We have initiated a systematic functional analysis of the MADS box, intervening region, K domain, C domain-type MADS box gene family in petunia. The starting point for this has been a reverse-genetics approach, aiming to select for transposon insertions into any MADS box gene. We have developed and applied a family signature insertion screening protocol that is highly suited for this purpose, resulting in the isolation of 32 insertion mutants in 20 different MADS box genes. In addition, we identified three more MADS box gene insertion mutants using a candidate-gene approach. The defined insertion lines provide a sound foundation for a systematic functional analysis of the MADS box gene family in petunia. Here, we focus on the analysis of Floral Binding Protein2 (FBP2) and FBP5 genes that encode the E-function, which in Arabidopsis has been shown to be required for B and C floral organ identity functions. fbp2 mutants display sepaloid petals and ectopic inflorescences originating from the third floral whorl, whereas fbp5 mutants appear as wild type. In fbp2 fbp5 double mutants, reversion of floral organs to leaf-like organs is increased further. Strikingly, ovules are replaced by leaf-like structures in the carpel, indicating that in addition to the B- and C-functions, the D-function, which specifies ovule development, requires E-function activity. Finally, we compare our data with results obtained using cosuppression approaches and conclude that the latter might be less suited for assigning functions to individual members of the MADS box gene family.

INTRODUCTION

MADS box genes encode a family of transcription factors that are involved in many different developmental processes. More than a decade ago, genetic analysis of floral homeotic mutants in snapdragon and Arabidopsis (Schwarz-Sommer et al., 1990; Yanofsky et al., 1990) led to the discovery of the first MADS box genes in plants and subsequently accelerated the molecular elucidation of the classic ABC model of flower development (Coen and Meyerowitz, 1991). Except for the A-function gene AP2 (Jofuku et al., 1994), all of the major players in the ABC model belong to the MADS box gene family. Much of the initial appeal of this model may be ascribed to its basic simplicity and to the fact that the orthologous genes analyzed in Arabidopsis and snapdragon appeared to be very comparable in number and function. However, the development of models with a higher complexity, including a novel class of genes called identity-mediating or E-function genes required for B and C floral organ identity functions (Egea-Cortines et al., 1999; Egea-Cortines and Davies, 2000; Pelaz et al., 2000; Honma and Goto, 2001; Jack, 2001; Theissen and Saedler, 2001).

Furthermore, the MADS box gene family is gaining more and more attention from an evolutionary perspective (Purugganan et al., 1995; Tandre et al., 1995; Theissen and Saedler, 1995; Theissen et al., 1996; Munster et al., 1997; Kramer and Irish, 1999; Winter et al., 1999; Yu et al., 1999; Lamb and Irish, 2003; Vandenbussche et al., 2003). Changes in MADS box gene structure and function during evolution have been suggested to be a major cause for the overwhelming diversity in flower/plant architecture that can be observed in nature today (Theissen et al., 2003). The latter might be less suited for assigning functions to individual members of the MADS box gene family.
2000). Thus, a comparative functional analysis of MADS box genes in closely and more distantly related species might reveal basic differences in gene activity/function and their specific involvement in floral development. Such studies may include the isolation of a (set of) MADS box gene(s) from a particular species, its sequence, and expression analysis and complementation experiments involving a mutant for the presumed ortholog in Arabidopsis. However, the final determination of the function of any particular gene requires a knockout study in the species of origin.

In petunia, the elucidation of MADS box protein dimer and higher order complex formation is well under way (Ferrario et al., 2003; Immink et al., 2003), and there is an extensive body of research that has used ectopic expression and/or cosuppression studies to identify floral developmental functions. For example, ectopic expression and cosuppression phenotypes of Floral Binding Protein7 (FBP7) and FBP11 led to the proposal of a new organ identity activity encoded by these genes, called the D-function, that is necessary for the determination of ovule identity (Angenent et al., 1995; Colombo et al., 1995, 1997). Indications for the existence of an E-function were presented in 1994 based on the phenotypes of FBP2 and TMS cosuppression lines in petunia and tomato, respectively (Angenent et al., 1994; Prueil et al., 1994), although the exact function was not well understood at that time. Nevertheless, although overexpression and cosuppression phenotypes may be considered indicative of the existence of certain functions, it may be difficult to attribute specific functions to individual genes using these approaches.

To address the issues mentioned above (adding to the comparative analysis, further elucidation of additional functions, analyzing mutants in the species of origin, and the limitations of forward and transgenic approaches), we initiated a systematic functional analysis of the petunia MADS box gene family. To this end, we developed an alternative and highly efficient transposon insertion screening strategy that is ideal for the functional analysis of large and homologous gene families, especially in species for which the genome sequence is not yet available. The petunia transposon line used for the insertion screenings is W138, which harbors ~120 to 200 copies of the endogenous 284-bp nonautonomous dTph1 (defective transposon Petunia hybridata) element (Gerats et al., 1990; De Keukeleire et al., 2001). The small dTph1 transposon contains stop codons in all three reading frames in both orientations and usually leaves a footprint behind upon excision. Here, we specifically targeted the well-conserved MADS box domain itself (including the first part of the upstream regulatory regions). In parallel, we analyzed six independent mutants selected on a phenotypic basis and displaying homeotic conversions of petals to sepals in various degrees of severity. Three of these mutants could be identified using a candidate-gene approach to represent insertions into specific MADS box genes. Here, we present a first analysis of the two E-function genes FBP2 and FBP5 and compare our overall results with results obtained previously in cosuppression experiments.

RESULTS

General Screening Strategy: Family Signature Insertion Mutagenesis

The selection and analysis of insertion mutants (either T-DNA or transposon insertants) provides a powerful approach for determining the biological functions of specific genes. In theory, such a reverse-genetics approach may sound simple. In practice, however, insertions in single genes (especially members of large and homogeneous gene families) often do not cause a visible phenotype as a result of redundancy (Bouchez and Hotte, 1998; Winkler et al., 1998; Bouche and Bouchez, 2001). Therefore, the functional analysis of such gene families requires the construction of lines carrying mutations in different family members, shifting the emphasis in insertion screenings from single genes to the gene family level.

As a result of background amplification, classic PCR-based insertion screening protocols, including the original petunia dTph1 screening system (Koes et al., 1995), rely on a final hybridization step with a gene-specific probe to unequivocally identify candidate fragments amplified from pooled DNA samples. When screening for insertions into genes that belong to large and highly homologous gene families, cross-hybridization between family members can seriously complicate downstream analysis. This problem might be circumvented by choosing primers and probes in nonconserved regions (Meissner et al., 1999), but then a separate screen for each individual family member is required, making the entire screening process labor intensive, while only the known members of the family can be addressed.

To update the existing screening protocol for the analysis of large gene families and to take advantage of conserved domains present in such families, we optimized PCR conditions, primer design, and product detection. Important improvements were combining hot-start PCR (D’Aquila et al., 1991) with a specific touchdown PCR profile (Don et al., 1991) and the design of screening primers according to strict rules to preferentially recognize members of the gene family to be screened for. We call such primers family signature (FS) primers (see Methods). Using these long screening primers (typically 26- to 30-mers) characterized by high Tm values (70 to 76°C) in combination with a rapidly declining PCR touchdown profile, we achieved PCR conditions under which a number of primer-template mismatches are accepted while still amplifying mainly family-specific amplicons. As a result, insertions into related and yet unknown family members can be recovered using the same FS screening primer.

All of the improvements mentioned above allowed us to use the following setup. A labeled FS primer designed based on a conserved family domain region, and a second PCR primer based on the terminal inverted repeat sequence of the petunia dTph1 transposon, are combined in an optimized PCR procedure. Putative insertants are isolated in a single step from libraries of up to 4000 plants by analyzing only 48 pooled samples. Because this kind of setup usually results in complex gel patterns due to the amplification of multiple insertions into different family members, the resulting amplification products are sized by PAGE. Putative insertion flanking fragments are isolated from the gel, reamplified, and sequenced directly. Because the identification of insertion events is sequence based, insertions into highly similar genes can be distinguished easily, and the exact insertion position also is determined. This approach allows the simultaneous identification of insertion events in different family members and has the additional advantage of identifying unknown family members through their corresponding insertion mutants.
Screening for MADS Box Gene Insertion Mutants

To screen for insertions into any member of the MADS box, intervening region, K domain, C domain (MIKC)-type MADS box gene family, we designed a set of reverse FS primers to anneal at the 3’ end of the highly conserved 180-bp MADS domain (Figure 1A), aiming to identify insertions into the MADS box region or in the 5’ untranslated region and promoter regions of known and unknown family members. An example of a successful screening is shown in Figure 1D. Two insertion libraries were screened with an FS primer derived from the petunia FBP4 gene. Because the insertion libraries are pooled according to a three-dimensional matrix (Figure 1B), insertants are identified by the amplification of a unique set of three fragments of identical size, one for each dimension (indicated by arrows in Figure 1D). All other fragments represent either somatic insertion events or spurious amplicons. Such fragments are ignored. Direct sequencing of the five sets of candidate fragments present on this particular gel revealed insertions in the MADS domain of FBP4 (two independent events), FBP5, FBP9, and PMADS17. Typical results obtained by direct sequencing of reamplified fragments are shown in Figure 1E.

To date, we have identified 32 insertions into 20 different family members (Table 1) by screening 12,697 plants with 11 different FS primers. Sixteen of these represent insertions in the MADS domain of 12 different MADS box genes. Approximately half of the insertions in MADS box genes were identified with FS primers based on the sequences of other MADS box genes. These insertions could be identified despite the presence of up to six mismatches between primer and template. As an example, we present the relevant alignment of the MADS box genes for which we found insertions with an FBP4-derived FS primer (Figure 1C).

The recovered insertion flanking fragments corresponded partly to cDNA sequences already present in the database or to sequences that had been submitted previously, whereas six family members, called PMADS9, -10, -12, -14, -15, and -16, were encountered for the first time. A comparative phylogenetic analysis of MIKC-type MADS box gene families from Arabidopsis and petunia and a selection of MADS box genes from other species is shown in Figure 2, suggesting that petunia representatives for all major Arabidopsis subfamilies (Parenicova et al., 2003) have been isolated. In addition to the plant-specific MIKC-type MADS box gene family, another MADS box gene lineage called type I (Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2000) has been identified in plants for which functional data still are largely lacking. The analysis presented here does not include this particular class of MADS box genes.

Transmission of Insertion Alleles and Phenotypic Analysis

Transmission of nearly all of the insertion alleles was confirmed in progeny of selfings of the original heterozygous insertants (Table 1) and subjected to a segregation analysis (data not shown) using gene-specific primer pairs flanking the insertion sites. For insertions in the 5’ untranslated region or promoter regions and for insertions into new family members isolated during the screening, initially no flanking sequence from the other side of the transposon insertion site was available. In those cases, we first obtained additional flanking sequence by genome walking, resulting in a data set of partial MADS box gene promoter sequences of members from several subfamilies (see Methods). Surprisingly, from the whole set of MADS box gene insertion mutants selected with our reverse screening approach, only mutants homozygous for the fbp9-1 insertion allele displayed a clear phenotype. These mutants exhibit aberrations in plant architecture during the reproductive phase.

Identification of MADS Box Gene Insertion Mutants Using a Candidate-Gene Approach

To further expand our collection of MADS box insertion mutants, we analyzed six lines in which a recessive phenotype segregated, displaying homeotic conversions of petals to sepaloid organs in various degrees of severity. These lines had been collected on a phenotypic basis and were chosen for further analysis because their phenotypes suggested possible defects in either the B-type MADS box genes and/or the Agamous-like2 (AGL2)/SEPALLATA (SEP)-like MADS box genes, because all of these have been shown to be required for the specification of petal identity. Therefore, we PCR amplified the full coding sequences of the known B-type and AGL2/SEP-like petunia MADS box genes (Figure 2) from the six independent homozygous mutants and analyzed the sequences obtained for the occurrence of a transposon insertion or a transposon-derived footprint. Our results revealed that in three lines, the phenotype was caused by a transposon insertion in a tested MADS box gene family member.

The first line (fbp1-2 allele) harbored a new member of the dTph1 transposon family inserted in the region that encodes the K-domain of the GLO/PI-like protein FBP1 (Table 1). We have named this novel 189-bp transposon dTph8. The fbp1-2 allele probably represents a null mutant because the insertion most likely results in a truncated protein lacking one-third of the complete protein. The phenotype of this line was identical to that of a homozygote for the fbp1-1 insertion allele isolated previously by our group by means of transposon display (Van den Broeck et al., 1998; M. Sauer, unpublished results). Two other lines both contained a dTph1 insertion in the K-domain region of the SEP-like gene FBP2 (Table 1), putatively resulting in truncated proteins lacking 131 and 95 amino acid residues of the 241 residues in the wild type. Both insertion alleles most likely represent null mutants. Homozygotes for both insertion alleles as well as the F1 progeny of an fbp2-1 × fbp2-2 cross displayed an identical phenotype.

Phenotypic Analysis of fbp2 and fbp5 Single Mutants

The fbp2 and fbp5 insertion mutants were selected in a forward- and reverse-genetics manner, respectively. Flowers of plants homozygous for the fbp2-1 or fbp2-2 insertion allele exhibited petals with an overall diffuse green hue, the effect being strongest in the areas surrounding the main veins and at the edges of the petals (Figure 3B). The shape and size of the petals were nearly as in the wild type (Figure 3A). Close inspection of fbp2-1 and fbp2-2 petals using scanning electron micros-
Figure 1. Overview of the FS Insertion Screening Strategy.

(A) Design of a reverse FS primer (FSP) at the 3’ end of the conserved MADS domain. IRP represents the terminal inverted repeat–based dTph1 transposon primer.

(B) Scheme of the three-dimensional pooling strategy for the insertion libraries. Leaves of each plant are harvested three times (e.g., material from plant 1 [P1] is present in the pools consisting of all individuals in the X3 [gray], Y1, and Z3 planes). If P1 contains an insertion in the gene of interest, three fragments amplified with a gene-specific primer and a transposon primer will appear on the gel, one in each dimension and displaying identical sizes. The positioning of these three fragments on the gel yields a unique address (X, Y, and Z coordinates), allowing the identification of a single positive plant in the original population.

(C) Alignment of part of the MADS domain of the MADS box family members, for which we recovered insertions using an FS primer (reverse complement of sequence P122) based on the FBP4 gene. Nucleotide mismatches between primer and templates are shaded gray.

(D) Partial gel image from an insertion screening of two insertion libraries with an FS primer based on the FBP4 gene. Amplification products were visualized by labeling the FS primer and sized on a 4.5% polyacrylamide gel. Arrows indicate unique three-dimensional coordinates representing plants that contain insertions in the MADS domain of FBP4, FBP5, FBP9, and PMADS17. M indicates molecular marker lane.

(E) Typical direct sequencing results from reamplified fragments. IRP represents the dTph1 transposon primer sequence, and arrows indicate the precise transposon insertion positions.
Table 1. Overview of Identified Petunia MADS Box Gene Family Members and Corresponding Mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subfamily</th>
<th>Subfamily Members</th>
<th>Mutant Allele</th>
<th>Mutagen</th>
<th>Insertion Position (ATG Start Codon = 1)</th>
<th>Progeny Analysis</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SQUA/AP1</td>
<td>PFG</td>
<td>pfg-1</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>+5 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fbp26-1</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>+74 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FBP26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FBP29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEF/AP3</td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>gp (PLV)</td>
<td>γ-radiation</td>
<td>Chromosomal deletion</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLO/PI</td>
<td>FBP1</td>
<td>fbp1-1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>+599 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fbp1-2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>dTph8</td>
<td>+411 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMADS2</td>
<td>pmads2-1</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>−171 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pmads2-2</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>−84 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pmads2-3</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>+49 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bister</td>
<td>FBP24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>PMADS3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FBP6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBP7/11</td>
<td>FBP7</td>
<td>fbp7-1</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>+28 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fbp7-2</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>+64 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FBP11</td>
<td>fbp11-1</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>−2 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGL2</td>
<td>FBP2</td>
<td>fbp2-1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>+332 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fbp2-2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>+440 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FBP4</td>
<td>fbp4-1</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>−10 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fbp4-2</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>+37 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fbp4-3</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>+48 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FBP5</td>
<td>fbp5-1</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>+120 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FBP9</td>
<td>fbp9-1</td>
<td>dTph4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>+101 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FBP23</td>
<td>fbp23-1</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>+15 bp</td>
<td>No seeds</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMADS12</td>
<td>pmads12-1</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>−220 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pmads12-2</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>−181 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AGL6</td>
<td>PMADS4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pmads4-1</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>−200 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TM3</td>
<td>FBP20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fbp20-1</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>−20 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fbp20-2</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>+120 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FBP21</td>
<td>FBP22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FBP28</td>
<td>fbp28-1</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>−10 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fbp28-2</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>+56 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMADS10</td>
<td>pmads10-1</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>−153 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pmads10-2</td>
<td>dTph1</td>
<td>−43 bp</td>
<td>N.T.&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STMADS11</td>
<td>FBP13</td>
<td></td>
<td>−450 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FBP25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGL15</td>
<td>PMADS9</td>
<td>pmads9-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>−125 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGL17</td>
<td>PMADS14</td>
<td>pmads14-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>−250 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pmads14-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>+74 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMADS6</td>
<td>pmads6-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>−230 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pmads6-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>−10 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMADS15</td>
<td>pmads15-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>−90 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMADS17</td>
<td>pmads17-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>+4 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pmads17-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>+48 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pmads17-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>+76 bp</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>(1), van der Krol et al., 1993; (2), Van den Broeck et al., 1998; M. Sauer, unpublished results.

<sup>b</sup>Insertion mutants that were identified using a candidate-gene approach.

<sup>c</sup>The low-copy-number 787-bp dTph4 transposable element is another endogenous petunia transposon (Renckens et al., 1996) that shares extensive identity with dTph1 and has identical terminal inverted repeats. Therefore, the dTph1-derived transposon primer also may recognize the more rare dTph4 insertion events.

<sup>d</sup>Transposon insertion was confirmed in the parental line only; progeny were not analyzed.

<sup>e</sup>N.T., insertion was not transmitted to the progeny, or false positive.
copy (Figures 3F and 3I) revealed the differentiation of inter-
spersed stomata, the conversion of petal epidermal cells in
sepal-like cells, and the development of trichomes on both
adaxial and abaxial sides, all of which are characteristic for se-
pals and leaves (Figure 3G). However, the most striking pheno-
type of fbp2 mutants was the presence of two to three secondary
inflorescences in the third whorl, positioned between the
stamens near the nectararies at the base of the pistil (Figures 3C
and 3D; compare Kapoor et al., 2002). The presence of these
secondary inflorescences suggests that the floral meristem be-
haves like an inflorescence meristem at these positions. These
new structures appear relatively late during development, after
the formation of all organs of the primary flower. Further devel-
opment of the secondary inflorescences resulted in the forma-
tion of one inner flower per secondary inflorescence that de-
veloped all four floral whorls (Figure 3D). We very rarely found
inner flower buds that developed beyond the stage shown in
Figure 3D, suggesting that further development normally is ar-
rested at this stage. To date, we have seen only a single sec-
ondary flower that grew to maturity.

Flowers of fbp5-1 mutants displayed no clear morphological
aberrations (Figures 3K, 3N, 3O, and 3P), but female fertility was re-
duced, because many pollinations with either wild-type or
fbp5-1 pollen were necessary to obtain a limited number of seeds.

Phenotypic Analysis of fbp2/fbp5 Double Mutants

Previously, the function of FBP2 was analyzed using a cosup-
pression approach (Angenent et al., 1994). However,
FBP2 co-
suppression plants displayed a much more severe phenotype
than our fbp2 insertion mutants (see Discussion). Molecular anal-
ysis of these cosuppression lines revealed that in addition to
FBP2, another member of the petunia AGL2 subfamily, FBP5,
was silenced (Ferrario et al., 2003).

Together, these findings indicate that FBP2 and FBP5 may
be functionally redundant. To investigate this hypothesis, a
homozygous fbp2-2 mutant was crossed with a heterozygous
fbp5-1 mutant to obtain fbp2-2/fbp5-1. We selected F1 plants
for the presence of insertion alleles for both genes and self-fertili-
tized these to obtain F2 progeny. Selection of individuals het-
arozygous for both insertion alleles in the F1 population and of
double mutants in the F2 population was performed based on a
PCR segregation analysis with primers flanking the
fbp2-2 and
fbp5-1 insertion sites. Flowers of fbp2-2 fbp5-1 displayed an
enhanced phenotype compared with fbp2 flowers, demonstrat-
ing that FBP2 and FBP5 act redundantly. Petals of fbp2-2
fbp5-1 showed an increase of petal-to-sepal conversion com-
pared with fbp2 petals (Figure 3K), and sepal-like structures
covered by trichomes developed on top of the anthers (Figures
3N and 3P). On the other hand, development of the small ec-
topic inflorescences, as found in the third whorl of fbp2 flowers,
Figure 3. Phenotype of the Petunia sep-like Mutants *fbp2*, *fbp5*, and *fbp2 fbp5*.

(A) Wild-type W138 petunia flower.
(B) Flower of *fbp2-1* exhibiting a green hue on the corolla.
(C) Longitudinal section through an *fbp2-1* flower bud of 3 mm showing the emergence of two secondary inflorescences in the third whorl (arrows). a, anther; ov, ovary; p, petal; s, sepal; sec ifl, secondary inflorescence.
was not enhanced significantly. The most dramatic phenotype of *fbp2-2 fbp5-1* was found in the fourth whorl, where a huge pistil developed (Figure 3N). These pistils were covered with trichomes (Figure 3Q) and frequently encompassed three carpels instead of two. In all cases, the carpels remained unfused at the top of the pistil (Figure 3O). Inside these pistils, leaf-like organs emerged from the positions normally occupied by ovules in wild-type and in *fbp2* or *fbp5* flowers (Figure 3O). Analysis of these structures by scanning electron microscopy (Figures 3J and 3M) revealed the presence of sepal-like epidermal cells, stomata, and trichomes on the surface. Longitudinal sections through these ovaries showed that the basic organization, consisting of a placenta covered by a few hundred primordia, was not impaired (Figure 3O).

**DISCUSSION**

***B, C, and D Floral Organ Identity Functions Require FBP2 and FBP5 E-Function Genes in Petunia***

In Arabidopsis, the E-function genes *SEP1, SEP2, and SEP3* have been shown to be required for B and C function activity, because in the triple *sep1* *sep2* *sep3* mutant, all floral organs are replaced by sepal and the floral meristem becomes indeterminate (Pelaz et al., 2000). Studies in snapdragon and Arabidopsis (Egea-Cortines et al., 1999; Honma and Goto, 2001) suggest how E function genes act at the molecular level: all current data indicate that SEP proteins participate in the formation of higher order transcription factor complexes that consist of various combinations of MADS box proteins. Our results and studies on protein–protein interactions (Ferrario et al., 2003; Immink et al., 2002) indicate that *FBP2 and FBP5* probably act in a similar manner. Ternary complex formation between the FBP2 protein and two putative B-function heterodimers was demonstrated, whereas a four-hybrid assay revealed interactions between FBP2, a B-function heterodimer, and the putative C-function protein PMADS3.

Interestingly, the most obvious phenotype of flowers in which PMADS3 was downregulated was the emergence of secondary inflorescences arising from the third whorl (Kapoor et al., 2002). This finding is striking, because in Arabidopsis, the loss of C-function is associated with indeterminacy in the center of the flower (Yanofofsky et al., 1990). In accordance with the proposed higher order complex consisting of at least a B-function heterodimer and the FBP2 and PMADS3 proteins, we observed a very similar phenotype in the *fbp2* mutants with regard to the presence of ectopic inflorescences in the third whorl. This result indicates that FBP2, together with PMADS3, is essential for meristem identity. Similarly, petals, stamens, and pistils of *fbp2-2 fbp5-1* displayed sepaloid characteristics, indicating that FBP2 and FBP5 also are required for B and C organ identity functions. Finally, the phenotype of *fbp2-2 fbp5-1* suggests that SEP proteins also may participate in MADS box protein complexes that specifically direct ovule development. This hypothesis is supported further by the observation that *FBP2 and FBP5* are expressed in the developing ovules (Favaro et al., 2002) and that they both are able to interact with the ovule identity MADS box proteins FBP7 and FBP11 (Immink et al., 2002; Ferrario et al., 2003). Future research will be focused on the identification of other components of this hypothetical complex.

**GENETIC REDUNDANCY AND SCREENING TECHNOLOGY**

Surprisingly, from the set of insertion mutants selected using the reverse-genetics approach, only the *fbp9* insertion mutant dis-
played a clearly visible phenotype, even though 16 of 32 MADS box insertion mutants were disrupted in the first exon, encoding the essential DNA binding MADS domain (Table 1). Comparable results were described for a reverse-genetics screen of the R2R3 Myb transcription factor family (Meissner et al., 1999). Those authors reported the isolation of 32 lines homozygous for insertions in any of 26 R2R3 Myb family members; none of those lines displayed an obvious phenotype when grown under standard conditions. Genetic redundancy of eukaryotic genomes may be one of the major causes of this general lack of phenotype. Second, 16 of the 32 insertion alleles isolated in our study represent insertions into the 5′ untranslated region/promoter region of different MADS box genes. It is not unlikely that some of these insertions will have no influence on gene expression. Third, we may have overlooked subtle phenotypes that may become apparent upon careful microscopic analysis. Finally, we cannot exclude the possibility that some MADS box genes might have a function only under specific environmental conditions or might contribute to general fitness, so the lack of a phenotype could result simply from the fact that the plants were not challenged in the appropriate way (cf. the involvement of Arabidopsis ANR1 in nitrate signaling and root architecture; Zhang and Forde, 1998). This is a problem inherent to reverse-genetics approaches; on the other hand, by definition, forward-genetics approaches usually miss redundant functions.

Nevertheless, the high sequence similarity, overlapping expression domains, and similarities in dimerization partners (Immink et al., 2003) of a number of petunia MADS box genes, especially among members of the same subfamily, suggest that genetic redundancy may be the major cause of the lack of phenotypes. Here, we demonstrate that FBP2 and FBP5 are at least partly redundant. Full or partial genetic redundancy among different MADS box genes in Arabidopsis already has been demonstrated for SEP1, SEP2, and SEP3 (Pelaz et al., 2000), SHATTERPROOF1 (SHP1) and SHP2 (Lijjegren et al., 2000), CAL and AP1 (Kempin et al., 1995), CAL, AP1, and FUL (Ferrandiz et al., 2000), and AGL11, AG, SHP1, and SHP2 (Pinyopich et al., 2003).

To perform successfully in this struggle against redundancy, two important criteria should be fulfilled. First, it is necessary to identify all family members that may share redundant functions, based on sequence homology and overlapping expression domains. Second, one should have access to insertion libraries that have a high probability of containing insertions in any or all genes screened for. In Arabidopsis, for which the complete genome sequence and large insertion libraries are now publicly available, the analysis of complete gene families has become in principle quite straightforward.

To analyze gene families in petunia, a species for which the genome sequence is not yet available, we have developed an alternative and highly efficient FS screening strategy to allow screening for insertions into known genes combined with the isolation of insertions into related but yet unknown family members. This approach has proven to be efficient in analyzing the MADS box gene family in petunia, resulting in the isolation of 32 insertions into 20 different family members. Moreover, six new family members were identified during the screening, and approximately half of the insertions were identified with FS primers based on the sequence of other related family members.

Library Efficiency and Saturation

To evaluate the overall observed efficiency of the petunia insertion libraries in the screening presented here, we counted the number of genes (12) for which we found insertions within an interval of 150 bp upstream of the screening primers (our primary target, the MADS domain) against the total number of genes (24) that we intentionally screened for. These data indicate an observed 50% probability for finding an insertion in a 150-bp target sequence in a population of ~12,700 plants (insertions into new family members identified during the screening were not included in these calculations because they could be found only because these insertions were present). Extrapolating these data for a 750-bp target (approximately the size of the open reading frame of an average MADS box gene) results in an expected insertion frequency as high as 96%, indicating that a petunia transposon population of ~12,700 individuals represents a fairly saturated insertion library. Thus, we would have a good chance of finding insertions in the remaining coding regions outside of the MADS domain for the family members for which we did not find insertions in these screens.

In screenings for insertions into several other unrelated genes (van Houwelingen et al., 1998; our unpublished results), comparable insertion frequencies were observed, distributed equally along the full coding sequences of these genes. This finding indicates that there is no bias for insertions into regions surrounding transcription initiation sites or into specific genes. However, our data suggest that there may be a strong bias for the insertion of dTph1 elements into genic or gene-rich regions of the genome. Using the observed insertion frequencies, we calculated that the theoretical number of unique transposon insertion sites per individual has to be ~400 to reach these numbers, assuming that transposon insertions are distributed randomly over the complete genome. This theoretical number is significantly higher than that observed in reality. The insertion populations are composed of small families of ~20 to 25 plants, of which each individual contains ~120 to 200 dTph1 elements, but the majority of the transposon insertion sites within families are common to most members. Based on our own observations in various transposon display experiments (Van den Broeck et al., 1998; De Keukeleire et al., 2001; unpublished results), we estimated that only 10 to 20% (12 to 40) of the transposon insertion sites are unique within these families. This minimal 10-fold discrepancy between theory and practice might be explained by a preference for transposon insertions into actively transcribed regions of the genome. Similar observations have been described for the Mu1 element of maize and various other plant transposable element systems (Capel et al., 1993; Cresse et al., 1995) and for the heterologous En/Spm system in Arabidopsis (Meissner et al., 1999).

Insertion Mutagenesis Versus Antisense/Sense Suppression Approaches

Gene silencing by antisense or sense suppression (the latter also is referred to as cosuppression) also is a commonly applied approach to obtain loss-of-function phenotypes. However, the specificity and extent of function loss from such meth-
ods in many cases have not been tested extensively. Moreover, transgenes have been shown to suppress endogenous genes that share only 80% DNA identity in limited regions (Napoli et al., 1990; van der Krol et al., 1990a, 1990b), which can seriously complicate the functional analysis of genes that share conserved domains. In contrast to antisense/cosuppression approaches, transposon insertion mutagenesis acts in a completely gene-specific manner. In this study, we isolated several transposon insertion alleles for genes that have been analyzed previously using cosuppression approaches. It is interesting to compare the results. We have summarized these data in Table 2. All phenotypes obtained by cosuppression were systematically more severe than those of the insertion alleles isolated in this study or, alternatively, displayed drastic phenotypes, whereas the corresponding insertion mutants appeared as seemingly wild type. To determine whether differences in genetic background might explain the observed differences in phenotypes between the two approaches, we crossed several insertion alleles (e.g., \( fbp1-2 \), \textit{petunia flowering gene} \( [\text{pfg-1}] \), and \( fbp26-1 \)) into the same genetic background (W115) in which the transgenic lines were obtained. However, no enhancement of phenotypes was observed for these lines.

For all of the cosuppression experiments summarized in Table 2, the full-length coding sequence (including the highly conserved MADS domain) was incorporated in the cosuppression constructs. The combined data strongly suggest that in all of these experiments, one or more additional MADS box gene besides the intended target gene was downregulated. In a number of cases, the downregulation of additional closely related genes was demonstrated, but this test obviously was limited to the family members known at that time. Thus, it remains to be proven whether the observed phenotypes of the different cosuppression lines are attributable to inactivation of only the suggested genes. Recently, it was proposed that the dramatic phenotype of the \( fbp2 \) cosuppression mutant was caused by the inactivation of both \( FBP2 \) and \( FBP5 \) (Ferrario et al., 2003). Here, we have shown that \( fbp2 fbp5 \) double mutants display a phenotype that is still less severe than that of the strongest \( FBP2 \) cosuppression lines. Although the size of the sepaloid petals in \( fbp2 fbp5 \) was reduced further compared with that in \( fbp2 \), they remained considerably larger than the small sepaloid petals of \( FBP2 \) cosuppression lines. Also, the development of ectopic inflorescences in \( fbp2 fbp5 \) was not enhanced further, and although the ovules were replaced by leaf-like structures, the structural organization inside the ovary remained intact.

In severe \( FBP2 \) cosuppression lines, the placenta and ovules were fully absent and replaced by a new inflorescence. Similarly, cosuppression of \( PFG \) (Immink et al., 1999) resulted in plants that exhibited a drastic nonflowering phenotype. Besides the downregulation of transgenic and endogenous \( PFG \) expression, the closely related \( FBP26 \) gene was shown to be downregulated as well. In our insertion screening, we isolated knockout alleles for both \( PFG \) and \( FBP26 \), and none of the single insertion mutants exhibited a phenotype when homozygous. Recently, we obtained homozygous \( pfg fbp26 \) double mutants. In contrast to the single insertion lines, these double mutants exhibited a subtle phenotype, but the drastic nonflowering phenotype of the transgenic lines was not obtained, indicating that at least three genes must have been downregulated.

Unless special precautions are taken to obtain truly gene-specific downregulation, gene silencing by antisense/sense approaches cannot be applied easily to assign functions to individual members of gene families. Moreover, specific functional data could be missed using such an approach (e.g., the direct involvement of \( FBP2 \) and \( FBP5 \) in ovule development could not be deduced from the \( FBP2 \) cosuppression experiments). On the other hand, antisense/sense approaches may provide a fast and efficient way to obtain phenotypes indicative of the function of a set of redundant paralogs. In species for which no insertion libraries are available, this might be the only option to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Cosuppression Phenotype and References</th>
<th>Alleles from This Study</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( FBP1 )</td>
<td>Homeotic conversion of petals to sepals and stamens to carpels (Angenent et al., 1993)</td>
<td>( fbp1-1, fbp1-2 )</td>
<td>Sepal-like tissue at outer edge of corolla midribs, stamen filaments not fused with petal tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( FBP2 ) ( FBP6^a )</td>
<td>Green corolla, strongly reduced in size, stamens replaced by green sepaloid structures, reduced or absent development of gynoecial whorl; indeterminacy in the axis of the carpels; development of a new inflorescence originating from the fourth whorl (Angenent et al., 1994; Ferrario et al., 2003)</td>
<td>( fbp2-1, fbp2-2 )</td>
<td>Light green corolla with sepal-like epidermal cells, indeterminacy in the third whorl; development of two to three secondary inflorescences arrested early in development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( FBP5^a )</td>
<td>Ovules replaced by carpeloid organs (Angenent et al., 1995)</td>
<td>( fbp5-1 )</td>
<td>No phenotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( FBP11 )</td>
<td></td>
<td>( fbp11-1 )</td>
<td>No phenotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( FBP7^a )</td>
<td>Plants are nonflowering, remaining in the vegetative state (Immink et al., 1999)</td>
<td>( fbp7-1, fbp7-2 )</td>
<td>No phenotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( PFG )</td>
<td></td>
<td>( pfg-1 )</td>
<td>No phenotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( FBP26^a )</td>
<td></td>
<td>( fbp26-1 )</td>
<td>No phenotype</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Additional genes that were shown to be downregulated in the cosuppression transgenic lines.
obtain loss-of-function phenotypes. Thus, an insertion mutagenesis approach is the preferred strategy for assigning functions to individual members of a gene family, although in this case, the burden is to identify all members that share a particular function.

**Toward a Fuller Functional Characterization of the Petunia MADS Box Gene Family**

Here, we have presented our FS insertion screening strategy and the selection and first analysis of 36 insertion mutants in 22 different MADS box genes (including those identified using a forward candidate-gene approach), covering the diversity of MADS box genes found in Arabidopsis. Only a few of the single mutants exhibited a visible phenotype under the growth conditions used. We argue that genetic redundancy might be one of the major causes of this general lack of phenotype. To begin an analysis of the expected functional redundancy between the different subfamily members, we are currently creating specific sets of double, triple, and quadruple mutant combinations based on phylogenetic relationships, known expression patterns, and two-hybrid interactions. The set of insertion mutants presented here, combined with the identification of higher order protein complexes (Ferrario et al., 2003), provides a strong foundation for a fuller characterization of the MADS box gene family in petunia. Such a family-wide functional analysis will increase our understanding of how variations in expression patterns and changes in coding sequences at the level of a homeotic gene family may have contributed to the morphological diversity present within the plant kingdom.

**METHODS**

**Insertion Libraries**

*Petunia hybrida* insertion libraries have been set up in Amsterdam and Wageningen (The Netherlands), Verona (Italy), and Ghent (Belgium) according to the methodology described by Koes et al. (1995). An overview of the screened libraries is given in Table 3.

**Family Signature Primer Design**

We designed family signature (FS) primers as follows. In a first step, an alignment nucleotide sequence data set is assembled containing all known family members to be screened for, and candidate screening primers designed to cover all family members depend on the degree of conservation within the family-specific domains. Primer sequences of our MADS box gene screening set are available upon request.

**Table 3. Overview of the Insertion Libraries Screened in This Study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Size (No. of Plants)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Koes, Amsterdam, The Netherlands</td>
<td>Yellow library</td>
<td>15 × 16 × 16</td>
<td>3840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black library</td>
<td>12 × 13 × 12</td>
<td>1872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Gerats, Gent, Belgium</td>
<td>Library V</td>
<td>10 × 10 × 10</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mario Pezzotti, Verona, Italy</td>
<td>Juliet library</td>
<td>16 × 16 × 16</td>
<td>4096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerco Angenent, Wageningen, The Netherlands</td>
<td>Library D</td>
<td>9 × 9 × 9</td>
<td>729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Library E</td>
<td>9 × 10 × 12</td>
<td>1080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Library G</td>
<td>9 × 10 × 12</td>
<td>1080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fragment Isolation and Sequencing

Fragments of interest were cut from the gel, soaked in 200 µL of water for 45 min to 1 h at room temperature, and vortexed briefly four to five times. Five microliters of the eluate was reamplified in a volume of 30 µL under the PCR conditions described above, with the exception that the cycling number after the touchdown step was reduced to 35 cycles. After reamplification, products were checked on a 1% agarose gel. Sequence analysis of the reamplification products was performed with the Thermo Sequenase cycle sequencing kit (Amersham Life Science) using 2 to 3 µL of nonpurified reamplification product as a template and 1 pmol of labeled inverted repeat primer or FS primer as a sequencing primer. Note that below the fragments of interest (e.g., the fragments indicated with arrows in Figure 1), additional fragments and a smear of smaller size and lower intensity often were present. Because these extra fragments originate from PCR and running artifacts, we analyzed only the main upper fragment for sequencing.

Phylogenetic Analysis

For the phylogenetic inference of the MADS box gene family, we aligned 79 protein sequences using CLUSTAL W (Thompson et al., 1994). This alignment was checked manually and edited using BioEdit (Hall, 1999), keeping only the conserved and phylogenetic informative residues of the sequences (174 residues from the MADS, the I, and the K domains). Neighbor-joining trees (Saitou and Nei, 1987) were computed using PHYLIP-based (Felsenstein, 1993) point accepted mutation-corrected distances. To assess support for the inferred relationships, 500 bootstrap runs were computed under the PCR conditions described above, with the exception that the cycling number after the touchdown step was reduced to 35 cycles. Additional fragments and a smear of smaller size and lower intensity often were present. Because these extra fragments originate from PCR and running artifacts, we analyzed only the main upper fragment for sequencing.

Calculated of Probability

The probability of finding an insertion into a 0.75-kb sequence in a population of 12,700 plants was calculated using the formula $P = 1 - e^{-n/(f \times 0.15 \times 10^{6} \times 10^{6})}$, with $P$ representing the probability of finding an insertion, $n$ the number of independent insertion events present in a population, $f$ the inverse number of potential targets in the complete genome. For an observed insertion frequency of 50% in a 0.15-kb target, we found an $n$ value of 5,545,177, assuming a petunia haploid genome size of 1.2 × 10^9 kb (Arumuganathan and Earle, 1991), with the $f$ value being 1.25 × 10^{-7} = 1/(1.2 × 10^6 kb/0.15 kb). The $n$ value then was reintroduced in the formula to calculate the probability for a 0.75-kb target.

Isolation of Upstream Transposon Flanking Sequences and cDNA Isolation

Fragments encoding petunia W138 partial promoter sequences of the MADS box genes PMADS2, PMADS9, PMADS10, PMADS12, PMADS14, PMADS15, and PMADS16 were obtained by genome walking using the Universal Genome Walker kit (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA). W138 genomic fragments containing partial promoter sequences of the petunia MADS box genes GP (PMADS1), PMADS4, PMADS6, PMADS17, PFG, FB56, FB24, FB59, and FB29 were isolated using a different approach (Vandenbussche and Gerats, 2002). The full open reading frames of PMADS9, PMADS14, and PMADS15 were isolated by rapid amplification of cDNA ends PCR (Marathon kit; Clontech) with cDNA derived from total RNA isolated from young petunia floral buds as a template. PMADS12 clones were obtained as described previously (Ferrario et al., 2003). The new dTph8 transposon was isolated from the fbp1-2 allele.

Upon request, materials integral to the findings presented in this publication will be made available in a timely manner to all investigators on similar terms for noncommercial research purposes. To obtain materials, please contact Tom Gerats, tgerats@sci.kun.nl.
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