Transcriptional Profile of the Arabidopsis Root Quiescent Center[™]

Tal Nawy,^{a,b} Ji-Young Lee,^a Juliette Colinas,^a Jean Y. Wang,^a Sumena C. Thongrod,^b Jocelyn E. Malamy,^c Kenneth Birnbaum,^b and Philip N. Benfey^{a,1}

^a Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708

^b Biology Department, New York University, New York, New York 10003

^c Molecular Genetics and Cell Biology, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637

The self-renewal characteristics of stem cells render them vital engines of development. To better understand the molecular mechanisms that determine the properties of stem cells, transcript profiling was conducted on quiescent center (QC) cells from the *Arabidopsis thaliana* root meristem. The *AGAMOUS-LIKE 42* (*AGL42*) gene, which encodes a MADS box transcription factor whose expression is enriched in the QC, was used to mark these cells. RNA was isolated from sorted cells, labeled, and hybridized to Affymetrix microarrays. Comparisons with digital in situ expression profiles of surrounding tissues identified a set of genes enriched in the QC. Promoter regions from a subset of transcription factors identified as enriched in the QC. These studies demonstrated that it is possible to successfully isolate and profile a rare cell type in the plant. Mutations in all enriched transcription factor genes including *AGL42* exhibited no detectable root phenotype, raising the possibility of a high degree of functional redundancy in the QC.

INTRODUCTION

Stem cells, valued for their medical potential, have long been recognized for their self-renewal properties and lack of differentiated characteristics. These same features also render them vital engines of development; the process of building tissues and organs relies on the availability of a proliferative pool of undifferentiated cells. In animals, organogenesis is largely completed in the embryo, so that adult stem cells are primarily involved in homeostasis, germline maintenance, and repair of damaged tissues. To respond to environmental challenges without the benefit of locomotion, plants have evolved a radically different life strategy in which organogenesis provides morphological changes throughout postembryonic development. Continuous growth is a function of cell divisions in the meristems, which are maintained through the activity of stem cell populations.

In particular, the root meristem is an excellent system in which to study stem cell biology. The root meristem is a largely invariant structure made up of few tissue types that undergo predictable divisions and do not produce lateral structures (Dolan et al., 1993). Primary root tissues are organized in concentric cylinders of epidermis, ground tissue (cortex and endodermis), and stele from outside to in (Figure 1A). These, in turn, are made up of

[™]Online version contains Web-only data.

Article, publication date, and citation information can be found at www.plantcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1105/tpc.105.031724.

longitudinal cell files that originate from single cells termed initials (Scheres et al., 1994). Initials fulfill the minimal definition of a stem cell by producing two cells in every division: the regenerated initial, and a daughter cell that differentiates progressively upon displacement by further rounds of division. Two terminal tissues, the columella (central) and lateral root cap, are also produced by the activity of initials. Together, initials for all tissue types surround a group of four to seven mitotically less active cells in *Arabidopsis thaliana* known as the quiescent center (QC).

Nearly every animal system relies on local signaling to form a stem cell niche, or microenvironment, that promotes stem cell status (reviewed in Spradling et al., 2001; Fuchs et al., 2004). Laser ablation experiments have shown that this is also the case for the plant root and have identified the QC as the source of a signal that inhibits differentiation of the contacting initials (van den Berg et al., 1997). QC cells position the stem cell niche but also behave as stem cells in their own right. Occasional QC divisions are self-renewing and replenish initials that have been displaced from their position (Kidner et al., 2000).

Little is known about the molecular mechanisms that determine the properties of the QC or initial cells. Stem cell fate has been correlated with the position of a local maximum of auxin phytohormone perception in the QC and columella root cap initials (Sabatini et al., 1999). Auxin signaling is necessary for QC initiation in the embryo (Hardtke and Berleth, 1998; Hamann et al., 2002) and may direct expression of the *PLETHORA1* (*PLT1*) and *PLT2* transcription factors, which function redundantly in specifying QC fate (Aida et al., 2004). The *SCARECROW* (*SCR*) and *SHORT-ROOT* (*SHR*) transcriptional regulators were initially isolated for their role in radial patterning (Benfey et al., 1993) but are also required for QC function (Sabatini et al., 2003). In *plt1 plt2* as well as in *scr* and *shr* mutants, some QC markers are not

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail philip. benfey@duke.edu; fax 919-613-8177.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantcell.org) is: Philip N. Benfey (philip.benfey@duke.edu).



Figure 1. ET433 Enhancer Trap Expression.

(A) Scheme of the Arabidopsis root tip. Tissues are depicted in a median longitudinal section with corresponding initials in lighter color at the base of each cell file. Epidermis (orange), cortex (yellow), endodermis (green), and stele (red) make up most of the root, and lateral (turquoise) and columella (blue) root caps surround the apex. Epidermis and lateral root cap share a common initial, as do endodermis and cortex. Initial cells surround the QC (white).

(B) ET433 staining in a lateral root tip after emergence from the primary root. Pattern is identical in primary root tips at 7 d after germination. Arrowheads indicate QC cells.

expressed and the root meristem progressively loses its ability to undergo cell divisions (Sabatini et al., 2003; Aida et al., 2004). Recently, ectopic expression of *CLAVATA3* (*CLV3*) and related putative ligands suggested the existence of a *CLV* signaling pathway involved in regulating cell divisions in the root meristem (Casamitjana-Martinez et al., 2003; Hobe et al., 2003). *CLV3* signaling through the *CLV1* receptor kinase defines an autoregulatory loop in the shoot meristem that maintains the size of the stem cell population (Fletcher et al., 1999; Jeong et al., 1999; Trotochaud et al., 1999).

Most of what is known about stem cell function involves regulation either by transcription factors (SCR, SHR, or PLT) or signal transduction pathways that produce transcriptional changes (auxin and possibly a CLV pathway). Thus, at least some of the differences between these cells and their differentiating offspring must occur at the level of gene expression. Fundamental questions remain regarding what transcriptional features distinguish the QC and to what extent stem cells in root and shoot share molecular machinery.

Here, we define a set of genes enriched in the QC. To produce this gene set, we first generated a QC-enriched marker based on *cis* regulatory sequences of the MADS box transcription factor *AGAMOUS-LIKE 42 (AGL42)*. Using a cell-sorting strategy, we obtained the transcriptional profile of the QC and compared it against a high-resolution spatial map, or digital in situ, of gene expression in the root (Birnbaum et al., 2003). This analysis provides a broad picture of which genes contribute to QC activity and maintenance and forms the basis for a reverse genetic screen aimed at assigning roles for these factors. Our finding that none of the transcription factors surveyed, including *AGL42* and several floral regulators, gave rise to root phenotypes when mutated argues for a significant degree of redundancy among transcriptional regulators in the QC. We describe the use of the root digital in situ in combination with phylogenetic information as a strategy to narrow the focus of multiple mutant combinations in reverse genetic analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Expression of the AGL42 MADS Box Gene Marks the QC

To initiate a reverse genetic characterization of the root stem cell niche, we screened an enhancer trap library carrying the β -glucuronidase (GUS) reporter driven by a minimal promoter (Malamy and Benfey, 1997). One line, ET433, showed striking expression in the QC cells, with much weaker expression in cells proximal to the QC (Figure 1B). Flanking sequences isolated from the tagged locus revealed that ET433 was inserted in the first intron of the MADS box gene *AGL42* (Figure 2A).

We were interested in using the *AGL42* expression pattern as a vital marker of QC identity. To this end, we created a series of transgenes bearing presumptive *cis* element–containing sequences of *AGL42* fused to green fluorescent protein (*GFP*) (Figure 2A). Neither the promoter with the 5' untranslated region (UTR) nor a fragment of the first intron upstream of the ET433 insertion site conferred expression detectable with confocal laser scanning microscopy. In combination, however, the promoter, 5' UTR, first exon, and complete first intron (referred to as *AGL42:GFP*) recapitulated the enhancer trap pattern (Figure 2B), reminiscent of the regulation of AGAMOUS by *cis* elements within its large second intron (Sieburth and Meyerowitz, 1997; Deyholos and Sieburth, 2000).

Isolation of the AGL42:GFP Marked Population

Acquiring a snapshot of transcription for a specific tissue requires that it be isolated from the rest of the organism. Large QCs such as those found in the maize root are amenable to microdissection, but this approach would be extremely challenging given the four- to seven-cell size of the Arabidopsis QC. As an alternative, Birnbaum et al. (2003) developed a method whereby roots possessing a subpopulation of fluorescing cells can be protoplasted and sorted without significantly disturbing their transcriptional status. Using this technique, we were able to sort AGL42:GFP-positive cells, then extract, label, and amplify their RNA. Plants were harvested at 4 d after germination, when expression was most tightly restricted to the QC (Figures 2B and 2C). However, a small fraction of the roots also exhibited weak expression in stele and ground tissue initials at this stage.

The low number of cells marked by *AGL42* expression poses a unique challenge for sorting, because even a small proportion of incorrectly sorted cells can skew the RNA pool. We limited the possibility of collecting autofluorescent background cells by using a very conservative fluorescence gate (Figure 2D). Wildtype roots with no GFP expression sorted using similar parameters collected <10% of the number of positive cells in the AGL42 sort (data not shown). In addition, only tips were harvested from the seedling roots to reduce the proportion of nonfluorescing



Figure 2. AGL42 cis Elements Mark the QC for Cell Sorting.

(A) AGL42 genomic region (top), indicating exons (closed boxes) with corresponding protein-coding domains above, 5' and 3' UTRs (open boxes), and ET433 enhancer trap insertion site and orientation in the first intron. Promoter (construct I), partial first intron (construct II), and promoter plus intron (construct III) fusions to GFP are indicated with corresponding lengths of promoter and intron sequence. Note that terminator sequences (light green) were not derived from AGL42. MADS, MADS DNA binding domain; I, intervening region; K, K domain; C, C-terminal domain; TL, signal sequence for endoplasmic reticulum; block arrow, -46 minimal promoter from *Cauliflower mosaic virus* 35S promoter used in ET433.

(B) Overlay of GFP (green) and propidium iodide (red) channels of construct III imaged at 4 d after germination. The inset shows the GFP channel only. (C) Same as (B) at 7 d after germination.

(D) Fluorescence-activated cell sorter acquisition dot plots. Each dot corresponds to a single sorting event, and only cells with a high ratio of green to orange fluorescence (within the trapezoidal R4 gate) are collected. Background protoplasts show nearly equivalent levels of orange and green autofluorescence.

cells. After hybridization to Affymetrix ATH1 microarrays, we obtained two clean replicates, the transcriptional profile of which had a correlation coefficient of 0.90.

Comparison with Other Root Tissues Defines a Set of QC-Enriched Transcripts

Previously, we had obtained global expression profiles for stele, ground tissue, atrichoblasts (epidermal cells lacking root hairs), and lateral root cap (Birnbaum et al., 2003). To identify the set of genes specifically enriched in the QC, it was necessary to compare AGL42:GFP with all surrounding tissues. However, a critical tissue, the columella root cap, was not represented in the data set. As a first step, we expanded the spatial expression map to include columella root cap by sorting seedlings expressing the PET111 enhancer trap (see Supplemental Figure 1 online). This reporter marks all columella cells except for the initials.

Next, we performed a linear mixed-model analysis of variance on the microarray data using SAS statistical software (Cary, NC). This strategy disregards mismatch probe data that can artificially dampen signal while removing technical noise, modeled on the Affymetrix GeneChip platform (Wolfinger et al., 2001; Chu et al., 2002). The analysis yielded p values for pairwise comparisons of AGL42:GFP against each of the other cell populations on a pergene basis. These were converted to q values representing the false-discovery rate parameter to correct for multiplicity of testing (Storey and Tibshirani, 2003). Expression means and the pairwise comparison results with p and q values for \sim 22,000 genes are available in Supplemental Tables 2 and 3 online. To determine enrichment, we applied a rigid q value cutoff corresponding to less than one predicted false positive (q < 0.0001 for all except columella, which is q < 0.001) and required a fold change of at least 1.5 for every pairwise comparison. Output was filtered further to remove sucrose and protoplasting effects (see Methods and Supplemental Table 1 online), resulting in a final set of 290 QC-enriched transcripts (Tables 1 and 2). No transcripts were significantly depleted in AGL42-expressing cells using these criteria.

Several genes with known QC expression were found in the QC-enriched gene set, validating our approach (Figure 3A). The PLT1 and PLT2 genes were recently shown to play a key role in QC establishment and maintenance, and PLT1 expression is tightly restricted to the QC region (Aida et al., 2004). Genes expressed in QC plus other tissues, such as PIN-FORMED4 (AtPIN4), SCARECROW (SCR), PHABULOSA, and HOMEOBOX-LIKE8 (AtHB-8), were only enriched significantly over those tissues that lacked expression, confirming the accuracy of this method. AGL42 itself was not enriched over stele, presumably because of its low-level expression in the initials. By contrast, genes expressed ubiquitously in the meristem, such as AUX1, were not enriched significantly in the QC over any other tissue. These results strongly suggest that the transcriptional content of the QC is represented in the AGL42:GFP profile. Some genes with robust stele expression, such as WOODEN LEG and SHORT-ROOT (SHR), appeared with relatively high expression in the AGL42:GFP data, but, with the exception of AtPIN1, none was enriched significantly in the AGL42 sorted population over other tissues. This provides further evidence that a small fraction of the sorted cells were derived from stele cells proximal to the QC and likely explains why some factors involved in cell division, such as genes for cell expansion, nucleotide and amino acid biosynthesis, and DNA polymerase subunits, were enriched in the sort data. A potential G1-associated cyclin, CYCD3:2

Table 1	Number	of	Enriched	Genes	hv	Category
	TAUTIDEI	U.	LINGUEU	Genes	ωy	Oalegory

Category	No. Enriched
Hormone-related	
Auxin	5
Gibberellic acid	3
Brassinosteroid	1
Receptor-like kinases	16
Phosphatidylinositol and Ca ²⁺ signaling	5
Transcriptional regulators	37
General transcription	11
Protein turnover	12
RNA silencing	4
Cell division	2
DNA replication and repair	10
Cell wall	8
Cytoskeleton	7
Transporter, carrier, or channel	15
Disease resistance	4
Metabolism/enzymatic activity	63
Other	25
Unknown	62
Total	290

(Vandepoele et al., 2002), was enriched, in addition to the DNA replication licensing factor *PROLIFERA* that is present in dividing cells (Springer et al., 1995, 2000).

Promoters of QC-Enriched Genes Confer QC-Specific Expression

As a further test of the data and of our statistical approach, we cloned the promoters of seven putative transcription factors predicted to be enriched in the QC. We fused them to GFP and introduced the constructs into plants. Three of these lines exhibited expression in the QC as well as weaker expression in either stele and ground tissue or columella root cap (Figures 3B and 4A to 4C). One promoter region conferred expression in the columella initials (not represented in the PET111 sort) and the QC (Figure 4D). A fifth was found predominantly in the stele, and two did not give any expression. Together, these results support the validity of the QC transcriptional profile and the comparative approach for determining statistically significant enrichment.

Phytohormone-Related Features of the QC-Enriched Transcript Pool

Among the enriched genes, we detected several themes, including several indications that phytohormones play important roles in stem cell processes (Tables 1 and 2). Auxin signaling has been implicated in the maintenance of an apical-basal axis and is required for the production of distal cell types that make up the embryonic root (Hardtke and Berleth, 1998; Hamann et al., 2002). A local auxin maximum in the postembryonic QC and columella initials has also been correlated with distal patterning and QC fate (Sabatini et al., 1999). An auxin sink near the same location ensures that the maximum is maintained at a specific size (Friml et al., 2002). We detected two enriched transcripts, *SUPERROOT1 (SUR1)* and *SUR2*, which function as negative regulators of auxin biosynthesis (Boerjan et al., 1995; Barlier et al., 2000), suggesting that active inhibition of biosynthesis may be a mechanism for limiting auxin levels in parts of the root meristem.

In the presence of transported auxin, bioactive gibberellin (GA) has been shown to promote wild-type root growth by affecting cell expansion (Olszewski et al., 2002; Fu and Harberd, 2003). We identified *ent*-kaurene oxidases involved in the early steps of GA biosynthesis and confirmed QC enrichment of *ent*-kaurene synthetase A (GA1), previously shown to be expressed in the root tip (Silverstone et al., 1997). Localization of these enzymes in proximity to the auxin peak is consistent with the finding that GA biosynthesis is upregulated by auxin (Ross et al., 2000). These findings suggest the existence of a GA point source that is centered at the QC.

Brassinosteroids have been correlated with root growth through the analysis of biosynthetic and signaling mutants as well as exogenous hormone application (Mussig et al., 2003). We detected *BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE1-LIKE1* (*BRL1*), which has previously been shown by GUS reporter analysis to exhibit high levels in the QC as well as in the columella root cap and mature stele (Cano-Delgado et al., 2004). *BRL1* was assigned a role in promoting xylem differentiation at the expense of phloem, but effects on meristem function or root growth have not been documented.

Table 2. All Enriched Transcripts by Category

AGI No.ª	Description	Gene Name(s)	Mean ^b	Ratio ^c
Auxin				
At2a20610	Tvr aminotransferase	SUPERROOT1 (SUR1): RTY/ALF1	8.7	5.5
At4g31500	Cytochrome P450 monooxygenase	SUPERBOOT2 (SUB2): CYP83B1	31.9	18.0
At3a62100	Aux/IAA family transcriptional repressor	IAA30	4.8	5.5
At2a21050	AAAP (amino acid/auxin permease):	<i>"</i> • • • • •	10.9	74
ALZ92 1000	ALIX1 family		10.0	7.4
At1a73500	Auxin offlux carrier		5.6	51
Cibborollin			5.0	5.1
	ant Kaurana aunthotopo A	GA DEEICIENTI (GAI)	2.0	2.0
At4902780	Cutechrome D450 ent kourone	GA - DEFICIENT (GAT)	2.0	5.0
Al3g25900	oxidase	GA-DEFICIENTS (GAS); CYP70TAS	11.1	5.9
At2g32440	Cytochrome P450 <i>ent</i> -kaurene oxidase	CYP88A	2.6	2.8
Brassinosteroid				
At1q55610	LRR X receptor-like kinase	BRI1-LIKE (BRL1)	1.4	2.8
Receptor-like kinases	·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
At4a21400	DUF26 receptor-like kinase		1.9	2.2
At4a21410	DUF26 receptor-like kinase		2.4	2.9
At3q58690	Extensin		2.4	2.0
At3q53380	Lectin receptor-like kinase		2.5	32
At1a34210			1.9	22
At5a45780			1.6	2.2
At5a67200			2.0	2.2
At5a51560			2.2	2.4
Alby51500 At2a45340			2.0	2.2
At1a11140			4.7	0.0
ALIG11140			2.4	2.4
At1g53440	LRR VIII-2; S-domain (type 1)		1.5	2.0
At5g48940	LRR XI Desenter like kinges		5.4	3.2
At5g13290	Receptor-like kinase		1.7	2.3
At5g39790	Pro-rich receptor-like kinase		1.4	2.0
At5g56790	Pro-rich receptor-like kinase		10.4	6.8
At5g15080			1.8	1.9
Phosphatidylinositol an	d Ca ²⁺ signaling		10.0	
At5g63980	3'(2'),5'-bisphosphate nucleotidase; PI signaling	FIERY1 (FRY1); AtSAL1	10.0	2.8
At5g10170	Inositol-3-phosphate synthase		3.9	4.9
At4g05520	Calcium ion binding EF hand		1.8	2.0
At3g56690	Calmodulin binding protein		2.0	1.8
At1g72670	Calmodulin binding protein		1.6	1.9
Transcriptional regulation	on			
At1g25470	AP2		1.6	1.7
At1g72360	AP2		2.5	3.1
At2g41710	AP2		2.0	1.9
At3g20840	AP2	PLETHORA1 (PLT1)	6.3	5.7
At5g17430	AP2		5.0	5.9
At1g35460	bHLH	AtbHLH80	3.2	2.8
At2g27230	bHLH		5.2	3.4
At3g19500	bHLH	AtbHLH113	2.8	2.7
At1g12860	bHLH with F-box	AtbHLH33	3.4	4.5
At1g21740	bZIP		1.8	1.6
At1q68640	bZIP	PERIANTHIA (PAM: AtbZIP46	4.1	5.6
At3a60630	GRAS	SCL6	3.9	1.9
At2g01430	HD-Zip	AtHB-17	1.8	2.7
At5g20240	MADS box (type II)	PISTILLATA (PI)	4.1	7.3
At5a47390	Myb		2.7	1.8
At5g11510	Myb (B2B3)	AtMYB3B4	1.6	17
At5a17800	Myb (B2B3)	AtMYB56	61	6.4
/ 109 / / 000		, awr 000	0.1	0.4

Table 2. (continued).				
AGI No.ª	Description	Gene Name(s)	Mean ^b	Ratio ^c
At5g60890	Myb (receptor-like kinase); Trp biosynthetic pathway	ALTERED TRYPTOPHAN REGULATION (ATR1); AtMYB34	9.2	12.1
At5g44190	Myb; GARP		1.3	1.8
At1g69490	NAC	NAC-LIKE; ACTIVATED BY AP3/PI (NAP)	8.6	6.3
At3q13000	NAC		1.5	2.0
At2a13840	Putative DNA binding		1.7	1.8
At4a22770	Putative DNA binding		1.9	2.1
At5a48090	Putative DNA binding		1.2	1.6
At5a51590	Putative DNA binding		3.0	1.8
At5a54930	Putative DNA binding		1.8	1.9
At1g14410	Putative DNA binding; p24-related		2.6	1.8
At5a51910	TCP		2.8	2.8
At1a16070	TUBBY	ATLP8	1.6	2.6
At1a76900	TUBBY (F-box)	ATLP1	4.3	2.5
At5a48250	Zn finger (C2C2) CO-like B-box	COL10	1.6	1.8
At3a50870	Zn finger (C2C2) GATA	HANABA TABANU (HAN)	1.8	2.7
At5g03150	Zn finger (C2H2)		2.4	29
At3a20880	Zn finger (C2H2)		82	8.3
At2a38970	Zn finger (C3HC4 BING)		4 1	4 1
At5q40320	Zn finger (CHP-rich)		1.8	20
At3a22780	Zn finger (CPP1-related)	TSO1	3.2	1.8
Transcription (general)		1001	0.2	1.0
At1g29940	DNA-directed RNA polymerase		4.8	2.2
At5g45140	DNA-directed RNA polymerase		2.5	2.1
At1a60620	BNA polymerase subunit (isoform B)		17	16
At3g02980	GCN5-related histone		1.6	1.7
At5a35330	Methyl binding domain protein	MBD2	23	1.8
At4g23800	Nucleosome/chromatin assembly factor (HMG homolog)	NFD6	5.3	2.9
At3g03790	Regulator of Chromosome Condensation (RCC1) family		2.5	2.0
At5a43990	SET-domain histone methyltransferase	SDG18: SET18: SUVB2	19	19
At2a18850	SET-domain histone methyltransferase		1.6	1.6
At2a17900	SET-domain histone methyltransferase	ASHB1: SET37	1.0	1.0
At5q44560	SNF7 family		3.9	2.8
Protein turnover	ord 7 family		0.0	2.0
At3a23880	E-box A3 subfamily protein		2.0	26
At1q47340	F-box A5 subfamily protein		1 4	17
At3a58530	F-box B1 subfamily protein		21	20
At3q03360	F-box B5 subfamily protein		2.1	2.0
At1q06630	F-box B7 subfamily protein		3.1	2.8
At4q05460	F-box C5 subfamily protein	AtERI 20	22	1.8
At1a30090	F-box D subfamily protein	AN BEEC	1.5	1.8
At1a68050	F-box E subfamily protein with PAS	EKE1	3.8	3.7
Atrg00000	and Kelch repeats		0.0	
A13030300	F-box family protein		1.4	1.7
At3g51530	r-box ramily protein		1.8	2.1
At1g4/5/U	finger (C3HC4 RING)		2.2	1.9
At5g65450	Ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase-like		1.5	1.7
RNA silencing				
At2g27040	AGO1-related protein	ARGONAUTE4 (AGO4)	5.7	2.8
At5g43810	AGO1-related protein	PINHEAD (PNH)/ZLL/AGO10	4.9	5.5

Table 2. (continued).				
AGI No.ª	Description	Gene Name(s)	Mean ^b	Ratio ^c
At3g03300	DEAD/DEAH box helicase	DICER-LIKE2 (DCL2)	2.1	2.3
At2g19930	RNA-dependent RNA polymerase		1.3	1.6
Cell division				
At5g67260	Cyclin	CYCD3;2	4.9	2.5
At4g02060	DNA replication licensing factor	PROLIFERA (PRL1)	2.9	2.1
DNA replication and rep	pair			
At5g41880	DNA polymerase α subunit (primase) activity		2.4	1.9
At4g24790	DNA polymerase III–like γ subunit		1.5	1.9
At2g41460	DNA (apurinic or apyrimidinic site) Iyase (ARP)		2.0	2.1
At1g19485	HhH-GPD superfamily base excision DNA repair protein		1.8	2.0
At3q22880	Meiotic recombination protein	AtDMC1	2.3	2.6
At3g24320	MutS family; mitochondrial	CHLOROPLAST MUTATOR (CHM)	1.4	1.9
At4a02390	Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP)	APP	1.6	1.9
At2a31320	Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP)		2.0	1.8
At3a14890	PARP DNA ligase zing finger		2.0	20
At1-21710	(nick sensor)		2.0	1.0
At1g21710	Purine-specific base lesion DNA N-glycosylase		2.6	1.9
Cell wall				
At2g31960	Callose synthase gene	AtGSL3	2.6	2.5
At2g35650	Cellulose-synthase-like gene	CsIA7	2.6	2.0
At4g31590	Cellulose-synthase-like gene	Cs/C5	3.2	2.6
At2g28950	Expansin	EXP6	1.9	2.5
At3g15720	Polygalacturonase (predicted GPI-anchored)		2.4	4.1
At3g53190	Pectate and pectin lyase		5.6	4.0
At2g26440	Pectin methyl esterase		4.6	7.3
At4g03210	Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/ hydrolase	ХТН9	4.6	5.4
Cytoskeleton	-			
At5g42480	DNAJ plastid division protein (ARC6-like)		2.7	2.3
At5g48360	Formin homology-2 (FH2) domain		3.3	3.4
At5q55000	Formin homoloav (FH) bindina protein	FIP2	2.5	2.1
At5g60210	Expressed protein slow myosin heavy chain 2		4.7	3.3
At4a33200	Mvosin	AtXI-I	1.9	1.7
At1a63640	Kinesin		2.3	1.7
At5a60930	Kinesin		1.9	2.2
Transporter carrier or	channel		1.0	<i>L.L</i>
At2g28070	ABC (ATP binding cassette)	WBC3	3.4	2.2
	transporter			
At2g26900	Bile acid:Na ⁺ symporter	AtSbf1	4.6	2.4
At5g36940	Cationic amino acid transporter-like		2.0	1.7
At5g53130	Cyclic nucleotide/voltage-regulated cation channel		2.5	2.3
At5g57100	Drug/metabolite transporter superfamily		3.7	3.0
At3q05290	Mitochondrial carrier	PHT2	3.0	2.2
At5q01500	Mitochondrial carrier		4.6	2.6
At5g09690	Mitochondrial mRNA splicing-2 protein; Mg transporter		2.6	3.0

Table 2. (continued).				
AGI No.ª	Description	Gene Name(s)	Mean ^b	Ratio ^c
At1g33110	Multiantimicrobial extrusion family (MATE) transporter		3.4	4.0
At3g17650	Oligopeptide transporter OPT		2.1	1.9
At3g47950	Plasma membrane H ⁺ -ATPase	AHA4	1.1	1.6
At1g59740	Proton-dependent oligopeptide transporter	MRS2	5.7	7.3
At2a26180	SF sugar porter		3.3	2.6
At2a16990	Tetracycline transporter-like		1.6	2.2
At4g33670	Voltage-gated K ⁺ channel ß subunit		3.4	2.2
Disease resistance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
At1a58410	CNL (CC-NBS-LRR) class protein		1.8	2.4
At1a72840	TNL (TIR-NBS-LRR) class protein		3.7	3.9
At1g72850	TN (TIR-NBS) class putative disease		4.9	6.0
	resistance protein			
At4a09940	Avirulence-induced gene (AIG1) family		2.1	3.9
Metabolism/enzyme	······································			
At1g62960	1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (1-ACC) synthase	ACS10	1.7	1.9
At1g20490	4-Coumarate:CoA ligase 1 (4-coumarov/-CoA synthase 1)	4CL1	4.8	4.1
At4a39940	Adenosine-5-phosphosulfate-kinase		10.8	89
At5g08380	α-Galactosidase		2.8	3.0
At1a55510	a-Galactosidase		1.8	20
At5g09300	a-Ketoacid decarboxylase E1 subunit		11.6	5.8
At3q55850	Amidohydrolase	I ONG AFTER FAR-RED3 (I AF3)	18	1.8
At3a/70/0	B-D-Glucan explydrolase		2.3	3.1
At4a09510	β-Eructofuranosidase		19	17
At5a57850	Branched-chain amino acid		1.5	1.7
Alogo7000	aminotransferase		1.0	1.7
At1g50110	Branched-chain amino acid aminotransferase		2.6	1.9
At1g53520	Chalcone-flavanone isomerase-related		1.6	2.0
At1g69370	Chorismate mutase (Phe biosynthesis)	CM3	1.8	1.6
At3g57470	Cys-type endopeptidase activity		1.7	2.2
At2g46650	Cytochrome b ₅		5.6	7.4
At4g15920	Cytochrome c oxidoreductase		3.1	3.6
At4g12300	Cytochrome P450; flavonoid 3', 5'-hydroxylase	CYP706A	2.5	3.2
At4g39950	Cytochrome P450; N-hydroxylase for Trp	CYP79B	29.6	15.7
At1g72040	Deoxyguanosine kinase		3.6	2.5
At3g23570	Dienelactone hydrolase		11.6	9.3
At1g48430	Dihydroxyacetone kinase		1.4	2.0
At1g12130	Flavin-containing monooxygenase		1.4	2.2
At1q49390	Flavonol synthase		2.1	2.8
At4a37550	Formamidase		1.7	2.7
At1a66250	Glucan endo-1.3-8-glucosidase		3.3	2.7
At4g29360	Glucan endo-1.3-β-glucosidase		2.7	3.1
At5a56590	Glucan endo-1.3-β-glucosidase		1.8	1.8
At5g27380	Glutathione synthetase	GSH2	7.8	3.2
At1a11820	Glycoside hydrolase family 17		2.2	1.9
At1g30530	Glycosyl transferase		1.1	2.1
At3a21750	Glycosyl transferase		4.2	5.7
At5q54690	Glycosyl transferase		1.6	2.0
At3q07270	GTP cyclohydrolase 1		4.5	2.0
At1a79790	Haloacid dehalogenase-like		1.6	17
	hydrolase family		1.0	
At3a25470	Hemolysin		5.0	2.4

(Continued)

Table 2.	(continued).	
----------	--------------	--

AGI No.ª	Description	Gene Name(s)	Mean ^b	Ratio ^c
At2g18950	Homogentisate phytylprenyltransferase family protein		2.5	3.2
At3a16260	Hvdrolase		1.6	1.7
At3a48410	Hydrolase		5.4	4.3
At2a04400	Indole-3-glycerol phosphate synthase		10.2	4.7
At3a14360	l ipid acylhydrolase–like		2.1	2.0
At3a53/150	Lys decarboxylase		2.1	3.0
At1a13270	Met aminonentidase		2.1	2.6
Attg 15270	Melvhdenum cofactor synthesis		2.0 1 7	2.0
A13935130	protein 3		4.7	2.2
At1g32160	Obtusifoliol 14-a-demethylase		1.5	1.7
At2g39220	Patatin-like acyl hydrolase		2.2	3.2
At5g13640	Phosphatidylcholine-sterol O-acyltransferase		2.6	2.1
At1g48600	Phosphoethanolamine		13.9	5.6
0	N-methyltransferase			
At1a74720	Phosphoribosylanthranilate transferase		1.7	2.2
At1a16220	Protein phosphatase 2C		17	2.3
At5a51140	Pseudouridylate synthase activity		3.1	2.0
At2a/0760	Bhodanese-like protein		23	2.1
At202500	Ribonueleoside diphosphate reductase		2.0	4.0
At2a17640	Sor apotultransforaço		0.8	4.2
Al2917640			2.0	3.0
At 1g43710			9.0	2.0
At4g11640	Ser racemase; Thr denydratase		1.1	1.9
At1g70560	Similar to alinase		11.6	7.9
At5g51970	Sorbitol dehydrogenase		4.9	2.6
At3g14240	Subtilisin-like Ser protease		6.0	4.8
At5g05980	Tetrahydrofolylpolyglutamate synthase		3.6	1.9
At3g06730	Thioredoxin		1.6	2.0
At2g41680	Thioredoxin reductase, putative		1.9	2.4
At3g02660	Tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase		1.7	1.8
At5g40870	Uridine kinase		3.7	3.0
Other				
At1g60860	ARF GTPase-activating (GAP) protein		2.6	2.3
At4g03100	Rac GTPase-activating (GAP) protein		1.4	1.7
At2g23460	Extra-large GTP binding protein	XLG1	2.7	1.9
At3g53800	Armadillo/β-catenin repeat family		2.0	2.2
At4g33400	Defective embryo and meristems (DEM)-like		3.6	2.4
At1a72070	DNAJ chaperone		1.4	1.9
At5a16650	DNAJ chaperone		2.7	2.3
At1a53140	Dynamin		2.9	2.0
At3a60190	Dynamin	ADI 4	1.8	2.1
At3a19720	Dynamin		2.4	16
At1a29980	GPI-anchored protein		14.3	9.8
At1a21880	GPL-anchored protein		5.6	27
At2a36730	Pentatricopentide (PPP) protein		1.0	17
A12930730	Peritatricopeptide (PPR) protein		1.2	1.7
Alzgz3050	phosphorelay		12.0	10.7
At5g46420	16S rRNA processing protein		2.0	1.8
At2g47250	RNA helicase		3.8	2.1
At3g26120	RNA binding protein		1.8	2.2
At5g23690	Poly A polymerase-like		1.6	1.8
At1g35610	Putative electron transport activity		1.7	2.2
At1g50240	Armadillo/β-catenin repeat family		2.5	2.0
At2g19430	Transducin/WD-40 repeat family		2.5	1.6
At5g15550	Transducin/WD-40 repeat family		5.3	2.3
At4g37110	tRNA aminacylation; protein translation		1.6	2.2

Table 2. (continued)).			
AGI No.ª	Description	Gene Name(s)	Mean ^b	Ratio ^c
At3g46210	tRNA nucleotidyl transferase; pro translation	tein	2.3	2.0
At1g13030	tRNA splicing		2.4	2.0
Unknown				
At1g08020	Unknown		1.5	2.2
At1q09450	Unknown		1.4	1.9
At1a09980	Unknown		5.5	3.3
At1a17650	Unknown		1.4	1.7
At1a21560	Unknown		1.9	2.1
At1a23370	Unknown		1.3	2.0
At1g29270	Unknown		4.6	8.0
At1a35612	Unknown		5.1	7.3
At1q48460	Unknown		2.3	2.1
At1g53460	Unknown		3.9	2.8
At1a61065	Unknown		1.8	1.6
At1a63260	Unknown		3.3	3.4
At1g67660	Unknown		1.5	1.8
At1a68080	Linknown		1.0	19
At1a68220	Linknown		3.6	22
At1a68820	Linknown		29	2.2
At2a03280	Linknown		2.5	1.8
At2g00200	Linknown		1.1	1.0
At2g05700	Unknown		1.0	2.0
A12923270	Unknown		2.3	17
A12920200	Unknown		1.9	1.7
AI2932590	Unknown		Z.Z E 1	2.2 6.5
AL2930370	Unknown		5.I 1 0	0.0
Al2939070			1.9	1.7
AL2945050	Unknown		3.4 5 0	3.7
Alog01010	Unknown		J.Z	3.4 1 7
At3g09000	Unknown		1.8	1.7
Alog 15051			2.7	2.2
At3g17680	Unknown		2.8	2.4
At3g22970	Unknown		4.3	2.8
At3g26750	Unknown		1.4	1.9
At3g29185	Unknown		1.4	1.9
At3g43240	Unknown		3.9	2.4
At3g43540	Unknown		1.4	2.0
At3g50190	Unknown		1.3	2.1
At3g50620	Unknown		1.7	2.0
At3g51290	Unknown		1.5	2.3
At3g53540	Unknown		1./	2.3
At3g63090	Unknown		2.2	2.4
At4g02790	Unknown		1./	2.1
At4g13140	Unknown		1.7	1.9
At4g16620	Unknown		1.5	1.9
At4g18570	Unknown		3.9	3.3
At4g19400	Unknown		3.3	2.4
At4g22890	Unknown		1.9	2.0
At4g24750	Unknown		2.3	2.0
At4g25170	Unknown		1.9	2.8
At4g35910	Unknown		2.7	2.2
At5g02010	Unknown		2.3	2.6
At5g12080	Unknown		5.7	3.9
At5g15170	Unknown		1.7	1.9
At5g23780	Unknown		1.3	2.2
At5g27400	Unknown		3.5	2.5
At5g29771	Unknown		3.9	2.0
At5g37010	Unknown		1.8	1.8

(Continued)

AGI No.ª	Description	Gene Name(s)	Mean ^b	Ratio
At5g41620	Unknown		4.6	6.2
At5g44650	Unknown		1.5	2.1
At5g47440	Unknown		6.6	9.1
At5g48960	Unknown		1.6	1.8
At5g51850	Unknown		2.1	4.4
At5g63040	Unknown		1.3	1.7
At5g65685	Unknown		2.1	1.8
At5g66180	Unknown		2.5	2.3

^a Arabidopsis Genome Initiative number.

^b Mean normalized expression of AGL42_{IV}:GFP sort.

^c Average ratio of AGL42_{IV}:GFP sort to five other root tissue sorts.

Absence of Phenotype in QC-Enriched Transcription Factor Mutants

To fulfill its critical function in the root meristem, the QC must be resistant to differentiation and able to undergo regenerative divisions to replace initials that have expired. It must also signal locally to inhibit the differentiation of surrounding cells (van den Berg et al., 1997), yet we do not have a good understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms that specify these properties. We reasoned that regulatory genes enriched in the QC might reveal roles in these processes when mutated. Therefore, we recovered and analyzed mutants for several transcription factors (Table 3).

To ensure that subtle phenotypes were not overlooked, one or two independent mutations in each gene were brought to homozygosity and assessed for differences in root growth on plates and for anatomical defects by confocal laser scanning microscopy. Three of the genes had previously characterized roles in the flower. *PERIANTHIA (PAN)* functions in regulating floral organ number (Running and Meyerowitz, 1996; Chuang and Meyerowitz, 2000). *PISTILLATA (PI)* is a floral homeotic MADS box gene that is required for the specification of petals and stamens (Bowman et al., 1989). In the flower, *PI* heterodimerizes with *APETALA3 (AP3)* to activate downstream targets, including *NAC-LIKE;ACTIVATED BY AP3 (NAP)*. *NAP* is



Figure 3. Verification of the AGL42:GFP Transcriptional Profile.

(A) Digital in situ of genes with known QC expression. Normalized expression values are shown for the QC (blue) and other root tissues. Expression in the QC is either significantly enriched over other tissues (red) or not (yellow). CRC, columella root cap; LRC, lateral root cap. See text for gene abbreviations.

(B) Expression of a GFP fusion to the promoter of C2H2 basic domain/leucine zipper transcription factor AtWIP4 (At3g20880), predicted to be enriched in the QC. Note the strongest expression in the QC.



Figure 4. Expression of Floral Regulators in the QC.

(A) and (B) NAP promoter fusion to GFP exhibits some stele expression and enrichment in the QC.

(C) *PI* promoter fusion to GFP has highest levels in the QC and young around tissue.

(D) PAN promoter fusion to GFP has highest expression in the columella initials and QC.

required for correct cell expansion in the petals and stamens (Sablowski and Meyerowitz, 1998). Interestingly, although both *PI* and its target were detected in the QC, the digital in situ of *AP3* suggested that it is entirely absent from the root.

None of the mutations in this study gave a root phenotype under the conditions we tested. Therefore, we narrowed our analysis to a single gene, *AGL42*, to attempt some alternative approaches to determining gene function.

Manipulation of *AGL42* Does Not Reveal a Role in the Root Meristem

To confirm the expression pattern of *AGL42*, we engineered a new marker that included the complete upstream intergenic sequence as well as mutated start codons (ATGs) in the MADS box (Figure 5A). These changes resulted in more specific but weaker expression in the QC using the GUS marker gene (Figure 5B). The lower level of expression was apparently insufficient to drive a nonenzymatic reporter, as the same regulatory sequences did not give rise to any fluorescence when fused to GFP (data not shown).

Both the ET433 enhancer trap (*agl42-1*) and an independent insertion in the first intron (*agl42-2*) (Sussman et al., 2000) resulted in a reduction of mature *AGL42* root RNA by one order of magnitude (Figure 5C). In addition to these, a series of insertion and point mutations (Figure 5A) were isolated and RNA interference was attempted (Figure 5C). No changes were detected in root anatomy in any of these or in the expression of the QC markers SCR:GFP (Wysocka-Diller et al., 2000) and AGL42:GFP in an *agl42-1* background or of QC-46 (Sabatini et al., 1999) in an *agl42-2* background (data not shown). Also, *agl42-1* did not enhance the phenotypes of the *scr-4* or *shr-2* mutants, both of which have disorganized QCs and compromised meristems (data not shown).

Because *AGL42* is a member of a large family of transcriptional regulators, one explanation for a lack of phenotype in *agl42* mutants is that in the root its function is redundant. In an attempt to overcome the potential masking effects of redundancy, we used a gain-of-function approach. An insertion in the 5' UTR (*agl42-3*) and experiments using *AGL42* cDNA under the control of the 35S promoter resulted in 38- and 70-fold overexpression of root RNA, respectively (Figure 5C). However, neither of these gave rise to a phenotype. MADS box genes function as dimers, and *AGL42* lacks a C-terminal Gln-rich region that has been associated with transcriptional activation in some other family members, such as *AP1* and *SEP3* (Honma and Goto, 2001). Reasoning that *AGL42* may also require a partner to act as an activator or repressor, we constructed a series of *AGL42* fusions

Arabidopsis Genome				
Initiative No.	Class	Gene Name	Allele(s)	Position(s)
At1g21740	bZIP		SALK_100864	Exon 1
At1g25470	AP2		SALK_059502	Exon 1
At1g68640	bZIP	PERIANTHIA(PAN)	SALK_057190	Exon 3
At1g69490	NAC	NAM-LIKE;ACTIVATED BY AP3/PI(NAP)	SALK_005010	Exon 2
At2g28550	AP2	RAP2.7	SALK_069677	Exon 6
At2g41710	AP2		SALK_111105,	Exon 6, intron 2
			SALK_151761	
At3g20880	Zinc finger (C2H2)	AtWIP4	SALK_014672	Exon 1
At5g11510	Myb (R2R3)	AtMYB3R4	SALK_034806,	5' UTR, exon 1
			SALK_059819	
At5g17800	Myb (R2R3)	AtMYB56	SAIL_587_D06,	Exon 1, exon 2
			SALK_062413	
At5g20240	MADS	PISTILLATA(PI)	pi-1	
At5g28770	bZIP	AtbZIP63	SALK_006531	Exon 1

Table 3. Mutations Analyzed for QC-Enriched Transcription Factors



Figure 5. Mutant Analysis and Expression of AGL42.

(A) Genomic region of *AGL42*, indicating positions of insertions (wedges) and point mutations (asterisks) for corresponding allele numbers. *agl42-4* is a splice acceptor mutation for the third exon, and *agl42-5* gives rise to a G113R substitution in the fourth exon. Below is a scheme of the complete promoter and intron, with exon 1 bearing mutated ATGs (small asterisks) driving the GUS reporter (construct IV).

(B) Whole-mount staining of construct IV at 7 d after germination showing tight QC expression.

(C) Real-time quantitative RT-PCR of AGL42 transcript in whole roots as ratios relative to wild-type Columbia (Col) root. RNAi, RNA interference; Ws, Wassilewskija.

to the VP16 strong activation domain (Cousens et al., 1989; Busch et al., 1999) and the EAR strong repression domain (Hiratsu et al., 2002, 2003). These were driven by AGL42 cis elements or the strong constitutive 35S promoter. Considering the possibility that the ectopic overexpressing lines became lethal or AGL42 was regulated at the level of nuclear localization, we constructed dexamethasone-inducible GR fusions of the AGL42-VP16 and AGL42-EAR cDNA with and without an exogenous nuclear localization signal. None of these lines gave rise to a root phenotype when grown on dexamethasone. Evidence that at least some of these constructs produced functional proteins comes from striking differences in flowering time in the 35S-AGL42-EAR construct and modest differences with the 35S-AGL42 construct compared with the wild type (data not shown). SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS1/AGL20 (SOC1) activity promotes flowering and is induced with similar kinetics to AGL42 during the floral transition (Schmid et al., 2003), suggesting a shared function in flowering for these two related genes.

The lack of phenotypes for *AGL42* and other tested transcription factors suggests a degree of redundancy that may not be circumvented through gain-of-function approaches. Numerous examples of redundancy exist in the MADS box gene family, and all involve closely related genes with overlapping expression (Ferrándiz et al., 2000; Liljegren et al., 2000; Pelaz et al., 2000; Pinyopich et al., 2003; Ditta et al., 2004). None of the genes in the *AGL42* clade, namely *AGL14*, *AGL19*, *SOC1*, *AGL71*, and *AGL27* (Parenicova et al., 2003), showed tissue-specific expression in the root. However, other members of the family, including *AGL16*, *AGL17*, *AGL18*, and *AGL21*, are expressed at relatively high levels in the QC (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). As single mutants, *agl19*, *soc1*, *agl71*, and *agl72* do not exhibit an obvious root phenotype, nor do the *agl42-1 agl19-1* and *agl42-1 soc1* double mutants (T. Nawy and P.N. Benfey, unpublished data). The time and difficulty of producing mutant combinations within such an extensive gene family invokes the need for detailed spatial information to guide further combinatorial genetic studies.

Analysis of Shoot Meristematic Genes in the Root

An important question is to what extent signaling pathways are shared between the root and shoot meristems. Both structures maintain a set of slowly cycling stem cell initials that occupy a niche formed by local signaling. In the shoot, the niche is



Figure 6. Digital in Situ of Shoot Stem Cell Signaling Genes.

CLV1 family of LRR receptor-like kinases. Normalized expression values are shown for the QC (blue) and other root tissues. Expression in the QC is either significantly enriched over other tissues (red) or not (yellow). CRC, columella root cap; LRC, lateral root cap.

defined by expression of the WUSCHEL (WUS) homeodomain transcription factor in a region below the stem cells known as the organizing center. WUS induces expression of the CLV3 ligand in the stem cells, and CLV3 signals through the CLV2 receptor and CLV1 receptor kinase to restrict WUS expression. The CLV1-like subfamily of Leu-rich repeat (LRR) receptor-like kinases includes 28 members (Torii, 2004). Although ectopic expression of CLV3 and CLV3-like ligands suggests that these genes function in the root (Casamitjana-Martinez et al., 2003; Hobe et al., 2003), attempts to knock out single or multiple receptor kinases have failed to produce any phenotypes. A possible reason for this emerges from analysis of the digital in situ: many members are either absent from the root or expressed in different tissuespecific domains (Figure 6A). Only At5g48940 is enriched specifically in the QC, but a handful of other receptor-like kinases show overlapping expression with the QC (e.g., At5g65700, At1g72180, At1g09970, At5g25930, and At1g73080). The most specific of these could serve as the starting point of a combinatorial genetic approach that incrementally incorporates related mutants with overlapping expression. This illustrates how the digital in situ can provide critical spatial expression data at the genomic level that can inform a multiple mutation approach.

Conclusion

Here, we applied a cell-sorting strategy to extract global transcriptional information from a rare and relatively inaccessible cell type in the plant. We surveyed a sample of QC-enriched transcription factor mutants, of which none exhibited a phenotype in the root. This may have been attributable to a number of reasons, including the fact that some alleles were not null and that expression was not independently confirmed for all of the genes. Also, although we used confocal laser scanning microscopy to detect potentially subtle phenotypes, some phenotypes may not have manifested themselves under our experimental conditions. However, most alleles harbored insertions in upstream exons that would be expected to completely abolish function, and four of five candidates tested by GFP reporter were enriched in the QC region, implying high accuracy of the QC profiling data. A more likely explanation involves a significant degree of functional redundancy in the root QC.

Genomic sequencing and the availability of large sequencetagged mutant collections are powerful reverse genetic tools when combined with the ability to discern overlapping expression. We propose the use of the digital in situ to limit the mutant combinatorial space and to generate hypotheses about the nature of QC function, such as in relation to phytohormone activity. It is currently possible to cluster genes based on functional annotations (Beissbarth and Speed, 2004). In the future, as annotations become increasingly accurate, this may be a useful way of grouping genes to reveal functional redundancy in addition to expression data. With the set of genes enriched in the QC, it should be possible to screen for and discover at least some factors that are required to perform the unique functions of this stem cell population, which is so critical for plant development.

METHODS

Plant Growth and Transformation

Arabidopsis thaliana seeds were surface-sterilized and grown as described by Benfey et al. (1993) except that the growth medium was prepared with 1.0% agar and supplemented with 1.0% sucrose. Plants were grown under long-day conditions (16 h light, 8 h dark). Transformation was performed on Columbia ecotype plants according to the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998).

Cloning of AGL42 and Isolation of Mutant Alleles

The ET433 enhancer trap was created as described by Malamy and Benfey (1997). Thermal asymmetric interlaced PCR was performed on ET433 (*agl42-1*) genomic DNA to isolate flanking sequences (Liu et al., 1995).

To isolate *agl42-2*, collections at the University of Wisconsin, Madison (Sussman et al., 2000) were screened for left and right border T-DNA insertions using the primers 5'-ACTGGCTTGTTTAGGGTTTCAATCTT-TAC-3' and 5'-GGTTACAATAGAAAGCCAAAAGGGACTTA-3' and confirmed by DNA gel blot analysis with *AGL42* cDNA probe. *agl42-3* corresponds to SALK_076684 isolated from a collection of sequence-indexed T-DNA insertion lines at the Salk Institute (Alonso et al., 2003). The *agl42-4* and *agl42-5* point mutants were recovered from the TILLING collection of ethyl methanesulfonate mutant lines at the University of Washington (Seattle) (McCallum et al., 2000). The *agl42-8* allele is a sequence-indexed line from the GABI-Kat collection (Rosso et al., 2003) bearing an *En-1* autonomous transposable element.

The pi-1 mutant was described previously (Bowman et al., 1989), and all other mutants were recovered from the Salk Institute Genomic Analysis Laboratory (http://signal.salk.edu/cgi-bin/tdnaexpress) as insertions from the Salk collection or the Syngenta SAIL collection (Sessions et al., 2002). Seedling DNA was collected using the Extract-N-Amp plant PCR kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Genotyping primers are listed in Supplemental Table 2 online. Primers with asterisks amplify the wild-type allele or the insertion allele in combination with a T-DNA primer: -46R, 5'-GCGTGTCCTCTCCAAATGAA-3' (for agl42-1); JL202', 5'-TATAATA-ACGCTGCGGACATCTAC-3' (for agl42-2); LBb1', 5'-GTGGACCGCTTG-CTGCAACT-3' (for all Salk insertions); GABI.8049, 5'-ATATTGACCAT-CATACTCATTGC-3' (for agl42-8); and SAIL.LB1, 5'-TTCATAACCAATC-TCGATACAC-3' (for SAIL insertions). Both agl42-4 and agl42-5 primers amplify derived cleaved amplified product markers (MaeIII-digested ag/42-4, 277 bp wild type and 220/57 bp mutant; BsmAI-digested agl42-5, 340 bp wild type and 150/190 bp mutant). The Arabidopsis Genome Initiative number for AGL42 is At5g62165.

Reporter Construction

For AGL42:GFP construct I, the 2.7-kb promoter and the 5' UTR were amplified from Columbia genomic DNA using 5'FF (5'-CCCAAGCTTG-GATCCCCCCTTCAAATAGGATATGCC-3') and PromR (5'-GCTCTAGA-GAATTCTTTCTGCTTTGACTTCATTTTTCTGCCAC-3') and cloned into pBluescript SK+ (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) as a *Hind*III*/Eco*RI fragment. This was removed with *Hind*III*/Sma*I for subcloning into the binary vector pBIN-GFP.Link, a pBIN19 derivative including a polylinker upstream of mGFP5-ER (Haseloff et al., 1997; Malamy and Benfey, 1997).

For AGL42:GFP construct II, the Int1F (5'-CCCAAGCTTGAATTC-TAGCTCTGAGTATGTTTTCTTC-3') and -46R primers were used to

amplify from genomic DNA prepared from ET433 plants, ligated to pCR2.1 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and dropped into pBIN-GFP.Link as a *Hind*III*/Bam*HI fragment.

For AGL42:GFP construct III, the MADS box and first intron were amplified from No-0 genomic DNA using Prom1F (5'-GTGGCA-GAAAAAATCAAGTCAAAGC-3') and Ex2R (5'-CATGCCATGGTCGTCT-TCTGCATACTGATGATC-3') primers and cloned into pAVA393 (von Arnim et al., 1998) containing a red-shifted GFP5 modified for N-terminal fusion (GenBank accession number AF078810). The 2.7-kb promoter, 5' UTR, and MADS box were amplified using 5'FF and Int1R (5'-CTA-GCTCTGAGTATGTTTTCTTC-3') and inserted into the intron-bearing construct by *Eco*RV/*Eco*RI. The entire fragment containing promoter, UTR, first exon, first intron, and GFP5 was subcloned into pBIN19.

For AGL42:GUS construct IV, to introduce mutations into the six ATG sequences in the MADS box, two rounds of primer extension PCR were performed using two sets of long primers containing point mutations at ATG sites.

The MADS box to the start of the second exon was amplified with Ex1.KpnF' (5'-AGCGGGATCCAAATTGGTACCAGGAAAGATAGAGAC-GAAGAAAATAG-3') and Ex1.3'R (5'-CCTGGTACCAATTTCTTGCTTT-GACTTGATT-3') and subcloned into pBluescript SK+ with a destroyed *KpnI* site using *BamHI/XhoI*. The full-length (3.4-kb) promoter was amplified using 5'Most (5'-ACGGGATCCAGTTATTCTTGCTTGTTTTT-GAG-3') and Ex1.KpnR primers with high-fidelity Phusion polymerase (Finnzymes, Helsinki, Finland) from Columbia genomic DNA. Promoter was cloned into the intron-bearing construct with *BamHI/KpnI* and subcloned into modified pDONR P4-P1R containing *BamHI/XhoI* using those sites. This was used for Gateway-based (Invitrogen) cloning (see below).

The GUS gene was amplified from pRTL-GUS (Carrington and Freed, 1990) and recombined with pDONR 221 for subsequent Gateway cloning.

For promoter-GFP constructs, the primers listed in Supplemental Table 2 online were used to amplify promoters for transcriptional fusions to mGFP5-ER (Haseloff et al., 1997). Reporters were constructed by recombination into the pDONR P4-P1R vector and subsequent three-way Gateway recombination into a modified binary destination vector (J.-Y. Lee, J. Colinas, and P.N. Benfey, unpublished data) to fuse to mGFP5-ER.

Cloning of AGL42 Loss-of-Function and Gain-of-Function Variations

Constructions for AGL42 gain-of-function experiments were made using the Multisite Gateway three-fragment vector construction kit (Invitrogen) with a binary destination vector modified to include a NOS terminator and resistance to glufosinate ammonium. AGL42 cDNA was placed in pENTR format by amplifying and recombining with pDONR 221. The nuclear localization signal and the Myc tag were taken from pRTL2 (a gift of Detlef Weigel, Max Planck Institute, Tubingen, Germany) by Ncol digestion and ligated to the N terminus of a partial digestion of pENTR-AGL42, which contains two Ncol sites. We amplified the HSV-1 a-Trans inducing protein VP16 transactivation domain (amino acids 413 to 490; Cousens et al., 1989) from pTA700 (Aoyama and Chua, 1997) and recombined product with pDONR P2R-P3. For EAR, complementary sense (5'-GGG-GACAGCTTTCTTGTACAAAGTGGGACTTGATTTGGATCTTGAGTTGAG-ACTTGGATTCGCTTAACAACTTTATTATACAAAGTTGTCCCC-3') and antisense oligonucleotides were mixed and recombined directly into pDONR P2R-P3. The entire VP16:GR region of pTA700 was amplified and subsequently recombined with pDONR P2R-P3. The 35S promoter was taken from pBS-35S (Helariutta et al., 2000) and inserted into pDONR P4-P1R.

For RNA interference, the GUS spacer from pCRII-GUS (Chuang and Meyerowitz, 2000) was subcloned into pBluescript SK+ with destroyed *Eco*RI and *Eco*RV sites. AGL42 coding sequence lacking the MADS box

was amplified from cloned cDNA (see above) using IKC.SenF (5'-AGCG-GATATCCCAGCAATCACGACTCACA-3') and IKC.SenR.Notl (5'-ATAA-GAATGCGGCCGCCCCAAATCATTACCTCACA-3') for the sense orientation and IKC.AntF (5'-AGCGGAATTCCCAGCAATCACGACTCACA-3') and IKC.AntR (5'-ACGCGGATCCCCCAAATCATTACCTCACA-3') for the antisense orientation. Sense product was cloned into the pBluescript-GUS using *Eco*RV/*Not*I. Antisense product was inserted into this vector with *Eco*RI/*Bam*HI. Both orientations and GUS spacer were cloned into the binary pCGN.

Microscopy

Histochemical staining for the GUS reaction was performed as described by Malamy and Benfey (1997), and roots were either cleared according to this protocol or as described by Liu and Meinke (1998). Light micrographs were taken using a Qimaging (Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada) Micropublisher 5.0 camera mounted on a Leica (Wetzlar, Germany) DM/ RXA2 microscope. Images were captured using Qcapture software by Qimaging.

For confocal laser scanning microscopy, seedling roots were stained in 10 mg/L propidium iodide for 2 to 5 min, rinsed, and mounted in water. Visualization was performed using a Zeiss LSM 510 system mounted on an Axioplan 2 microscope. After excitation by a Kr/Ar 488-nm laser line, propidium iodide was detected with a long-pass 560-nm filter and GFP was detected with a band-pass 505- to 550-nm filter.

Quantitative RT-PCR

RNA for quantitative PCR was extracted using the RNeasy plant extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) from root tissue of synchronized seedlings harvested at 7 d after germination. TaqMan reverse transcriptase (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) was used to synthesize cDNA. Quantification was performed using the Roche LightCycler real-time thermocycler with a SYBR green probe. The clathrin gene was included in all reactions to control for RNA quantity. Primers used were as follows: Clathrin.F (5'-TGACGTTCACGATACCTAT-3'), Clathrin.R (5'-AGGTCATATCCTAG-CCA-3'), madsboxF (5'-ATTGAAACAAGAAGCAAGCA-3'), and madsboxR (5'-CATTCTTTTGATGTAACTTGACG-3').

Cell Sorting and Microarray Analysis

AGL42:GFP and PET111 seedlings were grown, harvested, protoplasted, and sorted as described by Birnbaum et al. (2003). Briefly, \sim 30,000 seeds were used to obtain 1000 to 2000 GFP-positive cells. The Affymetrix small sample labeling protocol VII was used to amplify mRNA from the GFPpositive cells. The biotin-labeled complementary RNA was hybridized to the Arabidopsis ATH1 GeneChip array (Affymetrix) by the Duke Microarray Core Facility and Expression Analysis (Durham, NC).

One of the AGL42:GFP sorts was from seedlings grown on nutrient agar medium supplemented with 4.5% sucrose, and the other was supplemented with 1% sucrose. To control for sucrose effects, we profiled whole roots grown on the two sucrose concentrations (three replicates each) without protoplasting and subjected the results to a mixed-model analysis (Chu et al., 2002). Significantly overrepresented or underrepresented genes (q < 0.001- and > 1.2-fold enriched in either 4.5 or 1% sucrose) are listed in Supplemental Table 1 online and were removed from the QC-enriched gene set (35 of 325 genes).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Renze Heidstra for making the PET111 line available and Mike Cook of the Comprehensive Cancer Facility at Duke University for expert assistance with cell sorting. Special thanks to Mitch Levesque and Jeremy Erickson for statistical support and to Ben Scheres, Renze Heidstra, and Kim Gallagher for critical reading of the manuscript. We also acknowledge Jee Jung, Joe Franklin, and Betty Kelley for valuable technical help. This work was supported by National Science Foundation Grant 0209754 and National Institutes of Health Grant RO1 GM-043778.

Received February 11, 2005; revised May 1, 2005; accepted May 3, 2005; published June 3, 2005.

REFERENCES

- Aida, M., Beis, D., Heidstra, R., Willemsen, V., Blilou, I., Galinha, C., Nussaume, L., Noh, Y.S., Amasino, R., and Scheres, B. (2004). The PLETHORA genes mediate patterning of the Arabidopsis root stem cell niche. Cell **119**, 109–120.
- Alonso, J.M., et al. (2003). Genome-wide insertional mutagenesis of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Science **301**, 653–657.
- Aoyama, T., and Chua, N.H. (1997). A glucocorticoid-mediated transcriptional induction system in transgenic plants. Plant J. 11, 605–612.
- Barlier, I., Kowalczyk, M., Marchant, A., Ljung, K., Bhalerao, R., Bennett, M., Sandberg, G., and Bellini, C. (2000). The SUR2 gene of *Arabidopsis thaliana* encodes the cytochrome P450 CYP83B1, a modulator of auxin homeostasis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 14819– 14824.
- Beissbarth, T., and Speed, T.P. (2004). GOstat: Find statistically overrepresented gene ontologies within a group of genes. Bioinformatics 20, 1464–1465.
- Benfey, P.N., Linstead, P.J., Roberts, K., Schiefelbein, J.W., Hauser, M.T., and Aeschbacher, R.A. (1993). Root development in Arabidopsis: Four mutants with dramatically altered root morphogenesis. Development 119, 57–70.
- Birnbaum, K., Shasha, D.E., Wang, J.Y., Jung, J.W., Lambert, G.M., Galbraith, D.W., and Benfey, P.N. (2003). A gene expression map of the Arabidopsis root. Science 302, 1956–1960.
- Boerjan, W., Cervera, M.T., Delarue, M., Beeckman, T., Dewitte, W., Bellini, C., Caboche, M., Onckelen, H.V., Montagu, M.V., and Inze, D. (1995). superroot, a recessive mutation in Arabidopsis confers auxin overproduction. Plant Cell 7, 1405–1419.
- Bowman, J.L., Smyth, D.R., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1989). Genes directing flower development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 1, 37–52.
- Busch, M.A., Bomblies, K., and Weigel, D. (1999). Activation of a floral homeotic gene in *Arabidopsis*. Science **285**, 585–587.
- Cano-Delgado, A., Yin, Y., Yu, C., Vafeados, D., Mora-Garcia, S., Cheng, J.-C., Nam, K.H., Li, J., and Chory, J. (2004). BRL1 and BRL3 are novel brassinosteroid receptors that function in vascular differentiation in Arabidopsis. Development **131**, 5341–5351.
- Carrington, J.C., and Freed, D.D. (1990). Cap-independent enhancement of translation by a plant potyvirus 5' nontranslated region. J. Virol. 64, 1590–1597.
- Casamitjana-Martinez, E., Hofhuis, H., Xu, J., Liu, C., Heidstra, R., and Scheres, B. (2003). Root-specific CLE19 overexpression and the sol1/2 suppressors implicate a CLV-like pathway in the control of Arabidopsis root meristem maintenance. Curr. Biol. 13, 1435–1441.
- Chu, T.-M., Weir, B., and Wolfinger, R. (2002). A systematic statistical linear modeling approach to oligonucleotide array experiments. Math. Biosci. 176, 35–51.
- Chuang, C., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (2000). Specific and heritable genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **97**, 4985–4990.

- Clough, S.J., and Bent, A.F. (1998). Floral dip: A simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J. 16, 735–743.
- Cousens, D.J., Greaves, R., Goding, C.R., and O'Hare, P. (1989). The C-terminal 79 amino acids of the herpes simplex virus regulatory protein, Vmw65, efficiently activate transcription in yeast and mammalian cells in chimeric DNA-binding proteins. EMBO J. 8, 2337–2342.
- Deyholos, M.K., and Sieburth, L.E. (2000). Separable whorl-specific expression and negative regulation by enhancer elements within the AGAMOUS second intron. Plant Cell **12**, 1799–1810.
- Ditta, G., Pinyopich, A., Robles, P., Pelaz, S., and Yanofsky, M.F. (2004). The SEP4 gene of *Arabidopsis thaliana* functions in floral organ and meristem identity. Curr. Biol. **14**, 1935–1940.
- Dolan, L., Janmaat, K., Willemsen, V., Linstead, P., Poethig, S., Roberts, K., and Scheres, B. (1993). Cellular organisation of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* root. Development **119**, 71–84.
- Ferrándiz, C., Gu, Q., Martienssen, R., and Yanofsky, M.F. (2000). Redundant regulation of meristem identity and plant architecture by *FRUITFULL*, *APETALA1* and *CAULIFLOWER*. Development **127**, 725–734.
- Fletcher, J., Brand, U., Running, M., Simon, R., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1999). Signaling of cell fate decisions by CLAVATA3 in Arabidopsis shoot meristems. Science 283, 1911–1914.
- Friml, J., Benkova, E., Blilou, I., Wisniewska, J., Hamann, T., Ljung, K., Woody, S., Sandberg, G., Scheres, B., Jurgens, G., and Palme, K. (2002). AtPIN4 mediates sink-driven auxin gradients and root patterning in Arabidopsis. Cell 108, 661–673.
- Fu, X., and Harberd, N.P. (2003). Auxin promotes Arabidopsis root growth by modulating gibberellin response. Nature 421, 740–743.
- Fuchs, E., Tumbar, T., and Guasch, G. (2004). Socializing with the neighbors: Stem cells and their niche. Cell **116**, 769–778.
- Hamann, T., Benkova, E., Baurle, I., Kientz, M., and Jurgens, G. (2002). The Arabidopsis BODENLOS gene encodes an auxin response protein inhibiting MONOPTEROS-mediated embryo patterning. Genes Dev. 16, 1610–1615.
- Hardtke, C.S., and Berleth, T. (1998). The Arabidopsis gene MONOP-TEROS encodes a transcription factor mediating embryo axis formation and vascular development. EMBO J. **17**, 1405–1411.
- Haseloff, J., Siemering, K.R., Prasher, D.C., and Hodge, S. (1997). Removal of a cryptic intron and subcellular localization of green fluorescent protein are required to mark transgenic Arabidopsis plants brightly. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **94**, 2122–2127.
- Helariutta, Y., Fukaki, H., Wysocka-Diller, J., Nakajima, K., Jung, J., Sena, G., Hauser, M., and Benfey, P. (2000). The SHORT-ROOT gene controls radial patterning of the Arabidopsis root through radial signaling. Cell 101, 555–567.
- Hiratsu, K., Matsui, K., Koyama, T., and Ohme-Takagi, M. (2003). Dominant repression of target genes by chimeric repressors that include the EAR motif, a repression domain, in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 34, 733–739.
- Hiratsu, K., Ohta, M., Matsui, K., and Ohme-Takagi, M. (2002). The SUPERMAN protein is an active repressor whose carboxy-terminal repression domain is required for the development of normal flowers. FEBS Lett. **514**, 351–354.
- Hobe, M., Muller, R., Grunewald, M., Brand, U., and Simon, R. (2003). Loss of CLE40, a protein functionally equivalent to the stem cell restricting signal CLV3, enhances root waving in Arabidopsis. Dev. Genes Evol. 213, 371–381.
- Honma, T., and Goto, K. (2001). Complexes of MADS-box proteins are sufficient to convert leaves into floral organs. Nature 409, 525–529.
- Jeong, S., Trotochaud, A.E., and Clark, S. (1999). The Arabidopsis CLAVATA2 gene encodes a receptor-like protein required for the

stability of the CLAVATA1 receptor-like kinase. Plant Cell **11**, 1925–1933.

- Kidner, C., Sundaresan, V., Roberts, K., and Dolan, L. (2000). Clonal analysis of the *Arabidopsis* root confirms that position, not lineage, determines cell fate. Planta **211**, 191–199.
- Liljegren, S.J., Ditta, G.S., Eshed, Y., Savidge, B., Bowman, J.L., and Yanofsky, M.F. (2000). SHATTERPROOF MADS-box genes control seed dispersal in Arabidopsis. Nature 404, 766–770.
- Liu, C.M., and Meinke, D.W. (1998). The titan mutants of Arabidopsis are disrupted in mitosis and cell cycle control during seed development. Plant J. 16, 21–31.
- Liu, Y.-G., Mitsukawa, N., Oosumi, T., and Whittier, R.F. (1995). Efficient isolation and mapping of *Arabidopsis thaliana* T-DNA insert junctions by thermal asymmetric interlaced PCR. Plant J. **8**, 457–463.
- Malamy, J.E., and Benfey, P.N. (1997). Analysis of SCARECROW expression using a rapid system for assessing transgene expression in Arabidopsis roots. Plant J. **12**, 957–963.
- McCallum, C.M., Comai, L., Greene, E.A., and Henikoff, S. (2000). Targeting Induced Local Lesions IN Genomes (TILLING) for plant functional genomics. Plant Physiol. **123**, 439–442.
- Mussig, C., Shin, G.-H., and Altmann, T. (2003). Brassinosteroids promote root growth in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 133, 1261– 1271.
- Olszewski, N., Sun, T.-p., and Gubler, F. (2002). Gibberellin signaling: Biosynthesis, catabolism, and response pathways. Plant Cell 14 (suppl.), S61–S80.
- Parenicova, L., de Folter, S., Kieffer, M., Horner, D.S., Favalli, C., Busscher, J., Cook, H.E., Ingram, R.M., Kater, M.M., Davies, B., Angenent, G.C., and Colombo, L. (2003). Molecular and phylogenetic analyses of the complete MADS-box transcription factor family in Arabidopsis: New openings to the MADS world. Plant Cell 15, 1538–1551.
- Pelaz, S., Ditta, G.S., Baumann, E., Wisman, E., and Yanofsky, M.F. (2000). B and C floral organ identity functions require SEPALLATA MADS-box genes. Nature 405, 200–203.
- Pinyopich, A., Ditta, G.S., Savidge, B., Liljegren, S.J., Baumann, E., Wisman, E., and Yanofsky, M.F. (2003). Assessing the redundancy of MADS-box genes during carpel and ovule development. Nature 424, 85–88.
- Ross, J.J., O'Neill, D.P., Smith, J.J., Kerckhoffs, L.H.J., and Elliott, R.C. (2000). Evidence that auxin promotes gibberellin A1 biosynthesis in pea. Plant J. 21, 547–552.
- Rosso, M.G., Li, Y., Strizhov, N., Reiss, B., Dekker, K., and Weisshaar, B. (2003). An *Arabidopsis thaliana* T-DNA mutagenized population (GABI-Kat) for flanking sequence tag-based reverse genetics. Plant Mol. Biol. 53, 247–259.
- Running, M.P., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1996). Mutations in the PERI-ANTHIA gene of Arabidopsis specifically alter floral organ number and initiation pattern. Development **122**, 1261–1269.
- Sabatini, S., Beis, D., Wolkenfelt, H., Murfett, J., Guilfoyle, T., Malamy, J., Benfey, P., Leyser, O., Bechtold, N., Weisbeek, P., and Scheres, B. (1999). An auxin-dependent distal organizer of pattern and polarity in the *Arabidopsis* root. Cell **99**, 463–472.
- Sabatini, S., Heidstra, R., Wildwater, M., and Scheres, B. (2003). SCARECROW is involved in positioning the stem cell niche in the Arabidopsis root meristem. Genes Dev. **17**, 354–358.
- Sablowski, R.W., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1998). A homolog of NO APICAL MERISTEM is an immediate target of the floral homeotic genes APETALA3/PISTILLATA. Cell 92, 93–103.
- Scheres, B., Wolkenfelt, H., Willemsen, V., Terlouw, M., Lawson, E., Dean, C., and Weisbeek, P. (1994). Embryonic origin of the

Arabidopsis primary root and root meristem initials. Development **120**, 2475–2487.

- Schmid, M., Uhlenhaut, N.H., Godard, F., Demar, M., Bressan, R., Weigel, D., and Lohmann, J.U. (2003). Dissection of floral induction pathways using global expression analysis. Development **130**, 6001– 6012.
- Sessions, A., et al. (2002). A high-throughput Arabidopsis reverse genetics system. Plant Cell 14, 2985–2994.
- Sieburth, L.E., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1997). Molecular dissection of the *AGAMOUS* control region shows that cis elements for spatial regulation are located intragenically. Plant Cell **9**, 355–365.
- Silverstone, A.L., Chang, C.-w., Krol, E., and Sun, T.-p. (1997). Developmental regulation of the gibberellin biosynthetic gene GA1 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J. **12**, 9–19.
- Spradling, A., Drummond-Barbosa, D., and Kai, T. (2001). Stem cells find their niche. Nature 414, 98–104.
- Springer, P.S., Holding, D.R., Groover, A., Yordan, C., and Martienssen, R.A. (2000). The essential Mcm7 protein PROLIFERA is localized to the nucleus of dividing cells during the G(1) phase and is required maternally for early Arabidopsis development. Development 127, 1815–1822.
- Springer, P.S., McCombie, W.R., Sundaresan, V., and Martienssen, R.A. (1995). Gene trap tagging of PROLIFERA, an essential MCM2-3-5-like gene in Arabidopsis. Science **268**, 877–880.
- Storey, J.D., and Tibshirani, R. (2003). Statistical significance for genomewide studies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 9440– 9445.

- Sussman, M.R., Amasino, R.M., Young, J.C., Krysan, P.J., and Austin-Phillips, S. (2000). The Arabidopsis Knockout Facility at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. Plant Physiol. 124, 1465–1467.
- Torii, K.U. (2004). Leucine-rich repeat receptor kinases in plants: Structure, function, and signal transduction pathways. Int. Rev. Cytol. 234, 1–46.
- Trotochaud, A.E., Hau, T., Wu, G., Yang, Z., and Clark, S. (1999). The CLAVATA1 receptor-like kinase requires CLAVATA3 for its assembly into a signaling complex that includes KAPP and a Rho-related protein. Plant Cell **11**, 393–406.
- van den Berg, C., Willemsen, V., Hendriks, G., Weisbeek, P., and Scheres, B. (1997). Short-range control of cell differentiation in the Arabidopsis root meristem. Nature **390**, 287–289.
- Vandepoele, K., Raes, J., De Veylder, L., Rouze, P., Rombauts, S., and Inze, D. (2002). Genome-wide analysis of core cell cycle genes in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 14, 903–916.
- von Arnim, A.G., Deng, X.W., and Stacey, M.G. (1998). Cloning vectors for the expression of green fluorescent protein fusion proteins in transgenic plants. Gene 221, 35–43.
- Wolfinger, R.D., Gibson, G., Wolfinger, E.D., Bennett, L., Hamadeh, H., Bushel, P., Afshari, C., and Paules, R.S. (2001). Assessing gene significance from cDNA microarray expression data via mixed models. J. Comput. Biol. 8, 625–637.
- Wysocka-Diller, J., Helariutta, Y., Fukaki, H., Malamy, J., and Benfey, P. (2000). Molecular analysis of *SCARECROW* function reveals a radial patterning mechanism common to root and shoot. Development **127**, 595–603.

Transcriptional Profile of the Arabidopsis Root Quiescent Center Tal Nawy, Ji-Young Lee, Juliette Colinas, Jean Y. Wang, Sumena C. Thongrod, Jocelyn E. Malamy, Kenneth Birnbaum and Philip N. Benfey *Plant Cell* 2005;17;1908-1925; originally published online June 3, 2005; DOI 10.1105/tpc.105.031724

This information is current as of September 19, 2019

Supplemental Data	/content/suppl/2005/05/20/tpc.105.031724.DC1.html
References	This article cites 68 articles, 35 of which can be accessed free at: /content/17/7/1908.full.html#ref-list-1
Permissions	https://www.copyright.com/ccc/openurl.do?sid=pd_hw1532298X&issn=1532298X&WT.mc_id=pd_hw1532298X
eTOCs	Sign up for eTOCs at: http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/alerts/ctmain
CiteTrack Alerts	Sign up for CiteTrack Alerts at: http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/alerts/ctmain
Subscription Information	Subscription Information for <i>The Plant Cell</i> and <i>Plant Physiology</i> is available at: http://www.aspb.org/publications/subscriptions.cfm