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The *Arabidopsis thaliana* SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE (SERK) family of plasma membrane receptors consists of five closely related members. The SERK1 and SERK2 genes show a complex expression pattern throughout development. Both are expressed in anther primordia up to the second parietal division. After this point, expression ceases in the sporocytes and is continued in the tapetum and middle layer precursors. Single knockout mutants of SERK1 and SERK2 show no obvious phenotypes. Double mutants of SERK1 and SERK2 are completely male sterile due to a failure in tapetum specification. Fertility can be restored by a single copy of either gene. The SERK1 and SERK2 proteins can form homodimers or heterodimers in vivo, suggesting they are interchangeable in the SERK1/SERK2 signaling complex.

**INTRODUCTION**

In plants, embryogenesis can be recapitulated starting from single somatic cells in culture (Reinert, 1959). This remarkable observation implies that plant cells are able to achieve totipotency long after completion of embryogenesis. Several genes have been described that alter the totipotency status of somatic cells, either upon mutation or after ectopic expression (reviewed in Mordhorst et al., 1997, 2005). The natural occurrence of parthenogenesis and apomixis and the ease by which embryogenesis can be initiated from microspores suggest that female and male gametophytic cells already contain the property to develop embryos prior to the occurrence of fertilization (Bicknell and Koitunow, 2004).

The *Daucus carota* Somatic Embryogenesis Receptor-like Kinase (DcSERK) gene was found to be a marker for single embryogenic cells in culture (Schmidt et al., 1997). The predicted protein structure of the SERK proteins start at the N terminus with a signal peptide followed by a Leu zipper domain, five Leu-rich repeats (LRRs), a Pro-rich domain called the Ser-Pro-Pro motif, a single transmembrane domain, the 11 conserved subdomains of a Ser-Thr kinase, and a C-terminal Leu-rich domain (Hanks et al., 1988). The hallmark of the SERK proteins is the presence of the extracellular Ser-Pro-Pro motif in combination with precisely five LRRs.

According to the most recent annotation, the *Arabidopsis thaliana* (SERK) family of receptor kinases now consists of five closely related members (Hecht et al., 2001). They are annotated as SERK1 to SERK5 and all belong to subclass LRR II of the *Arabidopsis* receptor-like kinase (RLK) family (Shiu and Bleecker, 2001). The genomic organization of these genes is highly conserved (i.e., the intron–exon boundaries are in the same position in all five genes).

Overexpression of the *Arabidopsis* ortholog SERK1, closest to carrot DcSERK in predicted protein sequence, resulted in enhanced formation of embryogenic cells in response to the growth regulator 2,4-D (Hecht et al., 2001). This supports the notion that SERK1-mediated signaling is involved in embryogenic cell formation. In planta, the SERK1 protein is located in the plasma membrane of both the male and female gametophytes as well as in surrounding sporophytic primordial cell layers. Later, the protein is found in all cells of the embryo and in seedlings predominantly in the vascular tissue of roots, shoots, and leaves. Lower levels of the protein are seen in epidermal cells, while formation of lateral roots appears to be accompanied with a higher level of SERK1 protein (Hecht et al., 2001; Kwaaiaal et al., 2005). Apparently, the signaling mediated by SERK1 is required in cells that retain or regain their embryogenic potential but is also required in cells that participate in organogenesis.

The SERK2 gene is most closely related to SERK1, with an overall amino acid identity of 90%. Phylogenetic analysis suggests that SERK1 and SERK2 have evolved by recent duplication and are closest homologues (Hecht et al., 2001).

The SERK3 gene is identical to the recently described BRI1-Associated Receptor Kinase1 (BAK1) gene, of which a knockout mutation results in a semidwarfs phenotype, reminiscent of weak alleles of the brassinosteroid-insensitive1 (BRI1) receptor (Li et al., 2002; Nam and Li, 2002). SERK3 may be involved in relocalizing the BRI1 receptor via accelerated endocytosis (Russinova et al., 2004). BRI1 binds brassinosteroids directly, and this binding was not affected in a bak1 background (Kinoshita et al., 2005), suggesting that BAK1 is a non-ligand-binding coreceptor of BRI1. In general, bri1 mutants exhibit a much more severe phenotype than bak1 (serk3) alleles, suggesting that BRI1...
is able to carry out the entire brassinolide signaling in the absence of BAK1 or that the BAK1 function is taken over by a close homologue. An alternative explanation would be that SERK3 controls only a subset of the BRI1-mediated effects resulting in only part of the complete brassinosteroid phenotypic defect. Candidates for genes carrying out other elements of the highly complex brassinosteroid defect would be other members of the BRI family (Caño-Delgado et al., 2004) and perhaps other members of the SERK family.

For the last members of the family, SERK4 and SERK5, no additional evidence is currently available other then being predicted to be the closest paralogs of SERK3 (BAK1).

In this work, we show that SERK1 and SERK2 genes are expressed in the same cells throughout development. SERK1 and SERK2 proteins can form either homodimers or heterodimers in vivo. We also present genetic evidence that SERK1 and SERK2 are functionally redundant and together control the formation of functional microspores.

RESULTS

Characterization of SERK1 and SERK2 Null Mutant Alleles

The serk1-1 T-DNA–tagged allele was identified in the SIGnal TDNA-Express collection as nr 044330. serk1-1 has an insertion at nucleotide 3109 starting from the translation initiation codon. This corresponds to exon 11, encoding the last kinase subdomain XI and the C-terminal region of the protein (Hanks et al., 1988). The insertion leads to a truncated protein lacking the last 103 amino acids. DNA gel blot mapping confirms that serk1-1 carries a T-DNA insertion in the expected genomic DNA fragments. No additional T-DNA insertions are detected in this line. serk1-1 was backcrossed to Columbia wild type, and F1 and F2 progenies were analyzed for segregation of the kanamycin-resistant phenotype and the T-DNA insertion in SERK1. The F2 progeny segregated 3:1 (190 kanamycin-resistant plants:45 kanamycin-sensitive plants). Of 129 plants, PCR analysis showed that 30 were homozygous wild-type, 70 were heterozygotes, and 29 were homozygous T-DNA–tagged alleles. As such, the segregation ratio of the F2 progeny was approximately 1:2:1 (wild type:heterozygous:mutant), indicating a Mendelian segregation for a single locus serk1-1. These data together with the DNA gel blot mapping are consistent with the fact that this T-DNA insertion line is a single insertion line in the SERK1 gene.

The second allele, serk1-2 (nr 053021), was characterized as described for serk1-1 and has an insertion in exon 11, 87 bp downstream of the serk1-1 insertion site leading to a truncated protein lacking the last 74 amino acids. The locations of the serk1-1 and serk1-2 T-DNA insertions are presented in Figure 1A.

The serk2-2 T-DNA–tagged allele was identified in the Syngenta Arabidopsis Insertion Library (SAIL) lines (nr 119-G03). serk2-2 has an insertion at nucleotide 1655 from the translation
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**Figure 1.** Characterization of SERK1 and SERK2 Loci.

(A) Characterization of the SERK1 locus. Arrowheads 1 and 2 indicate the positions of the T-DNA insertions in serk1-1 and serk1-2 mutant alleles, respectively. The position of the primers used for RT-PCR and genotyping analysis is indicated. Bar = 1 kb.

(B) Characterization of the SERK2 locus. The arrowhead indicates the position of the T-DNA insertion in the serk2-2 mutant allele. The position of the primers used for RT-PCR and genotyping analysis is indicated. Bar = 1 kb.
initiation codon and is disrupting the 5’ donor splicing site of the 6th intron. No additional T-DNAs are detected by DNA gel blot analysis. serk2-2 was backcrossed with Columbia and the F2 progeny analyzed by segregation of the BASTA-resistant phenotype and by PCR-assisted genotyping. The results indicate that this T-DNA insertion line also represents a single insertion in the SERK2 gene. The location of the serk2-2 T-DNA insertion is presented in Figure 1B.

To determine whether the alleles identified are null mutants, RT-PCR was used to detect SERK1 and SERK2 transcripts in plants shown to be homozygous for the tagged alleles. SERK1 transcripts could be detected in serk1-1 (Figure 2A). The serk1-1 mutant allele may therefore not represent a full knockout. A SERK2 transcript containing sequences upstream of the T-DNA insertion can be detected (data not shown), but no SERK2 transcripts downstream of the T-DNA insertion were observed in serk2-2 mutant plants (Figure 2B). Because the truncated SERK2 transcripts lack the entire SERK2 kinase domain, we assume that the serk2-2 allele is a null mutant.

In order to investigate whether the truncated serk1-1 encodes a functional protein, we engineered a modified SERK1 kinase missing the last 90 amino acids corresponding to kinase subdomain XI and the C-terminal region. The SERK1PRS and deletion mutant SERK1K19D/S136-R626 constructs were tested for kinase activity in vitro. Figure 2D shows that the SERK1K19D/S136-R626 mutant protein no longer autophosphorylates, indicating that subdomain XI and the C-terminal region of the SERK1 protein are essential for kinase activity. We conclude that the serk1-1 allele encodes a nonfunctional kinase.

The serk1-1 and serk2-2 T-DNA insertion alleles contain a single T-DNA insertion in the SERK1 and SERK2 genes. The homozygous serk1-1, serk1-2, and serk2-2 single mutant plants are morphologically indistinguishable from wild-type plants, display normal growth and development, and are fully fertile (data not shown).

SERK1 and SERK2 Expression Patterns Are Overlapping

Semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis was used to compare SERK1 and SERK2 expression in wild-type plants. Transcripts of SERK1 and SERK2 were most abundant in floral buds but were also present at a lower level in the different vegetative tissues (Figures 3 and 4A to 4F).

C-terminal fusions between SERK1 and yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) (Kwaaitaal et al., 2005) as well as SERK2 and YFP were expressed in Arabidopsis under control of their respective promoters. Fluorescent fusion protein localization was then followed in more detail during root and anther development by confocal microscopy. In the wild type, both the tapetum and the developing microspores up to and including the differentiation of the descendents of the second parietal division contain the SERK1 (Kwaaitaal et al., 2005; Figures 4B to 4E) and SERK2 proteins (Figures 4G to 4J). After that stage, both proteins are found to be specifically present in the membranes of the developing tapetal and middle cell layers. By the end of stage 5, the receptors are no longer found in meiocytes (Figures 4E and 4J). This pattern of fusion protein localization is in accordance with the mRNA in situ hybridization data presented by Colcombet et al. (2006), who report that the expression pattern of both genes is initially uniform and at stage 5 of anther development is restricted to the middle layer and tapetum tissues. However, we also observe SERK1-green fluorescent protein fusions at a low level in the endothecium and epidermal cell layers of the anther. These differences may be caused either by technical limitations or differences in the stability of the SERK1 and SERK2 mRNA compared with the respective proteins. Our results also confirm that SERK2 protein localization largely overlaps with that of SERK1. However, differences are noted: SERK2 proteins are more abundant in the root vasculature, while SERK1 proteins are more abundant in the epidermal cell layers of the root (Figures 4A and 4F). It remains to be determined whether these local changes in abundance have functional importance.
To determine whether the SERK1 and SERK2 proteins can indeed interact in cells that express both genes, we employed the transient assay in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) protoplasts previously used to demonstrate that the SERK1 proteins can homodimerize (Shah et al., 2002). Using the fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM)-based system to detect fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) (Russinova et al., 2004), we analyzed the properties of the SERK1 and SERK2 proteins fused to the spectral variants cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) and YFP, respectively, to form heterodimers. The results are shown in Figure 3.

**Figure 3.** SERK1 and SERK2 Expression during Plant Development Determined by Semiquantitative RT-PCR.

(A) *ROC5* expression pattern.
(B) SERK1 expression pattern.
(C) SERK2 expression pattern.

“g” is the control for genomic contamination and is performed on non-reverse-transcribed total RNA at 34 cycles for SERK1, 30 cycles for SERK2, and 26 cycles for *ROC5*. FB, flower buds; Fl, opened flowers containing embryos from stage 0 through 7; St, stem; L, leaves; Se, seedlings 7 d after germination.

To determine whether the SERK1 and SERK2 proteins can indeed interact in cells that express both genes, we employed the transient assay in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) protoplasts previously used to demonstrate that the SERK1 proteins can homodimerize (Shah et al., 2002). Using the fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM)-based system to detect fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) (Russinova et al., 2004), we analyzed the properties of the SERK1 and SERK2 proteins fused to the spectral variants cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) and YFP, respectively, to form heterodimers. The results are shown in Figure 3.

**Figure 4.** SERK1 and SERK2 Localization.

(A) SERK1 expression in root tip.
(B) SERK1 expression in anther primordial at stage 1.
(C) SERK1 expression in anther at stage 3.
(D) SERK1 expression in anther at stage 5.
(E) SERK1 expression in mature anther.
(F) SERK2 expression in root tip.
(G) SERK2 expression in anther primordial at stage 1.
(H) SERK2 expression in anther at stage 3.
(I) SERK1 expression in anther at stage 5.
(J) SERK2 expression in mature anther.

The star indicates cells of the preparietal cell layer that have divided to give rise to the outer and inner secondary parietal layers. PPC, preparietal cell layer; PS, primary sporogenous cell layer; E, epidermis; En, endothecium; Ml, middle layer; M, meiocytes; T, tapetum. Bars = 50 μM for (A), (D), (F), (J), and (E), 20 μM for (I), and 10 μM for (B), (C), (G), and (H).
Figures 5A to 5F and clearly show that SERK1 and SERK2 can homodimerize as well as heterodimerize in the plasma membrane. We conclude that the overlapping expression pattern of the SERK1 and SERK2 genes, their subcellular localization in the plasma membrane, and the ability to form homodimers or heterodimers strongly suggest that the two genes can be acting together in the same complex and may be able to compensate for each other upon the loss of one.

The **serk1-1 serk2-2 Double Mutant Is Male Sterile**

To answer whether functional redundancy between SERK1 and SERK2 may have obscured a possible defect in the alleles so far characterized, we generated and analyzed **serk1-1 serk2-2** double mutants.

The F2 progeny derived from a cross between homozygous **serk1-1** and **serk2-2** mutants was screened by PCR for the presence of **serk1-1 serk2-2** double mutants. When germinated on plates and grown on soil, all double mutants identified grow into normal plants and exhibit a normal vegetative development. The first visible defect is observed when flowers and silique develop (Figures 6A and 6B). Although the double mutant plants develop normal inflorescence architecture and floral structures, they fail to form siliques. A closer inspection of the mutant flowers indicates that the floral organs develop normally within the closed bud, but the anther filaments do not elongate sufficiently to position the locules above the stigma at anthesis (Figures 6C and 6D). No pollen is released from the anthers in the double **serk1-1 serk2-2** mutant (Figures 6E and 6F). While the wild-type mature and immature anthers contained pollen grains and microspores, the double mutant anthers lacked both pollen and microspores. These characteristics are typical of a male sterility phenotype. The male sterility phenotype of the double mutant segregates as a Mendelian inherited recessive trait, suggesting that the observed defect is due to two sporophytically expressed genes. Only plants homozygous for both mutations show undeveloped siliques, indicating that the presence of one wild-type copy of either the **SERK1** or the **SERK2** gene is sufficient for pollen development.

The homozygous **serk1-1 serk2-2** mutant is completely female fertile and segregates 3:1 in the F2 generation of a backcross with either wild-type, **serk1-1**, or **serk2-2** pollen. The characterization of these mutant alleles suggests that female gametogenesis is not dependent on signaling mediated by the **SERK1**-**SERK2** combination.

To provide definite evidence that the observed phenotype is caused by the disruption of the **SERK1** and **SERK2** genes, we performed several complementation experiments. Transgenic lines were generated expressing the **SERK1-YFP** and **SERK2-YFP** proteins under control of their own promoters (**P**<Superscript>**SERK1**<Subscript>-**SERK1-YFP** and **P**<Superscript>**SERK2**<Subscript>-**SERK2-YFP** for the **SERK1** and **SERK2** transgenes, respectively) in a heterozygous **serk2-2** mutant background. The T1 seeds were selected by fluorescence under the binocular for the presence of the transgene. The selected T1 seeds were grown on media containing both kanamycin and BASTA. Resistant seeds were grown on soil and further genotyped by PCR-assisted genotyping. Among all T1 seeds selected, eight were **serk1-1 serk2-2** double mutant carrying the **P**<Superscript>**SERK1**-**SERK1-YFP** transgene and three were **serk1-1 serk2-2** double mutant carrying the **P**<Superscript>**SERK2**-**SERK2-YFP** transgene. All the T1 lines **serk1-1 serk2-2** double mutant carrying a transgene, whether **P**<Superscript>**SERK1**-**SERK1-YFP** or **P**<Superscript>**SERK2**-**SERK2-YFP** showed normal siliques and seed formation (Figures 6G to 61). Eight T2 seeds of each characterized T1 line were further analyzed and confirmed to be **serk1-1 serk2-2** double mutant carrying a transgene, whether **P**<Superscript>**SERK1**-**SERK1-YFP** or **P**<Superscript>**SERK2**-**SERK2-YFP**. From these results, we conclude that the male sterility phenotype in the **serk1-1 serk2-2** double mutant is caused by the simultaneous disruption of the **SERK1** and the **SERK2** genes.

**Microsporogenesis Arrests after Meiosis II, and the Tapetum Is Not Specified in serk1-1 serk2-2 Mutants**

To analyze in detail the developmental defects that cause male sterility in the double **serk1-1 serk2-2** mutant, we compared anther and pollen development in wild-type and double mutant plants.

Anther development has been divided into 14 stages based on morphological landmarks or cellular events visible under the light microscope (Goldberg et al., 1993; Sanders et al., 1999). The anther tissues derive from three germ layers designated L1, L2, and L3 that give rise to the epidermis, the archesporial cells, and the vascular tissue, respectively. At stage 3, the parietal cell layers and the sporogenous cells have been formed in wild-type anthers (Figure 7A) and in the **serk1-1 serk2-2** anthers (Figure 7B).

In the **serk1-1 serk2-2** mutant, the two characteristic inner and

---

**Figure 5.** FRET between SERK1 and SERK2 Imaged by FLIM.

FLIM on cowpea protoplast transiently expressing SERK2-CFP ([A] and [B]) SERK2-CFP/SERK2-YFP ([C] and [D]), and SERK2-CFP/SERK1-YFP ([E] and [F]) for 16 h. Intensity images representing a steady state of the donor SERK2-CFP fluorescence are presented in (A), (C), and (E). The mean fluorescence lifetime values (τ) and the lifetime distribution for the images in (A), (C), and (E) are presented as pseudocolor images in (B), (D), and (F). Note the color bar where dark blue color is used to display τ = 2.5 ns (no interaction) and the red to dark orange color to display τ = 1.5 ns (interaction).
A double mutant (Figure 8). Evidence for the complete absence of tapetal layer specification in inflorescence of the wild-type anther showing germinating pollen grain. A wild-type flower showing pollen grains. A wild-type anther showing germinating pollen grain. A double mutant flower with shortened anther filament and no pollen grain. Plant from a complemented transgenic double mutant anther showing normal seed pot. Anther of a PSERK1:SERK1-YFP complemented double mutant visualized by confocal microscopy showing the five characteristic layers of an anther at stage 5. M, meiocytes; T, tapetum; Ml, middle layer; En, endothecium; E, epidermis. Bar = 10 μm.

Figure 6. Phenotype of the serk1-1 serk2-2 Double Mutant and the Complemented Mutant by the SERK1 cDNA-YFP Fusion Driven by the SERK1 Promoter (PSERK1:SERK1-YFP).

(A) Inflorescence of a wild-type plant showing normal seed pods.
(B) A wild-type flower showing pollen grains.
(C) A wild-type anther showing germinating pollen grain.
(D) Inflorescence of the serk1-1 serk2-2 double mutant with small seedpods and no developing seeds.
(E) A double serk1-1 serk2-2 mutant flower with shortened anther filament and no pollen grain.
(F) A close-up of the double serk1-1 serk2-2 mutant anther with no pollen grain.
(G) Plant from a complemented transgenic double serk1-1 serk2-2 mutant showing normal seed pot.
(H) PSERK1:SERK1-YFP complemented double mutant flower showing pollen grain.
(I) Anther of a PSERK1:SERK1-YFP complemented double mutant visualized by confocal microscopy showing the five characteristic layers of an anther at stage 5. M, meiocytes; T, tapetum; Ml, middle layer; En, endothecium; E, epidermis. Bar = 10 μm.

outer cortical cell layers surrounding the sporogenous cells are clearly visible, and no deviation from the wild-type development was observed up to stage 4 (Figures 7B and 7E). At stage 5, a wild-type anther is typically formed of five layers that are, from the outside to the inside, the epidermis, endothecium, middle layer, tapetum, and microsporocytes. The microsporocytes are located at the center of the locules and are larger than the tapetal cells and more rectangular in shape (Figure 7C). In the serk1-1 serk2-2 mutant anther at stage 5, no tapetal layer can be identified. Instead, an increased number of microsporocytes is observed compared with the wild type (Figure 7F). At stage 6, the wild-type microsporocytes are isolated and detached from the tapetum layer and the middle layer degenerates (Figure 7G). In the double mutant anther, enlarged and undetached microsporocytes are observed (Figure 7J). At stage 7, cytokinesis in the wild-type anther is taking place, leading to the formation of tetrads (Figure 7H). In the double mutant anther, degenerating tetrads can be observed (Figure 7K). At stage 11, individual spores are released from the tetrads, and the tapetum layer degenerates in the wild-type anther (Figure 7I). In the double mutant, the cell debris of the collapsed microspores occupies the center of the locule and a highly vacuolated middle layer persists (Figure 7L).

To confirm that the anther of the serk1-1 serk2-2 double mutant lacks a tapetal cell layer, we tested the expression of the ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA ANTHERT7 (ATA7) gene, an early tapetum marker (Rubinelli et al., 1998). ATA7 is not expressed in the double serk1-1 serk2-2 mutant, providing molecular evidence for the complete absence of tapetal layer specification in the double mutant (Figure 8).

We observed that in the double serk1-1 serk2-2 mutant anther the tapetal cell layer is absent and an increased number of microsporocytes developed. One possibility is that the additional microsporocytes formed at stage 5 are derived from the inner secondary parietal layer. To test that hypothesis, we examined 51 and 61 locules of wild-type and mutant anthers, respectively, originating from three individual wild-type and double mutant plants.

The transverse sections of the serk1-1 serk2-2 double mutant anthers indicate that the differentiation of the meiocytes is abnormal starting from stage 6 onwards. To confirm that serk1-1 serk2-2 meiocytes enter and proceed into meiosis, we followed the progression of meiosis in pollen mother cells derived from wild-type and double mutant plants. Wild-type and double mutant anthers were therefore stained with propidium iodide and visualized using confocal microscopy. In wild-type male meiocytes, individual chromosomes are first detected at leptotene, when they start to condense and form granular and thread-like structures. Fully synapsed chromosomes are then detected as looped ribbon-like structures also called pachytene chromosomes (Figure 9A). The five paired chromosomes align along the equator and are visible as five bivalents at metaphase I. The homologues separate at anaphase I (Figure 9C), and five homologues migrate towards each opposite pole, leading to the formation of two groups of five partially decondensed chromosomes at telophase I.

The homologues separate at anaphase I (Figure 9C), and five homologues migrate towards each opposite pole, leading to the formation of two groups of five partially decondensed chromosomes at telophase I. At metaphase II, 10 condensed chromosomes are clearly visible and are distributed equally to the two
sides of the organelle band into two groups of five each. At anaphase II, the individual chromatids separate and move toward the spindle poles (Figure 9E). Finally, at telophase II, four groups of five chromatids are formed (Figure 9G). At the end of meiosis, the external and intersporal walls of the tetrad are dissolved to release individual microsporocytes by a mixture of enzymes collectively referred to as callase (Frankel et al., 1969). The individual microspores of the tetrad then initiate development of the pollen wall (Figure 9I).

We examined microsporocytes derived from the double mutant and found various stages of nuclear division, from prophase I to telophase II (Figures 9B, 9D, 9F, and 9H), suggesting that the meiotic nuclear divisions in the double serk1-1 serk2-2 mutant was essentially normal. However, in serk1-1 serk2-2 microsporocytes, the formed tetrads rapidly degenerate and disintegrate completely. Finally, there is a complete absence of pollen in the mature serk1-1 serk2-2 flowers (Figures 9J and 9L).

The phenotypic data of the serk1-1 serk2-2 mutant were all confirmed with the second independent allele serk1-2 that we used to create the serk1-2 serk2-2 double mutant (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

SERK1 and SERK2 Are Required for Tapetal Cell Fate and Microspore Formation

We report here that two members of the Arabidopsis LRR-RLK family, SERK1 and SERK2, are essential for male sporogenesis. In the serk1-1 serk2-2 and serk1-2 serk2-2 double mutants, the tapetal cells are not specified. By contrast, additional sporocytes are observed, suggesting that tapetal precursor cells can acquire a meiocyte fate in the absence of SERK1/SERK2-mediated signaling. The supernumerary mutant sporocytes enter and proceed into meiosis until the tetrad stage, after which the meiocytes degenerate, resulting in complete male sterility. These results indicate that SERK1 and SERK2 are required for normal male sporogenesis.

Figure 7. Anther Development in the Double serk1-1 serk2-2 Mutant.

Micrographs of one of the four lobes of the wild type and double mutant stained with Toluidine blue. PPC, primary parietal cells; PSC, primary sporogenous cells; ISP, inner secondary parietal layer; OSP, outer secondary parietal layer; Sp, sporocytes; E, epidermis; En, endothecium; Mi, middle layer; Ms, microsporocytes; Msp, microspores; T, tapetal layer; Tds, tetrads. Bars = 10 μm.

(A) Wild-type anther at stage 3 showing the inner primary sporogenous layer and the outer primary parietal layer.

(B) Wild-type anther at early stage 4 showing the inner and outer secondary parietal cell layer.

(C) Wild-type anther at late stage 5 showing the well organized four anther layers, the epidermis, the endothecium, the middle layer, and the tapetum and the sporocytes developing in the middle of the locule.

(D) serk1-1 serk2-2 double mutant at stage 3 showing the inner primary sporogenous layer and the outer primary parietal layer.

(E) serk1-1 serk2-2 double mutant anther at late stage 4 showing the two characteristic inner and outer secondary parietal cell layers.

(F) serk1-1 serk2-2 double mutant anther at late stage 5 showing the absence of the tapetal layer and an aberrant number of microsporocytes.

(G) Wild-type anther at stage 6 showing isolated microsporocytes, highly vacuolated tapetum cells, a collapsing middle layer, the endothecium, and the epidermis.

(H) Wild-type anther at stage 7 with tetrads.

(I) Wild-type anther at stage 11 with pollen grains and degenerating tapetum.

(J) serk1-1 serk2-2 double mutant anther at stage 6 showing enlarged and undetached microspores. The middle layer has not degenerated.

(K) serk1-1 serk2-2 double mutant anther at stage 7 with degenerating tetrads.

(L) serk1-1 serk2-2 double mutant anther at stage 11 showing the cell debris of the collapsed meiocytes and a persistent and highly vacuolated middle layer.

Figure 8. Expression of ATA7 in the serk1-1 serk2-2 Double Mutant.

ATA7, a tapetum-specific marker, is not expressed in the serk1-1 serk2-2 double mutant. PCR products were collected after 28, 30, 32, and 34 cycles for ATA7 and after 20, 22, 24, and 26 cycles for ROC5. “g” stands for the control on genomic contamination and is performed on non-reverse-transcribed total RNA at 34 cycles for ATA7 and 26 cycles for ROC5.

(A) ROC5 expression pattern.

(B) ATA7 expression pattern.
meiotic cytokinesis and subsequent spore formation but have no apparent function in meiosis. Neither SERK1 nor SERK2 is expressed in the meiocytes at the stage of development in which the defect in the double mutant is observed. Instead, both proteins are present in tapetal cells in the wild type at the comparable stage. Hence, the observed failure to complete meiocyte cytokinesis in the double mutant is apparently the result of a previous defect in signaling between the sporocytes and the tapetal precursor cells.

In the serk1-1 serk2-2 and serk1-2 serk2-2 double mutants, the appearance of the developing meiocytes is different from their wild-type counterparts. Therefore, SERK1 and SERK2 might also be involved in meiocyte differentiation at the time of cytokinesis. We consider this unlikely due to the absence of expression of both genes in the wild-type meiocytes at this stage. The observed arrest in meiocyte cytokinesis in the double mutants is therefore more likely to be a consequence of the absence of the tapetal cell layer. In wild-type anthers, SERK1 and SERK2 proteins are highly expressed in the tapetal layer at the time of meiocyte cytokinesis.

The essential role of tapetal cells for pollen formation was demonstrated by ablation studies and genetic analysis. Ablation studies made use of genetic lasers in transgenic tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) plants. A tapetum-specific promoter (TA29) was fused to several cytotoxic genes to selectively destroy the tapetum during anther development. These studies show that destruction of the tapetum by the cytotoxic gene led to the production of male-sterile plants (Koltunow et al., 1990; Mariani et al., 1990). These studies are further supported by the identification of mutants that are defective in tapetum development. For example, ms1 and ms3 mutations that cause abnormal vacuolation and enlargement of the tapetal cells also lead to defects in microspores (Chaudhury et al., 1994; Wilson et al., 2001; Ito and Shinozaki, 2002). These studies indicate that destruction of the tapetal layer or defects of tapetal cell formation result in the failure of pollen development. Tapetal cells are important for pollen formation because they provide callose and other proteins important for the release of microspores from the tetrad as well as chemicals important for the formation of pollen outer walls (Mepham, 1970; Izhar and Frankel, 1971; Stieglitz, 1977). Based on the phenotypic deviations observed in the double mutants, we therefore propose that SERK1 and SERK2 perform a fully interchangeable signaling role required for male sporogenesis. Expression of SERK1 was observed in the ovule, female gametophyte, early embryos, and vascular cells of seedling roots, hypocotyls, and leaves (Hecht et al., 2001; Kwastaal et al., 2005). The SERK2 protein has the same expression pattern (C. Albrecht, unpublished data), suggesting that SERK1 and SERK2 are playing other roles in these tissues besides their role in male sporogenesis.

SERK1, SERK2, and Other Tapetum Genes

Two genes with a phenotype very similar to the one reported for the serk1-1 serk2-2 and serk1-2 serk2-2 double mutants have been identified in Arabidopsis. The EXS/EMS gene encodes a different putative Ser/Thr LRR-RLK (Canales et al., 2002; Zhao

Figure 9. Male Meiosis in the serk1-1 serk2-2 Double Mutant.

Micrographs of wild-type and serk1-1 serk2-2 double mutant anthers stained with propidium iodide and viewed by confocal microscopy. Bars = 10 μm for (A) to (J) and 20 μm for (K) and (L).

(A) Wild type pachytene chromosomes.
(B) serk1-1 serk2-2 pachytene chromosomes.
(C) Wild-type anaphase I.
(D) serk1-1 serk2-2 anaphase I.
(E) Wild-type anaphase II.
(F) serk1-1 serk2-2 anaphase II.
(G) Wild-type telophase II.
(H) serk1-1 serk2-2 telophase II.
(I) Wild-type pollen grain after mitosis I.
(J) serk1-1 serk2-2 degenerated tetrads.
(K) Wild-type mature anther showing pollen grain.
(L) serk1-1 serk2-2 collapsed anther.
et al., 2002). TPD1 encodes a putative secreted protein and is believed to be involved in the same signaling pathway (Yang et al., 2003). These two genes affect microspore formation as a result of the absence of a functional tapetal cell layer. In both the exs/ems and tpd1 mutants, as well as in the serk1-1 serk2-2 and serk1-2 serk2-2 double mutants, the absence of the tapetal cell layer results in an increase of the number of meiocytes developed. The reciprocal effect was recently observed in mutants of the MYB33 and MYB65 genes, where the tapetum cells are seen to expand at the expense of the meiocytes, finally resulting in complete male sterility (Millar and Gubler, 2005). Apparently, reciprocal control between the gametophytic and the sporophytic tissues is required to maintain the appropriate balance between meiocyte and tapetum fate in order to finally develop fertile pollen. Recently, it was shown that the AGAMOUS transcriptional activator controls microspore development by activation of the SPOROCYTELESS (SPL) gene (Ito et al., 2004). The SPL/NOZZLE (NZZ) gene, a nuclear protein related to MADS box transcription factors, is required for archesporial specification (Schiefthaler et al., 1999; Yang et al., 1999). The SPL gene is expressed in the sporogenous cells and their descendants, the sporocytes. Yang et al. (1999) suggested that SPL/NZZ regulates the expression of genes necessary for sporocyte cell fate determination. They further suggested that a signal from developing sporocytes is necessary for proper differentiation and growth of the adjacent parietal cell layer. SERK1-SERK2 as well as EXS/EMS and TPD1 genes clearly act downstream of SPL/NZZ since meiocytes are being properly specified in the former mutant backgrounds. During anther development, the SERK1, SERK2, and EXS/EMS genes are expressed in the same parietal cells and their precursors. Thus, SERK1 and SERK2 proteins, perhaps together with EXS/EMS, may be involved in the perception of a signal from developing microsporocytes to immature tapetal cells, as proposed by Yang et al. (1999) for EXS/EMS. The TPD1 gene encodes a putative secreted protein, is predominantly expressed in microsporocytes, and may represent the signal from developing microsporocytes to surrounding tapetal cells. There is molecular-genetic evidence that the TDP1 and EXS/EMS genes are involved in the same pathway (Yang et al., 2005). While the serk1-1 serk2-2 double mutant clearly phenocopies the tpd1 and exs/ems mutants, it remains to be determined whether the SERK1 and SERK2 proteins also act in the TPD1-EXS/EMS pathway or are part of a separate signal transduction pathway.

Different explanations have been proposed for the phenotype of the exs/ems mutant. The observed absence of tapetum cells and overproliferation of meiocytes can be the result of a failure to assume the tapetum cell fate of the descendants of the inner secondary parietal cells and the adoption of a meiocyte fate (Zhao et al., 2002). Alternatively, the formation of extra meiocytes is proposed to result from a failure to restrict archesporial cell fate in exs/ems mutant anthers (Canales et al., 2002). Our data suggest a model that is close to that of Zhao et al. (2002). In wild-type
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anthers, SERK1/SERK2-mediated signaling can be employed to provide the tapetum fate to the inner descendants of the inner secondary parietal cells and could also be required for maintenance of the tapetum fate in stage 5. The tapetum cells then provide a second unknown signal to arrest multiplication of the stage 5 meiocytes. In serk1-1/2 serk2-2 anthers, the observed extra meiocytes can then arise as proposed by Zhao et al. (2002) or from a series of extra cell divisions of the meiocytes. Presently, we have no evidence to support one or the other model for extra meiocyte formation. However, one can easily envisage the requirement of multiple, parallel signaling pathways involved in fate determination, maintenance, and proliferation in the developing anther (Figure 10).

**SERK Genes Can Be Coreceptors in Multiple Receptor Kinase Complexes**

While the expression of the SERK1 and SERK2 genes is fairly broad (Kwaaitaal et al., 2005), suggesting that both genes play a general role in plants, the phenotype of the serk1-1 serk2-2 mutant is restricted to tapetal cells in the anther.

Previously, we reported that overexpression of the SERK1 gene results in enhanced formation of embryogenic cells in tissue culture (Hecht et al., 2001). Comparing the formation of somatic embryos in culture of the serk1-1 and serk2-2 mutants using previously published protocols (Mordhorst et al., 1998) revealed no deviation from the wild type (C. Albrecht and S.C. de Vries, unpublished data). This suggested that there is redundancy in the proposed function of SERK1 in embryogenic cell formation in culture. We cannot exclude that this is due to the presence of the other member of the family due to the difficulty of molecularly characterizing homologous double serk1-1 serk2-2 mutant immature mutant embryos and seedlings simultaneously with testing their embryogenic potential in culture. serk1-1 serk2-2 mutants show no visible alteration in embryo development, suggesting functional redundancy of other SERK receptors during embryodvelopment.

As shown for the ERECTA family RLKs (Shpak et al., 2003), tissue-specific and redundant expression of functionally equivalent SERK receptors might play a regulatory role in different aspects of plant development. The SERK3/BAK1 gene has been identified as the coreceptor of BRI1 (Li et al., 2002; Nam and Li, 2002). The serk3/bak1 mutant phenotype is weaker than bri1, suggesting that SERK3 controls only a subset of the BRI1-mediated effects. Other SERK genes are therefore good candidates to mediate other elements of BRI1 signaling. Among the many phenotypic defects observed in strong bri1 mutant alleles is male sterility, although the defects have not been investigated in detail (Clouse et al., 1996). Brassinosteroids were actually first isolated from pollen extracts, where they are produced in massive amounts (Grove et al., 1979). It is therefore tempting to speculate that SERK1 and SERK2, being close homologs of SERK3, can mediate BRI1 as well as EXS/EMS-mediated signaling during anther development. BRI1 and BRL1 proteins have significant similarity to the EXS/EMS receptor (Zhou et al., 2004). An attractive scenario could therefore be that the SERK1 and SERK2 proteins act as coreceptors of the EXS/EMS receptor kinase in the anther and perhaps as coreceptors of other receptors, such as BRI1, in other tissues as well as in anthers (Figure 10). This may represent an analogous situation to, for example, the TGFβ complexes in animal cells where the presence of particular main receptors in combination with coreceptors defines the ability of the cell to respond to various ligands (Di Guglielmo et al., 2003; González-Gaitán, 2003).

**METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

The Arabidopsis thaliana Columbia ecotype was used as wild-type parent throughout. Seeds were surface sterilized, chilled at 4°C for 2 d, and then germinated and grown on plant growth Murashige and Skoog medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) supplemented with 1% sucrose at 22°C under a 16-h-light/8-h-dark photoperiod. One or two weeks after germination, seedlings were transferred to soil and grown to maturity in the same temperature and light conditions. The antibiotic plate assays were performed by supplementing the Murashige and Skoog medium with 25 mg L⁻¹ kanamycin or 15 mg L⁻¹ BASTA.

**RT-PCR Analysis and Primers Used**

RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and RT-PCR were performed as described by Hecht et al. (2001). For the detection of the SERK1 and SERK2 transcripts in their respective mutant backgrounds, cDNA synthesis was performed using random hexamer primers (Amersham Biosciences) instead of oligo(dt) primers. PCR products were collected after various cycles depending on the transcript amplified; the number of cycles used for each transcript is indicated in the figure. For the SERK1 and SERK2 expression patterns, the primer combinations used were V3 and S1utr3 for SERK1 and S1utr3 and the primers specific for this gene were ROC5-5 and ROC5-3. For the detection of the SERK1 and SERK2 transcripts in their respective mutant backgrounds, the primers used were V2 and S3 for SERK1 and S2-K2K1 and S2-K2K1 and the primers specific for this gene were ROC5-5 and ROC5-3. For the detection of the SERK1 and SERK2 transcripts in their respective mutant backgrounds, the primers used were V2 and S3 for SERK1 and S2-K2K1 and S2-K2K1 and the primers specific for this gene were ROC5-5 and ROC5-3. For the detection of the SERK1 and SERK2 transcripts in their respective mutant backgrounds, the primers used were V2 and S3 for SERK1 and S2-K2K1 and S2-K2K1 and the primers specific for this gene were ROC5-5 and ROC5-3.

**Molecular Analysis of Single and Double Mutants**

The serk1 insertion lines (rr 044330 for serk1-1 and rr 053021 for serk1-2) were obtained from the SIGnAL TDNA-Express collection (kanamycin
Genetic Complementation and Plasmid Generation

The entire open reading frame of SERK1 and SERK2 cDNAs was amplified by PCR from SERK1 and SERK2 full-length cDNAs (accession numbers A67827 and AF384696, respectively). The forward and reverse primers were engineered with an NcoI site to replace the SERK1 and SERK2 stop codons and allow an in-frame fusion with YFP. The primers used were S1-NcoF and S1-NcoR for the SERK1 cDNA and S2-NcoF and S2-NcoR for the SERK2 cDNA.

To prepare the SERK1 and SERK2 promoter constructs, a 2-kb region upstream of the start codons of the SERK1 and SERK2 genes was amplified from Columbia genomic DNA and cloned in the PGEM-T vector (Promega). The primers used were P1F and P1-NcoR for the SERK1 promoter and P2F and P2-NcoR for the SERK2 promoter. The PGEM-T cloned promoters were inserted into Sall-NcoI in a modified pBluescript SK+ vector containing the YFP gene inserted as NcoI-BamHI fragment in front of the Tnos terminator. The entire open reading frames of SERK1 and SERK2 as described above were then inserted as NcoI fragments. The resulting full cassettes were then subcloned into a modified pFluar vector via Sall-Smal (Stütje et al., 2003). These constructs will be further referred as Pserk1-SERK1-YFP and Pserk2-SERK2-YFP for the SERK1 and SERK2 transgenes, respectively.

These constructs were verified by sequencing and were electroporated into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain C58C1 containing a disarmed C58 Ti plasmid (Koncz et al., 1989). Arabidopsis plants homozygous mutant for the serk2-2 locus and heterozygous for the serk1-1 locus were transformed by the floral dip method as described by Clough and Bent (1998). Fluorescent T1 seeds were selected with a stereomicroscope using a DsRed filter. The selected T1 seeds were selected on plates containing both kanamycin and hygromycin.

Microscopy and Histological Staining

For the anther structure study, inflorescences of the wild type and the serk1-1 serk2-2 double mutant were fixed in 5% glutaraldehyde in 25 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, dehydrated in ethanol series to 95%, and embedded in Technovit 7100 according to the recommendations of the manufacturer (Heraeus Kulzer). Sections (7 μm) were prepared and stained with 0.25% of Toluidine blue.

Meiosis in wild-type and double serk1-1 serk2-2 mutant plants was analyzed by confocal microscopy, as essentially described by Peirson et al. (1997). Inflorescences of wild-type and double mutant plants were fixed overnight in acetic acid:ethanol (1:3) solution. The fixed material was then incubated in 10 mM Tris, pH 8, containing 10 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100 (v/v), and 0.2 μg/ml propidium iodide for DNA staining. After washing in Tris buffer, the flower buds were dissected under the binocular before observation. Samples were viewed with a Zeiss confocal microscope (Axiovert 100M equipped with an LSM510 argon laser with a 543-nm laser line). Propidium iodide fluorescence was imaged using the following settings: 543-nm laser → HFT488/543 → sample → HFT488/543 → mirror → BP560-615 → detector.

Fluorescence Microscopy

Anthers and root apices from transgenic plants harboring Pserk1::SERK1-YFP or Pserk2::SERK2-YFP transgenes were used for confocal analyses. Transgenic roots and anthers were analyzed using a Zeiss confocal microscope (Axiovert 100M equipped with an LSM510 argon laser with a 543-nm laser line). YFP fluorescence was imaged using the following settings: 543-nm laser → HFT488/543 → sample → HFT488/543 → mirror → BP560-615 → detector. Bright field was imaged using the following settings: 543-nm laser → HFT488/543 → sample → bright field. Autofluorescence spectral bleed-through was assessed by imaging the signal at the same time with the YFP channel that detects red fluorescence: 514-nm laser → HFT485/514 → sample → NFT635vis → BP535-590 → detector. Bright field was imaged using the following settings: 514-nm laser → HFT485/514 → sample → bright field. Auto-fluorescence spectral bleed-through was assessed by imaging the signal at the same time with the YFP channel that detects red fluorescence: 514-nm laser → HFT485/514 → sample → NFT635vis → LP650 → detector. The pinhole was adjusted for each channel in such a way that Z-resolution is equal (typically 2 μm). Amplifier gain for YFP and autofluorescence/spectral bleed-through channels are always set equal.

Transient Expression in Protoplasts

Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) mesophyll protoplasts were prepared and transfected as previously described by Russinova et al. (2004).

FLIM

FLIM was performed using a Bio-Rad Radiance 2100 MP system in combination with a Nikon TE 300 inverted microscope. Two-photon
excitation pulses were generated by a Ti:sapphire laser (Coherent Mira) that was pumped by a 5-W Coherent Verdi laser. Pulse trains of 76 MHz (150-fs pulse duration; 860-nm center wavelength) were produced. The excitation light was directly coupled into the microscope and focused into the sample using a CFI Plan Apochromat excitation light was directly coupled into the microscope and focused into the sample using a CFI Plan Apochromat

\( \text{CFP emission was selected using a 480DF 30-nm band-pass filter. Images with a frame size of 64 \times 64 \text{ pixels were acquired, and the average count rate was } 2.10^4 \text{ photons/s, for an acquisition time of } 90 \text{ s} \). (Borst et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2003; Becker et al., 2004; Chen and Periasamy, 2004). From the intensity images obtained, complete fluorescence lifetime decays were calculated per pixel and fitted using a double exponential decay model. The fluorescence lifetime of one component was fixed to the value found for SERK1- CFP (2.5 ns). The FRET efficiency (E) was determined by \( \text{E} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{t_{\text{DA}}}{t_{\text{D}}}} \), where \( t_{\text{DA}} \) is the fluorescence lifetime of the donor in the absence of acceptor and \( t_{\text{D}} \) that of the donor in the presence of acceptor at a distance (R). The distance between the donor and the acceptor was determined from the relation \( t_{\text{DA}} = t_{\text{D}}(1 + (R/R_0)^n) \), where \( R_0 \) is the Förster radius, the distance between the donor and acceptor at which 50% energy transfer takes place (Elangovan et al., 2002).

Accession Numbers

Sequence data and seed stocks from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under the following accession numbers: SERK1 cDNA clone (A67827, A1t1g17830) and SERK2 cDNA clone (AF384989, A1t1g04210). The serk1-1 insertion lines were obtained from the SIGnAL TDNA-Express collection, with the accession numbers 044330 and 053021. The serk2-2 insertion line was obtained from the SAIL lines, with the accession number 119-G03.
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