Evolution of Cinnamate/p-Coumarate Carboxyl Methyltransferases and Their Role in the Biosynthesis of Methylcinnamate
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Methylcinnamate, which is widely distributed throughout the plant kingdom, is a significant component of many floral scents and an important signaling molecule between plants and insects. Comparison of an EST database obtained from the glandular trichomes of a basil (Ocimum basilicum) variety that produces high levels of methylcinnamate (line MC) with other varieties producing little or no methylcinnamate identified several very closely related genes belonging to the SABATH family of carboxyl methyltransferases that are highly and almost exclusively expressed in line MC. Biochemical characterization of the corresponding recombinant proteins showed that cinnamate and p-coumarate are their best substrates for methylation, thus designating these enzymes as cinnamate/p-coumarate carboxyl methyltransferases (CCMTs). Gene expression, enzyme activity, protein profiling, and metabolite content analyses demonstrated that CCMTs are responsible for the formation of methylcinnamate in sweet basil. A phylogenetic analysis of the entire SABATH family placed these CCMTs into a clade that includes indole-3-acetic acid carboxyl methyltransferases and a large number of uncharacterized carboxyl methyltransferase–like proteins from monocots and lower plants. Structural modeling and ligand docking suggested active site residues that appear to contribute to the substrate preference of CCMTs relative to other members of the SABATH family. Site-directed mutagenesis of specific residues confirmed these findings.

INTRODUCTION

Methylcinnamate and methyl-p-coumarate play important roles in plant–insect interactions and are widely distributed throughout the plant kingdom. They have been identified in both aerial and underground tissues from monocots and dicots as well as from ferns (Konda and Kawazu, 1979; Schaefers and Herrmann, 1982; Williams and Whitten, 1983; Hooper et al., 1984; Bandara et al., 1988; Cambie et al., 1990; Garcia et al., 1990; Seifert and Unger, 1994; Hiraga et al., 1996; Daayf et al., 1997a; Odell et al., 1999; Wu et al., 1999; Dias et al., 2003; Bruni et al., 2004; Azah et al., 2005; bin Jantan et al., 2005). Methylcinnamate, a known component of the floral scent from various orchid species, acts as a preferred attractant for euglossine bees and is electrophysiologically active toward excised bee antennae (Dodson et al., 1969; Ackerman, 1989; Schiestl and Roubik, 2003; Eltz and Lunau, 2005). Methylcinnamate production, however, is not strictly associated with flowers and has been found to accumu

late to high levels in other tissues, including the leaves of sweet basil (Ocimum basilicum). In contrast with methylcinnamate, its p-hydroxylated derivative methyl-p-coumarate has been shown to have insecticidal or insect-deterrent (Hattori et al., 1992; Seifert and Unger, 1994) as well as antifungal (Bandara et al., 1988; Seifert and Unger, 1994) properties. Moreover, in cucumber (Cucumis sativus), methyl-p-coumarate can act as both an anticipin and an elicitor-inducible phytoalexin (Daayf et al., 1997a, 1997b, 2000).

The rapid growth, the presence of secretory glandular trichomes (glands) that produce a diversity of compounds from several different major biosynthetic pathways, and the availability of lines producing copious amounts of volatile compounds make sweet basil an excellent system to investigate the production of methylcinnamate and methyl-p-coumarate in planta. In sweet basil, the glands represent highly active metabolic factories that produce large amounts of terpenoids and phenylpropanoids as well as fatty acid–derived metabolites. Several different basil lines have been developed that produce different compounds from these various pathways as their major volatile and aroma components. Sweet Dani lemon basil (line SD) contains large amounts of citral, a mixture of the monoterpenoid compounds geranial and neral, which gives this variety its strong lemon scent. By contrast, basil lines SW and EMX-1 contain the phenylpropanoid pathway–derived phenylpropanes eugenol and methylchavicol, respectively, as well as mixtures of monoterpenoids...
and sesquiterpenoids. A fourth basil line, cinnamon basil (line MC), produces large amounts of methylcinnamate. The availability of these basil lines with distinct metabolite profiles and the development of methods for isolating the cells responsible for natural product biosynthesis have enabled us to utilize a comparative systems biology approach to identify genes involved in the production of the various volatile components unique to the different basil lines (Gang et al., 2001, 2002a, 2002b; Iijima et al., 2004a, 2004b).

The development of a large glandular trichome EST database made from these four distinct basil lines (J. Kapteyn, C. Soderlund, and D. Gang, unpublished data) allowed us to identify the genes responsible for the ultimate step in methylcinnamate biosynthesis (Figure 1). As described in this report, methylcinnamate is produced in sweet basil by the activity of a newly identified member of the SABATH family of carboxyl methyltransferases (D’Auria et al., 2003). This enzyme, designated p-coumaric acid/cinnamic acid carboxyl methyltransferase (CCMT), has a unique substrate preference for cinnamate as well as p-coumarate and represents a new addition to this family of S-adenosylmethionine (AdoMet)–dependent carboxyl methyltransferases. It is more closely related to indole-3-acetic acid carboxyl methyltransferase (IAMT) than to salicylic acid carboxyl methyltransferase (SAMT) and other characterized members utilizing structurally similar substrates. We have modeled the active site of CCMT based on the previously solved crystal structure of SAMT from Clarkia breweri (Zubieta et al., 2003). Altering selected residues in the predicted active sites successfully changed the substrate specificity of CCMT, permitting the utilization of salicylic acid (SA), a preferred substrate of distantly related SABATH family members. We also identified strictly conserved residues among all characterized SABATH enzymes and propose additional structural elements essential to the basic functionality of this family. In addition, we have identified new, nonplant members of this gene family in several microbial taxa, including both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, suggesting that this gene family may have originated in ancestral organisms and subsequently acquired diversified functions in plants.

**RESULTS**

**Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry Analysis of Methylcinnamate in Basil Tissues**

Methylcinnamate is the key volatile component of several basil varieties and chemotypes, one of which, line MC, was selectively bred to produce high levels of this compound. To determine the biosynthetic commitment of line MC toward methylcinnamate, we used gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis to evaluate the quantity of methylcinnamate relative to other volatile components produced in the leaves across the four referenced basil lines. Methylcinnamate constitutes 63% (based on total ion chromatogram peak area) of the total extracted volatiles from line MC leaves (Figure 2A). Line SW contains much smaller levels of methylcinnamate (<10% of that produced by line MC, based on relative peak area); instead, it accumulates large amounts of eugenol. Line SD produces large amounts of citral but only trace amounts of methylcinnamate (Figure 2B); in line EMX-1, no methylcinnamate could be detected in ethyl acetate extracts from leaves, whereas large amounts of the phenylpropene methylchavicol were present instead. These differences in methylcinnamate production between the basil

![Figure 1. Relationship of Methylcinnamate Biosynthesis to the Production of Other Phenylpropanoid Pathway–Derived Volatiles in Basil Glandular Trichomes.](image)

Enzyme abbreviations are as follows: PAL, Phe ammonia lyase; CCMT, p-coumarate/cinnamate carboxyl methyltransferase; C4H, cinnamate 4-hydroxylase; 4CL, 4-coumarate:CoA ligase; C3H, p-coumarate 3-hydroxylase; CST, p-coumaroyl shikimate transferase; CS3 H, p-coumaroyl 5-O-shikimate 3′-hydroxylase; CCMT, caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase; CCR, cinnamoyl-CoA reductase; CAD, cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase; CAAT, coniferyl alcohol acetyl transferase; EGS, eugenol (and chavicol) synthase; EOMT, eugenol O-methyltransferase; CVOMT, chavicol O-methyltransferase.
Figure 2. Metabolite Profiling Revealed High Levels of Methylcinnamate in Basil Line MC.

(A) Total ion chromatogram from GC-MS analysis of ethyl acetate extracts of line MC young leaves. The peaks at 18.5 and 19 min are Z- and E-methylcinnamate, respectively.
Identification of CCMT Activity in Basil Glandular Trichomes

Possible routes to methylcinnamate biosynthesis could include either a methyltransferase that uses AdoMet as a methyl donor and the carboxyl group of cinnamate as the acceptor or an acyltransferase that couples the cinnamoyl moiety from cinnamoyl-CoA to methanol, similar to what was recently shown for the production of the flavor compound methyl anthranilate in Concord grape (Vitis vinifera) (Wang and Luca, 2005). In order to distinguish which enzyme type is in fact responsible for the formation of methylcinnamate in sweet basil, cell-free protein extracts were produced from both young leaf glandular trichomes and whole young leaves of all four basil lines and analyzed for potential enzyme activities.

To evaluate whether methylcinnamate in MC basil trichomes might be formed by an acyltransferase activity, we incubated MC gland protein extracts with cinnamoyl-CoA in the presence of D3-methanol (CD3OH) and analyzed the products by GC-MS. No labeled methylcinnamate was detected in these assays, suggesting that methylcinnamate production in sweet basil is not due to the activity of an acyltransferase.

By contrast, the formation of methylcinnamate from cinnamic acid and AdoMet was readily detectable in several basil lines. The highest level of this methyltransferase activity was found in protein extracts from glandular trichomes of line MC (35 pkat/mg; Figure 3A), whereas protein extracts from young leaves of this line possessed only 6% of this activity. This dilution of activity in whole leaves relative to glandular trichomes suggested that CCMT is active specifically in the latter, a pattern that we observed previously for other characterized enzymes of specialized metabolism in sweet basil (Gang et al., 2001, 2002b; Iijima et al., 2004b). CCMT activities in the glandular trichomes from lines SW and SD were 18 and 1.4% of that for line MC, respectively, while no CCMT activity was detected in line EMX-1, a result that correlated positively with methylcinnamate levels in these lines (Figures 2 and 3B). Similar to line MC, a dilution of CCMT activity was observed in leaves versus glandular trichomes of lines SW and SD, again confirming that CCMT expression is also localized specifically to glandular trichomes in these two basil lines (Figure 3A).

To unequivocally identify the product formed in initial radiolabel-based activity assays, we incubated [U-13C]cinnamate with unlabeled AdoMet and total protein isolated from MC glands. The use of [U-13C]cinnamate permitted us to discriminate between the endogenous and de novo–synthesized methylcinnamate in the GC-MS analysis of the products formed in these assays due to a shift of +9 m/z units for the molecular ion and diagnostic fragment ions in the mass spectrum of the product formed. Results of these assays showed no detectible methylcinnamate formation (Figure 4).

We observed that CCMT activity from protein extracts of MC glands exhibited substrate inhibition, as AdoMet concentrations were increased from 10 μM to 1 mM, with significant inhibition observed at concentrations >200 μM (see Supplemental Figure 1 online), which matches a classical substrate inhibition profile (Cornish-Bowden, 1979). Assays with both nondesalted and desalted crude protein extracts from MC whole leaves or isolated trichomes displayed the same substrate inhibition effect, ruling out the possibility of a small endogenous metabolite present in the protein extracts as the cause of the inhibition. In addition, we used LC-MS to analyze the purity of the AdoMet used in these assays and found no detectible S-adenosylhomocysteine (AdoHcy), although this compound is readily detected by this analytical method (data not shown). This suggests that potential product inhibition by AdoHcy contamination in the AdoMet substrate was not the cause. Interestingly, this substrate inhibition effect was not observed in similar assays with recombinant CCMT enzymes (see below), even at high (2 mM) AdoMet concentrations. At present, the reason for the susceptibility of native CCMT activity but not recombinant CCMT enzymes to inhibition by AdoMet is unknown. This inhibition is likely to be caused by some form of posttranslational modification of the polypeptide chain, such as by proteolytic cleavage, phosphorylation of specific amino acid residues, etc. Future research will seek to answer this interesting question.

Identification of Basil Genes Encoding CCMT

In order to identify the gene(s) encoding CCMT from basil, we generated ESTs (7314 sequences) using a cDNA library constructed from MC glandular trichomes and added these to a larger EST database from the other basil lines described above (23,232 ESTs total). A total of 482 MC ESTs (6.6% of MC ESTs) in this database represent 241 cDNA clones that assemble into 22 contigs (two singletons) and encode proteins that are similar to SAMT-like proteins in the UniProt database. Three additional ESTs from line SD were assembled into the MC contigs, while no similar ESTs were identified from basil lines SW or EMX-1.
largest of these contigs consists of 132 ESTs and represents the fourth largest single contig found in the entire basil glandular trichome EST database (the largest composed of ESTs entirely from a single basil line).

Twelve of the 22 contigs contained full-length open reading frames, whereas 6 contigs encoded partial open reading frames of 200 amino acids or more. Alignment of the translated amino acids sequences and subsequent cluster analysis revealed that the 22 contigs represent allelic versions of three distinct although very similar (96 to 97% amino acids sequence identity) proteins identified as new members of the SABATH family. These three isoforms were designated CCMT1, CCMT2, and CCMT3 (see below for activity determination) in order of decreasing number of total cDNA clones (allelic variants) for each isoform. The basil CCMT proteins are 373 amino acids in length and 57% identical (75% similar) to *Arabidopsis thaliana* IAMT1, the closest biochemically characterized relative to basil CCMTs.

To estimate the number of CCMTs present in basil lines MC, SW, and SD and to determine why line EMX-1 lacked CCMT transcripts, we performed DNA gel blot analysis using genomic DNA from all four basil lines (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). Line MC appeared to possess several CCMTs, while lines SW, SD, and EMX-1 all appeared to possess fewer. Another interesting finding was that the banding pattern was nearly identical for lines SD and EMX-1, with the pattern for SW showing some similarities to these two, while the pattern for MC showed little resemblance to the other lines. The increased number of copies and the distinct pattern suggest that gene duplication(s) may have occurred in line MC relative to the other lines. The increased expression of the CVOMT gene in this line, used as a positive control. The glandular trichomes of line MC showed the highest CCMT expression, while expression in glands of line SW was only 2% of that for MC, and expression in line SD was barely detectable. CCMT expression in other tissues of line MC, such as leaves, was <1% of that for glands. For the three lines with detectable CCMT expression, the level of expression for leaf tissues decreased with increased leaf size/age (Figure 3C), consistent with gland-specific expression and a dilution effect of glandular trichome cells and transcripts by other leaf tissue cell types and their transcripts as leaves expand.

**qRT-PCR Analysis of CCMT Expression**

To confirm the relative expression of CCMTs between basil lines predicted by EST abundance and to determine whether their expression is indeed specific to trichomes, RNA was isolated from glandular trichomes, young and mature leaves, young and mature stem sections, and roots of all four basil lines grown under standardized conditions. Isolated RNA was used for relative qRT-PCR (Figure 3C). CCMT transcripts were detected in lines SW, MC, and SD but not line EMX-1, despite high expression of the CVOMT gene in this line, used as a positive control. The glandular trichomes of line MC showed the highest CCMT expression, while expression in glands of line SW was only 2% of that for MC, and expression in line SD was barely detectable. CCMT expression in other tissues of line MC, such as leaves, was <1% of that for glands. For the three lines with detectable CCMT expression, the level of expression decreased with increased leaf size/age (Figure 3C), consistent with gland-specific expression and a dilution effect of glandular trichome cells and transcripts by other leaf tissue cell types.
Proteomics Analysis Supports the Presence of All CCMT Isoforms in MC Trichomes

We used a shotgun proteomics approach to address the issue of whether all three major CCMT isoform proteins identified from the MC EST library were in fact present in the trichome proteome of line MC. In these experiments, >400 proteins were identified from 809 peptide sequences. We performed parallel experiments with the other three basil lines (Z. Xie, J. Kapteyn, and D. Gang, unpublished data), since several studies suggest that the sampling frequency (referred to as spectral count or label-free quantification) of proteins in a shotgun proteomics study corresponds to the abundance of those proteins in the biological sample (Pang et al., 2002; Gao et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2004). We identified 43 spectra corresponding to peptides from CCMTs present in line MC (5.3% of total spectra for this line, similar to 6.6% of ESTs) and 8 spectra in line SW, while no spectra were observed in lines SD and EMX. These results (Figure 3D) correlate well with the pattern observed for CCMT gene expression and activity in these lines (Figures 3A and 3C), suggesting that the levels of production of methylcinnamate in these lines may be determined by the activity of the CCMT enzyme(s) and regulated primarily at the level of gene transcription. Moreover, 11 unique peptide sequences were identified for the CCMTs (see Supplemental Table 1 online), one of which was unique to the proteome of line SW. Each of the three CCMT isoforms identified based on ESTs yielded a distinguishing tryptic peptide spanning amino acids 197 to 209 from the glandular trichome proteome of line MC (see Supplemental Table 1 online), clearly demonstrating that these three CCMT isoforms are in fact present in translated form in the glandular trichomes of line MC and providing a biological link between the genes identified using in silico methods and observed enzyme activity.

Biochemical Characterization of CCMT Isoforms

To determine the biochemical function of the three isolated CCMT isoforms (CCMT1, CCMT2, and CCMT3), their coding regions were subcloned into the expression vector pET-28a,
which contains an N-terminal polyhistidine (6×His) tag, and the proteins were overexpressed in *Escherichia coli*. When cinna-
mate (5 mM) was added to the growth medium during CCMT overexpression, only cultures actively expressing a CCMT iso-
form produced methylcinnamate detectable by GC-MS (Figure 5). To verify whether cinna-
mate is the best substrate for these enzymes, affinity-purified recombinant CCMT proteins were assay-
ed with a variety of potential substrates. Compounds tested included cinna-
mate as well as structurally related mole-
cules with various substitutions on the aromatic ring and/or different side chain lengths and configurations. Of 20 tested
compounds, the same 5 compounds served as substrates for all three isoforms: *E*-cinna-
mate (CA), *p*-coumarate, hydro-
*p*-coumarate (4-hydroxyhydrocinnamate), hydrocinnamate, and benzoate (BA). The highest activity was found with CA, iden-
tifying it as a primary substrate for all three isoforms. CCMT2 had the highest specific activity with CA (6.6 nkat/mg purified pro-
ten), followed by CCMT1 and CCMT3 (59 and 32% of CCMT2 activity, respectively). While there was no difference in relative activities between the three isoforms for hydro-
*p*-coumarate and BA (~22 and ~8% of activity with CA, respectively) (Table 1, Figure 6), significant differences were found for hydrocinnamate and *p*-coumarate. CCMT3 exhibited ~2.3-fold higher relative activity with *p*-coumarate compared with CCMT1 and CCMT2, whose activities were nearly identical (Table 1). CCMT3 also dis-
played the highest activity with hydrocinnamate relative to CA, followed by CCMT1 and CCMT2 (39, 21, and 6% of each en-
zyme’s maximum activity with CA, respectively). Interestingly, only CCMT1 showed a very low level of activity with *m*-coumarate (Table 1). The activity of all three CCMT enzymes with *p*-coumarate and the lack thereof with other cinna-
mate derivatives having substitutions elsewhere on the phenyl ring indicate that the sub-
strate binding site may be rather restricted in these enzymes.

The molecular masses of the three recombinant CCMTs determined by gel filtration chromatography were ~88 kD, whereas on SDS-PAGE the denatured proteins exhibited a single band corresponding to a molecular mass of ~44 kD, suggesting that the active enzymes exist as homodimers in solution. CCMT proteins were active from pH 6.0 to 9.0, with maximum activity at pH 7.5; 90% of maximum at pH 7.0 and 8.0, and ~50% of maximum at pH 6.0 and 9.0. CCMTs did not require cations for their activities; however, strong inhibition (>65%) was observed when Cu^{2+}, Ni^{2+}, or Zn^{2+} was added at 5 mM to the reaction. Fe^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, Mn^{2+}, and NH_{4}^{+} led to mild inhibition (<35%), and the addition of Ca^{2+}, K^+, or Na^+ had no effect on enzyme activities. Since recombinant proteins were purified using Ni^{2+}-agarose columns, the potential inhibitory effect of Ni^{2+} on enzyme activity was evaluated at six different concentrations: 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, and 5.0 mM. These experiments showed that Ni^{2+} concentrations of <1 mM had no effect on enzyme activity.

Steady state kinetics of each CCMT enzyme revealed that the recombinant proteins had a high affinity toward AdoMet, with apparent *K_m* values ranging from 1 to 13 μM depending on the second substrate and CCMT isoform tested (Table 2). All three enzymes displayed similar apparent *K_m* values for CA, ranging from 124 μM (CCMT1) to 196 μM (CCMT3). CCMT1 and CCMT2 had lower apparent *K_m* values for *p*-coumarate and BA (from 1.8- to 2.7-fold lower) compared with that for CA, in contrast with CCMT3, which had an 11-fold lower apparent *K_m* value for BA and an almost 2-fold higher apparent *K_m* value toward *p*-coumarate. CCMT1 and CCMT2 had nearly identical catalytic efficiencies (*k_{cat}/K_m* ratio) with CA, which were almost fivefold higher than that for CCMT3, suggesting that the contribution of CCMT3 to the formation of methylcinnamate in the plant could be small. Interestingly, CCMT2 can use *p*-coumarate and CA with nearly equal efficiency, while for CCMT1 the difference between catalytic efficiencies with these two substrates was 1.3-fold, favoring CA. The similar catalytic efficiencies of CCMT1 and CCMT2 toward *p*-coumarate and CA in addition to the higher *V_{max}* with CA suggest that these enzymes can use both sub-
strates efficiently in vivo. Thus, we designated the identified enzymes CCMT, for S-adenosyl-L-Met:cinnamate/*p*-coumarate carboxyl methyltransferases. These parameters were similar to apparent kinetic parameters determined for CCMT activity in crude protein extracts from line MC glandular trichomes (e.g., apparent *K_m* of ~224 ± 34 and ~245 ± 34 μM for CA and *p*-coumarate, respectively).

**Figure 5.** GC-MS Analysis.

(A) Extracts of culture medium from *E. coli* cells expressing CCMT1 in the presence of cinna-
mate. (B) Extracts of culture medium from *E. coli* cells expressing only the empty vector as a control in the presence of cinna-
mate. (C) The product produced by the recombinant purified CCMT1. Insets show mass spectra at time 19 min, corresponding in (A) and (C) to methylcinnamate formed by *E. coli* cells expressing CCMT1 and by purified recombinant CCMT1 protein. Cultures not expressing CCMT enzymes (B) were not able to produce methylcinnamate.
Structural Modeling of CCMT Proteins

Alignment of the basil CCMT genes with IAMT, SAMT, and several other biochemically characterized members of the SA-BATH family (Figure 7) revealed that all of the residues implicated in AdoMet binding, as well as other active site residues previously identified in the crystal structure of SAMT from *C. breweri* (Cb SAMT) (Zubieta et al., 2003), are conserved in basil CCMTs. More specifically, this includes residues equivalent to Trp-151 and Gln-25 of Cb SAMT, which have been implicated (Zubieta et al., 2003) as hydrogen bond donors for salicylate to ensure proper orientation and proximity to the donated methyl group of AdoMet. The most striking difference between the CCMT proteins and their closest relative, IAMT, is a gap of 11 amino acids in CCMT spanning residues 126 to 136 of IAMT1. These residues were not predicted to be in the active site based on the initial model of IAMT (Zubieta et al., 2003), and the impact of the gap on CCMT function could not be determined based on the alignment alone.

To further investigate the role of specific residues in substrate binding and CCMT catalysis, we used the solved crystal structure of Cb SAMT to generate structural models of the three basil CCMTs and snapdragon (*Antirrhinum majus*) Am SAMT. We then compared these models against the structure of Cb SAMT as well as a modeled structure of *Arabidopsis* IAMT. The first models generated were based only on alignments between Cb SAMT and Ob CCMT amino acid sequences. These initial models suggested that the residues corresponding to Cb SAMT Met-150 and Met-308 are altered in CCMTs to His-160 and a null residue (deleted), respectively. These two Met residues have been proposed to provide a molecular clamp in Cb SAMT for the aromatic ring of SA (Zubieta et al., 2003). Based on these initial results, we replaced the His-160 codon in CCMT with a Met codon (CCMT1-H160M mutant) and generated the reciprocal mutation in Am SAMT (SAMT-M150H mutant) to determine whether these changes might alter the substrate preference of the enzymes as the models predicted. In an effort to generate the molecular clamp mentioned above, we also created a mutant CCMT1 protein with an introduced Met codon at amino acid position 323 (CCMT1-M323 insertional mutant) as well as a mutant CCMT1 protein containing both modifications (CCMT1-H160M/M323 double mutant).

Table 1. Relative Activities of Functionally Expressed Basil CCMTs and CCMT1-H160M with Different Substrates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate Structure</th>
<th>Relative Specific Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCMT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA-like</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-Cinnamic acid (CA)</td>
<td>100 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocinnamic acid*</td>
<td>21.4 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Coumaric acid (pCA)</td>
<td>29.6 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Hydroxyhydrocinnamic acid*</td>
<td>22.4 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-Coumaric acid</td>
<td>6.8 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Coumaric acid</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeic acid</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocaffeic acid*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferulic acid</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroferulic acid*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,4-Dimethoxycinnamic acid</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeic acid</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocaffeic acid*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferulic acid</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroferulic acid*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinapic acid</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA-like</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzoic acid (BA)</td>
<td>7.8 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salicylic acid (SA)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthranilic acid</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shikimic acid</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylacetlic acid</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylpyruvic acid</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indole-3-acetic acid</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasmonic acid</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These substrates have a single carbon bond between C7 and C8 and not the double bond shown in the generalized structures in the table.

![Figure 6. General Structures for CA-Like and BA-Like Compounds.](image-url)
led to a shift in structure affecting the active site or some other critical structural component of the enzyme, causing the protein to be nonfunctional. Later multiple sequence alignments with additional SABATH family member sequences, some newly available, led us to modify our initial alignments and structural models in which we had created a gap opposite Cb SAMT Met-308 and instead align CCMT Cys-322 at this position. Future research will pursue a C322M substitution to determine the effects of this mutation on the activity of the enzyme. CCMT1 with the single H160M change, however, retained activity with CA while demonstrating a dramatically increased activity toward BA as a substrate (Tables 1 and 2). This CCMT1-H160M mutant protein also acquired the ability to accommodate SA as a substrate, although with lower activity than that toward CA. Although Arabidopsis IAMT1 was the closest relative to CCMTs, none of the CCMT1 mutants were able to utilize indole-3-acetic acid as a substrate, suggesting that some other feature of the protein or active site conferred the selectivity of CCMT against indole-3-acetic acid, such as the substitution of a larger, more polar Ser-243 in CCMT versus the comparably positioned Gly-256 in IAMT. Once the crystal structure of IAMT is solved, this question may be able to be answered. New CCMT and Am SAMT models based on the revised alignments (Figure 7) predicted several nonconserved residues to be in the active site cavity and suggested that they may contribute to or be responsible for the differential substrate preference of these enzymes.

### Docking Experiments Explain the Differences in Substrate Preferences of CCMTs and SAMTs

To relate the modeled protein structures to the experimentally determined substrate specificity of recombinant native proteins (CCMT1, CCMT2, CCMT3, and Am SAMT) and mutant proteins (CCMT1-H160M and Am SAMT M163H), we performed docking experiments using GOLD version 3.1.1. Figure 8 shows representative structures for CCMT1 and CCMT1-H160M with several potential substrates docked and for Am SAMT with SA docked.

One of the most interesting of the docking experiment results was that of SA to the CCMT isoforms, which suggested that SA could be a good inhibitor of CCMT enzymes because it appeared to bind well in the active site in an orientation that prevented methyl group transfer (Figure 8E). In vitro inhibition studies validated this prediction: SA was found to be a good inhibitor of CA methylation by both CCMT1 and CCMT3 enzymes (CCMT2 was not tested due to its high similarity to CCMT1). However, the inhibition of CCMT1 and CCMT3 by SA did not fit classical competitive, noncompetitive, uncompetitive, or mixed linear inhibition models (Figure 9; see Supplemental Figure 4 online). Instead, it appeared to fit parabolic inhibition models most closely, with the inhibition approximating competitive inhibition at low (<250 µM) SA concentrations, leading to apparent \( K_i \) values of \(~85\) and \(90\) µM for SA with CCMT3 and CCMT1, respectively. However, at higher concentrations (500 to 2000 µM), SA appeared to function more like a noncompetitive inhibitor. These results have significant implications regarding the catalytic mechanism and function of the CCMT enzymes. First, they suggest that SA binds with differing affinities to at least two sites on the functional CCMT proteins. Since these proteins exist as homodimers in solution, it is possible that these sites are the active sites of each of the monomers. These results also suggest that nonproductive binding of substrate to one site affects the affinity of the other (active) site for substrate. Such reaction kinetics have not yet been reported for members of the SABATH family of carboxyl methyltransferases. More detailed kinetics analysis will be required to determine the binding order of substrates and to identify where SA binds to CCMT enzymes.

### Phyllogenetic Relationships of CCMTs within the SABATH Family

We conducted an exhaustive search of currently available protein and genomic sequence databases to identify all sequences available (from all organisms) having homology with the SABATH gene family. In total, \(>400\) sequences were identified from dozens of plant taxa (including Cb SAMT, all known and characterized SABATH members, and our basil CCMT sequences) as well as many sequences representing nonplant genes and sequences derived from marine environmental sampling. A maximum likelihood tree produced from SABATH family sequences representing as much taxonomic diversity as sequence and alignment quality would permit (Figure 10) suggests that the

---

**Table 2.** Comparison of \(K_{\text{in}}, K_{\text{cat}},\) and \(K_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{in}}\) Values for Basil CCMT Isoforms and CCMT1-H160M

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>CA (µM)</th>
<th>pCA (µM)</th>
<th>BA (µM)</th>
<th>SA (µM)</th>
<th>AdoMet (CA) (µM)</th>
<th>AdoMet (pCA) (µM)</th>
<th>AdoMet (BA) (µM)</th>
<th>AdoMet (SA) (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(K_{\text{in}})</td>
<td>CCMT1</td>
<td>124 (18)</td>
<td>70.4 (3.0)</td>
<td>45.5 (2.3)</td>
<td>5.42 (0.78)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.10)</td>
<td>2.03 (0.09)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCMT2</td>
<td>189 (33)</td>
<td>77.0 (9.3)</td>
<td>76.2 (6.2)</td>
<td>13.3 (1.5)</td>
<td>2.59 (0.19)</td>
<td>2.60 (0.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCMT3</td>
<td>196 (23)</td>
<td>388 (42)</td>
<td>17.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>8.14 (0.43)</td>
<td>3.96 (0.20)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCMT1-H160M</td>
<td>577 (23)</td>
<td>1200 (80)</td>
<td>2430 (80)</td>
<td>422 (35)</td>
<td>5.70 (0.55)</td>
<td>4.22 (0.43)</td>
<td>11.1 (1.2)</td>
<td>8.2 (0.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_{\text{cat}})</td>
<td>CCMT1</td>
<td>0.188 (0.007)</td>
<td>0.084 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.015 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.198 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.081 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.018 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCMT2</td>
<td>0.251 (0.016)</td>
<td>0.134 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.020 (0)</td>
<td>0.317 (0.015)</td>
<td>0.106 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.024 (0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCMT3</td>
<td>0.063 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.050 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.003 (0)</td>
<td>0.090 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.054 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.004 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCMT1-H160M</td>
<td>0.019 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.009 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.035 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.003 (0)</td>
<td>0.013 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.008 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.028 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.006 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{in}})</td>
<td>CCMT1</td>
<td>1,560 (165)</td>
<td>1,190 (9)</td>
<td>323 (6)</td>
<td>37,700 (4500)</td>
<td>72,100 (2900)</td>
<td>8,720 (400)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCMT2</td>
<td>1,390 (180)</td>
<td>1,790 (210)</td>
<td>266 (19)</td>
<td>24,100 (1400)</td>
<td>41,500 (1800)</td>
<td>9,440 (700)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCMT3</td>
<td>327 (33)</td>
<td>132 (11)</td>
<td>192 (6)</td>
<td>11,100 (400)</td>
<td>14,700 (500)</td>
<td>3,590 (200)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCMT1-H160M</td>
<td>33.4 (1.5)</td>
<td>7.21 (0.12)</td>
<td>14.4 (0.6)</td>
<td>7.19 (0.59)</td>
<td>2,180 (230)</td>
<td>2,020 (210)</td>
<td>2,490 (110)</td>
<td>690 (60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 7. Alignment of the CCMT1 Protein Sequence with Selected Characterized Members of the SABATH Family.

The important active site and substrate binding residues determined for C. breweri SAMT by Zubieta et al. (2003) are highlighted with stars for AdoMet/AdoHcy binding residues and with circles for salicylate binding residues. Boxshade was used to highlight residues, with white text on black background indicating an identical residue for more than half of the sequences.
Figure 8. Structural Models of Ob CCMT1, Ob CCMT1-H160M, and Am SAMT Proteins with Potential Substrates Docked into the Active Sites.

Models are for Ob CCMT1 (A), (C), (E), and (G), Ob CCMT1-H160M (B), (F), and (H), and Am SAMT (D). Only those protein residues most relevant to substrate binding (possessing at least one amino acid residue atom within 5 Å of a substrate atom) are shown. (A) and (B) show docking with cinnamate; (C) shows docking with p-coumarate; (D) to (F) show docking with salicylate; and (G) and (H) show docking with BA.
SABATH family is split into three primary clusters. The first cluster comprises microbial sequences that are distinct from terrestrial plant sequences. The second cluster consists of two subclades that are not distinct based on bootstrap support and includes the basil CCMT genes, At IAMT, and Arabidopsis farnesoic and gibberellic acid carboxyl methyltransferases (At FAMT and At GAMT), along with several groups of genes of unknown function from Arabidopsis, Brassica napus, poplar (Populus sp), rice (Oryza sativa), and other monocots as well as all genes identified from conifers and lower plants. The third major cluster comprises sequences from higher plants and includes the characterized SAMTs, BSMTs, BAMT, JMT, and the N-methyltransferases as well as several distinct clusters of uncharacterized monocot and eudicot SAMT-like sequences. It was surprising that basil CCMT does not cluster with benzenoid carboxyl methyltransferases (SAMTs, BSMTs, BAMT, etc.), whereas jasmonic acid carboxyl methyltransferase and the N-methyltransferases do.

DISCUSSION

Conservation of Primary Structure in SABATH Family Proteins

The newly available basil CCMT protein sequences along with those from recently cloned and characterized SABATH genes allowed us to obtain an updated perspective on important primary sequence features of SABATH family proteins and to

Figure 9. Direct Linear Plots of Velocity Versus $K_m$ for CCMT1 and CCMT3 with Varying SA and CA Concentrations.

Lines were calculated using the equation $V_{app} = v + v/s \times K_m$, according to Eisenthal and Cornish-Bowden (1974), where $v$ (the $y$ intercept) is the observed velocity of the reaction at a given substrate concentration ($s$) and the term $v/s$ is the slope of the line. SA acts like a competitive inhibitor at low concentrations and like a mixed inhibitor at high concentrations for both enzymes CCMT1 (A) and CCMT3 (B).

SABATH family is split into three primary clusters. The first cluster comprises microbial sequences that are distinct from terrestrial plant sequences. The second cluster consists of two subclades that are not distinct based on bootstrap support and includes the basil CCMT genes, At IAMT, and Arabidopsis farnesoic and gibberellic acid carboxyl methyltransferases (At FAMT and At GAMT), along with several groups of genes of unknown function from Arabidopsis, Brassica napus, poplar (Populus sp), rice (Oryza sativa), and other monocots as well as all genes identified from conifers and lower plants. The third major cluster comprises sequences from higher plants and includes the characterized SAMTs, BSMTs, BAMT, JMT, and the N-methyltransferases as well as several distinct clusters of uncharacterized monocot and eudicot SAMT-like sequences. It was surprising that basil CCMT does not cluster with benzenoid carboxyl methyltransferases (SAMTs, BSMTs, BAMT, etc.), whereas jasmonic acid carboxyl methyltransferase and the N-methyltransferases do.

DISCUSSION

Conservation of Primary Structure in SABATH Family Proteins

The newly available basil CCMT protein sequences along with those from recently cloned and characterized SABATH genes allowed us to obtain an updated perspective on important primary sequence features of SABATH family proteins and to

Figure 10. Phylogenetic Tree of the SABATH Family of Carboxyl Methyltransferases Produced Using Maximum Likelihood.

The biochemically characterized plant proteins shown in Figure 7 are indicated, as are two gibberellic acid carboxyl methyltransferases from Arabidopsis (gi:62319595 [SAMT1] and gi:30696725 [SAMT2]). The locations of microbial and lower plant taxa are also shown.
identify regions of conservation among the protein sequences of the expanded gene family. While the residues surrounding the presumed active site cavities can be quite variable, those that were previously indicated by the solved crystal structure of C. brevieri SAMT to be involved in AdoMet/AdoHcy binding (Zubieta et al., 2003) are well conserved in basil CCMTs, as they are in most plant-derived members of this gene family that have been characterized to date (indicated by stars in Figure 7).

The strict conservation of many residues across the SABATH proteins characterized to date suggests an essential role in this enzyme family, even if this role is not yet known. There are 24 amino acid residues distributed throughout the primary amino acid sequences that are absolutely conserved in the 14 biochemically characterized SABATH family members from plants but that are not identified as active site residues or implicated as having direct interaction with the substrates or products based on solved crystal structures and structural models. Thus, individual solved crystal structures or models, while essential to help us understand the function of a protein and powerful for predictive purposes, may not by themselves explain the contribution of each building block of an enzyme structure to its function.

Substrate Preference and Active Site Structure

The characteristic feature of the three basil CCMT proteins is their strong preference for p-coumarate and CA (as well as saturated side chain analogs) and their complete lack of activity with SA and most of the other substrates preferred by other characterized members of the SABATH family, with the exception of a low level of activity with BA (Table 1). Previously, the benzenoid-specific enzymes of the SABATH family were subdivided based on their methyl acceptor preferences in two categories, SAMT and BAMT types (Effmert et al., 2005). The SAMT types share the conserved Met-150/Met-308 clamp for the aromatic ring of SA and demonstrate a strong preference for SA over BA as a substrate (Zubieta et al., 2003). All other SABATH family members, including basil CCMTs, possess a His residue at the site equivalent to Cb SAMT Met-150, while residues aligned with Cb SAMT Met-308 are somewhat more divergent. However, as Chen et al. (2003) pointed out, this active site feature is not absolutely essential for the utilization of SA as a substrate, as both At BSMT1 and Al BSMT1 can methylate SA (while possessing a His at this position), although they do strongly prefer BA as substrate. Our docking results (Figure 8) also explain why most members of the SABATH family of carboxyl methyltransferases that are able to efficiently use SA as a substrate have replaced the otherwise highly conserved His residue with Met. Met is not able to form the same hydrogen bond as His, which allows SA to retain its intramolecular hydrogen bond between the 2-hydroxyl group and the carboxylate carbonyl, thereby allowing the carboxylate group to bind in a position and orientation suitable for attack on the reactive methyl of AdoMet. Evaluation of the CCMT1-H160M mutant enzyme activity further supports these conclusions regarding general binding cavity structure and substrate binding, in which SA and BA became much better substrates for this mutated version of the CCMT1 enzyme compared with the nonmutated recombinant form (Tables 1 and 2, Figure 8).

Evolution of Methylcinnamate Production in Plants

Identification of the basil CCMT genes answers a long-standing question regarding the biogenesis of methylcinnamate and methyl-p-coumarate in plants and demonstrates that members of the SABATH family of carboxyl methyltransferases are responsible for the production of these compounds in sweet basil and presumably in many other plants as well. The strong substrate preference and high catalytic efficiencies, as well as the low apparent K_m values of CCMTs for p-coumarate and CA, further substantiate the biological role of these enzymes in the production of the methylated forms of these compounds. An alternative means of production, involving an acyltransferase responsible for the transfer of cinnamate/p-coumarate from the corresponding CoA esters to methanol, was eliminated as a potential biosynthetic route in these plants. A third alternative route via a second type of acyltransferase has also been proposed. A 1-O-trans-cinnamoyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl alcohol cin- namoyltransferase was partially purified from fruits of Physalis peruviana (cape gooseberry) and was shown to be capable of transferring cinnamate from cinnamoyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl to methanol, forming methylcinnamate (Latza and Berger, 1997). This avenue of synthesis of methylcinnamate is supported by the recent identification of a UDP-glucose:cinnamate glucosyltransferase from strawberry (Fragaria sp) (Lunkenbein et al., 2006), which also makes methyl and ethyl esters of cinnamic acid, although activity for the subsequent acyltransferase has not yet been reported. Thus, it may be possible that the production of methylcinnamate could have evolved convergently via different biochemical mechanisms in different plant lineages, although this has yet to be proven conclusively.

The distribution of methylcinnamate production throughout several plant families, presumably via biosynthesis by the CCMT homologs identified in this phylogenetic analysis, suggests the possibility that this function may have arisen early in plant evolution, possibly prior to the divergence of monocots and dicots. Several sequence homologs of basil CCMT and Arabidopsis IAMT were found for rice, but it remains to be determined whether these genes encode true CCMTs or IAMTs. The biochemical functions of the related genes from lower plants and of the microbial enzymes are also unknown. Future functional characterization of these genes will be essential to identify whether CCMT activity represents an ancient biochemical process that has been conserved throughout the history of plant evolution or whether it arose via convergent (repeated) evolution in multiple instances throughout evolution of the plant kingdom (Pichersky and Gang, 2000; Gang, 2005). In either case, the evolutionary selective pressures responsible for driving the development of this biochemical function remain to be determined, and future expanded functional genomics data, coupled with detailed metabolic profiling of the respective species, will provide keys to solving this puzzle.

Origin and Evolution of the SABATH Family

SABATH family members were identified in diverse photosynthetic organisms, including three taxa of cyanobacteria, the phytoplankton Thalassiosira, and taxa representing the major lineages of terrestrial plants, including the bryophytes Physcomitrella
(moss) and Marchantia (liverwort), the lycophtye Selaginella, several gymnosperm taxa, and numerous monocot and eudicot flowering plants, again suggesting the origin of this gene family in taxa ancestral to all land plant lineages. Among eukaryotes, SABATH homologs were distributed throughout several major groups in addition to the terrestrial plants, including fungi, amoebozoa, and strameniphiles. No SABATH sequences were identified in any taxa from the Archaebacteria. The possibility of lateral transfer events between divergent taxa cannot be eliminated, and homologs were observed in both maize (Ze a mays) and its fungal pathogen Ustilago maydis, suggesting potential opportunities for horizontal gene transfer. The identification of SABATH family members in taxa spanning many of the major branches of the tree of life suggests the possibility of an early evolutionary origin of this gene family in an ancient common ancestor and an evolutionarily conserved functionality across diverse taxa.

Phylogenetic analysis based on the maximum likelihood tree (Figure 10) suggests that the progenitor of the SABATH gene family evolved long before the divergence of vascular plants, since several taxa of eubacteria representing at least three different major groups, the cyanobacteria Synechococcus, Prochlorococcus, and Gloeobacter, all possess SABATH family members. The presence of these genes among a group of taxa thought to be involved in endosymbiosis with early eukaryotes suggests that this family of genes may have been inherited from prokaryotes. BLAST searches, however, did not result in the identification of SABATH homologs among any sequence data available from green or red algae, including Chlamydomonas reinhardtii and Cyanidioschyzon merolae, for which the entire or extensive genome sequences are available, indicating potential gene loss in these taxa. The identification of a homolog in the genome sequence of the diatom Thalassiosira, however, suggests that the loss of this gene in red algae may have occurred after the secondary endosymbiotic event that gave rise to the modern brown algae. This raises interesting questions about the biological role of these SABATH homologs in the taxa in which they have been maintained versus those for which the sequence has been lost. Nevertheless, the tree topology suggests that plant SABATH genes most likely originated from an ancient ancestor shared with the microbial taxa and that the ancestral form of this gene may have undergone a duplication early in plant evolutionary history prior to the divergence of monocots and eudicots, with subsequent duplication events and additional diversification taking place later to generate the contemporary groups and diverse functions within the gene family seen here.

METHODS

CCMT Activity Assays
CCMT activity was determined by measuring the formation of radiolabeled methylcinnamate by total protein extracted from isolated glandular trichomes and intact leaves of four basil (Ocimum basilicum) lines. Assays were performed in 50-μL reactions consisting of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 8.0, 9.33 μM S-[methyl-14C]adenosyl-L-Met, 1 mM cinnamate, and 200 ng to 10 μg of protein. Reactions were incubated at 30°C for 30 to 60 min and stopped by the addition of 6 μL of 6 N HCl. Labeled methylcinnamate was extracted with ethyl acetate, and a portion of the separated organic phase was used for scintillation counting. Assays were performed in quadruplicate for each protein sample. Controls included assays with all reagents to which no protein was added and assays including protein with cinnamate omitted. Assays to detect the activity of an acetyltransferase capable of producing methylcinnamate were performed using the same assay conditions (similar to those used previously for acetyltransferase assays [Gang et al., 2002a], with the exceptions that 50 μM cinnamoyl-CoA (synthesized according to a method reported previously [Beuerle and Pichersky, 2002] and purified using a Chromabond C18 column [Macherey-Nagel] and 25 μM D3-methanol [343854; Sigma-Aldrich]) was substituted for AdoMet and cinnamate, the reactions were incubated for 2 h, and detection was performed via GC-MS rather than radiometrically.

The standard assay mixture for the recombinant proteins consisted of 20 μL of 250 mM potassium phosphate buffer at pH 7.5 with 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 μL of 50 mM disodium EDTA, 2 μL of acid substrate dissolved in DMSO, 0.2 to 2 μL of enzyme solution (48 to 480 ng), 5 μL of radiolabeled AdoMet (389 μM; specific activity of 10.28 mCi/mmol), and water to a final volume of 100 μL. After incubation for 15 to 30 min, the reactions were stopped by the addition of 150 μL of organic solvent (hexane or ethyl acetate) and vortexing for 5 s. After centrifugation (1 min at 16,600g) to separate the phases, 75 μL of the organic phase was used for liquid scintillation counting. Verification of the assay product was determined using nonradiolabeled AdoMet in a 100× scaled reaction and analyzing the concentrated organic phase by GC-MS.

GC-MS Analysis of Basil Tissues and CCMT Product
Volatiles were extracted as reported previously (Ma and Gang, 2005) by adding 2 mL of ethyl acetate containing internal standard (1,3,4-trichlorobenzene) to 0.5 g of fresh young basil leaves (<1.5 cm in length) and shaking overnight. A portion (100 μL) of the ethyl acetate extract was removed and used for analysis by GC-MS. Areas for all chromatogram peaks for a given sample were normalized to internal standard peak area to allow for the relative quantitation of methylcinnamate and other volatile compounds between samples. For the analysis of methylcinnamate production by Escherichia coli cells expressing recombinant CCMT, the culture medium (25 mL), grown as described below, was extracted with 5 mL of hexane and the hexane phase was subsequently concentrated to 200 μL and analyzed by GC-MS.

The identity of the product formed in the radiometric CCMT assays was confirmed by GC-MS analysis of ethyl acetate extracts from assays performed using stably labeled cinnamate and unlabeled AdoMet. Briefly, [U-13C3]-L-Phe (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) was enzymatically converted into cinnamic acid using Phe ammonia-lyase from Rhodotorula glutinis (P1016; Sigma-Aldrich) and subsequently purified. Purified [U-13C3]cinnamate was resuspended in ethanol, and the purity and concentration were checked using thin layer chromatography. CCMT enzyme assays were performed as described above, with the exception of a higher AdoMet concentration and longer assay period (200 μM, 3 h). The product was extracted with ethyl acetate and analyzed by GC-MS. Controls were performed as described above, with the addition of assays from which AdoMet was omitted. Methylcinnamate was identified via library matching of mass spectra, and the mass spectrum and fragment pattern of labeled methylcinnamate were compared with those of an unlabeled, authentic methylcinnamate standard. Verification of the product formed by recombinant CCMT enzyme was performed by adding nonradiolabeled AdoMet to a 100× scaled reaction and analyzing the concentrated organic phase by GC-MS.

GC-MS analysis was performed using a Thermo Electron Trace GC Ultra apparatus linked to a DSQ mass spectrometer. Volatile compounds were separated on an Alltech ECONO-CAP-EC-5 capillary column (30 m × 0.25 i.d. × 0.25 mm film thickness) and identified using a previously reported method (Ma and Gang, 2003).
cDNA Library Construction for Line MC

A glandular trichome cDNA library for basil line MC was constructed as described previously (Gang et al., 2001). Sequencing of randomly selected cDNA clones was performed at the Arizona Genomics Institute. Sequence base calls, vector trimming, and low-quality sequence filtering were performed by the Arizona Genomics Computation Laboratory as described elsewhere (Udall et al., 2006). EST sequences were initially clustered using PaCE (Kalyanaraman et al., 2003) and subsequently assembled using CAP3 (Huang and Madan, 1999). Functional annotations for each EST and contig consensus sequence were generated by BLASTing (Altschul et al., 1990) against the UniProt database with a cutoff of 1e-10.

Functional annotation of the ESTs was further extended by BLASTing against the Arabidopsis Trichome database (Käll et al., 2003) and manual curation. A total of 8,275 ESTs were annotated, representing 4,989 unique Arabidopsis protein sequences and 3,286 unique Uniprot database sequences. ESTs were clustered with PaCE (Kalyanaraman et al., 2003) and subsequently clustered using PaCE (Kalyanaraman et al., 2003) and subsequently clustered using PaCE (Kalyanaraman et al., 2003) and subsequently clustered using PaCE (Kalyanaraman et al., 2003) and subsequently clustered using PaCE (Kalyanaraman et al., 2003). A total of 8,275 ESTs were annotated, representing 4,989 unique Arabidopsis protein sequences and 3,286 unique Uniprot database sequences. ESTs were clustered with PaCE (Kalyanaraman et al., 2003) and manually curated.

DNA Gel Blot Analysis

Genomic DNA was isolated from young leaves of each of the four basil lines using Qiagen DNeasy Plant Maxi kits. DNA (10 μg) was digested separately with EcoRI and XbaI. Blotting and hybridization were performed using standard procedures (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). A 484-bp CCMT probe was amplified from a coding region of the CCMT1 cDNA that was highly conserved (97.5% identity or higher) across the three identified basil CCMT isoforms. The following primers were used for probe amplification: forward CCMT1fp, 5'-CGGAGTCTAGGCTTTCTCTGC-3'; reverse CCMT1pr, 5'-CTCGAATTAGCCCTCTTGCG-3'.

Proteomics Analysis

Total soluble protein was isolated from glandular trichomes of each basil line, which were obtained using the same method as for RNA isolation (Gang et al., 2001), with some modifications. RNase inhibitor was replaced by 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) during gland isolation. Settled glands were suspended in a 10-fold volume of 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, with 1.0 mM PMSF and disrupted by sonication. The lysate was centrifuged for 15 min at 10,000g and 4°C to remove insoluble cell debris. The supernatant was subsequently centrifuged at 100,000g for 1 h at 4°C to separate soluble and membrane-associated protein fractions. The soluble proteins were precipitated with 0.25 volume of 100% trichloroacetic acid for 15 min on ice, followed by centrifugation for 15 min at 16,000g and 4°C. The resulting protein sample was applied to MuddPIT (Washburn et al., 2001) and the SDS-PAGE gel slice-LC-MS/MS analysis methods as described previously (Breci et al., 2005). Proteins were identified by SEQUEST (Link et al., 1999), searching against a custom protein database translated from basil EST tentative consensus sequences and the plant protein database of The Arabidopsis Information Resource (Huala et al., 2001). Proteomics analyses were performed by the Proteomics Analysis Laboratory at the University of Arizona.

Recombinant Protein Expression and Purification

The coding regions of all CCMTs were amplified from full-length cDNA clones with the sense 27-mer oligonucleotide 5'-GCCATATGGCGAAGAGAGAGAACATG-3' that introduced an Ndel site at the initiating ATG codon and the antisense 36-mer oligonucleotide 5'-GGCGATCTTCATTGCGAATTACATG-3' that introduced a BamHI site downstream of the stop codon. The PCR-amplified 1.2-kb fragments were subcloned into the Ndel-BamHI site of the expression vector pET-28a (Novagen) and used to transform E. coli Rosetta cells. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using PCR primers with mismatched nucleotides designed to encode the desired amino acid alteration in the resultant protein products, which were then assembled into full-length mutagenized open reading frames via overlap extension PCR and subsequently cloned, expressed, and purified as described above.

Preparation of Crude Cell-Free Extracts from E. coli

Single isolated colonies from plates containing Luria-Bertani medium supplemented with 50 μg/mL kanamycin and 37 μg/mL chloramphenicol were used to inoculate 25-mL liquid cultures with equal volumes of antibiotics. These cultures were grown overnight at 37°C and used to inoculate 1-liter cultures. Once the larger cultures reached an OD600 of 0.5, they were induced with the addition of 0.4 mM isopropyl-β-D-galactoside. After incubation for 24 h at 18°C, the cultures were harvested by centrifugation and the pellets were resuspended in 40 mL of 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM disodium EDTA, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 10% glycerol (v/v), and 1 mM PMSF. Cells were lysed by the addition of lysozyme (at a final concentration of 50 μg/mL) and incubation on ice for 30 min followed by sonication on a pulsed probe-tip sonicator. The resulting slurry was clarified by centrifugation at 25,000g for 45 min at 4°C.

Partial Enzyme Purification

The CCMT proteins were purified using methods adapted from previous reports (Negre et al., 2003; Kaminaga et al., 2006). Crude extracts made from 4 liters of culture were applied to a column containing 0.5 mL of nickel-nitriolactionate agarose (Qiagen) using gravity flow. This was followed by washing with 50 mL of a solution containing 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, and 20 mM imidazole. The CCMT proteins were eluted using 20 mL of a linear gradient of imidazole (0.0–0.5 M) in buffer A (with 50 mM imidazole). The eluted proteins were collected in 2-mL fractions and analyzed by SDS-PAGE.
Washing proceeded until the OD_{280} of the eluate matched approximately that of the buffer prior to application on the column. Elution was performed with a solution of 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, and 500 mM imidazole. Fractions were collected and assayed for CCMT activity, and those containing the highest CCMT activity were pooled and desalted on Econo-Pac 10DG columns (Bio-Rad Laboratories) into 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, and 10% (v/v) glycerol. SDS-PAGE followed by staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue and band densitometry was used to estimate purity. Protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976) using Bio-Rad reagent and BSA as a standard.

**Determination of Native Molecular Mass**

Molecular mass of the recombinant CCMT proteins was determined by gel filtration on a Superdex 200-HR (Pharmacia-Biotech) column (1 M molecular mass of the recombinant CCMT proteins was determined by the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976) using Bio-Rad densitometry was used to estimate purity. Protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976) using Bio-Rad protein reagent and BSA as a standard.

**Enzyme Characterization**

The pH values for optimum CCMT activities were determined using 50 mM Tris/Na phosphate/Na citrate buffer with pH ranging from 5.5 to 9.0. Enzyme assays were performed with one of the following cations present in the assay buffer at a final concentration of 5 mM: Na^+, Mn^{2+}, K^+, Ca^{2+}, NH_4^+, Cu^{2+}, Zn^{2+}, Fe^{2+}, and Mg^{2+}. (In the presence of divalent cations, EDTA was omitted from the reaction buffer.) Substrate specificity was tested with acids at 2.4 mM and AdoMet at 19.45 mM. Those extracted with hexane included methylbenzoate, methylcinnamate, methylsalicylate, and methyl-4-hydroxyhydrocinnamate. All others were extracted using ethyl acetate.

**Kinetic Properties**

For kinetic analysis, an appropriate enzyme concentration was chosen so that the reaction velocity was proportional to the enzyme concentration and was linear with respect to incubation time for 15 to 30 min (depending on the substrate being tested). All assays were performed at 24°C. Kinetic data were evaluated by hyperbolic regression analysis (Hyper.exe version 1.01; J.S. Easterby). Triplicate assays were performed for all analyses.

**Modeling of CCMT Structures**

Amino acid sequence alignments for the three basil CCMTs, Arabidopsis thaliana IAMT, and snapdragon (Antirrhinum majus) SAMT proteins versus Clarkia breweri SAMT were used by Modeller version 8.2 (Sali and Blundell, 1993) to produce eight independent models for each of the proteins. All resulting models for each species were very similar, and the model with the lowest calculated minimization energy was selected for further analysis. The modeled protein structures were visualized in O (Jones et al., 1991) or Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004). Images for display were produced by POV-Ray (Persistence of vision; http://www.povray.org) using parameters written in Molscript (Kraulis, 1991). Docking of ligands (potential substrates) to modeled protein active sites was performed using GOLD version 3.1.1 (Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre).

**Phylogenetic Analysis of the SABATH Family**

Sequence database searches were performed using BLAST and psi-BLAST algorithms (Altschul et al., 1990, 1997) against the nr database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) as well as tBLASTn searches against dbEST and the gsw, wgs, and htgs genomic sequence databases. Large numbers of EST hits for several taxa were assembled into consensus sequences at the species or genus level using GCG (Accelrys). In addition to the NCBI databases, we performed BLAST searches against sequence data available for Physcomitrella patens and Selaginella moellendorfii available at Physcobase (http://moss.nibb.ac.jp/). We also conducted BLAST searches against the Joint Genome Institute eukaryotic genome databases and the Integrated Microbial Genome database (http://img.jgi.doe.gov/cgi-bin/pub/main.cgi) as well as the Plant Genome Database (http://www.plantgdb.org/) to access additional sequence data not yet synched with NCBI. The resultant BLAST hits were filtered to eliminate redundancy as well as fragmentary sequences of <200 amino acids (nonplant sequences were filtered at a cutoff of 120 amino acids). Multiple sequence alignments were performed using ClustalX 1.83 (Thompson et al., 1997) using the BLOSUM protein weight matrix. A subset of difficult-to-align sequences were removed and aligned to the realigned primary group of sequences in profile mode. Alignments were manually refined by eye, and some difficult sequences were also manually aligned with the aid of RPS-BLAST (Marchler-Bauer et al., 2002) results generated from sequence searches against the Conserved Domain Database (Marchler-Bauer et al., 2005) and the resulting alignment to the PSSM (Pasm identifier 67,129) for the SABATH methyltransferase domain (Pfam accession number PF03492) (Bateman et al., 2004). Prior to performing maximum likelihood analysis, the alignment was further refined by removing highly variable and uninformative regions of the multiple sequence alignment as well as partial length sequences lacking sequence data for the included regions of the alignment. Phylogenetic analysis was performed for 132 residues and 231 sequences using Phyml (Guindon and Gascuel, 2003) with the JTT substitution model and 1000 bootstrap replicates, and tree files were viewed using TreeExplorer.

**Accession Numbers**

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data library under accession numbers EU033968 (Ob CCMT1), EU033969 (Ob CCMT2), and EU033970 (Ob CCMT3).

**Supplemental Data**

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

- **Supplemental Figure 1.** AdoMet Inhibition of CCMT Activity in MC Glandular Trichomes.
- **Supplemental Figure 2.** DNA Gel Blot Analysis of CCMT Copy Number and Organization in the Genomes of the Four Basil Lines: SW, MC, EMX-1, and SD.
- **Supplemental Figure 3.** Sequence Alignment Used to Generate the Maximum Likelihood Tree Displayed in Figure 10.
- **Supplemental Figure 4.** Additional Plots Demonstrating the Parabolic Nature of SA Inhibition of CCMT1 and CCMT3 Activities.
- **Supplemental Figure 5.** Energy-Minimized Structures of Potential Substrates (in Free Acid and Carboxylate Forms) for CCMT Enzymes Used in Docking Experiments, Displayed from Two Sides.
Supplemental Table 1. Peptide Identification of CCMT Isoforms from MC and SW Glandular Trichome–Soluble Protein Samples Using a Proteomics Approach.

Supplemental Methods.

Supplemental Data Set 1. Text File of the Alignment of CCMT1 Protein Sequences Presented in Figure 7.

Supplemental Data Set 2. Text File of the Sequence Alignment Used to Generate the Maximum Likelihood Tree Displayed in Figure 10.
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