A Lily Stylar Pectin Is Necessary for Pollen Tube Adhesion to an In Vitro Stylar Matrix
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Pollen tube cells adhere to the wall surface of the stylar transmitting tract epidermis in lily. This adhesion has been proposed as essential for the proper delivery of the sperm cells to the ovule. An in vitro adhesion bioassay has been used to isolate two stylar molecules required for lily pollen tube adhesion. The first molecule was determined to be a small, cysteine-rich protein with some sequence similarity to lipid transfer proteins and now called stigma/stylar cysteine-rich adhesin (SCA). The second, larger, molecule has now been purified from style fragments and characterized. Chemical composition, specific enzyme degradations, and immunolabeling data support the idea that this molecule required for pollen tube adhesion is a pectic polysaccharide. In vitro binding assays revealed that this lily stylar adhesive pectin and SCA are able to bind to each other in a pH-dependent manner.

INTRODUCTION

The plant cell wall has long been considered structurally inert, its role thought to be limited primarily to protection and support. More recently, a parallel has been made between the plant cell wall and the extracellular matrix of animal cells, despite their structural and biochemical differences. Studies investigating the structure, composition, and function of the cell wall during plant development and response to the environment describe the plant cell wall, or extracellular matrix, as a cellular compartment important in cell communication (Carpita and Gibeaut, 1993; Roberts, 1994; Schindler, 1998). A well-documented case of this sort is the recognition and rejection of self-pollen in the self-incompatibility reaction, which involves molecules from pollen and the stigma/style that intersect in the extracellular matrix (Williams et al., 1994; Schopfer et al., 1999).

Adhesion may be an essential event in cell–cell communication in plants, much as it is in animals (Lord et al., 1996). Several types of adhesion can occur in plant cells: between wall and substratum, between two walls, and between wall and plasma membrane. To date, a large number of surface molecules (adhesins) capable of binding to a receptor have been isolated from bacteria and fungi that colonize plant or animal tissues (Ofek and Doyle, 1994; Cormack et al., 1999). In algae, several types of adhesion molecules have been reported, such as algal-cell adhesion molecule, a homolog of animal adhesion proteins (Huber and Sumper, 1994), proteoglycans (Wetherbee et al., 1998; Wustman et al., 1998), and various peroxidases, polyphenols, and acidic polysaccharides (Fowler and Quatrano, 1997; Vreeland et al., 1998). Lectins have been reported as recognition and binding proteins produced by the plant and interacting with bacterial cells in nodulation, for example (Hirsch, 1999). In the case of intercellular adhesion in plants, pectins in the middle lamella have been implicated as attachment molecules between cells, as judged by staining and immunolocalization (Liners et al., 1994; Knox, 1997; Willats et al., 1999), biochemical data (Fry, 1988; Satoh, 1998), and some genetic studies (Sinha and Lynch, 1998). In plant reproduction, several molecules with properties of adhesives have been isolated, including TTS, an arabinogalactan protein from styles (Cheung et al., 1995), and the extensin-like Pex proteins from pollen (Rubinstein et al., 1995). Recently, lipophilic molecules have been proposed to mediate the pollen-stigma adhesion in Arabidopsis (Zinkl et al., 1999).

In several species, including lily and Arabidopsis, adhesion of pollen tubes to the transmitting tract epidermis of the style has been most clearly observed after cryofixation of pollinated styles (Janson et al., 1994; Jauh and Lord, 1995; Lennon et al., 1998; Park et al., 2000). Pollen tubes grown in vivo adhere to each other as well, an event not seen in vitro. Adhesion has been proposed to be essential for the proper delivery of the tube cell to the ovary (Lord et al., 1996). In an in vitro adhesion assay developed for lily, pregerminated pollen tubes adhered to an in vitro stylar matrix within 2 hr (Jauh et al., 1997). Recently, Park et al. (2000) reported that at least two molecules from the style were required for lily pollen tube adhesion, one small and the other large. Neither molecule accounted for pollen tube adhesion on its own. The small molecule is a 9-kD basic protein named stigma/stylar cysteine-rich adhesin (SCA), with some sequence similarities to lipid transfer proteins. The second molecule is a pectic polysaccharide. In vitro binding assays revealed that this lily stylar adhesive pectin and SCA are able to bind to each other in a pH-dependent manner.
similarity to plant lipid transfer proteins, including eight conserved cysteine residues. SCA has been localized to the transmitting tract of lily and is not detected in pollen tubes unless they are grown in vivo (Park et al., 2000).

Understanding the mechanism of the adhesion event among SCA, the large stylar molecule, and the pollen tubes requires identification of the large molecule. In this article, we describe the use of the in vitro adhesion bioassay to isolate this second component involved in lily pollen tube adhesion. Purified from style fragments, the molecule was characterized by chemical analysis, specific enzyme degradation, and immunolabeling. We show that the molecule required for lily pollen tube adhesion in combination with SCA is a complex acidic polysaccharide belonging to the pectin family (rhamnogalacturonan and low-esterified [<50% degree of random esterification] homogalacturonan regions). This molecule is recognized by the monoclonal antibody JIM5, which is specific for low-esterified pectins. Immunolocalization with JIM5 at the transmitting tract epidermis surface supports its role in pollen tube adhesion. We provide direct evidence that pectins are involved in cell adhesion but only in the presence of another, smaller, protein. In addition, we show that lily stylar pectin and SCA are able to bind to each other, a feature that begins to explain their mechanism of action in the adhesion assay.

RESULTS

Isolation of the Stylar Large Molecule Necessary for in Vitro Pollen Tube Adhesion

Style fragments were sequentially extracted, and each fraction was tested for its ability to induce pollen tube adhesion when combined with SCA. Table 1 demonstrates that none of the style wall extracts were adhesive on their own but that several caused significant pollen tube adhesion on the in vitro stylar matrix when combined with SCA. The citrate and imidazole fractions were the most adhesive. Fractions extracted with the calcium chelator trans-1,2-diaminocyclohexane-N,N,N',N'-tetracetic acid (CDTA) or with sodium carbonate had some adhesive activity but less than that of the imidazole extract. All four extracts were further investigated, but the imidazole extraction procedure was selected because it was the most effective in the assay and yielded more material than citrate extraction did. In addition, the imidazole buffer used for the extraction was easily removed by dialysis. Table 2 describes the purification steps of the adhesive imidazole extract. As previously noted, none of the fractions allowed pollen tube adhesion when used on their own in the assay. The adhesive fraction of the imidazole extract was isolated in the fraction precipitated between 40 and 60% ethanol content and then in the fraction eluted by anion-exchange chromatography between 300 and 400 mM NaCl. Adhesion assays performed with the purest SCA isolated from the stigma exudate (SCA(SE)) were more effective than were the ones conducted with SCA partially purified from a stigma extract (SCA(SG)). However, the greater amount of protein (as measured by protein content) in the SCA(SG) allowed for an equivalent number of pollen tubes to adhere on the nitrocellulose membrane, as did those from SCA(SE) (data not shown). Figure 1 shows the further purification of the imidazole fraction by size-exclusion chromatography and the separation of the eluate into 10 pooled fractions according to their total sugar and uronic acid contents. Fraction C was the most adhesive when combined with SCA (Table 2) and caused adherence of a very large number (401 ± 53) of pollen tubes to the coated nitrocellulose membrane (Figure 2A) and to each other (Figure 2B). Using the elution profiles of the dextran standards for comparison, we estimated the size of the fraction C molecule as 1.5 MD.

Large Molecule Required for in Vitro Pollen Tube Adhesion Is a Pectin

Chemical compositions of the adhesive imidazole fractions are given in Table 3. The different purification steps yielded a fraction composed mostly of carbohydrates with a very low amount of protein. A net increase in the galacturonic acid content was obtained during the purification steps. The purest fraction, C, was a galacturonic acid–enriched poly-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fractions</th>
<th>No. of Adhered Pollen Tubes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCA</td>
<td>6 ± 3 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrate soluble</td>
<td>8 ± 2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrate soluble + SCA</td>
<td>184 ± 34 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDTA soluble</td>
<td>15 ± 6 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDTA soluble + SCA</td>
<td>105 ± 41 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidazole soluble</td>
<td>1 ± 1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidazole soluble + SCA</td>
<td>227 ± 52 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂CO₃ soluble</td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂CO₃ soluble + SCA</td>
<td>112 ± 23 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOH soluble</td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOH soluble + SCA</td>
<td>35 ± 21 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOH insoluble</td>
<td>1 ± 1 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOH insoluble + SCA</td>
<td>23 ± 11 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The number of pollen tubes adhered to nitrocellulose membrane coated with 75 μg of the indicated style fraction or 75 μg of the indicated style fraction combined with 10 μg of SCA partially purified from SCA(SG). The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of replicates.

*The five KOH extracts were combined in equal amounts (15 μg of each extract).
mer containing some rhamnosyl, arabinosyl, galactosyl, and glucuronosyl residues and traces of xylosyl and other residues. The ratio of rhamnose to galacturonic acid was 0.09. Fractions B, D, and E isolated by size-exclusion chromatography were chemically similar to fraction C and were also composed mainly of galacturonic acid (68 to 71 mol%) with the same other glycosyl residues. The ratio of rhamnose to galacturonic acid ranged from 0.09 to 0.13 (data not shown).

Enzymatic pretreatment of fraction C with proteinase K did not reduce the number of adhered pollen tubes in the assay in comparison with the controls. Commercial polygalacturonic acid was more fully degraded by PG2 than was fraction C, as evidenced by the amount of reducing sugar released (Table 4).

Immunoblot labeling of fraction C with monoclonal antibodies showed a very strong reaction with JIM5 (which recognizes low-esterified pectins) and a medium reaction with JIM7 (which recognizes esterified pectin), as displayed in Figure 3. This fraction did not react with the \((\beta-1,3)-Glc\) Yariv phenylglycoside, a diagnostic tool for detecting arabinogalactan proteins (Komalavilas et al., 1991). When fraction C was treated with endopolygalacturonase, the JIM5 labeling was substantially reduced.

Together, these data (specific extraction, chemical composition, specific enzymatic degradation, and immunolabeling) demonstrate that fraction C, isolated from the style and required in our assay for lily pollen tube adhesion, is a pectic polysaccharide with probably a low degree of esterification in the homogalacturonan region.

### Table 2. Purification of the Stylar Adhesive Molecule using an Adhesion Assay with SCA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fractionsa</th>
<th>No. of Adhered Pollen Tubes</th>
<th>+ SCA(SG)b</th>
<th>+ SCA(SE)c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imidazole extract</td>
<td>227 ± 52 (6)</td>
<td>328 ± 50 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol precipitation of imidazole extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol 20 to 40% insoluble</td>
<td>77 ± 50 (2)</td>
<td>—d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol 40 to 60% insoluble</td>
<td>236 ± 86 (8)</td>
<td>334 ± 26 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol 60 to 80% insoluble</td>
<td>101 ± 30 (2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q Sepharose of ethanol 40 to 60% insoluble</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbound</td>
<td>35 ± 34 (3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 mM NaCl eluted</td>
<td>13 ± 13 (3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 mM NaCl eluted</td>
<td>10 ± 6 (3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 mM NaCl eluted</td>
<td>58 ± 40 (3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 mM NaCl eluted</td>
<td>220 ± 29 (4)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 mM NaCl eluted</td>
<td>39 ± 24 (3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 M NaCl eluted</td>
<td>46 ± 26 (4)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepharose CL-4B of the 400 mM NaCl elutedd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction A</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>320 ± 16 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction B</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>261 ± 29 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>401 ± 53 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction D</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>204 ± 66 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction E</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>286 ± 38 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction F</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20 ± 11 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction G</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>298 ± 82 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction H</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>37 ± 24 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction I</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>13 ± 5 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction J</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 ± 1 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aNone of the imidazole extracts (75 µg) noted in this table allowed pollen tube adhesion when used on their own (i.e., <20 adhered pollen tubes with at least three replicates for each extract).

bNumber of pollen tubes adhered to a nitrocellulose membrane coated with 75 µg of imidazole extract combined with 10 µg of SCA partially purified SCA(SG). The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of replicates.

cNumber of pollen tubes adhered to a nitrocellulose membrane coated with 50 µg of imidazole extract combined with 5 µg of SCA isolated from SCA(SE). The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of replicates.

d(—), not determined.

eaFractions of the size exclusion Sepharose CL-4B column are identified as shown in Figure 1.
In Vitro Pollen Tube Adhesion Depends on Concentration and pH of Matrix Components

Optimization of the adhesion assay using the lily stylar pectin (fraction C of the imidazole extract) and SCA(SE) is shown in Figure 4. A combination of 50 μg of fraction C (Figure 4A) with 5 μg of SCA (data not shown) was necessary and sufficient to yield a large number of pollen tubes that adhered to the matrix and to each other. Moreover, as illustrated in Figure 4B, the pH of SCA and fraction C before their application to the nitrocellulose membrane affected the amount of pollen tube adhesion. Adhesion of pollen tubes to the in vitro stylar matrix was greatest between pH 5.0 to 8.0, with an optimum at pH 5.0 to 6.0, which corresponds to the pH of the lily stylar exudate (data not shown) and to the growth medium for lily pollen tubes. Adhesion was noticeably disrupted at pHs <5.0 and >8.0. The pI of SCA is 8.7 (Park et al., 2000).

Low-Esterified Pectins Are Located on the Transmitting Tract Epidermal Surface

Figure 5 reveals the immunolocalization of pectins in a lily-style cross-section by use of JIM5 and JIM7. Figure 5A demonstrates a strong labeling with JIM5 (low-esterified pectins) in the extracellular matrix surface of the transmitting tract epidermis, which is the area of the style where pollen tubes adhere on their progress to the ovary. A low amount of labeling was apparent in the stylar cortex after a longer exposure time (data not shown). In contrast, Figure 5B shows that esterified pectins, detected with JIM7, were located throughout the style as well as on the transmitting tract epidermis.

Lily Stylar Pectins and SCA Cannot Be Replaced by Other Components in the Adhesion Assay

Table 5 reveals that substitution of other commercial polymers such as BSA, dextran, gum arabic, alginic acid, or esterified pectin for the lily stylar pectins (fraction C) did not allow pollen tube adhesion when combined with SCA. These compounds were chosen to mimic various features of the fraction C molecule such as charge and size. Substantial pollen tube adhesion was obtained with the polygalacturonate from citrus, but the number of pollen tubes adhering was approximately one-fourth of that with fraction C. Substituting small, basic proteins (cytochrome c or poly-D-lysine) for...
SCA in the assay did not produce pollen tube adhesion. When poly-D-lysine was used, many pollen grains adhered to the in vitro stylar matrix but the pollen tubes did not.

**Lily Stylar Pectin and SCA Bind to Each Other in a pH-Dependent Manner**

When SCA was combined with polymers of different characteristics (e.g., neutral, charged, or high molecular mass) and then size-fractionated as shown in Figure 6, it bound to several compounds, including the lily stylar pectin. SCA and dextran did not bind together, but SCA and alginic acid did. However, only the lily stylar pectin plus SCA allowed for pollen tube adhesion (see Table 5). Interestingly, if lily stylar pectin and SCA were combined in a solution at pH 10.0, which is greater than the pI of SCA (8.7), approximately one-third of the SCA did not bind to the lily stylar pectin (see band in the filtrate in Figure 5E) and pollen tube adhesion was markedly reduced (see Figure 3C data for pH 10.0).

**Table 3.** Chemical Composition of the Stylar Adhesive Fractions from the Imidazole Extraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yield Recovery and Composition</th>
<th>Crude*</th>
<th>Ethanol 40 to 60% Insoluble</th>
<th>Q Sepharose Elution 300 to 400 mM NaCl</th>
<th>Size Exclusion Fraction C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yield (mg dry weight)</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein % (w/w)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbohydrate % (w/w)</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycosyl residue (mol%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabinosyl</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhamnosyl</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fucosyl</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylosyl</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannosyl</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactosyl</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucosyl</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucuronosyl</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galacturonosyl</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Crude imidazole extract was fractionated by an ethanol precipitation series (20% increment), anion-exchange chromatography (Q Sepharose eluted with NaCl series of 100 mM increment), and size-exclusion chromatography (Sepharose CL-4B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction and Enzymatic Treatment</th>
<th>No. of Adhered Pollen Tubes*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proteinase K treatment**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidazole extract* + SCA(SG)</td>
<td>236 ± 66 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ SCA(SG) (pK treated)*</td>
<td>1 ± 1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ SCA(SG) (boiled pK)</td>
<td>195 ± 43 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidazole extract (pK treated) + SCA(SG)</td>
<td>215 ± 34 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(pK treated) + SCA(SG) (pK treated)</td>
<td>13 ± 8 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG2 treatment**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction C’ + SCA( SE)</td>
<td>401 ± 53 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction C (PG2 treated) + SCA( SE)</td>
<td>51 ± 16 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction C (boiled PG2) + SCA( SE)</td>
<td>311 ± 43 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of replicates.

**Adhesion assay using 75 μg of imidazole extract combined with 10 μg of SCA partially purified from SCA(SG).

*c* Crude imidazole extract precipitated between 40 and 60% ethanol.

**d** Imidazole extract and SCA were separately incubated with proteinase K (pK treated) or boiled proteinase K (boiled pK) and combined for the adhesion assay.

**e** Adhesion assay using 50 μg of imidazole extract (Fraction C) combined with 5 μg of SCA isolated from SCA(SE).

**f** Fraction C of the size-exclusion column as identified in Figure 1.

**g** Fraction C was incubated with PG2 or with boiled PG2 and combined with SCA. The ratio of the amount of reducing sugars at the end and at the start of the PG2 treatment was 9. In the same conditions, the ratio obtained with the commercial polygalacturonic acid was 40.
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suggests that charge interactions between the pectin and SCA are necessary but not sufficient to induce pollen tube adhesion.

DISCUSSION

Composition of Pectins and Their Isolation

Pectins are complex wall macromolecules composed of homogalacturonan that can be methyl- and acetyl-esterified, rhamnogalacturonan 1, rhamnogalacturonan 2, and the less common xylogalacturonan (Albersheim et al., 1996; Carpita et al., 1996). These polysaccharides can be extracted from the primary cell wall by chemical or enzymatic treatments. Homogalacturonan is a linear polymer of repeated units of \((1,4)\alpha-D-galacturonic \) acid that can be cross-linked with calcium, depending on the extent of esterification, and can form a gel in the wall (Goldberg et al., 1996). Rhamnogalacturonan 2 has the same homopolymer backbone as the homogalacturonan but is highly substituted with 10 different glycosyl residues, including such unusual sugars as aceric acid (reviewed in Mohnen, 1999). Rhamnogalacturonan 1 has been described as a large subunit composed of the repeating disaccharide backbone of \((1,4)\alpha-D-galacturonic \) acid-(1,2)\(\alpha-L-rhamnose \) with a wide variety of side chains attached to the rhamnosyl residues, ranging from monomers to polysaccharides of galactan, arabinan, and arabinogalactan (reviewed in O’Neill et al., 1990).

Our results suggest that the lily stylar pectin is composed of “smooth regions” of low-esterified homogalacturonan and regions of rhamnogalacturonan with arabinosyl or galactosyl substitutions. This suggestion is based on our data for JIM5 reactivity for low-esterified pectins (Knox et al., 1990), the results from endopolygalacturonase treatment, and the chemical composition. The question of whether rhamnogalacturonan is linked to homogalacturonan remains open (Mohnen, 1999). Some evidence indicates that the three classes of molecules (homogalacturonan and rhamnogalacturonan 1 and 2) are covalently linked to each other, given that endopolygalacturonase treatment releases oligouronides and the two rhamnogalacturonan types (Fry, 1988; Albersheim et al., 1996). Jarvis (1984) reported that rhamnogalacturonan 1 could contain homogalacturonan. To date it has been established that two rhamnogalacturonan 1 side chains can be cross-linked by diferulic acid residues (Fry, 1988) or that two rhamnogalacturonan 2 molecules can be covalently cross-linked through borate diester bonds and reinforced by calcium (Kobayashi et al., 1999). According to the classification of pectins by Schols and Voragen (1996), which is based in part on the ratio of
rhamnose to galacturonic acid, our adhesive stylar pectin is a rhamnogalacturonan.

Our preliminary results showed that imidazole was more effective than the widely used calcium chelator CDTA in the pectin extraction method, as judged in terms of pollen tube adhesion in the bioassay. Perhaps the CDTA was not readily removed by dialysis and remained associated with pectins, as reported by Mort et al. (1991). A reliable substitute for the CDTA in the extraction of highly negatively charged polymers was the imidazole buffer used by Nothnagel et al. (1983) and suggested by Mort et al. (1991) in a technical review on pectins, even though imidazole buffer only weakly chelates calcium (Goldberg et al., 1996). Moreover, Mort et al. (1991) demonstrated that the CDTA and imidazole extractions released mostly acidic polymers of similar composition and low esterification levels. Our results also demonstrated that the imidazole fraction was enriched in low-esterified pectin. In addition, our pectin extraction method of hand-dissected open styles instead of the blended or ground wall material generally used allowed us to isolate fractions enriched in transmitting tract epidermis surface pectins and to reduce contamination from the esterified pectins that make up the primary wall of the style cortex.

**Evidence for the Role of Pectins in Adhesion**

Many reports have suggested that pectins are involved in intercellular adhesion, on the basis of biochemical data such as cellular dissociation, using calcium chelators (Goldberg et al., 1996) and endopolygalacturonases (Mohnen, 1999), and on the basis of their localizations with a gold-labeled endopolygalacturonase (Roland and Vian, 1981) and various monoclonal antibodies (Knox, 1997). Indeed, the development of monoclonal antibodies against pectin epitopes has improved our understanding of pectin maturation, regulation, and localization. Localization of the different classes of pectins within the wall appears to depend on species, organ, tissue, and cell type, with the pectin network being temporally and spatially regulated (Roberts, 1990). The development of tissues often involves a loss of cell adhesion. The most studied phenomenon is probably fruit ripening and the loss of wall adherence by solubilization of the middle lamella (Watson et al., 1994). Many reports based on immunolocalization data (reviewed in Knox, 1997) have suggested that low-esterified homogalacturonan, present in the middle lamella close to the cell corners, is responsible for cell adhesion, probably by calcium cross-linking. Recently, an antibody produced from a phage display library specific for blocks of 30 galacturonic acids has been developed and found to be localized in the regions of cell-to-cell contact in Arabidopsis suspension culture cells (Willats et al., 1999). In
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**Figure 5.** Immunolocalization of Labeled Monoclonal Antibodies to Low-Esterified (JIM5) and Esterified (JIM7) Homogalacturonans in Cross-Sections of Cryofixed Lily Styles.

(A) JIM5 strongly labeled the outer surface of the transmitting tract epidermis.

(B) JIM7 labeled the walls of all the stylar cells.

Ca, stylar canal; Co, stylar cortex; TTE, transmitting tract epidermis. Bars in (A) and (B) = 25 μm.

<p>| Table 5. Adhesion Assays Using Various Commercial Polymers to Substitute for the Lily Stylar Pectin (Fraction C) or SCA |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fractions</th>
<th>No. of Adhered Pollen Tubes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fraction C + SCA(SE)</td>
<td>401 ± 53 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA + SCA(SG)</td>
<td>0 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alginic acid + SCA(SE)</td>
<td>0 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gum arabic + SCA(SG)</td>
<td>5 ± 2 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextran + SCA(SE)</td>
<td>0 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGA&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; + SCA(SE)</td>
<td>105 ± 34 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; + SCA(SE)</td>
<td>15 ± 3 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction C + cytochrome c</td>
<td>10 ± 5 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction C + poly-D-lysine</td>
<td>9 ± 2 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Number of pollen tubes adhered to nitrocellulose membrane coated with 50 to 200 μg of the fractions combined with 5 to 20 μg of SCA partially purified from SCA(SG) or isolated from SCA(SE) or with 5 to 100 μg of cytochrome c or poly-D-lysine. The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of replicates.

<sup>b</sup>Polygalacturonic acid.

<sup>c</sup>Pectin esterified with a degree of esterification of 93%.
Our results from such a functional adhesion assay have shown that pectins are required for the adhesion of lily pollen tubes to an in vitro stylar matrix. The loss of adhesion after the endopolygalacturonase treatment best confirms this. Whether low-esterified pectins with calcium cross-linking, esterified pectins, neutral side chains of rhamnogalacturonan, or various combinations of these are directly or indirectly involved in this adhesion is still unknown. The contribution of calcium to adhesion in the lily pollen tube bioassay is difficult to assess because it is present in the medium and is necessary for pollen tube growth, a prerequisite for adhesion. An unforeseen component of adhesion in our system is a protein involved in the mechanism. Indeed, lily stylar pectin alone does not allow adhesion of pollen tubes but acts only in combination with the small, basic, cysteine-rich protein SCA. There have been no previous reports of wall proteins combining with pectins to function in cell adhesion.

**Pectin Binding Proteins**

In addition to the structural polysaccharides and wall enzymes, a wide range of proteins and glycoproteins exist in the wall (reviewed in Cassab, 1998; Kohorn, 2000). Extensins can be cross-linked in the wall to pectins by way of rhamnogalacturonan (Qi et al., 1995), and expansins bind tightly to cellulose and contain cellulose binding domains (Cosgrove, 1999). Penel and Greppin (1996) have shown that anionic and cationic isoperoxidases can bind specifically to a calcium–homogalacturonan gel column. They speculate that this reflects the presence of basic amino acids in the protein such as arginine or lysine. Other proteins or proteoglycans located at the cell wall/plasma membrane interface have also been reported to bind to pectins. Members of the wall-associated kinases family can covalently link to pectin (Kohorn, 2000). Arabinogalactan proteins can be anchored to the plasma membrane through a glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchor (Youl et al., 1998; Svetek et al., 1999), and arabinogalactan proteins have been reported to bind or copurify with pectin (Baldwin et al., 1993; Kido et al., 1996; reviewed in Nothnagel, 1997). The interaction between lily SCA and pectin does not appear to be mediated by cross-linkages but more probably occurs through ionic interactions, as with many wall enzymes and some lectins.

Figure 6. Ability of SCA to Bind Different Polymers at Several pHs.

SDS-PAGE of SCA (5 μg) isolated from SCA(SE) after combination with different carbohydrate polymers (50 μg) and size-filtration with a Centricon filter (molecular mass cutoff of 100 kDa). A, fraction C plus SCA, pH 6.0; B, dextran plus SCA, pH 6.0; C, SCA, pH 6.0 (control); D, alginic acid plus SCA, pH 6.0; E, fraction C plus SCA, pH 10.0: F, Centricon filtrate; R, Centricon retentate; SCA, stigma/stylar cysteine-rich adhesin isolated from SCA(SE). Arrow indicates the molecular mass standard (6.7 kDa).
an adhesin between the pectin matrices of the pollen tubes and the stylar transmitting tract epidermal cells.

**Pectins and Pectin-Degrading Enzymes in Pollen Tubes**

Pectins (low esterified and esterified) are a major component of pollen tube walls (reviewed in Knox, 1997; Taylor and Hepler, 1997), including those in lily (Jauh and Lord, 1996). Rhamnogalacturonan 2 has also been detected in the lily pollen tube wall (Matoh et al., 1998). During pollen germination and tube growth, genes encoding polygalacturonases, pectin esterases, and pectate lyases are highly expressed (for details, see reviews in Taylor and Hepler, 1997; Wakeley et al., 1998). All three enzymes have been localized in the pollen tube (Taylor and Hepler, 1997). In addition, very high polygalacturonase activity has been reported in pollen (Pressey, 1991). The role of these enzymes in the cell biology of pollen is unclear. Polygalacturonase secreted by the tube cell may facilitate the penetration of the style by degrading stylar pectins, or it may also provide wall precursors from the style for tube growth; it may even act on the pollen tube wall itself to facilitate growth (Hadjfield and Bennett, 1998). Lilium pollen tubes disadhere from the stylar matrix back from their tips, perhaps through the action of polygalacturonases breaking adhesions. These enzymes produced by the pollen could be modifying the stylar matrix and contributing to the adhesion itself. Polygalacturonase may produce oligogalacturonides that could act as signal molecules (Hadjfield and Bennett, 1998) as oligosaccharins do (Fry et al., 1993; John et al., 1997). The pollen tube may be acting like a fungal hypha in this respect by eliciting a response from the style. The fact that proper pollen tube adhesion in lily requires the combination of pectin and SCA adds yet another putative role for these enzymes, that is, degradation of the stylar matrix to release SCA from pectin.

In pollination, adhesion and guidance of the pollen tubes to the ovary appear to be linked (Lord et al., 1996). A parallel adhesion and guidance system operates in animals, where a small secreted protein, netrin, interacts with a large matrix molecule, laminin, to guide neuron outgrowth. Netrin binds to a receptor in the neuron while also binding laminin in the matrix acting as a bridge between the two; accordingly, the neuron tracks this path of extracellular matrix molecules (Hedgecock and Norris, 1997). In lily, the mechanism of action of pectin, SCA, and the pollen tubes in the adhesion process may be similar, but many questions remain unanswered. What is the receptor of the SCA/pectin complex in the pollen tube? What is the binding site/mechanism of SCA and the pectin? Do the pollen tubes play an active role in adhesion or disadhesion by releasing enzymes able to degrade the matrix? We are currently investigating the fine structure of the adhesion sites on pollen tubes and transmitting tract epidermal cells and are looking for the component in the tube cell that binds the stylar matrix. Further characterization of the adhesive pectic polysaccharide from lily styles is necessary to determine the mechanism of adhesion in this two-component system.

**METHODS**

**Plant Material**

Lily (*Lilium longiflorum* Thumb cv Nelly White) flowers were collected 1 to 2 days after anthesis. Ovaries were removed, stigmas and styles separated, and the styles longitudinally bisected. The style fragments and stigmas were washed separately with 1 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 5.5, containing 1 mM DTT and 1 mM sodium bisulfite on ice for 2 hr to yield the style and stigma exudates. The washed style fragments were collected by filtration on nylon mesh, freeze-dried, and stored at −20°C for later use. The washed stigmas were stored at −80°C. The style and stigma exudates were used to isolate the stigma/stylar cysteine-rich adhesin (SCA) as in Park et al. (2000). The purified SCA obtained from the exudates (SCA(SE)) was used for critical experiments. Routine assays were performed with partially purified SCA obtained from an extract of washed stigmas (SCA(SG)). In this method, the washed stigmas were blended in an extraction buffer of 1 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 5.5, 1 mM DTT, and 1 mM sodium bisulfite. Debris was removed by centrifugation. The extract was then partially purified on a cation-exchange column and processed as in Park et al. (2000). SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with a polyclonal antibody raised against lily SCA (Park et al., 2000) revealed that this partially purified fraction (SCA(SG)) was enriched in SCA but also contained several other minor proteins.

**Sequential Extractions of Style Fragments**

The washed style fragments (50 g dry weight; ~1700 flowers) were agitated for 30 min at 70°C with 700 mL of phenol/acetic acid/water (4.5:2:0.5 [w/v/v]) reagent. The extract was filtered through GF/A glass fiber filter (Whatman, Maidstone, UK) to yield a phenol/acetic acid/water-soluble extract (filtrate) and insoluble fragments (retentate).

The soluble phenol/acetic acid/water extract was cooled on ice, mixed with 30 mL of 10% (w/v) ammonium formate and 2.4 liters of acetone, and allowed to precipitate overnight. The pellet was recovered by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 30 min at 4°C and washed three times with 80% (v/v) acetone. The pellet was resuspended twice in 75 mL of 50 mM sodium citrate buffer, pH 5.5, on ice for 2 hr, and the insoluble material was removed by centrifugation. The two citrate-soluble fractions (which accounted for 3% [by weight] of the total material precipitated with acetone) were pooled, dialyzed (using tubing with a molecular mass cutoff of 3.5 kDa; Spectrum Laboratories, Laguna Hills, CA) against distilled water, and lyophilized.

The phenol/acetic acid/water-insoluble style fragments were washed three times with water and three times with acetone. Cell wall components were sequentially extracted as described by Selvendran and Ryden (1990), with modifications as mentioned. Briefly, the washed style fragments were treated for 12 hr at room temperature with 50 mM trans-1,2-diaminocyclohexane-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (CDTA), pH 6.5, or 500 mM imidazole-HCl, pH 7.0 (Nothnagel et al., 1983), containing 0.02% sodium azide (CDTA-soluble or imidazole-soluble extracts). The insoluble residue of the CDTA or imidazole-HCl extractions was washed three times with distilled water and
treated with 50 mM sodium carbonate for 12 hr at 4°C and then again at 20°C for 2 hr. The resulting two fractions obtained after the temperature treatments were pooled to yield a sodium carbonate-soluble extract. The residue was washed with distilled water and treated for 90 min under nitrogen with a sequence of five KOH solutions ranging from 0.5 to 4 M as described by Selvendran and Ryden (1990). The remaining insoluble material was washed three times with distilled water and freeze-dried (KOH-insoluble extract). In each step, soluble materials were acidified to pH 5.0 with acetic acid, dialyzed exhaustively against distilled water, and freeze-dried.

In Vitro Adhesion Assay of Lily Pollen Tubes

The different wall extracts (75 μg) were tested for their adhesive properties on their own or with the addition of 5 μg of SCA(SE) or 10 μg of SCA(SG).

The in vitro adhesion assays on lily pollen tubes on a fibrous matrix were performed as described by Park et al. (2000). Pollen from six anthers was suspended in 20 mL of germination medium, which resulted in an estimated 125,000 ± 17,000 pollen tubes. Several 28-mm² nitrocellulose membranes (MSI, Westboro, MA) were placed in a Petri dish, and the 20 mL of pollen suspension was then added. If all of the pollen tubes floating above a nitrocellulose membrane were to adhere, there would have been 604 ± 82 pollen tubes per membrane. The actual number of pollen tubes that adhered to the 28-mm² surface was counted with a stereomicroscope after the membrane was stained with Coomassie blue (Sigma).

Gum arabic from Acacia, esterified pectin (93% esterification) from citrus, polygalacturonic acid sodium salt from citrus, algionic acid sodium salt (low viscosity) from kelp, dextran (2000 kD), poly-D-lysine, cytochrome c from bovine heart, and BSA were obtained from Sigma. These standards were tested for their adhesive properties at concentrations ranging from 5 to 200 μg as a substitute for one of the two components. Each wall extract or standard used for the adhesion assay was prepared at 1 mg mL⁻¹ in distilled water unless otherwise indicated.

The pH of the two extracts (fraction C and SCA) was modified before the two molecules were combined in preparing the adhesion assay. The pH range investigated was 2.0 to 12.0, as set with 50 mM sodium citrate and 50 mM Tris-HCl buffers.

Scanning Electron Microscopy of In Vitro Pollen Tube Adhesion Assay

The assay was prepared with 5 μg of SCA(SE) and 50 μg of fraction C from the imidazole extraction. Processing for scanning electron microscopy was as described in Park et al. (2000). Specimens were examined with a Philips XL-30FEG scanning electron microscope (Philips Electron Optics N.V., Eindhoven, The Netherlands) at 10 kV.

Fractionation of the Adhesive Stylar Extracts

Crude stylar extracts that were active in the adhesion assay were sequentially precipitated at 4°C overnight by adding 95% ethanol to obtain final ethanol concentrations of 20, 40, 60, and 80% (v/v). Pellets were recovered by centrifugation (10,000g for 15 min), washed three times with their corresponding ethanol concentration, dissolved in distilled water, dialyzed against distilled water, and freeze-dried.

The fractions active in the adhesion assay were dissolved with 100 mM imidazole-HCl, pH 7.0, at 2 mg mL⁻¹. The samples were applied to a 50 × 1.5-cm anion-exchange column of DEAE-Sepharose CL-6B or Q Sepharose fast flow (both from Sigma) equilibrated in the same buffer. After washing with 100 mL of buffer to elute the unbound components, 100-mL NaCl solutions (100, 200, 300, 400, and 500 mM and 2 M NaCl) were applied stepwise, and the eluted fractions were collected separately. Fractions were dialyzed exhaustively against distilled water and freeze-dried.

The extracts fractionated by anion-exchange chromatography and revealing in vitro adhesive activities were further purified by size exclusion chromatography. Gel filtration was performed on a 120 × 1-cm column of Sepharose CL-4B equilibrated and eluted with 100 mM imidazole-HCl, pH 7.0, at 0.5 mL min⁻¹. One to three mg of material was loaded onto the column for each run. Fractions (2 mL) were collected, pooled, dialyzed, and freeze-dried. Five dextran standards, of 2000 (Sigma), 600, 170, 75, and 40 kD (Polysciences, Warrington, PA), were also applied for molecular mass calibration of the column. Recoveries from anion-exchange and gel-filtration columns were consistently >90% (w/w).

Analysis of the Adhesive Stylar Extracts

Carbohydrate, uronic acid, and protein content were estimated using the phenol sulfuric acid method (Dubois et al., 1956) with galactose as a standard, using the m-hydroxydiphenyl method (Blumenkranz and Asboe-Hansen, 1973) with galacturonic acid as a standard, and using the Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA) protein assay with BSA as a standard, respectively. Uronic acids contributed ~12% of the color of neutral sugars in the phenol sulfuric assay. Total carbohydrate was estimated by summing the concentrations obtained from the m-hydroxydiphenyl assay and the phenol sulfuric assay after correcting for uronic acid interference.

Glycosyl composition analysis was performed by gas–liquid chromatography of the trimethylsilyl derivatives of the methyl glycosides, as described in Komalavilas et al. (1991) and Park et al. (2000).

Immuno dot-blot assays were performed after blotting 10 μg of extract (from a solution of 1 mg mL⁻¹ in distilled water) under vacuum onto nitrocellulose membrane. Blots were processed according to Jauh and Lord (1996), except that the primary monoclonal antibodies (gift of Paul Knox, University of Leeds, UK) were diluted 1:50. The monoclonal antibodies were JIM5 (for low-esterified homogalacturonans, degree of esterification [DE] < 50%) and JIM7 (for esterified homogalacturonans, DE > 35%) (Knox et al., 1990). Antibody binding was revealed with a goat anti-rat IgG (whole molecule) antibody conjugated with alkaline phosphatase (Sigma) diluted 1:1000. Arabinogalactan proteins were also detected by dot-blot, using the β-D-[2-(2-acetamido-2-deoxy-β-D-glucopyranosyl)glycoside as described in Komalavilas et al. (1991).

Proteins were separated on 12.5% SDS–polyacrylamide gels (Laemmli, 1970) and stained with Coomassie blue. Prestained molecular mass markers (Bio-Rad) ranged from 211 to 6.7 kD.

Enzymatic Treatments of the Adhesive Stylar Extracts and SCA

Extracts (1 mg mL⁻¹) were incubated for 2 hr at 32°C with 200 μL of proteinase K solution (1% w/v, Sigma) prepared in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5. The enzymatic reaction was stopped in a boiling water bath for 15 min. The control consisted of adding previously boiled proteinase K to the extracts. Protein degradation was followed by SDS-PAGE as described above.
Extracts (1 mg mL⁻¹) were treated with a purified endopolygalacturonic (PG2; gift of Dean DellaPenna, University of Nevada, Reno) according to Watson et al. (1994), except that 75 mM NaCl was used instead of 150 mM NaCl. The enzymatic reaction was stopped by incubation in a boiling water bath for 5 min. For the control, denatured PG2 (boiled for 5 min) was added to the extracts. Polygalacturonase activity was monitored by measuring the amount of reducing sugars released during the enzymatic reaction with the p-hydroxybenzoic acid hydrazide method (Lever, 1972). The digestion of commercial polygalacturonic acid with PG2 was performed simultaneously and used as a control. In Vitro Binding Assays

SCA(SE) (5 µg) and 50 µg of a carbohydrate polymer (fraction C from the imidazole extract, dextran, or alginic acid) were combined at pH 6.0 or 10.0. After 15 min of incubation at room temperature, the mixtures (55 µL) were diluted with 1 mL of 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 6.0 or 10.0, and passed through a Centricon filter (molecular mass cutoff of 100 kD; Millipore, Bedford, MA). After being rinsed four times with 1 mL of buffer each, the retentate and the filtrate were collected and concentrated by vacuum centrifugation. The dry residues (retentates and filtrates) were resuspended with an identical volume of SDS buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.0 or 10.0) only was passed through the 100-kD Centricon filter.

In Vitro Binding Assays

SCA(SE) (5 µg) and 50 µg of a carbohydrate polymer (fraction C from the imidazole extract, dextran, or alginic acid) were combined at pH 6.0 or 10.0. After 15 min of incubation at room temperature, the mixtures (55 µL) were diluted with 1 mL of 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 6.0 or 10.0, and passed through a Centricon filter (molecular mass cutoff of 100 kD; Millipore, Bedford, MA). After being rinsed four times with 1 mL of buffer each, the retentate and the filtrate were collected and concentrated by vacuum centrifugation. The dry residues (retentates and filtrates) were resuspended with an identical volume of SDS buffer (50 µL) to allow quantitative comparisons, and 10 µL of each suspension was loaded on 12.5% SDS–polyacrylamide gels. For a control, SCA (5 µg) only was passed through the 100-kD Centricon filter.
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