Characterization of the FIDDLEHEAD Gene of Arabidopsis Reveals a Link between Adhesion Response and Cell Differentiation in the Epidermis
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We report the isolation of the FIDDLEHEAD (FDH) gene of Arabidopsis by transposon tagging. Three mutant alleles of FDH carrying insertions of the Enhancer/Suppressor-mutator transposon and one stable allele with a transposon footprint were generated in the Arabidopsis ecotype Columbia genetic background. Closer examination of the adaxial epidermis of rosette leaves revealed that in addition to provoking the previously described fusion phenotype in leaves and floral organs, mutations in FDH have a deleterious effect on trichome differentiation. FDH transcripts were detected exclusively in the epidermis of young vegetative and floral organs. Plants overexpressing FDH under control of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter segregated fdh phenocopies, wild-type individuals, and plants showing severe retardation of growth and development. The dwarf plants displayed the most FDH expression, the fdh phenocopies generally the least. The protein product of FDH shows similarity to condensing enzymes involved in lipid biosynthesis, particularly those of the FATTY ACID ELONGATION family.

INTRODUCTION

The epidermis of plants is made up of a single sheet of cells derived from the L1 of meristems. In this layer, cell division takes place only in the anticlinal plane, that is, parallel to the surface; as a result, the cells that form the epidermis of the plant have a common lineage (Satina et al., 1940; Satina and Blakeslee, 1943; Stewart and Burk, 1970). Meristematic cells of the L1 differentiate into several distinctive types of epidermal cells during ontogenesis. However, wounding and grafting both fail to promote dedifferentiation of the epidermis into the parenchymatous cells characteristic of callus tissue (Moore, 1984).

Strikingly, epidermal cells, except those that form the septum of the gynoecium, are not adhesion competent and do not respond to surface contacts by developing shoots. Moreover, the presence of epidermis—even on only one of a pair of graft partners—provides a barrier and prevents underlying parenchymal cells from dedifferentiating into callus. The presence of the cuticle on the outer cell walls of the epidermis is not responsible for this failure of grafting because its removal by abrasion does not promote callus formation or the union of tissues (Walker and Bruck, 1985; Bruck et al., 1989). These results suggest that the barrier properties of the epidermis cannot be attributed solely to the cuticle and its epicuticular waxes; therefore, other features of the extracellular matrix of the epidermis must account for the failure of cells to adhere to it.

Once established as the protoderm at the embryo stage (Bruck and Walker, 1985), L1 cells can undergo a change in identity when confronted with new positional information—both in rare cases of aberrant periclinal divisions in the L1 and regularly during certain developmental processes (Szymkowski and Sussex, 1996). For instance, the tips of petals are formed by periclinal divisions of L1 cells that redifferentiate into parenchymal cells, as has been demonstrated by using chimeras (Satina, 1944; Perbal et al., 1996). Another example of the redifferentiation of L1 cells is provided by the union of carpels in angiosperm flowers, the consequence, to various degrees, of two types of fusion events (Baum, 1948a, 1948b, 1949). The first type, known as congenital fusion, involves overgrowth of the intercalary meristem on carpel borders caused by cell divisions in the L2 and L3; as a result, a cuplike structure is formed at the beginning of pistil development. The second type, postgenital fusion, involves a true surface fusion on the adaxial side of the carpels at protruding septum bulges or along their margins, depending on the species; this fusion is induced in L1 cells by a change in responsiveness to cell contact. In Arabidopsis, opposing epidermal cells adhere and redifferentiate into cells of the central transmitting tissue of the septum.
according to their new position. However, the single layer of epidermal cells maintained by the developing septum acquires several distinctive features: they retain the ability to form cell wall fusions with the growing pollen tubes that emerge from the central transmitting tissue onto the surface of the septum, and they provide positional information that is used by the pollen tubes to navigate toward the ovule (Lennon et al., 1998).

Several maize and Arabidopsis mutants that display ectopic fusion or adhesion of the aerial portions of plants have been characterized (Sinha, 1998; Lolle, 1999). The cloning of one such locus from maize, CRINKLY4, which affects the differentiation of epidermal cells, has been reported (Becraft et al., 1996). The CRINKLY4 gene encodes a protein similar to transmembrane receptor kinases, particularly members of the animal tumor necrosis factor receptor gene family. Analysis of other mutants is needed before the mechanism of fusion and redifferentiation of the epidermis in plants can be understood.

Here, we report the isolation of three insertion alleles of the FIDDLEHEAD (FDH) gene of Arabidopsis (Lolle et al., 1992, 1997; Lolle and Cheung, 1993) tagged with the Enhancer/Suppressor-mutator (En/Spm) transposable element of maize and the subsequent cloning of the FDH gene. Examination of the epidermis of fdh-3940S1, a new, stable mutant allele, revealed that the number of trichomes initiated on rosette leaves is reduced to nearly one-half that of the wild type. Characterization of the FDH gene implies that changes in lipid metabolism may account for the ability of epidermal cells to adhere and undergo differentiation; furthermore, this process appears to be essentially independent of the biosynthesis of epicuticular wax.

RESULTS

Identification of the fdh-3940 Allele Carrying an En/Spm Insertion

Mutant 3940 was isolated from a population of Arabidopsis ecotype Columbia (Col) loaded with En/Spm transposable elements of maize (Wisman et al., 1998). The mutant demonstrated postgenital fusions between floral organs and some leaves, which resulted in malformations (Figure 1) resembling the phenotype of the fdh mutant described in the Landsberg erecta (Ler) ecotype (Lolle et al., 1992). Different leaves of 3940 plants exhibited different degrees of fusion. Although a low seed set was obtained after manual pollination that used mutant plants as male or female parents, the vast majority of the plants remained sterile; therefore, the mutant was maintained by self-pollination of heterozygotes.

The phenotype of mutant 3940 segregated as a single recessive trait. To test for possible allelism, Ler fdh-1 heterozygotes were crossed with heterozygotes for the Col 3940 allele and obtained progeny consisted of 80 wild-type Col plants and 16 fdh Col plants, indicating that the progeny of this cross segregates 3:1 ($\chi^2 = 2.02; P > 0.1$) and that the mutations are allelic. The new fdh allele was designated fdh-3940.

The presence of a transposon insertion in the fdh-3940 locus was indicated by the occurrence of somatic reversions, which were easily recognized by the appearance of wild-type fertile siliques or entire shoots on sterile fdh plants (Figure 1). The R1 progeny grown from seeds of such siliques

![Figure 1. Phenotypes of the fdh-3940 Mutant.](image-url)
showed a double excess of fdh mutants over wild-type plants (see below). Nevertheless, in the R₁ progeny of wild-type R₁ plants, the expected 3:1 ratio of wild-type to fdh plants was restored, and it was maintained in the next generation in the two revertant families that were analyzed.

**Isolation of Transposon-Flanking Sequences and Analysis of fdh-3940 Revertants**

Amplification of transposon-flanking sequences was performed by using the transposon insertion display (TID) procedure first reported for the retrotransposon Cin4, which accumulates in many copies in maize genome (Yephremov and Saedler, 1995). Briefly, a restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) in transposon-flanking sequences is detected by using a restriction endonuclease with a four-base recognition sequence, in a procedure similar to the published amplified fragment length polymorphism approach (Vos et al., 1995). Flanking sequences are amplified by ligation-mediated polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with biotinylated primers, and streptavidin-coated paramagnetic particles are used to capture the biotinylated products. Finally, end-labeled products are separated on a sequencing gel. In principle, identification of a band of interest in TID is similar to cosegregation analysis on DNA gel blots. The band of interest can then be excised from the gel and reamplified by PCR. Details of this procedure will be described elsewhere (A. Yephremov, manuscript in preparation).

Revertants of the fdh-3940 mutant allele were an important tool in the cloning strategy because they were derived from material that indisputably carries the En/Spm insertion in the FDH gene. Eleven R₁ plants raised from two independent reverted R₀ shoots were tested for segregation of the mutant allele in their progeny. One homozygous R₁ wild-type plant and four heterozygous R₁ plants segregating not more than six transposon copies were selected for TID. A single band that was common to all the heterozygous plants was identified on a sequencing gel. Reamplification and sequencing of the corresponding fragment, designated F250, demonstrated that it accounted for part of the En/Spm transposon portion and a flanking sequence, as expected.

The flanking sequence of F250 was used as a probe for DNA gel blots that had been prepared with DNA from R₁ plants taken for TID and from their siblings and with DNA from the R₂ plants bearing two copies of the En/Spm transposon (Figure 2). Cosegregation of F250-hybridizing fragments with the mutant allele of the FDH locus was observed in the progeny of the revertants, verifying that fragment F250 contains a part of the FDH gene. Sequencing the three revertant alleles of fdh-3940 demonstrated that all of them were identical to the wild-type FDH allele at the site of En/Spm insertion.

**FDH cDNA and Genomic Clones**

A computer search using the F250 flanking sequence revealed several Arabidopsis expressed sequence tags (ESTs) that would likely be identical to the query in the GenBank and EMBL databases. Two of them, accession numbers T04345 and Z26809, were sequenced and appeared to be derived from transcripts of the same gene. A probe prepared from EST T04345 hybridized with all of the fragments that had been detected with the F250 flanking probe in DNA gel blots loaded with genomic DNA from the offspring of the revertant shoots. From the results of DNA gel blot analysis of Col and Ler genomic DNA digested with various enzymes, it was concluded that FDH is a single-copy gene in the Arabidopsis genome. Taken together, these results clearly demonstrate that ESTs T04345 and Z26809 correspond to the F250 fragment. In addition to the ESTs, cDNA clones were isolated from a cDNA library and the two longest clones were sequenced. The FDH cDNA was found to be 2055 bp long and contained a single open reading frame.

FDH genomic clones were isolated and compared with the cDNA clones by using PCR, tracking, and sequencing. The FDH gene was found to contain three exons and two

---

**Figure 2.** Example of DNA Gel Blot Analysis of the Progeny of a fdh-3940 Revertant.

Each lane on the blot contains 1 μg of genomic DNA prepared from the R₂ progeny of revertant shoot 7625 and digested with Dral. Phenotypes of the plants are indicated as wt (wild type) or fdh (fiddlehead).

(A) Hybridization with the EN-600 probe (Wisman et al., 1998) derived from the 5’ portion of the En/Spm transposon.

(B) The same filter hybridized with the probing flanking probe derived from F250 by cycle-labeling with the En1R transposon primer located at the very end of En/Spm. A band of 5.0 kb corresponds to the fdh-3940 allele. Note that the mutant plants in lanes 2 and 5 also display a band of 2.0 kb corresponding to the wild-type allele because of somatic excisions of En/Spm.
The introns of 524 and 72 bp (Figure 3), respectively. The En/Spm insertion in fdh-3940 was located in the coding region of the first exon (Figure 3).

Other Transposon Insertion Alleles of the FDH Gene

Several fdh-like mutants were isolated from the En/Spm population and were analyzed with the PCR-based assay for the presence of transposons in the FDH gene. Two of these mutants, fdh-5Q5 and fdh-3AAR103, had transposon insertions in the second and third exons, respectively, of the FDH gene, as revealed by DNA sequencing (Figure 3). Both alleles were recessive and resulted in a mutant phenotype indistinguishable from that of fdh-3940 (data not shown).

Mapping of the FDH Gene on Chromosome 2

Gel blots prepared from Col and Ler DNA digested with 30 restriction enzymes did not reveal an RFLP when probed with F250. Because recombinant inbreds could not be used for mapping in this case, the F250 fragment was mapped using the CIC yeast artificial chromosome (YAC) library of Arabidopsis (Creusot et al., 1995). Three YAC clones (CIC10B3, CIC10F1, and CIC10A7) were identified by hybridization, indicating that the F250 fragment is located on chromosome 2 close to the GPA1 locus. The map position of F250 is consistent with the genetic localization of the FDH gene on chromosome 2 (Lolle et al., 1992).

The FDH Protein Is Similar to Condensing Enzymes Encoded by Genes of the FATTY ACID ELONGATION Family

The deduced protein sequence of FDH is 550 amino acids long, and by comparison of the deduced amino acid sequences of the FDH protein with other sequences in databases, it is evident that the amino acid sequences of the FDH protein are highly conserved in the FAE family. The highest similarity between the amino acid sequences of the FDH protein and the other members of the FAE family was observed in the nucleic acid sequences of the genes encoding the proteins encoded by the FAE family.
Cloning of the FIDDLEHEAD Gene catalyze the formation of carbon–carbon bonds in three specific steps (Siggaard-Andersen, 1993). The marked similarity of FDH to condensing enzymes, particularly to fatty acid elongases, suggests that the FDH protein is a condensing enzyme involved in lipid biosynthesis.

The presence of transmembrane domains in FDH was first indicated by the similarity noted between the region at the N terminus and transmembrane domains of various animal receptor proteins (data not shown). Computer analysis implies that the same region probably contains two transmembrane domains at amino acid positions 58 to 75 and 97 to 114, separated by a loop of 24 amino acids. Another putative transmembrane domain in FDH is located between amino acids 382 and 401; however, its predicted transmembrane character is less pronounced. The calculated transmembrane domain structures of the FDH and FAE proteins are very similar (data not shown).

**Spatial Pattern of FDH Expression in Shoots**

RNA gel blot analysis revealed relatively high amounts of FDH transcripts in inflorescences but a very low abundance or even absence of FDH transcripts in roots and mature leaves. The more sensitive reverse transcription–PCR (RT-PCR) technique allowed detection of FDH transcripts in these latter organs (data not shown). RNA blot analysis showed that FDH produces transcripts of ~2.0 kb, which corresponds to the length of the sequenced cDNA (Figure 5).

In situ hybridization analysis of the expression of FDH
Figure 5. Accumulation of FDH mRNA in Arabidopsis Tissues Analyzed by Using RNA Gel Blot Hybridization.

The RNA gel blot filter containing 10 μg of total RNA isolated from inflorescences (I), mature leaves (L), and roots (R) was provided by G. Cardon (see Cardon et al., 1997). The filter was probed with 32P-labeled FDH cDNA. Length markers in kilobases are indicated at left.

(Figure 6) shows that FDH transcripts are present exclusively in the L1 of vegetative and floral meristems; they are also present in the epidermis of young leaves and coleoptiles, in which the signal is weaker. The trichomes also express FDH. Prefusion carpels express the epidermis-specific FDH transcripts on both their abaxial and adaxial sides (Figure 6B); transcripts are also detected in the cells of stigmatic papillae, at the margins of ovules, and around the embryo sac (data not shown). The hybridization signal is uniformly distributed in the L1 layer of floral and vegetative meristems; however, it appears to be stronger in the epidermis of younger organs. The expression pattern of FDH is compatible with that of the mutant phenotype, which is characterized by epidermal tissue fusions at early stages of shoot development.

Instability of fdh-3940 and Generation of a Stable Mutant Allele

The epidermis-specific expression of FDH suggests that the phenotype of the shoot is determined by the allelic state at the FDH locus in the epidermis. Excisions of the En/Spm transposon from the nonfunctional fdh-3940 allele appear to result in genetic chimeras and mosaics. Because clonally distinct cell lineages are present in shoot meristems, chimeras and mosaics of various types may occur. If the transposon excises in a cell of the L2 or L3, the epidermis remains mutant and defines the phenotype of the fdh shoot. Therefore, fragments corresponding to the wild-type allele could be detected by both PCR and DNA gel blot analysis of DNA from fdh-3940 plants (Figure 2). Excisions in the L1 cells, on the other hand, may lead to periclinal chimeras that show no fusion, although all of their progeny will show the fdh-conferred phenotype. This is expected to give rise to an excess of fdh plants in the R1 generation, as was indeed observed. Interestingly, R0 plants bearing revertant shoots remain mutant, suggesting that the FDH function is cell autonomous or at most operates only over a short distance. Despite the usefulness of the FDH chimeras for the analysis of cell interactions, a stable allele would have helped to avoid the problems associated with mosaicism. Application of the procedure for identification of excision alleles bearing footprints (see Methods) resulted in isolation of the fdh-3940S1 allele (Figure 7). This stable allele bears a two-base frameshift insertion predicted to result in premature termination of the fdh-3940S1 gene product. The phenotype of the fdh-3940S1 mutant is identical to that of the parental mutant fdh-3940.

fdh Plants Have Fewer Trichomes on Rosette Leaves

Closer examination of the adaxial epidermis of rosette leaves by cryoscanning electron microscopy confirmed that cell morphology was not noticeably affected in fdh mutants (Lolle et al., 1992). The number of trichome cells, however, appeared to be fewer than in wild-type plants (Figure 8). To estimate the statistical significance of this difference, plants segregating the fdh-3940S1 allele were sampled for analysis after seven or eight rosette leaves had developed but before bolting. At this stage, all mutants display the fdh-conferred phenotype and are therefore distinguishable from the segregating sister wild-type plants. Fused and unfused rosette leaves of fdh plants demonstrated distorted outlines and jagged leaf blades at maturation, which made direct examination difficult. Therefore, we studied expanding leaves (7 to 10 mm in length) by cryoscanning electron microscopy and calculated the associated trichome density. A nearly twofold decrease in trichome number per square millimeter was found in fdh mutants in comparison with the wild type (Table 1), suggesting that FDH products contribute to trichome initiation.

Morphology of Transgenic Plants

To study the effect of constitutive expression of FDH, we generated transgenic Arabidopsis plants expressing FDH under the control of the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter.

Transgenic plants with pseudo-fdh shoots appeared among the T1 transformants; in the T2 generation of 90 plants, these made up ~48% of the total (Figure 9). Compared with fdh-3940S1 mutants, fdh phenocopies did not show rigid fusions, particularly of leaves, and produced a few fertile shoots and siliques, similar to reverting fdh-3940 mutants. Aside from this class and the wild-type plants, both the T1 and T2 generations segregated plants that were severely retarded in growth and development. These dwarf plants constituted a minority (5.5%) of the T2 progeny of all seven independent T1 transformants. In both T2 dwarfs and T3 fdh phenocopies, the epicuticular waxes and the trichomes were apparently normal. However, the leaves on the dwarf plants were darker in color, inflexible, and more rigid.
Cloning of the FIDDLEHEAD Gene

All sections were prepared from wild-type Col plants.

(A) Longitudinal section through a bolting plant. Strong FDH transcriptional activity is detected in the L1 of apical and lateral meristems as well as in the L1 of organ primordia. A strong hybridization signal is also detected in the epidermis of the shoot and the young organs. Bar = 400 μm.

(B) Longitudinal section through a shoot apex. Note that the hybridization signal declines at later stages of development of organs. Bar = 100 μm.

(C) Longitudinal section of a floral bud, at approximately stage 7 of development (Smyth et al., 1990), showing hybridization signal in the carpel epidermis on the adaxial and abaxial sides. The signal is strongest in the epidermis surrounding the youngest parts of the flower. Bar = 100 μm.

(D) Cross-section through young flowers at the inflorescence apex. FDH transcription can be detected in the epidermis of both the adaxial and abaxial sides of all floral organs. Bar = 400 μm.

(E) Cross-section through a floral bud, at approximately stage 7 of development. Bar = 50 μm.

Figure 6. In Situ Localization of FDH mRNA.

All sections were prepared from wild-type Col plants.

(A) Longitudinal section through a bolting plant. Strong FDH transcriptional activity is detected in the L1 of apical and lateral meristems as well as in the L1 of organ primordia. A strong hybridization signal is also detected in the epidermis of the shoot and the young organs. Bar = 400 μm.

(B) Longitudinal section through a shoot apex. Note that the hybridization signal declines at later stages of development of organs. Bar = 100 μm.

(C) Longitudinal section of a floral bud, at approximately stage 7 of development (Smyth et al., 1990), showing hybridization signal in the carpel epidermis on the adaxial and abaxial sides. The signal is strongest in the epidermis surrounding the youngest parts of the flower. Bar = 100 μm.

(D) Cross-section through young flowers at the inflorescence apex. FDH transcription can be detected in the epidermis of both the adaxial and abaxial sides of all floral organs. Bar = 400 μm.

(E) Cross-section through a floral bud, at approximately stage 7 of development. Bar = 50 μm.

Variability of FDH Expression in Transgenic Plants

To assess the expression of FDH in the T2 transgenic plants, we isolated total RNA from floral buds and assayed it by quantitative RT-PCR, using the 18S rRNA as an internal standard (Table 2). The assay was performed in the linear range of PCR, as established by using samples of low and high rank (see Methods).

Dwarf plants displayed the most FDH expression, whereas fdh phenocopies exhibited the least expression among transgenic plants. This result supports the notion that the appearance of fdh phenocopies in progeny of transgenic plants may result from cosuppression of FDH, whereas the dwarf phenotype correlates with overexpression of FDH. Transgenic plants having a wild-type appearance exhibited intermediate amounts of FDH expression. These plants represented the most variable group with respect to the extent of expression, indicating that some
Plants retain the wild-type phenotype despite expressing increased amounts of FDH mRNA, whereas others remain wild type in morphology, despite partial cosuppression. DNA gel blot analysis revealed the presence of multiple copies of the transgene (two to six), although no correlation was observed between copy number and phenotype.

DISCUSSION

Function of the FDH Gene in Development

Mutations in the FDH gene result in a complex phenotype. We found that in addition to the fusion of shoot organs (Lolle et al., 1992), the fdh mutant exhibits a trichome phenotype. The number of trichomes, which in Arabidopsis develop from single cells of the L1, is reduced on the mutant rosette leaves. This feature was not reported earlier, possibly because of the presence of the rtn (REDUCED TRICHOME NUMBER) allele in the fdh-1 plants in the Ler genetic background. Ler plants carrying the RTN locus have only one-third the number of trichomes on rosette leaves compared to the Col ecotype. Apparently, the rtn allele shortens the period during which epidermal cells continue to initiate trichomes, whereas the rate of trichome initiation remains unchanged (Larkin et al., 1996).

FDH expression, as demonstrated by in situ hybridization, begins in L1 cells before trichome initiation and continues throughout their development. However, the epidermal cells ordinarily committed to develop as trichomes do reach their mature form on fdh-3940S1 mutant leaves. Therefore, although the mode of action of the fdh-3940S1 allele has not been established, initiation of trichome cells appears to be the main target of the mutation. The sequence similarity of FDH to the family of condensing enzymes involved in lipid biosynthesis suggests that fdh mutations may result in quantitative alterations in lipid composition in the epidermis. However, the glaucous shoot surface of the fdh mutant implies that this change is not crucial for the production of epicuticular waxes, which requires the biosynthesis of very long chain fatty acids (VLCFAs). Nevertheless, the fdh mutation obviously affects the choice of cell fate in the L1.

Moreover, cells of the mutant epidermis acquire the ability to adhere to other cells with which they make contact. This could mean they display cell surface molecules that are not exposed in wild-type cells. Although we are not able to define the nature of these molecules at this point, we speculate that this role is played by adhesion molecules similar to those in the extracellular matrix of animal cells. Protein–carbohydrate and carbohydrate–carbohydrate interactions could likewise be exploited for adhesion by plant cells.

Epidermal cells of all floral organs respond to the loss of FDH function by acquiring the ability to cohere, despite marked differences in their morphology. This suggests that fdh mutations affect a pathway shared by various cell types in the epidermis.

Considering that FDH enzymatic activity may be implicated at a branching point in lipid metabolism, FDH conceivably could act through distinct pathways to control...
epidermal fusions and trichome initiation. Despite this, the fact that cell fate choice depends on the FDH function implies that the fusions in fdh mutants may be the result of alterations in the developmental state of epidermal cells in meristems.

Analysis of CaMV 35S–FDH transgenic plants indicates that ectopic expression of FDH is tolerated in many plants without any obvious effect. Some plants, however, are delayed in growth and development, suggesting a link with the pathways controlled by conventional growth compounds. The dwarf phenotype is reminiscent of that seen in some transgenic CaMV 35S–FAE1 plants (Millar et al., 1998).

### Biochemical Function of the FDH Protein

The predicted amino acid sequence of the FDH protein reveals significant similarity to various condensing enzymes and most closely resembles the products of genes of the FAE family. These genes are thought to encode β-ketoacyl-CoA synthases involved in the biosynthesis of VLCFAs. The FAE proteins catalyze multiple sequential condensing reactions, adding two carbons to the C16, C18, C20, and C22 acyl-CoAs by using malonyl-CoA as a donor (Lassner et al., 1996). The CUT1 and KCS1 genes encode epidermis-specific β-ketoacyl-CoA synthases, which are required for elongation of fatty acids having >22 carbons (Millar et al., 1999; Todd et al., 1999).

VLCFAs in the epidermis are mainly used for biosynthesis of intracuticular and epicuticular wax, which are mixtures of many aliphatic lipids, including those having backbones up to 32 carbons long (Walton, 1990; Hannoufa et al., 1993; McNevin et al., 1993; Jenks et al., 1995). Condensing enzymes play a crucial role in determining the length of carbon chains, both in seeds and in the epidermis (Millar and Kunst, 1997). β-Ketoacyl-CoA synthases are likely to comprise a small family of proteins in plants, members of which are thought to have different substrate specificities, particularly with respect to the length of the substrate. At least two VLCFA synthase activities have been purified from microsomes of the leek epidermis (von Wettstein-Knowles, 1993; Cassagne et al., 1994; Evenson and Post-Beittenmiller, 1995).

Most of the Arabidopsis ESTs with sequence similarities to β-ketoacyl-CoA synthases resemble CUT1, KCS1, and FAE1 more than they do FDH (data not shown). In addition, an N-terminal extension of 44 amino acids is specific for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>No. of Leaves Examineda</th>
<th>Density of Trichomesb per 1 mm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fdh</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a One leaf was taken from each plant for analysis.
*b Mean ± SE. The null hypothesis is rejected at P < 0.001 with the calculated t value of 5.38.

**Figure 9.** Phenotypes of T₂ Transgenic Plants Carrying the FDH Gene Fused to the CaMV 35S Promoter.

(A) Inflorescence of a wild-type plant and a plant showing fusions of floral organs without the leaf phenotype. These moderate phenocopies of the fiddlehead mutant made up the majority of T₂ plants.

(B) A dwarf plant next to the leaf of a wild-type plant taken at the same time as (A).

(C) A flowering dwarf plant exhibiting reduced elongation of the stem and leaves of rotund shape.
The epidermis is a site of active biosynthesis of various lipids required for deposition of the cuticle and waxes on exterior cell walls. The major role of this lipid layer as a hydrophobic boundary is well established. On the other hand, the barrier function of the epidermis in development cannot be attributed solely to its cuticle and epicuticular waxes, given the inability of epidermal cells with abraded cuticle to undergo redifferentiation during grafting or to con-vey appropriate signals to underlying cells (Walker and Bruck, 1985; Bruck et al., 1989). The idea is also supported by the fact that exterior epidermal lipids are permeable for signaling substances that induce redifferentiation of the responsive abaxial and adaxial carpel epidermis, as shown by grafting (Siegel and Verbeke, 1989; Verbeke, 1992). Finally, this notion is reinforced by the absence of any explicit correlation between the wax load and organ fusions in multiple mutants of maize and Arabidopsis.

Of the several Arabidopsis ecerifera mutants lacking epicuticular waxes (Hannoufa et al., 1993; McNevin et al., 1993; Jenks et al., 1995, 1996a), only cer10 and cer13 show slight adhesion or fusion of organs in the shoot. Additional Arabidopsis mutants identified by a visual screen for changes in the texture of the leaf surface (Jenks et al., 1995, 1996a) have altered leaf shapes, and two of them, wax1 and wax2, demonstrate fusions of aerial organs. These last two mutants, together with cer10, cer13, and deadhead (Lolle et al., 1998), are the only ones known to display organ fusions or adhesions among the ~30 wax mutants described so far for Arabidopsis (Jenks et al., 1995, 1996a, 1996b). On the other hand, fdh plants and other epidermal fusion mutants found in Arabidopsis (Lolle et al., 1998; E. Wisman, unpublished data) are glaucous in appearance and do not have glossy leaves or stems. The deadhead locus is worth mentioning separately, because some allelic combinations of this locus did not show wax and fusion phenotypes together (Lolle et al., 1998).

A similar picture is given by phenotypes of maize mutants. Of the 59 mutants in the current maize database that exhibit some kind of a fusion between the aerial organs, only five show any obvious reduction in amounts of wax on leaves, namely, glossy N203C, aberrant seedling N594B, adherent N377B, adherent N512B, and adherent N785B. Hence, only ~10% of the mutants that show fusion phenotypes are affected in wax cover, a clear demonstration that the visible absence of waxes does not inevitably result in graft-like fusions. At the same time, the occurrence of mutants showing both features implies the existence of an interaction between the two processes. The 1:10 ratio observed is likely to increase because the effect of some mutations on the wax load and its composition may not be immediately apparent to the naked eye and will require a more precise evaluation.

Taking these data into consideration, we may anticipate that external lipids play a subsidiary role in producing epidermal fusions. Conceivably, for instance, impaired cuticle polymerization permits cuticles of adjacent primordia to fuse into one sheet, which consequently leads to an adhesion phenotype. In some mutants, cell wall adhesion molecules may be exposed in L1 cells of meristems and thus play a part in surface fusions. Indeed, the organ fusions exhibited by the various mutants are variable in both pattern and degree. Some display weak organ adhesion phenotypes and may include cuticular mutants that have not yet been described in plants.

The analysis of organ fusions in mutants and the cloning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>No. of Plants</th>
<th>FDH Expression Relative to the Internal Standard (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35S-FDH dwarf</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>103.6 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35S-FDH wild type</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>86.6 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35S-FDH fdh phenocopy</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75.6 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>69.2 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Mean ± SE; see Methods for details. Assays were repeated three times, and reaction tubes were randomized each time in a thermocycler. Repeated measures factor was not found to be significant by analysis of variance; therefore, the data were combined (not shown).

Functions of Extracellular Lipids in Adhesion and Fusion

The epidermis is a site of active biosynthesis of various lipids required for deposition of the cuticle and waxes on exterior cell walls. The major role of this lipid layer as a hydrophobic boundary is well established. On the other hand, the barrier function of the epidermis in development cannot be attributed solely to its cuticle and epicuticular waxes, given the inability of epidermal cells with abraded cuticle to undergo redifferentiation during grafting or to con-
of genes that, when mutated, result in epidermal fusions should allow us to dissect the relevant pathways and signal transduction cascades.

METHODS

Plant Material and DNA Stocks

The Arabidopsis thaliana population containing autonomous Enhancer/Suppressor-mutator (En/Spm) transposons from maize has been described previously (Wisman et al., 1998). The fdh mutant generated by ethyl methanesulfonate mutagenesis in the ecotype Landsberg erecta (Ler) was obtained from S. Lolle (Harvard University, Cambridge, MA). Arabidopsis plants were grown in a greenhouse at 22 to 23°C or in growth chambers at 16 to 18°C under 16 hr of daylight.

Nucleic Acid Isolation and Hybridization Analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted according to Rodgers and Bendich (1988), with slight modifications. DNA samples (1 to 2 µg) were digested with restriction enzymes in buffers provided by the manufacturers (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany; New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA; and MBI Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania) and were subjected to gel electrophoresis in 0.8% SeaKem (FMC, Rockland, ME) agarose. Treatment of gels and membranes (Hybond N+) for DNA gel blot hybridization was performed mainly according to Sambrook et al. (1989), except that the cross-linking by exposure to UV light was done in a Stratalinker (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). Filters were hybridized at 65°C with α-32P-dCTP-labeled probes (Amersham) prepared by oligolabeling with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I (Boehringer Mannheim) or by cycle-labeling with Taq polymerase (Boehringer Mannheim); the final wash was conducted with 0.2 × SSPE (1 × SSPE is 0.15 M NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate, and 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) containing 0.1% SDS at 65°C for 20 min. Before hybridization, the labeled probe was separated from unincorporated radioactivity with a QIAprep nucleotide removal kit (Qiagen).

The probes complementary to the 5’ end or the 3’ end of En/Spm transposons were prepared by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as described (Wisman et al., 1998). The filter used for RNA gel blot analysis, which contained 10 µg of total RNA per lane, was provided by G. Cardon (see Cardon et al., 1997). The blot was hybridized with the complete FDH cDNA probe from pYAYΔA4 (see below), as described in Cardon et al. (1997). γ-32P-ATP (Amersham) end-labeled PCR fragments were cut out from the transposon insertion display (TID) sequencing gel by using disposable syringe needles and were eluted overnight in 100 µL of TE buffer (TE is 10 mM Tris-Cl and 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 7.6). Before PCR reamplification, the fragments were precipitated with linear polyacrylamide as an inert carrier (Gaillard and Strauss, 1990). Plasmid DNA was extracted by using the QIAprep spin plasmid kit (Qiagen). Phage DNA was isolated from plate lysates according to Qiagen protocols by using Qiagen-tip 20 columns.

Total RNA for reverse transcription (RT)-PCR assays was isolated with total RNA reagent (Biolom, Hamburg, Germany) and dissolved in formamide (Chomczynski, 1992).

Oligonucleotides and PCR

Oligonucleotides were either synthesized on a DNA/RNA synthesizer (model 392; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) or purchased from MWG-Biotech (Ebersberg, Germany) or Eurogentec (Seraing, Belgium).

PCRs were performed with Taq polymerase (Boehringer Mannheim) under the conditions recommended by the manufacturer; primers were used at 1 µM unless otherwise stated. The typical PCR profile started with 1 min at 95°C to preheat samples before the first denaturation step. The thermocyclers used were UNO-Thermoblock and TRIO-Thermoblock (Biometra, Goettingen, Germany) and PCT-200 (MJ Research, Watertown, MA).

Isolation of FDH cDNA and Genomic Clones

cDNA and genomic libraries of the Arabidopsis ecotype Columbia (Col) were screened under the conditions used for DNA gel blot analysis. The cDNA library prepared from flowers in the NM1189 λ phage vector was obtained from H. Sommer (Max-Planck-Institut für Züchtungsforschung). The genomic library in the GEM11 λ phage vector, prepared by J. Mulligan and R. Davis (Stanford University, Stanford, CA), was obtained from C. Koncz (Max-Planck-Institut für Züchtungsforschung). Arabidopsis expressed sequence tag (EST) clones were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (Ohio State University, Columbus). Screening of 5 × 106 phages from the cDNA library with EST T04345 as a probe resulted in 24 positive clones, which were amplified directly from the phage plaques as described (Gussow and Claxson, 1989), with use of NM17 (5’-AGC-AAGTTCAGCCTGGTTAAG-3’) and NM18 (5’-CTTATGAGTTTTCTTCCAGGGTA-3’) primers complementary to the imm434 portion of the λgt10 cloning vector (Huynh et al., 1985). Twenty-two library clones were subjected to cycling G- and S-tracking by using primer FA22 (5’-AGAGCAGAGCAGACATGCTGAG-3’) on the reverse strand of FDH cDNA. Of those, the six longest clones were partially sequenced from the 5’ end to determine the start of the FDH transcript, and two of these were sequenced completely, together with EST T04345 and EST Z26809, by primer “walking” on both strands.

To define the intron–exon structure of the FDH gene, we compared the genomic and cDNA clones by PCR, using a set of primers distributed along the sequence of the gene. Positions of introns and their lengths were defined by G- and S-tracking (see below). Two introns of 524 and 72 bp were so identified and sequenced.

Cycle Sequencing, Tracking, and Labeling

The M13 f-ol cycle sequencing kit (Promega) was used on plasmids and PCR products according to the enclosed protocol, except that the annealing temperature for sequencing was 5°C below that recommended for PCR.

Mapping of introns and 5’ ends of cDNA clones was performed by G-tracking, which is similar to T-tracking (Sanger et al., 1980; Deininger, 1983), or by S-tracking, using primers labeled at the 5’ end with γ−32P-ATP (Amersham). The reaction conditions were similar to those for cycle sequencing, except that the G-mix from the M13 f-ol cycle sequencing kit and all four deoxynucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs) at 20 nM were used for G-tracking and S-tracking, respectively. The S-tracking reaction is similar to the G-tracking reaction, in which premature termination of DNA synthesis occurs after incorporation of ddGTP in a sequence-specific manner. The S-tracking
reaction yields similar results without added dideoxynucleotides because Taq polymerase does not copy a template uniformly, and polymerization is reproducibly interrupted at certain positions along the template. Analysis on a sequencing gel allows one to map the exon-intron and insert-phage junctions and to select appropriate clones and primers (Deininger, 1983).

Cycle-labeling reactions were performed with the PCR products generated by TID, because these are relatively short and contain part of the transposon in addition to the flanking portion. Labeling was done by extension of the En1R primer (5'-ACTCTTTTGACGTTTTCT-TGTAGT-3') located at the very end of the En/Spm transposon under conditions similar to those for PCR. Thus, only the flanking sequence was used as a template for the single-stranded radioactive probe. The reaction was conducted by mixing on ice 2 μL of 5 × fmol sequencing buffer (Promega) containing 250 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0, and 10 mM MgCl₂; 0.8 to 1 μL (16 to 20 pmol) of the specific primer; 1 μL (10 to 100 ng) of the PCR fragment; 1 μL of 10 × C-Mix (20 μM dATP, 20 μM dGTP, and 20 μM dTTP); 0.5 μL (2.5 units) of Taq polymerase; and 5 μL (16 pmol) of α-32P-dCTP or ReadyView α-32P-dCTP (Amersham) and then running a standard PCR program on samples kept under mineral oil, with annealing at 50°C for 25 to 30 cycles.

**Computer Analysis of Sequences and Database Searches**

Management of DNA sequencing was accomplished by using MacVector and AssemblyLIGN programs (Oxford Molecular Group, Campbell, CA). Protein molecular mass and pI were estimated with the Coils program, all at ExPASy (http://expasy.hcuge.ch/www/tools.html). Homology searches in databases were performed with the NCBI BLAST server (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/). Estimates of sequence similarity and multiple sequence alignments were obtained by using the Genetics Computer Group (Madison, WI) software package.

Descriptions of the maize mutants showing fusion and adhesion were found in the maize genome database (http://teosinte.agron.missouri.edu/top.html).

**In Situ Hybridization**

In situ hybridization was performed as described by Huijser et al. (1992). The Drai-EcoRV fragment containing the poly(A) tail was deleted from the T04345 EST clone, taking advantage of the presence of a unique DraI site at the beginning of the poly(A) stretch and the EcoRV site in the polylinker of the host pBluescript SK- plasmid (Stratagene). The resulting plasmid, pYAYΔA4, containing the complete FDH cDNA sequence was used to prepare the α-35S-UTP-labeled sense and antisense RNA probes.

**Ectopic Expression of FDH in Transgenic Arabidopsis Plants**

The complete FDH cDNA from pYAYΔA4 was cloned as an Xbal-Sall fragment into the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter-terminator expression cassette of the binary vector pDHFR-35S. This vector, a derivative of pGPTV-DHFR (Becker et al., 1992), allows selection of transgenic plants on medium containing 50 μg/mL methotrexate (G. Caron, unpublished data). Plasmids were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 (C58C1, rifampicin resistant) pMP90 (gentamycin resistant) (Koncz and Schell, 1986) by electroporation with an Electroporator II device (Invitrogen) under the conditions recommended by the manufacturer (Escherichia coli transformation protocol). Transgenic Arabidopsis plants were obtained by using the vacuum infiltration procedure (Bechtold et al., 1993).

**Identification of the Stable fdh-3940S1 Mutant**

To identify new fdh mutant alleles containing footprints that result in a frameshift after imprecise excision of the transposon from the fdh-3940 allele, we used a PCR-based strategy (Figure 7). The presence of the fdh-3940 allele in F₁ heterozygotes was indicated by the amplification of a 236-bp fragment with the FA22 FDH primer and the En205R transposon primer (5'-AAGCGACCGAGGCTGTAGAATTAGGA-3'). One plant among the 42 analyzed did not contain the En/Spm insertion in the gene, although its progeny segregated fdh mutants. The offspring were subjected to a second round of PCR with the FA11 (5'-AACCCGAGATCGTTATGCGGACGACT-3') and FA22 FDH primers to amplify the fragment containing the remaining footprint. Direct sequencing of the resulting 329-bp product of the fdh-3940S1 allele revealed an additional 2 bp at the site of the En/Spm insertion that was not present in the sequence of the FDH allele (Figure 7).

**Screening for Other Transposon Insertions in the FDH Gene**

DNAs of several mutant plants showing phenotypes similar to that of the fdh mutants were analyzed by PCR for the presence of transposon insertions in FDH; to do this, we used the FA11 and FA22 FDH primers in all possible combinations with the En205R and En8130 (5'-GAGGCCTGGTTCCCCACACTTCATAC-3') transposon primers. The conditions for PCR were standard, as described above, except that the annealing temperature was 60°C and the extension time was 2 min. After amplification, PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gels and blotted onto filters.

The gene probe was prepared by labeling FDH cDNA from pYAYΔA4 with digoxigenin, for which we used the DIG High Prime DNA labeling and detection kit II (Boehringer Mannheim). The DNA (1 μg) was labeled overnight in a 20-μL reaction, which was then diluted 1:10 with the TE buffer. To each 10 mL of hybridization solution was added 2 μL of diluted sample. Blotting, hybridization, and washing were performed as described for genomic DNA gel blot analysis, and detection was done according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The fdh-5Q5 mutant allele was identified from the specific PCR product obtained with the En8130 and FA11 primers, the fdh-4AAR103 mutant allele from the product of the En205R and FA11 primers. Corresponding products were reamplified for sequencing by using the appropriate combination of gene and transposon primers. Sequencing reactions were performed as described above with use of the En91R (5'-TACCGAGATCGTTATGCGGACGACT-3') and En3940S1 transposon primers.

**Cryoscanning Electron Microscopy**

Leaves were frozen and vacuum-dried on the cryostage of the preparation chamber, sputter-coated with gold, and examined at 5 kV with a scanning electron microscope (model DSM 940, Zeiss).
Quantitative RT-PCR Assay with 18S rRNA as an Internal Standard

Aliquots (2 μg) of the samples of total RNA were precipitated from formamide with 4 volumes of ethanol, washed with absolute ethanol, and dried. RNA pellets were dissolved in 10 μL of H2O, mixed with 2 μL of random hexamer primers (60 ng/μL), and heated at 70°C for 5 min. The RT reaction was initiated by adding 4 μL of 5 × first-strand buffer ( Gibco BRL), 2 μL of 0.1 M DTT, 1 μL of 10 mM dNTPs, and 1 μL of Superscript II RT enzyme (Gibco BRL); the samples were incubated at 25°C for 10 min and then at 42°C for 60 min. The RT reaction products were diluted with 20 μL of H2O before storage.

Multiplex PCR was performed in 20-μL reaction volumes by mixing 2 μL of the RT reaction product solution, 0.2 μL of α-32P-dCTP, PCR buffer components, 1 unit of PLATINUM Taq DNA polymerase (Gibco BRL), and primers. The proprietary antibody complexed with the Taq polymerase allowed for an automatic “hot start” to the PCR. Suppression of amplification of the 315-bp 18S rRNA internal standard to the level of FDH was optimized by using a 1:10 ratio of plant 18S rRNA primers to Competimers (Ambion, Austin, TX): 18S rRNA primers modified at their 3′ ends to block extension by DNA polymerase. For PCR, 2 μL of the mixture of primers was taken. To amplify the FDH CDNA-specific product of 258 bp, F2intF (5′-CGAACTCATAAGGCTGCTGACGACC-3′) and F2intR (5′-GGTGCGGAAGTAGAAGGAAGTG-3′) primers were added to the multiplex PCR at 0.4 m each. The primers were chosen to anneal at the borders of the second intron of 72 bp, thus allowing DNA contamination to be monitored; no significant contamination was noted under our conditions. PCR was performed as follows: 94°C for 30 sec and 18 cycles at 94°C for 30 sec, 60°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min, and then 72°C for 3 min. In a separate experiment using samples with low and high amounts of contamination was noted under our conditions. PCR was performed as follows: 94°C for 30 sec and 18 cycles at 94°C for 30 sec, 60°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min, and then 72°C for 3 min. In a separate experiment using samples with low and high amounts of transcript, the number of cycles chosen was shown to be in the linear range of the reaction. Radioactive PCR products were separated on nondenaturing polyacrylamide gels, which were dried onto blotting paper and exposed to x-ray film. Labeled bands were excised and quantified with a scintillation counter. Relative expression of FDH was calculated as log (counts per minute for the 18S rRNA band/log (counts per minute for the 18S rRNA band) × 100%, ranged from 65 to 125%. Assays were repeated three times, and data were analyzed by standard procedures in the STATISTICA package (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK).
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