extracted from 17-DAP endosperms. Primers annealing to the 5′ untranslated region (5′-TTCAACTCTCCACTTTCT-CAACCA-3′) and 3′ untranslated region (5′-CTCGTGCCCTCTCGT-CCTGC-3′) of the cDNA were used to obtain a 3.5-kb complete cDNA in RT-PCR reactions catalyzed by Pfu polymerase (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. This fragment subsequently was cloned into pBluescript KS + and sequenced in an automatic ABI377 DNA sequencer (Perkin-Elmer).

DNA Gel Blot Analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from maize, Coix, and sorghum seedlings as described by Rivin et al. (1982). Ten micrograms of genomic DNA was digested to completion with BamHI or EcoRV. The digests were ethanol precipitated and loaded onto a 0.7% agarose gel, blotted onto a nylon membrane (Hybond-N; Amersham) and hybridized with the 32P-labeled (Megaprime DNA labeling system; Amersham) 1.2-kb RT-PCR fragment. Hybridization was conducted at 65°C in SSPE buffer (5 × SSPE + 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate, and 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4), 5 × Denhardt’s solution [1 × Denhardt’s solution is 0.02% Ficoll, 0.02% PVP, and 0.02% BSA], and 0.5% SDS), and washes were performed twice at room temperature in 2 × SSPE 0.1% SDS solution for 20 min and repeated twice at 65°C in 0.1 × SSPE 0.1% SDS. Autoradiography was performed at −70°C for 72 hr by using intensifying screens.

Total RNA Isolation and RNA Gel Blot Analysis

Total RNA used in both RT reactions or RNA gel blot analysis was extracted from developing seeds and seedling tissues, according to the procedures described by Prescott and Martin (1987). For RNA gel blot experiments, 20 μg of total RNA was electrophoresed in 1.0 or 1.5% agarose-formaldehyde gels (0.66 M formaldehyde, 50 mM Mops). After electrophoresis, gels were stained with ethidium bromide as a loading control. The RNA then was transferred from the gel onto a nylon membrane (Hybond-N +; Amersham). The probes used were either the 1.2-kb RT-PCR fragment or specific LKR or SDH domain probes. The LKR probe consisted of a 0.55-kb cDNA fragment corresponding to the region spanning residues 96 through 284, and the SDH probe (0.49 kb) encompassed residues 652 to 814 (Figure 1). DNA labeling, hybridization, and washing were as described above for the DNA gel blots. For quantitative experiments, preflashed x-ray films were exposed to the radioactive membrane, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Amersham). Relative amounts of hybridized mRNA were analyzed through scanning with a laser densitometer (LKB Ul troScan XL, Bromma, Sweden).

Immunoblotting

Immunoblotting was performed as described by Kemper et al. (1998), with minor modifications. After electrophoresis, the gel was
Histochemical Staining

Histochemical staining of SDH activity on maize kernel sections was based on the gel staining reaction described by Gonçalves-Butrille et al. (1996). Seventeen-DAP maize kernels were longitudinally hand sectioned every 2 mm with a razor blade. Sections were fixed in 4% formalin, pH 7.0, for 30 min, rinsed 10 times in water over 18 hr at 4°C to remove endogenous substrates, and then incubated for 10 min at room temperature in a reaction mixture containing 2 mM saccharopine, 1 mM NAD, 0.5% nitro blue tetrazolium, 0.1 mM phenazine methosulfate, and 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, with rocking. Control sections were incubated for 20 min in the dark in a developing mixture containing 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.5, 100 mM NaCl, and a 1:1000 solution of CSPD (Tropix, Bedford, MA). Bands were detected by exposure on a preflashed chemiluminescence-sensitive film (Amersham) and quantified by using laser densitometry.

Immunohistochemistry

Indirect immunofluorescence and confocal laser scanning microscopy were used to visualize LKR/SDH in frozen sections of maize endosperm. Seeds at 17 DAP were dissected from cobs, immersed in ice-cold PBS (140 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 8 mM Na2HPO4, 1.5 mM KH2PO4, pH 7.4, and 0.02% [w/v] of sodium azide) containing 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde and 0.1 M sucrose, and cut into 2- to 3-mm-thick longitudinal slices. After overnight fixation at 4°C, the slices were rinsed three times in PBS containing 0.5 M sucrose for 20 min each and frozen in liquid nitrogen. The frozen slices were mounted in specimen supports with Cryo-embedding compound (Microm Laborgerate), Waldorf, Germany) and sectioned at 16 μm in a Micron cryostat (Microm Laborgerate). Sections were collected on albumin-coated slides, postfixed with acetone for 15 min, and air dried. Sections were blocked with 2% (w/v) BSA and 0.3% (v/v) Tween 20 in PBS (PBS-T-B) for 30 min in a 200-mL coupling jar before incubation with the primary antibody. Sections then were incubated with a polyclonal antibody raised in rabbit against LKR/SDH (Kemper et al., 1998) diluted at 1:200 (v/v) in PBS-T-B overnight at room temperature in a 50-mL coupling jar. The control consisted of incubation with an unrelated rabbit serum at the same dilution. The slides were washed three times for 20 min in PBS-T in a 200-mL coupling jar.

Sections were covered with a rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin (Calbiochem-Novabiochem, La Jolla, CA) diluted 1:30 (v/v) in PBS-T-B and incubated for 1 hr at room temperature. After washing, the sections were counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (0.8 μg/mL VectaShield; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). Sections were analyzed by using a confocal laser scanning microscope (model MRC 1024UV; Bio-Rad Life Sciences, Richmond, CA). Filter sets for rhodamine (immunolabeling), fluorescein (natural cell wall fluorescence), and 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (nuclear staining plus natural cell wall fluorescence) were used. Immunolabeled and control sections were analyzed using the same laser power, iris, and gain settings as their experimental counterparts. This treatment was performed twice with kernels from different field seasons. Photographs were taken using Focus Graphics (Focus Graphics Inc., Plymouth, MN) equipment with Kodak ISO 100 film and processed commercially.

Subcellular Localization of the LKR/SDH Enzyme

Subcellular fractionation was conducted according to Habben et al. (1993), with the following modifications. Buffer A contained 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM KCl, 200 mM sucrose, 1 mM DTT, and 5 mM benzamidine. After centrifugation in a discontinuous sucrose gradient, the interface fractions were collected by lateral puncture through the centrifuge tube wall and assayed for LKR and SDH activities. The activities of the marker enzymes cytochrome c oxidase and cytochrome c reductase were assayed for resolution of organelar components, as described by Tolbert (1974) and Larkins and Hurkm (1978).

Separation of Maize LKR and SDH Domains by Limited Proteolysis

Aliquots of LKR/SDH partially purified by chromatography on DEAE-Sepharose were incubated with elastase (Sigma). Enzyme/protease proportions and proteolysis conditions are indicated in the legend to Figure 3. The reaction was stopped by the addition of phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride to a final concentration of 2 mM. The digest was applied to a Protein-Pak Q SHR column (Waters) previously equilibrated with buffer B. The column was washed with buffer B and then eluted with a linear gradient from 0 to 0.5 M NaCl in buffer B. The fractions containing separated LKR and SDH domains were used for enzyme assays and immunoblotting analysis.

Enzyme Assays

LKR and SDH activities were measured spectrophotometrically by following the oxidation of NADPH and reduction of NAD+, respectively, at 30°C. LKR assays contained 20 mM L-lysine, 10 mM α-ketoglutaric acid (neutralized to pH 7.0 with potassium hydroxide), 0.1 mM NADPH, 150 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4, and 0.04 to 0.1 mg of protein in a final volume of 0.3 mL. SDH assays contained 2 mM L-saccharopine, 2 mM NAD+, and 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.5, in a final volume of 0.3 mL. Oxidation of NADPH and reduction of NAD+ were monitored at 340 nm in a spectrophotometer (model DU-65; Beckman). One unit of enzyme activity is defined as 1 nmol of NADPH oxidized or NAD+ reduced per min at 30°C. The protein concentration in the enzyme extracts was determined by the method of Bradford (1976), using the Bio-Rad protein assay dye reagent.

Computer Analysis

Amino acid sequence alignments and similarity analysis were performed using the MACAW (Schuler et al., 1991) and BOXSHADE (FTP downloaded from Vax0.biomed.uni-koeln.de) programs.
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