The Proteolytic Processing of Seed Storage Proteins in Arabidopsis Embryo Cells Starts in the Multivesicular Bodies
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We have investigated the transport of storage proteins, their processing proteases, and the Vacuolar Sorting Receptor-1/Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor–Like Protein1 (VSR-1/ATELP1) receptor during the formation of protein storage vacuoles in Arabidopsis thaliana embryos by means of high-pressure freezing/freeze substitution, electron tomography, immunolabeling techniques, and subcellular fractionation. The storage proteins and their processing proteases are segregated from each other within the Golgi cisternae and packaged into separate vesicles. The storage protein–containing vesicles but not the processing enzyme–containing vesicles carry the VSR-1/ATELP1 receptor. Both types of secretory vesicles appear to fuse into a type of prevacuolar multivesicular body (MVB). We have also determined that the proteolytic processing of the 2S albumins starts in the MVBs. We hypothesize that the compartmentalized processing of storage proteins in the MVBs may allow for the sequential activation of processing proteases as the MVB lumen gradually acidifies.

INTRODUCTION

Seeds contain large amounts of different types of seed storage proteins, which serve as the primary source of reduced nitrogen for the growing seedling during germination. In developing dicot seeds, the most abundantly expressed storage proteins are members of the 2S albumin and the 7S and 11S globulin protein families. Precursor polypeptides of these storage protein classes are synthesized at the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), and the mature (processed) polypeptides of all of these three protein classes accumulate inside specialized vacuoles, called protein storage vacuoles (PSVs) (Muntz, 1998; Robinson and Hinz, 1999; Holkeri and Vitale, 2001; Jiang et al., 2001).

At least three different pathways have been recognized for the trafficking of storage proteins from the ER to the PSV: the Golgi-dependent dense vesicle pathway; the direct ER-to-PSV transport pathway; and the autophagic pathway. Although the Golgi pathway is considered the most prominent trafficking route in most systems, the prevalence of each of these pathways depends on the plant species, the tissue type, the developmental stage, the physiological status of the cell, and the storage protein class (Robinson et al., 2005). In legumes, globulin storage proteins traffic through the Golgi, where they form aggregates in specialized marginal buds of the cis-Golgi cisternae that progress through the stack (Hillmer et al., 2001) as the cisternae mature. Upon reaching the trans-Golgi network (TGN), the buds with the protein aggregates give rise to electron-dense vesicles, which lack a distinct coat (Hillmer et al., 2001; Robinson et al., 2005; Vitale and Hinz, 2005). The dense vesicles fuse with multivesicular bodies (MVBs), which deliver their contents to the PSVs (Robinson et al., 1998, 2005; Vitale and Hinz, 2005). In pea (Pisum sativum), the dense vesicles measure 150 nm in diameter and exhibit an electron-lucent peripheral layer enriched in sucrose binding protein, a minor 7S globulin homologue (Wenzel et al., 2005), and a dense central core containing the two major pea storage proteins, the 7S globulin vicilin and the 11S globulin legumin (Hohi et al., 1996).

Although most of the structural and biochemical studies of dense vesicles have focused on legumes (Greenwood and Chrispeels, 1985; Hinz et al., 1999; Robinson and Hinz, 1999; Hillmer et al., 2001), similar electron-dense buds attached to the Golgi have been observed previously in Arabidopsis thaliana embryo cells (Mansfield and Briarty, 1992). The formation of dense vesicles seems to require both protein aggregation and receptor-mediated sorting (Shimada et al., 2003a; Wenzel et al., 2005). A recent report indicates that the Arabidopsis Vacuolar Sorting Receptor-1/Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor–Like Protein1 (VSR-1/ATELP1) receptor, which sorts vacuolar proteins such as auranin and sporamin to the plant lytic vacuole (Ahmed et al., 2000) and localizes to the prevacuolar compartment (Sanderfoot et al., 1998), also mediates the transport of both 2S albumin and 12S globulin precursors to the PSV in Arabidopsis (Shimada et al., 2003a).

It has been postulated that the proteases involved in storage protein processing in pea are sorted into clathrin-coated vesicles in the TGN for transport to the PSV. This hypothesis is based on the detection of BP-80, another member of the VSR/ATELP receptor family (Hinz et al., 1999), in clathrin-coated vesicles. However, because of the apparent dual role of these receptors in
the sorting of both proteases and storage proteins, a positive identification of cargo molecules in the clathrin-coated vesicles in PSV-forming cells has yet to be reported.

In Arabidopsis, the PSV formation pathway appears to be very similar to the equivalent pathway in legumes. The Arabidopsis PSVs contain 2S albumins and 12S globulins, proteolytic processing enzymes, such as vacuolar processing enzymes (VPEs) and the aspartic protease A1, as well as phytic acid crystals called globoids (da Silva Conceicao and Krebbers, 1994; Mutlu et al., 1999; Chen et al., 2002; Gruis et al., 2002; Otegui et al., 2002). The Arabidopsis 2S albumins are exported from the ER as precursors that contain three propeptides (an N-terminal propeptide, an internal propeptide, and a C-terminal propeptide). These propeptides are removed posttranslationally by proteolytic processing enzymes (Gruis et al., 2002, 2004; Shimada et al., 2003b).

Transport of the storage proteins from the TGN to the PSVs in legumes occurs via MVB compartments, which act as prevacuolar compartments, as indicated by immunogold localization experiments (Robinson et al., 1998; Robinson and Hinz, 1999). In mammalian cells, endocytic tracers destined for degradation are segregated from recycling receptors as they traffic through the MVBs and before they reach the lysosomes (Geuze et al., 1983). For this reason, MVBs are also referred to as multivesicular endosomes (Gruenberg and Stemmark, 2004). One of the common functional properties of MVBs is their ability to invaginate membrane domains containing membrane proteins destined for degradation in lysosomes/lytic vacuoles (Katzmann et al., 2002). In addition to their function in the endocytic pathway, MVBs also traffic secretory cargo from the Golgi to the lysosomes/vacuoles, allowing for the recycling of receptors such as the mammalian mannose–6–phosphate receptor (Griffiths et al., 1988) or the plant BP-80 receptor back to the Golgi/TGN (da Silva et al., 2005).

The study of plant MVB functions is challenging because many plant cells, including legume embryo cells, contain two types of vacuoles, the lytic vacuoles and the PSVs, with storage functions (Robinson and Hinz, 1999). To further understand the function of MVBs in storage protein trafficking in the Arabidopsis embryo, we used a combination of structural and biochemical techniques. We demonstrate that storage proteins and processing proteases are sorted at the Golgi apparatus into at least two compartments, the lytic vacuoles and the PSVs. In addition, we show that during storage protein accumulation Arabidopsis embryo cells contain only one type of vacuole, the PSVs.

RESULTS

The Golgi Apparatus Produces at Least Two Types of Vesicles during the Formation of PSVs

To determine the structure and spatial organization of the secretory pathway in Arabidopsis embryo cells during PSV forma-
the 12S globulins (Shimada et al., 2003b), both in the precursors and in the mature forms. These aggregates do not change in size across the stack, suggesting that most of the sorting/aggregation is completed in the second cis cisterna (Figure 2C). At the TGN, only a few cisternal buds with storage protein aggregates were detected, suggesting that dense vesicles bud off either from this compartment or possibly from the trans-most Golgi cisterna. The TGN compartment also showed varying numbers of clathrin-coated buds (Figure 2C). In addition, the dense aggregates in the cisternal margins, the TGN, and the free dense vesicles were also labeled with antibodies against the VSR-1/ATELP1 receptor (Figures 3D to 3H; see Supplemental Figure 1D online), which has been suggested to interact with the Arabidopsis 2S albumin and 12S globulin storage proteins (Shimada et al., 2003a).

The vesicle-budding profiles observed in the reconstructed Golgi stacks and TGNs suggest that the trans-Golgi and TGN compartments produce three types of vesicles: 130-nm dense vesicles containing aggregates of storage proteins, 30- to 40-nm clathrin-coated vesicles with unknown contents (Figure 1A), and 30- to 40-nm vesicles without a detectable coat, some of which may be related to the clathrin-coated vesicles (Figures 1A and 2B). Double immunolabeling of aspartic protease A1, a seed aspartic protease (Mutlu et al., 1999; Chen et al., 2002), and the 2S albumins showed a spatial segregation of the two secretory cargoes at the Golgi. Whereas most of the 2S albumins are concentrated in buds in the cisternal margins, aspartic protease A1 appears to localize to the central part of the Golgi cisternae and the budding profiles of the TGN (Figures 3I and 3J). The detection of the processing protease β-VPE (Gruis et al., 2004)
on plastic sections was more problematic because of the low detection signal. Nevertheless, although few gold particles were detected when the anti-β-VPE antibodies were used, we are confident about the localization pattern observed because the gold particles consistently labeled the same subcellular structures and the background labeling was very low (0.2 ± 0.1 gold particles/μm²). On Golgi stacks, the anti-β-VPE antibodies labeled the central part of the Golgi cisternae but not the marginal aggregates (Figure 4A). We could not detect immunogold labeling of processing proteases in clathrin-coated vesicles or in any other type of vesicles located in the vicinity of the Golgi stacks. However, the absence of labeling on the clathrin-coated and noncoated vesicles may be attributable to their small size (30 to 40 nm) and the resulting limited number of molecules available for labeling in each vesicle.

Subcellular Fractionation Experiments with Brassica napus Embryos Support the Sorting of Storage Proteins and Proteases into Different Types of Vesicles

Because the small size of the clathrin-coated and noncoated small vesicles might have precluded us from determining their contents by immunogold labeling, we performed subcellular fractionation of secretory vesicles from developing (late bent cotyledon) Brassica napus embryos. We used B. napus for the subcellular fractionation because it has larger embryos than...
Arabidopsis and both species show a high degree of conservation at the protein sequence level. The subcellular fractionation method was based on a protocol developed by Hinz et al. (1999) and consisted of a combination of step and rate-zonal sucrose density gradients (Figure 5). Vesicles were first enriched by centrifugation in step sucrose gradients and subsequently fractionated by centrifugation in a continuous 20 to 55% sucrose gradient (rate-zonal gradient).

Based on immunoblotting, fractions 1 to 3 from the rate-zonal gradient were highly enriched in vesicles containing 2S albumin precursors and the VSR-1/ATELP1 receptor, whereas fraction 4 contained vesicles with the β-VPE and aspartic protease A1 processing enzymes (Figure 5). These results, together with the structural tomographic analysis, indicate that the seed storage proteins (2S albumins and 12S globulins) and their processing enzymes are sorted into different types of vesicles. No bands corresponding to clathrin were detected in any vesicle fraction when the membranes were incubated with a monoclonal anti-clathrin heavy chain antibody (data not shown). This is not entirely surprising considering that, in the past, it has only been possible to detect clathrin in vesicle fractions of pea embryos after collecting large amounts of cotyledons for the fractionation experiments (Hinz et al., 1999). The smaller size of the B. napus embryos makes it nearly impossible to collect comparable amounts of starting material.

In the pellet fraction of the 2500g centrifugation step, which, based on the abundance of the processed form of the 2S albumin (Figure 5, see immunodetection of the 2S albumin large chain) appears to contain the PSVs, the anti-VSR-1/ATELP1 antibody recognized two bands, one that corresponds to the receptor (~80 kD) and the other, also reported by Shimada et al. (2003a), that probably corresponds to a degradation product (Figure 5). In agreement with this observation, the anti-VSR-1/ATELP1 antibody labeled the vacuolar lumen of PSVs on plastic sections but not their tonoplast (Figure 4B).

To determine the purity of the fractions, we also tested the fractions with antibodies against well-characterized subcellular markers: Arabidopsis Ca²⁺-ATPase2 (ACA2) for the ER (Hwang et al., 2000), α-mannosidase (α-man) for the Golgi (Preuss et al., 2004), and Arabidopsis UBX Domain–containing Protein1 (PUX1) for the cytoplasm (Rancour et al., 2004) (Figure 5).

**MVBs Associated with Golgi Stacks Contain Both Storage Proteins and Processing Proteases**

Upon demonstrating that storage proteins and proteases were sorted into different types of vesicles, we determined the composition of the contents of the MVBs associated with the Golgi stacks. These MVBs contain dense protein aggregates that were labeled with antibodies raised against both the 2S albumin and...
Figure 5. Protein Gel Blot Analysis of the Subcellular Fractions from *B. napus* Embryos.

P2.5 and P16 are the pellet fractions collected after the 2,500g and 16,000g centrifugation steps, respectively. The 16,000g supernatant was applied onto a sucrose density step gradient (20, 35, 42, and 55% [w/v] sucrose) and centrifuged at 85,500g for 120 min. The 42 to 55% sucrose interphase, which is enriched in secretory vesicles (Hinz et al., 1999), was layered onto a linear sucrose density gradient (20 to 55% sucrose) and centrifuged at 16,000g for 20 min (rate-zonal gradient). The rate-zonal gradient fractions 1 to 4 were collected from the top of the tube. Fractions 1 (22% sucrose) to 3 (28% sucrose) contain the 2S albumin precursors and the VSR-1/ATELP1 receptor but not the processing enzymes β-VPE and aspartic protease A1 (AtAP), whereas fraction 4 (31% sucrose) contains the processing enzyme precursors but not the storage proteins. Antibodies against subcellular markers were used to ensure the purity of the fractions: *Arabidopsis* Ca²⁺-ATPase2 (ACA2) for the ER, α-mannosidase (α-man) for the Golgi, and *Arabidopsis* UBX Domain-containing Protein1 (PUX1) for the cytoplasm. Ls, 2S albumin large subunit; p, precursor.
the 12S globulin storage proteins (Figures 6A to 6C). Interestingly, the β-VPE and aspartic protease A1 processing proteases were also detected inside the MVBs (Figures 6D and 6E). We further confirmed the occurrence of storage proteins and aspartic protease A1 in the same MVBs by double immunolabeling (Figure 6F). This finding suggests that the electron-dense vesicles carrying the storage proteins and the vesicles containing the processing enzymes fuse with the same MVB compartment. In addition, we have detected the receptor VSR-1/ATELP1 in the limiting membrane and internal vesicles of these storage protein-containing MVBs (Figures 6G to 6I).

The 2S albumins and 12S globulins and the β-VPE and aspartic protease A1 processing proteases have also all been detected in the lumen of PSVs (Figures 4C and 4D; see Supplemental Figures 1A and 1B online). Because both lytic vacuoles and PSVs have been postulated to coexist in legume embryo cells, we also tried to identify lytic vacuoles in Arabidopsis embryo cells. We used antibodies against radish TIP-VM23 (Maeshima, 1992), which is a member of the γ-TIP subfamily of aquaporins and a marker for lytic vacuoles (Paris et al., 1996; Otegui et al., 2005). In ~100 analyzed cells from the late bent-cotyledon and mature embryo stages, no γ-TIP–positive vacuoles were detected (data not shown), whereas all identified vacuolar compartments contained storage proteins, suggesting that only PSVs occur in embryo cells at these stages of development.

### Proteolytic Processing of 2S Albumins Starts in the Secretory MVBs

The presence of the processing enzymes and their storage protein substrates in MVBs suggests that the proteolytic processing of the 2S albumins and 12S globulins could start at the prevacuolar compartments, before reaching the PSVs. To test this hypothesis, we raised antibodies against the N-terminal (N-PP) and the internal (I-PP) propeptides (Figure 7A) of an Arabidopsis 2S albumin precursor. These propeptides are removed during processing of the 2S albumin precursors; therefore, the loss of the epitopes is an indication that proteolytic processing has already occurred.

---

**Figure 6.** Immunolabeling of MVBs during PSV Formation in Arabidopsis Embryo Cells.

(A) to (C) Antibodies against storage proteins. Single immunolabeling of 2S albumins (A) and 12S globulins (B), and double immunolabeling of 2S albumins (15-nm gold particles) and 12S globulins (5-nm gold particles) (C).

(D) and (E) Antibodies against proteolytic processing enzymes: anti-β-VPE (D) and anti-aspartic protease A1 (AtAP) (E) antibodies.

(F) Double immunolabeling of aspartic protease A1 (5-nm gold particles) and 2S albumins (15-nm gold particles).

(G) and (H) Antibodies against the VSR-1/ATELP1 receptor.

(I) Double immunolabeling of the VSR-1/ATELP1 receptor (5-nm gold particles) and 2S albumins (15-nm gold particles).

Bars = 100 nm.
The two peptide antibodies were tested by immunoblot analysis of protein extracts from developing Arabidopsis wild-type seeds (bent-cotyledon embryo stage). A weak band of ~15 kD, which corresponds in size to 2S albumin precursors, was detected with the anti-I-PP antibody. A band of a similar size, although fainter, was also detected with the anti-N-PP antibody (Figure 7B). We consequently concluded that both propeptide antibodies are able to specifically recognize the small pool of unprocessed 2S albumin precursors en route to processing sites and do not cross-react with the mature 2S albumin chains or with any other Arabidopsis seed proteins in immature wild-type seeds. The putative 2S albumin precursor band was not detected by the propeptide antibodies in protein extracts from mature seeds (data not shown), in agreement with earlier reports (Gruis et al., 2004).

To provide an unambiguous control for the specificity of the N-PP and I-PP antibodies, we then tested these antibodies on seed protein extracts from the Arabidopsis vpe-quadruple mutant (Gruis et al., 2004), which lacks all four members (α-VPE, β-VPE, γ-VPE, and δ-VPE) of the VPE family and fails to fully process the 2S albumin precursors (Shimada et al., 2003b; Gruis et al., 2004). In the vpe-quadruple mutant protein extracts, the propeptide antibodies strongly labeled several bands between 15 and 6 kD (Figure 7B), which correspond to precursors and to alternatively processed 2S albumin polypeptides (Gruis et al., 2004). In alternatively processed 2S albumin polypeptides, ~50% of the N-PP amino acid sequence and ~30% of the I-PP amino acid sequence are removed (Gruis et al., 2004). Therefore, the prominent labeling of these alternatively processed polypeptides using anti-N-PP and anti-I-PP antisera indicated efficient binding of the specific immunoglobulins to epitopes in portions of the polypeptides that remained attached to the alternatively processed albumin chains. As shown in Supplemental Figure 2 online, intense labeling with the antibodies against the two propeptides was detected on PSVs from the vpe-quadruple mutant, whereas the labeling was very low in PSVs of wild-type embryo cells. Together, these results further confirm that in the wild type, the peptide antibodies only recognize the propeptides in the 2S albumin precursors but do not cross-react with the 2S albumin mature forms.

Using these antibodies, we performed double immunolabeling experiments on sections of wild-type developing seeds to determine in which cellular compartments the processing of the 2S albumins occurs. In the Golgi marginal aggregates and the free dense vesicles, the anti-2S albumin antibody labeling (5-nm gold particles) and the anti-N-PP antibody labeling (15-nm gold particles) fully colocalized, indicating that the electron-dense vesicles carry only storage protein precursors (Figure 7C). However, in the MVBs, the anti-N-PP antibodies, which recognize only the precursor protein, labeled only the dense aggregates shown in Figures 1B to 1D but not the more lightly stained material surrounding the dense aggregates. By contrast, the anti-2S albumin antibodies, which also recognize the processed protein, also labeled the surrounding lightly stained luminal contents (Figures 7D and 7E). Similar results were obtained with the I-PP antibodies (see Supplemental Figure 1C online). This differential immunolabeling pattern indicates that the electron-dense aggregates inside MVBs contain the 2S albumin precursors, whereas the
less dense luminal contents that surround the dense aggregates are composed mostly of the processed form of the 2S albumin storage proteins. These results strongly indicate that the initial processing of the 2S albumins during embryo development occurs in the MVBs.

**Transformation of Dense Vesicles into MVBs Appears to Involve Fusion with Smaller Vesicles**

The storage protein-containing compartments found in close proximity to Golgi stacks vary in size (150 to 500 nm in diameter) and morphology. Whereas all of them contain electron-dense aggregates of storage proteins, the aggregates become smaller and more dispersed as these compartments increase in size and accumulate internal vesicles (Figures 8A to 8F). We have found a number of intermediate structures that suggest that the large MVBs arise at least partially from the fusion of dense vesicles with smaller (30 to 40 nm in diameter) vesicles (Figures 8B and 8E). Most likely, these small vesicles deliver the processing proteases to the large dense vesicles and, as the resulting compartments enlarge, they start to invaginate portions of the limiting membrane that give rise to the internal vesicles of MVBs (Figures 8C and 8F).

By calculating the surface areas of prevacuolar compartments at different stages of maturation, we have identified a linear correlation between limiting membrane surface area and the number of internal vesicles (Figure 8G). Furthermore, our analysis indicates that these compartments start to develop internal

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8.** Formation of MVBs.

(A) to (C) Tomographic slices of an electron-dense vesicle (A), a putative intermediate pre-MVB compartment (B), and an MVB (C) in Arabidopsis embryo cells. Bar = 100 nm.

(D) to (F) Three-dimensional tomographic models corresponding to the structures depicted in (A) to (C), respectively. The electron-dense aggregates of storage proteins are indicated by stars. The putative pre-MVB depicted in (B) and (E) shows a vesicle-budding/fusing profile (arrowheads). The MVB depicted in (C) and (F) shows a forming internal vesicle invaginating from the limiting membrane (arrowheads) and numerous internal vesicles (arrows).

(G) Relationship between the surface area of MVBs and the number of internal vesicles. Surface area and number of internal vesicles were calculated for 25 intermediate and MVB compartments using IMOD software.
vesicles once they surpass a membrane surface area threshold of $\sim 0.075 \ \mu m^2$.

**The pH inside the PSV Acidifies during Storage Protein Deposition**

To better understand the environment inside the PSVs and how the proteolytic activity may be regulated in this compartment, we measured the luminal pH of PSVs during development. We used the acidotropic fluorescent probe Lysosensor Yellow/Blue DND-160, which has been shown to be sequestered into vacuolar compartments (Swanson et al., 1998; Otegui et al., 2005) and to exhibit both dual-excitation and dual-emission spectral peaks that are pH-dependent (Diwu et al., 1999).

Once the calibration curve was calculated (Figure 9A), we measured the intensity ratio $I_{(340/380)}$ in PSVs of embryos at different stages of development (torpedo, bent-cotyledon, late bent-cotyledon, and mature embryos) (Figure 9B). The luminal pH of the PSVs decreases from 6.1 ± 0.8 in the late torpedo stage to 4.9 ± 0.1 in the mature embryo. By immunolabeling, we started to detect the accumulation of storage proteins inside PSVs at the bent-cotyledon stage. Based on this correlative data, we conclude that the pH inside the PSVs varies between $\sim 5.5$ and $\sim 4.9$ during storage protein deposition. When this pH range is superimposed on the solubility curve of the mature forms of the 12S globulins (Gruis et al., 2004), it is seen that these proteins should remain largely insoluble inside the developing PSV (Figure 9B).

**DISCUSSION**

We have studied the trafficking of storage proteins, their processing proteases, and the VSR-1/ATELP1 receptor in the Arabidopsis embryo during PSV formation. The principal findings of this study are as follows: (1) storage proteins and their processing proteases occupy separate spaces within Golgi cisternae and are packaged into separate vesicles before being delivered to MVBs; (2) the dense vesicles contain both aggregated storage proteins and the VSR-1/ATELP1 receptor; and (3) the proteolytic processing of the 2S albumin storage proteins begins inside the MVBs. A model depicting the trafficking pathways of storage proteins and their processing proteases in Arabidopsis embryo cells is shown in Figure 10.

**Storage Proteins and Their Processing Enzymes Are Segregated into Different Cisternal Domains during Golgi Trafficking**

Some integral proteins that localize to the PSV, such as the aquaporin $\alpha$-TIP (Park et al., 2004) and Soybean Gene Regulated by Cold2 (Oufattole et al., 2005), are transported from the ER to the vacuole, bypassing the Golgi, in leaf Arabidopsis protoplasts and seeds. However, our results clearly indicate that a Golgi-dependent pathway is responsible for the transport of the soluble seed storage proteins and their processing enzymes to the PSV in Arabidopsis.

In Arabidopsis embryo cells, the newly synthesized storage proteins are delivered to the cis-most Golgi cisterna in a non-aggregated form (Figure 2C). However, upon progressing to the second cis-type cisterna, virtually all of the storage proteins become sequestered into dense aggregates that form in the cisternal margins (Figures 2C and 3A to 3H; see Supplemental Figure 1B online). Similar globulin aggregates also form on the cis side of Golgi stacks in legume embryo cells (Hilmer et al., 2001; Castelli and Vitale, 2005). Every cisterna, except the first cis-cisterna, possesses at least one or two of these protein aggregates, each of which is contained within a cisternal bud (Figure 2C).

The processing proteases were also detected in the Golgi, but they appear to be excluded from the storage protein–containing cisternal buds (Figures 3I, 3J, and 4A). Thus, although the storage proteins and processing proteases share the luminal space of the Golgi cisternae, the access of the processing proteases to the storage proteins is restricted both by the aggregation of the storage proteins and by their segregation into tight-fitting and narrow-necked cisternal buds. Upon reaching either the trans-most cisterna or the TGN, these protein aggregate–containing buds give rise to the dense vesicles. Although we do not have direct evidence supporting the notion that $\beta$-VPE and the aspartic protease A1 are sorted into the same type of vesicles, based on their occurrence in the same rate-zonal fraction and their immunodetection in the TGN, we hypothesize that they are packaged into clathrin-coated vesicles that become the 30- to 40-nm-diameter uncoated vesicles (Figures 1A and 2B). The two...
vesicle types, dense and uncoated, would subsequently fuse to produce the MVBs (Figure 10).

**Receptors That Bind the Storage Proteins May Nucleate Protein Aggregation and Mediate Bud Formation around the Aggregates**

The process of protein aggregation appears to play an important role in storage protein sorting in the *Arabidopsis* embryo, but complete targeting of the storage proteins to the PSV also requires a functional VSR-1/ATELP1–type receptor (Shimada et al., 2003a). As documented in Figures 3G and 3H and Supplemental Figure 1D online, the membrane that surrounds the dense vesicles contains the VSR-1 receptor, and these receptor molecules are passed on to the MVBs (Figures 6G to 6I). Interestingly, the VSR-1 receptor also has been postulated to be recycled back from the MVB/prevacuolar compartment to the Golgi/TGN by a retromer-mediated mechanism (Oliviusson et al., 2006). According to our results, in *Arabidopsis* embryo cells, at least some VSR-1 molecules are not recycled from the prevacuolar compartment but are sorted into MVB internal vesicles for degradation in the lumen of the PSV (Figures 4B and 6G to 6I).

In plants, the sorting and trafficking pathways that deliver cargo and membranes to vacuoles vary depending on the species, the tissues, and even the developmental stages of the tissues (Robinson et al., 2005). This natural variability may explain some of the controversies that have arisen around the postulated functions of the VSR/ATELP/BP-80 family of receptors. There is general agreement that these receptors are involved in the sorting of proteins to vacuoles (Ahmed et al., 1997, 2000; Laval et al., 1999; Miller et al., 1999; Li et al., 2002; Paris and Neuhaus, 2002; Shimada et al., 2003a; Happel et al., 2004; Jolliffe et al., 2004). However, because the BP-80 receptor possesses a cytosolic motif for recruiting clathrin coats to vesicles, localizes to such vesicles in legume embryo cells, and sorts proteins such as aleurain to lytic vacuoles (Hinz et al., 1999; Ahmed et al., 2000), most researchers have assumed that the function of these receptors is to sort proteins to lytic vacuoles.

The discovery that the same type of receptor can also mediate the sorting of seed storage proteins to PSVs in pumpkin (*Cucurbita pepo*) (Shimada et al., 2002), *Arabidopsis* (Shimada et al., 2003a), and *Ricinus communis* (Jolliffe et al., 2004) has challenged the assumption that these receptors only serve in the lytic vacuole sorting pathway. The localization of the VSR-1 receptor to the dense vesicle membrane in *Arabidopsis* embryos provides further support for the hypothesis that these receptors can also bind storage proteins. We postulate that the VSR-1 receptors serve two functions in the storage protein trafficking pathway: (1) they could provide the nucleation sites for storage protein aggregation in the cisternal margins; and (2) they could ensure that the membrane surrounding each storage protein aggregate becomes tightly and stably attached to the aggregate and that it produces a narrow neck connection to the cisterna. Both of these features would help limit the access of the processing proteases to the storage proteins.

In contrast with our observations, Hinz et al. (1999) found that the BP-80 receptor was highly enriched in the subcellular fractions from pea cotyledons containing clathrin-coated vesicles and not in the dense vesicle fraction. Why did we not detect the VSR-1 receptor in clathrin-coated vesicles and/or vesicles carrying proteases? One interesting feature of the VSR/ATELP/BP-80 family of receptors is that each species appears to have multiple members. It is very likely that another VSR isoform is responsible for the sorting of proteases into clathrin-coated vesicles. Even if the anti-VSR-1/ATELP1 antibody that we used in this study is able to recognize this other isoform, the density of the receptor in clathrin-coated vesicles from *Arabidopsis* may be too low to be clearly identified by immunodetection in plastic sections or in subcellular fractions. Why did Hinz and colleagues not detect the BP-80 receptor in dense vesicles of pea cotyledons by immunolabeling? Although they detected small amounts of the BP-80 receptor in the subcellular fraction containing dense vesicles, they did not detect BP-80 labeling on dense vesicles in cryosectioned pea embryos. It is important to note that they used a different antibody and a different tissue-processing technique. The anti BP-80 antibody used by Hinz et al. may

---

**Figure 10.** Model Depicting the Sorting and Trafficking of Storage Proteins and Processing Proteases in *Arabidopsis* Embryos during PSV Formation.
have a higher affinity for the receptor isoform found in clathrin-coated vesicles than for the receptor of storage proteins. In addition, the different tissue-processing techniques used in their and our studies, chemical fixation/freezing and high-pressure freezing/freeze substitution, respectively, may result in different immunoreactivity preservation.

Another receptor called At RMR1 (for Arabidopsis receptor homology region transmembrane domain ring H2 motif protein 1) (Jiang et al., 2000) has been shown to interact in Arabidopsis leaf protoplasts with the C-terminal propeptide of the transiently expressed legume 7S globulin phaseolin (Park et al., 2005). Phaseolin is the major storage protein in Phaseolus vulgaris seeds. However, Arabidopsis seeds accumulate 7S globulins only as a very minor storage protein fraction (R. Jung, unpublished data), and an interaction between a RMR1-like receptor and 2S albumin–and 11S globulin–type storage proteins (the abundant classes of storage proteins in Arabidopsis seed) has not been demonstrated.

MVBs Arise from the Fusion of Dense Vesicles with Small Vesicles

MVBs have been implicated previously in the trafficking of seed storage proteins in legumes (Robinson et al., 1998). However, both the origin and the functions of these MVBs have remained enigmas. Our data indicate that the secretory MVBs in Arabidopsis embryos arise from the fusion of dense vesicles with at least one more type of small vesicle, most likely vesicles that deliver the proteases to the MVBs. It is also possible that some of the MVBs enlarge by fusing with each other. The MVBs arise in close proximity to the trans side of the Golgi stacks and TGN cisternae (Figure 1A), consistent with the idea that they are produced from freshly budded dense vesicles and small vesicles.

MVBs Are Sites for the Initial Proteolytic Processing of 2S Albumins

The proteolytic processing of the 2S albumins begins in the MVBs, as shown by the finding that the MVBs contain both unprocessed precursors and processed 2S albumins (Figures 7D to 7F). Although we have not determined the pH inside the MVBs, it is likely that they undergo progressive acidification as they mature. The finding that the VPE Cys and the aspartic protease enzymes are both active inside the MVBs supports the idea of an acidic luminal pH in the MVBs, because the pH optimum for VPEs is pH 5 to 6 and that for the aspartic proteases is pH 3 to 4 (Kuroyanagi et al., 2002). A low pH is also needed for the detachment of ligands from receptors in endosomal/prevacuolar compartments (Kirsch et al., 1994).

At present, we do not know how much of the proteolytic processing of the 2S albumins occurs in the MVBs. The small size of the dense aggregates in the largest MVBs suggests that the removal of the propeptides is largely accomplished in the MVB compartment before the proteins reach the PSVs. Why does this proteolytic processing start in the MVBs and not in the PSVs? As mentioned above, the proteolytic processing of the 2S albumins and 12S globulins requires both VPE Cys proteases and aspartic proteases, which have different pH optima. By activating these enzymes in the MVBs, it is conceivable that the gradual acidification of these MVBs is exploited to process the proteins in a sequential manner, first by activating the VPE Cys proteases and then the aspartic proteases.

Processing of the storage proteins leads to conformational changes that decrease their solubility and make them more resistant to further proteolysis inside the PSVs during seed development (Gruis et al., 2004). In fact, the PSV pH decreases from 5.5 to 4.9 during development (Figure 9), a pH range in which the solubility of the 12S globulins is <50% (Gruis et al., 2004).

MVBs Function as Prevacuolar Compartments in the Secretory Pathway

MVBs are typically defined as sorting and recycling compartments between early and late endosomes (Geldner, 2004; Gruenberg and Stenmark, 2004). One of their main functions is to invaginate membrane domains containing membrane proteins destined for degradation, a process that gives rise to the characteristic internal vesicles before their transfer to the late endosomes and lysosomes/vacuoles (Katzmann et al., 2002). Whereas most of these membrane proteins are derived from the plasma membrane and therefore correspond to endocytic cargo molecules, it has also been shown that proteins that traffic between the Golgi and lysosomes/vacuoles, such as the mammalian mannose-6-phosphate receptors (Griffiths et al., 1988) and the plant BP-80 receptor (Tse et al., 2004; Oliuvsson et al., 2006), can pass through MVBs. In tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) BY2 cells, MVBs appear to traffic VSR-type vacuolar receptors and endocytic markers such as FM4-64, suggesting that the same MVB population is part of both the endocytic and secretory pathways (Tse et al., 2004). However, the diversity of vacuolar compartments in plant cells may also correlate with different kinds of MVB/prevacuolar compartments. In fact, preliminary immunolabeling experiments in Arabidopsis embryo cells indicate that protein-containing MVBs do not traffic endocytosed plasma membrane proteins (M.S. Otegui, unpublished data); therefore, they would not be involved in the endocytic pathway. We are currently studying the endocytic trafficking in embryo cells to further explore this hypothesis.

METHODS

Antibodies

Peptide antibodies against Arabidopsis thaliana 2S precursor 2 N-terminal (SIYRTVEFDEDASNP) and internal (DDEFLEDIENPQ) propeptides were raised in rabbits. The antibodies used against Arabidopsis β-I-P was described by Gruis et al. (2002). The antibodies against VSR-1/ATELP1 (Ahmed et al., 1997) were bought from Rose Biotechnology, and the monoclonal anti-clathrin heavy chain antibodies were from BD Biosciences Pharmingen. The other antibodies used in this study were requested from the scientific community: antibodies against the Arabidopsis 2S albumin large chain were provided by Alessio Scarafoni (University of Milan) (Scarafoni et al., 2001); antibodies against Arabidopsis 12S globulins were provided by Ikuko Hara Nishimura (Kyoto University) (Shimada et al., 2003b); anti-α-1-man (Preuss et al., 2004) and anti-PUX1 antibodies (Rancour et al., 2004) were provided by Sebastian Bednarek (University of Wisconsin-Madison); anti-aspartic protease A1 antibodies were provided by Susanah Gal (State University of New York, Binghamton) (Mulfu et al., 1999); anti-VM23 antibodies were provided by Masayoshi Maeshima (Nagoya University) (Maeshima, 1992); and anti-ACA2 antibodies were provided by Jeffrey F. Harper (Scripps Research Institute) (Hwang et al., 2000).
Electron Microscopy and Immunolabeling

*Arabidopsis* embryos at different stages of development (torpedo, bent cotyledon, late bent cotyledon, and mature) were high-pressure frozen/ freeze substituted for electron microscopy analysis as described previously (Otegui et al., 2002).

For immunolabeling, some high-pressure frozen samples were substituted in 0.2% uranyl acetate (Electron Microscopy Sciences) plus 0.2% glutaraldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) in acetone at –80°C for 72 h and then warmed to –50°C for 24 h. After several acetone rinses, these samples were infiltrated with Lowicryl HM20 (Electron Microscopy Sciences) during 72 h and polymerized at –50°C under UV light for 48 h. Sections were mounted on Formvar-coated nickel grids and blocked for 20 min with a 5% (w/v) solution of nonfat milk in PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20. The sections were incubated in the primary antibodies (1:10 in PBS–Tween 20) for 1 h, rinsed in PBS containing 0.5% Tween 20, and then transferred to the secondary antibody (anti-rabbit IgG, 1:50) conjugated to 15-nm gold particles for 1 h. Controls omitted either the primary antibodies or used the preimmune serum.

Double labeling experiments were performed according to Sanderfoot et al. (1998), with minor modifications. Plastic sections were first blocked with 5% (w/v) milk, then incubated with the first primary antibody followed by incubation in the goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to either 15- or 5-nm gold particles, as explained above. After a fixation step (5% glutaraldehyde, 30 min) and a second blocking step with 5% milk, the grids were incubated with either no antisera or specific antisera for 1 h, followed by a 1-h incubation with anti-rabbit IgG linked directly to either 5- or 15-nm colloidal gold particles.

For quantification, five independent grids were analyzed, and from each grid, 15 random regions were imaged. Labeling densities of both the N-PP and the 25 S antibody concentrations were estimated on Golgi aggregates, free dense vesicles, MVBs, and PSVs by calculating the number of gold particles in standardized 10 × 10^4 nm² areas.

Electron Tomography

Epon sections (250 nm thick) were mounted on Formvar-coated copper slot grids and stained with 2% uranyl acetate in 70% methanol and Reynold’s lead citrate (2.6% lead nitrate and 3.5% sodium citrate, pH 12). Colloidal gold particles (15 nm) were used as fiducial markers to align the series of tilted images. The sections were mounted in a tilt-rotate specimen holder and observed in a FEI Tecnai TF30 intermediate voltage electron microscope (FEI Company) operated at 300 kV. The images were taken at 15,000× from +60° to –60° at 1.0° intervals about two orthogonal axes (Mastronarde, 1997) and collected in a Gatan digital camera at a pixel size of 1.0 nm. The images were aligned as described by Ladinsky et al. (1999). Tomograms were computed for each set of aligned tilts using the R-weighted back-projection algorithm (Gilbert, 1972). Merging of the two single-axis tomograms into a dual-axis tomogram involved a warping procedure (Mastronarde, 1997). Serial tomograms were obtained by combining dual-axis tomograms (Ladinsky et al., 1999). Tomograms were displayed and analyzed with 3Dmod, the graphic component of the IMOD software package (Kremer et al., 1996). Membranous structures and vesicles were modeled as described by Otegui et al. (2001). The thinning factor for each tomogram was calculated and corrected for in the models. The surface area of prevacuolar compartments was calculated using the program Immodinfo of the IMOD software package.

Analysis of Seed Protein Extracts

SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analyses were performed as described previously (Gruis et al., 2002), with the difference that total protein was extracted from whole seeds in a 20-fold (w/v) excess of 2% SDS, 100 mM DTT, and 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, and separated by SDS-PAGE using 10 to 20% gradient Tris–HCl Criterion gels (Bio-Rad).

Subcellular Fractionation of Vesicles

Secretory vesicles were isolated from late bent-cotyledon *Brassica napus* embryos according to Hinz et al. (1999), with modifications. All procedures were performed at 4°C. Embryos were homogenized in 0.3 M sorbitol, 50 mM MOPS (Sigma-Aldrich)-KOH, pH 6.5, with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). The homogenate was filtered and centrifuged at 200g for 10 min. PSVs and other large organelles were isolated by centrifuging the 200g supernatant at 2500g for 20 min (pellet fraction P2.5). The 2500g supernatant was applied onto a 65% sucrose cushion (50 mM MOPS-KOH, pH 6.5) and centrifuged at 16,000g for 40 min. The 16,000g supernatant was layered onto a sucrose step gradient (20 to 55% [w/v] sucrose) and centrifuged at 85,500g for 120 min. The 42 to 55% sucrose interphase, which is enriched in secretory vesicles (Hinz et al., 1999), was layered onto a linear sucrose gradient (20 to 55% [w/v] sucrose) and centrifuged at 16,000g for 20 min (rate-zonal gradient). Four fractions (fraction 1, 22.5% sucrose; fraction 2, 25%; fraction 3, 28%; fraction 4, 31%) were collected from the top of the tube. Fractions of interest were normalized to reflect equal amounts of the starting homogenate and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and protein gel blot.

Ratiometric Estimation of the Intravacuolar pH of PSVs

The pH calibration curve was obtained according to Diwu et al. (1999) and Otegui et al. (2000) with modifications. Embryo cells were isolated by treating small pieces of cotyledons with pectinase (Sigma-Aldrich). These embryo cells were incubated in 20 μM Lysosensor Yellow/Blue DND-160 [2-((4-pyridyl)-5-(4-(2-dimethylaminophenylamino-carbamoyl)-methoxy)-phenyl-oxazole; Molecular Probes) for 1 h. Excess dye was removed by washing the cells with 20 mM MES and 0.45 M betaine buffer, pH 6. For calibration, embryo cells were treated with MES calibration buffers (10 mM MES and 0.45 M betaine), ranging from pH 4.5 to 6.5, and containing 10 μM nigericin (Sigma-Aldrich). Measurements were taken 5 to 10 min after the addition of nigericin. Embryo cells were visualized with an inverted microscope (Nikon Diaphot 200) adapted for epifluorescence and excited at 340 and 380 nm, and the fluorescence emission intensity was recorded at 530 nm. The I340/I380 was calculated after subtracting the background signal.

To determine the fluorescence emission of PSVs, the I340/I380 was calculated from embryo cells at different stages of development incubated in 20 μM Lysosensor Yellow/Blue DND-160 with no nigericin added. The fluorescence emission I340/I380 values obtained from PSVs were converted to absolute values of vacuolar pH by comparison with the calibration curve.

Accession Numbers

The *Arabidopsis* Genome Initiative locus identifiers for the genes mentioned in this article are as follows: At3g52850 (VS1-1/ATELP1), At1g19110 (aspartic protease A1), At1g62710 (AT2S2), and At4g27150 (AT2S2).
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The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

**Supplemental Figure 1.** Immunolabeling of PSVs, Golgi Stacks, MVBs, and Dense Vesicles in the *Arabidopsis* Embryo.

**Supplemental Figure 2.** Immunolabeling of PSVs in Both Wild-Type and vpe-Quadruple Mutant Mature Embryo Cells with the Anti-2S Propeptide Antibodies.
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