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Chloroplast genomes in land plants harbor ~20 group II introns. Genetic approaches have identified proteins involved in the splicing of many of these introns, but the proteins identified to date cannot account for the large size of intron ribonucleoprotein complexes and are not sufficient to reconstitute splicing in vitro. Here, we describe an additional protein that promotes chloroplast group II intron splicing in vivo. This protein, RNC1, was identified by mass spectrometry analysis of maize (Zea mays) proteins that coimmunoprecipitate with two previously identified chloroplast splicing factors, CAF1 and CAF2. RNC1 is a plant-specific protein that contains two ribonuclease III (RNase III) domains, the domain that harbors the active site of RNase III and Dicer enzymes. However, several amino acids that are essential for catalysis by RNase III and Dicer are missing from the RNase III domains in RNC1. RNC1 is found in complexes with a subset of chloroplast group II introns that includes but is not limited to CAF1- and CAF2-dependent introns. The splicing of many of the introns with which it associates is disrupted in maize mcf insertion mutants, indicating that RNC1 facilitates splicing in vivo. Recombinant RNC1 binds both single-stranded and double-stranded RNA with no discernible sequence specificity and lacks endonuclease activity. These results suggest that RNC1 is recruited to specific introns via protein-protein interactions and that its role in splicing involves RNA binding but not RNA cleavage activity.

INTRODUCTION

Group II introns are large ribozymes whose splicing proceeds via the same chemical steps as nuclear pre-mRNA splicing (reviewed in Michel et al., 1989; Bonen and Vogel, 2001; Pyle and Lambowitz, 2006). Group II introns are broadly distributed in bacteria and bacteria-derived organelles, but they are particularly prevalent in chloroplasts and in plant and fungal mitochondria. Despite their designation as ribozymes, few group II introns have been demonstrated to harbor catalytic activity, and genetic approaches have shown that proteins are required for the efficient splicing of many group II introns in vivo. However, few group II intron splicing factors have been identified, and even fewer have been analyzed at the biochemical level to elucidate the mechanisms by which they promote splicing.

Previously, we used genetic approaches to identify several nucleus-encoded proteins that are required for the splicing of group II introns in maize (Zea mays) chloroplasts: a CAF1/CRS2 complex, a CAF2/CRS2 complex, CRS1, and PPR4. Each of these is required for the splicing of distinct, but overlapping, subsets of the 17 group II introns in maize chloroplasts, and each is associated in vivo with those introns whose splicing it facilitates (Jenkins et al., 1997; Till et al., 2001; Ostheimer et al., 2003; Schmitz-Linneweber et al., 2005, 2006). CAF1, CAF2, and CRS1 are plant-specific proteins harboring a novel RNA binding domain called the CRM domain (Till et al., 2001; Ostheimer et al., 2003; Barkan et al., 2007), and the splicing functions of these proteins are conserved in their Arabidopsis thaliana orthologs (Asakura and Barkan, 2006). PPR4 is a member of the pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) family (Small and Peeters, 2000; Schmitz-Linneweber et al., 2006), whereas CRS2 is related to peptidyl-tRNA hydrolase enzymes (Jenkins and Barkan, 2001; Ostheimer et al., 2005). Purified recombinant CAF1/CRS2, CAF2/CRS2, and CRS1 bind intron RNAs in vitro (Ostertz et al., 2005; our unpublished results). However, we have been unable to reconstitute splicing in vitro with these proteins alone, suggesting that additional proteins are required. Indeed, these proteins and their cognate introns are found in ribonucleoprotein (RNP) particles of ~600 to 700 kD in chloroplast extract (Jenkins and Barkan, 2001; Till et al., 2001; Ostheimer et al., 2003), considerably larger than the sum of their known protein and RNA components.

To identify additional proteins involved in the splicing of chloroplast group II introns, we immunopurified CAF1, CAF2, and CRS1 RNPs from chloroplast extract and identified coimmunoprecipitated proteins by mass spectrometry. Here, we describe RNC1, a protein that was recovered in both CAF1 and CAF2 coimmunoprecipitates. RNC1 is a plant-specific protein that has two ribonuclease III (RNase III) domains. RNC1 is found in complexes containing a subset of group II introns in the chloroplast that includes, but is not limited to, CAF1- and CAF2-dependent
introns. Many of the introns with which RNC1 is associated splice inefficiently in mc1 mutants, showing that RNC1 promotes splicing in vivo. Despite its two RNase III domains, phylogenetic considerations and biochemical assays indicate that RNC1 lacks endonucleolytic activity. These and other results suggest that RNC1 promotes splicing via its RNA binding activity and that it is recruited to specific plastid introns via protein–protein interactions.

RESULTS

Identification of RNC1 in CAF1 and CAF2 Coimmunoprecipitates

To seek additional proteins involved in the splicing of chloroplast group II introns, we used mass spectrometry to identify proteins that coimmunoprecipitate with the splicing factors CRS1, CAF1, or CAF2. These proteins were shown previously to reside in intron-containing complexes of ~600 to 700 kD in the chloroplast stroma (Till et al., 2001; Østheimser et al., 2003; Schmitz-Linneweber et al., 2005). To reduce contamination of the immunoprecipitates by abundant stromal proteins, chloroplast stroma was first fractionated on sucrose gradients, and gradient fractions containing particles of ~600 to 700 kD were then subjected to immunoprecipitation (equivalent to fractions 8 to 11 shown in Figure 3C below). The bulk of stromal proteins are found in either smaller (e.g., ribulose-1,5-bis-phosphate carboxylase/oxygenase [Rubisco]) or larger (e.g., ribosome) particles (see Figure 3C below), so this size selection provided substantial enrichment for intron RNPs.

Immunoprecipitation pellets were separated by SDS-PAGE, and contiguous gel slices containing proteins between ~15 and 120 kD were excised. Proteins were subjected to in-gel digestion with trypsin and analyzed by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS). Consistent with earlier data demonstrating the independence of the CAF1/CRS2, CAF2/CRS2, and CRS1 splicing complexes, CAF1 and CRS2 were identified in the CAF1 immunoprecipitate, and CRS2 were identified in the CAF2 immunoprecipitate, and none of these proteins was identified in the CRS1 immunoprecipitate (data not shown). Several abundant proteins were identified in all three immunopurified samples (e.g., Rubisco, chaperonin-60, GAPDH, and RNA polymerase subunits) and were presumed to be contaminants. Of more interest were several uncharacterized proteins that were identified in the CAF1, CAF2, and/or CRS1 immunoprecipitates. Among these was a protein harboring two RNase III domains (InterPro identifier IPR000999), which was identified in CAF1 and CAF2 immunoprecipitates but not in the CRS1 immunoprecipitate. This protein was an attractive candidate to be an authentic partner of CAF1 and CAF2 because its identification was robust (11 and 8 peptides were identified in the CAF1 and CAF2 immunoprecipitates, respectively) (Figure 1A; see Supplemental Table 1 online) and it harbors a motif known to influence RNA metabolism. Furthermore, its Arabidopsis and rice (Oryza sativa) orthologs have not been reported in plastid proteome studies, suggesting that it is a low-abundance protein and thus is unlikely to be a contaminant. We named this protein RNC1, after the bacterial gene rnc that encodes RNase III.

RNC1 is a member of an orthologous group that includes one protein in Arabidopsis (At RNC1). However, the high sequence similarity among the three orthologs in regions corresponding to both RNase III domains (Figure 1A) strongly suggests that RNC1 has two RNase III domains. RNC1 domains harbor the active site of RNase III–like enzymes, which cleave double-stranded RNA substrates (reviewed in MacRae and Doudna, 2007). Proteins with RNase III domains have been divided into three classes based upon their domain organization, typified by bacterial RNase III, eukaryotic Dicer, and eukaryotic Drosha. Proteins in each class include one or more of the following accessory domains: a double-stranded RNA binding domain (dsRBD), a DEAD box domain, and a PAZ domain. RNC1 does not fit into any of these classes, as it lacks conserved domains other than its two RNase III domains.

Figure 1B shows an alignment of the RNase III domain from Escherichia coli RNase III with the six RNase III domains in maize, rice, and Arabidopsis RNC1. Four residues that play important roles in RNase III catalysis are circled: E41, D45, D114, and E117 (E. coli numbering). These four residues coordinate a Mg2+ ion at the active site (Blažszczyk et al., 2001; Gan et al., 2006), and E41, D45, and E117 are strictly conserved among RNase III, Dicer, and Drosha enzymes (Blažszczyk et al., 2001; Han et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2004). E117 and D45 are essential for catalysis, as E117A and D45A and D45N mutations inactivate E. coli RNase III (Sun and Nicholson, 2001; Sun et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2004). These residues are not conserved in either of RNC1’s two RNase III domains (Figure 1B): D45 corresponds to Gin in both domains, whereas E117 corresponds to Trp in the first domain and to Gin in the second. In E. coli RNase III, E41 and D114 act redundantly: mutation of either alone reduces metal ion affinity, but the double mutant is severely compromised (Sun et al., 2004). Neither of these residues is conserved in RNC1’s first RNase III domain, and only E41 is conserved in the second domain (Figure 1B). Together, these amino acid substitutions strongly suggest that RNC1 lacks a functional endonuclease active site.

Recovery of mc1 Insertion Mutants

To evaluate the physiological role of RNC1, we recovered mutant mc1 alleles through a reverse-genetic screen of our collection of Mu transposon–induced nonphotosynthetic maize mutants (http://pmr.oregon.edu/) (Stern et al., 2004). The positions of three transposon insertions used for this study are diagrammed in Figure 2A. The mc1-1, mc1-2, and mc1-3 alleles condition pale green, albino, and albino stunted seedling phenotypes, respectively (Figure 2B). The severity of these phenotypes correlate well
with the positions of the transposon insertions: the insertion in \textit{mc1-3} is within the open reading frame and is anticipated to be a null allele, whereas the insertion in \textit{mc1-1} is 54 bp upstream of the start codon and is anticipated to be a weak allele. The \textit{mc1-2} insertion is 11 bp upstream of the start codon, consistent with its intermediate phenotype. Plants that are homozygous for each of these alleles die after the development of three to four leaves, as is typical of nonphotosynthetic maize mutants. Complementation crosses were performed between plants heterozygous for each allele in all pairwise combinations; in each case, chlorophyll-deficient seedlings segregated in the F1 progeny, demonstrating that the pigment-deficient phenotypes result from the
insertions in the mc1 gene (see Methods for a summary of the complementation data). Furthermore, the phenotype conditioned by each heteroallelic combination is intermediate between those in the parental alleles (Figure 2B). Noncomplementing progeny of these crosses were used as the source of mc1 mutant material in the experiments described below to ensure that the molecular defects observed result from disruption of the mc1 locus.

A polyclonal antibody was raised to a recombinant RNC1 fragment (amino acids 29 to 190). Immunoblot analysis of leaf extracts showed that RNC1 accumulates to reduced levels in each of the mutant lines and in the noncomplementing progeny of complementation crosses (Figure 2C). As a consequence, the loss of RNC1 in the mc1 mutants, compared with age-matched wild-type tissue, was most severe at early stages of leaf development (i.e., in the bases of seedling leaves and in the first leaf that was newly emerged from the coleoptile). RNA gel blot analysis showed that mc1 mRNA levels are also reduced in the mutants (Figure 2D).

**RNC1 Is Found in Complexes with CAF1 and CAF2 in the Chloroplast Stroma**

RNC1 was initially identified from a chloroplast stromal preparation. To determine whether this is RNC1’s sole intracellular location, immunoblots of leaf, chloroplast, mitochondria, and chloroplast subfractions were probed with the RNC1 antibody (Figure 3A). The results confirm that RNC1 is localized to the chloroplast stroma and show, in addition, that RNC1 has minimal association with the thylakoid membrane and that it is not found in mitochondria. CAF1 and CAF2 both coimmunoprecipitated with RNC1 from stromal extract (Figure 3B). Furthermore, immunoblot analysis of sucrose gradient–fractionated stroma showed that RNC1 cosediments with CAF1 and CAF2 in particles of
RNC1 was identified in particles in the chloroplast stroma that include CAF1 and CAF2. RNC1 was not identified in the MS/MS analysis of the CRS1 coimmunoprecipitation. However, weak coimmunoprecipitation of CRS1 with RNC1 was observed in the directed tests shown in Figure 3B.

Coimmunoprecipitation Assays Identify Group II Introns Associated with RNC1

CAF1 and CAF2 are bound to group II intron RNAs in vivo (Ostheimer et al., 2003; Schmitz-Linneweber et al., 2005) in RNPs of ~600 to 700 kD (Jenkins and Barkan, 2001). Given RNC1’s coimmunoprecipitation and cosedimentation with CAF1 and CAF2 (Figure 3), it seemed likely that RNC1 is also associated with intron RNAs. In addition, RNC1’s similarity to RNase III enzymes suggested that it might function in rRNA and/or mRNA processing. To gain insight into RNC1’s physiological RNA ligands, we performed RNA immunoprecipitation-on-microarray (RIP-chip) assays as an initial screen (Schmitz-Linneweber et al., 2005): stromal extract was subjected to immunoprecipitation with the RNC1 antibody, and RNAs from the immunoprecipitation pellet and supernatant were labeled with red-fluorescing (F635) or green-fluorescing (F532) dyes, respectively, combined, and hybridized to a tiling microarray of the maize chloroplast genome. Three replicate immunoprecipitation reactions were performed and analyzed in this manner.

To highlight sequences whose enrichment occurs specifically when the RNC1 antibody is used for immunoprecipitation, the median enrichment ratio (F635:F532) for each array fragment was plotted according to chromosomal position, after subtracting the values reported previously for RIP-chip assays using antibody to PPR4, an rps12-int1 trans-splicing factor (Schmitz-Linneweber et al., 2006) (Figure 4A). Several RNC1-specific peaks stand out prominently, with two deep valleys resulting from PPR4’s strong association with RNA from the two rps12 loci. As an additional negative control, two replicate assays were performed with stromal extract from rnc1-1/rnc1-2 mutant seedlings. This mutant stroma has reduced but still substantial levels of RNC1 protein because it was generated from complete seedling leaves rather than from the youngest leaf tissue, in which the RNC1 protein deficiency is most severe. Stronger mutant alleles or earlier developmental stages could not be used for this purpose because they yield insufficient stromal extract. These data are plotted in Supplemental Figure 1 online.

t tests were performed to assess the significance of the difference in enrichment of each RNA sequence in (1) RNC1 immunoprecipitations from wild-type extract versus RNC1 immunoprecipitations from rnc1 mutant extract and (2) RNC1 immunoprecipitations versus PPR4 immunoprecipitations from wild-type extract. The results for the top-scoring fragments are

Figure 3. RNC1 Is Associated with CAF1 and CAF2 in the Chloroplast Stroma.

(A) Immunoblots of leaf and subcellular fractions. Chloroplasts (Cp) and chloroplast subfractions were from the fractionated chloroplast preparation described and verified previously (Williams and Barkan, 2003); the samples in these lanes are derived from the same initial quantity of chloroplasts. The blot was reprobed to detect a marker for thylakoid membranes (D1) and mitochondria (MDH). Env, envelope; Mito, leaf mitochondria; Thy, thylakoid membranes.

(B) Coimmunoprecipitation of RNC1 with CAF1 and CAF2. Stroma was subjected to immunoprecipitation with the antibodies named at top. The presence of specific proteins in the immunoprecipitation pellets was tested by immunoblot analysis with the antibodies listed at left. Immunoprecipitations with OE16 antibody served as a negative control.

(C) Cosedimentation of RNC1 with intron ribonucleoprotein particles. Stromal extract was sedimented in sucrose gradients under conditions in which particles of greater than ~70S pellet (P). An equal volume of each gradient fraction was analyzed by probing immunoblots with the anti-RPL2 antibody indicated at left. RPL2 is a protein in the large ribosomal subunit and marks the position of ribosomes. The RbcL band in the Ponceau S-stained blot at bottom marks the position of Rubisco. The peaks of CAF1, CAF2, and RNC1 between Rubisco and ribosomes coincide with the positions of several group II intron RNAs (Jenkins and Barkan, 2001; Till et al., 2001).
support for an association with plastid rRNAs, consistent with the hypothesis that this protein does not serve as a RNase III for rRNA processing.

All of the strongest candidates to emerge from the RIP-chip assay include group II intron sequences. The strong enrichment of intron RNAs derived from petD, petB, ndhB, rps12-int2, tml-GAU, trnA-UGC, trnG-UCC, trnV-UAC, and trnK-UUU in the pellets of RNC1 immunoprecipitations was confirmed by slot-blot hybridization of RNAs that coimmunoprecipitate with RNC1 (Figure 4B, top). All of these sequences showed very strong enrichment in the RNC1 immunoprecipitation pellet and depletion from the supernatant, indicating that a substantial fraction of the molecules harboring these sequences are associated with RNC1. Three RNAs that did not exhibit strong enrichment in the RIP-chip assays (psbA mRNA, ndhA intron, and rps12-int1) were likewise found at only low levels in RNC1 immunoprecipitation pellets (Figure 4B, bottom right), albeit at higher levels than in the OE16 control. Finally, the two non-intron RNAs whose enrichment was suggested by the RIP-chip data, trnR-ACG and psbJ, did not reproduce in the slot-blot assays (Figure 4B, bottom right) and their metabolism is not disrupted in mc1 mutants (see below), indicating that these were false-positives. Together, these results confirm that petD, petB, ndhB, rps12-int2, trnL, trnA, trnG, trnV, and trnK intron RNAs are associated with RNC1 in stromal extract. The results suggest further that RNC1 may associate to a small extent with other (perhaps many) chloroplast RNAs. Results of the genetic analyses below suggest, however, that the low-level interactions are not functionally relevant.

The atpF intron was unusual in that it did not score as a positive in the RIP-chip assays, but it was clearly enriched in RNC1 immunoprecipitations when assayed by slot-blot hybridization (Figure 4B, bottom left), albeit less strongly than those introns with RIP-chip support. We suspect that the RNC1-atpF intron interaction might be stabilized by magnesium and that it did not emerge from the original RIP-chip data because Mg\(^{2+}\) was not included in the immunoprecipitation buffer. The genetic data below suggest that RNC1 does, in fact, influence atpF intron metabolism.

All of the ligands with strong support from both the RIP-chip and slot-blot data are group II introns. These include known substrates of CAF1 (trnG-UCC and petD) and CAF2 (petB and ndhB) (Ostheimer et al., 2003), in accordance with the identification of RNC1 in coimmunoprecipitates with both CAF1 and CAF2. In addition, RNC1’s ligands include RNAs from intron-containing genes for which splicing factors have not been described (trnL-GAU, trnA-UGC, rps12-int2, trnV-UAC, rpl2, and trnK-UUU).

To determine whether RNC1 is bound solely to unspliced precursor RNAs or to both unspliced precursors and spliced products, coimmunoprecipitated RNAs were analyzed by RNA
In other aspects of tRNA metabolism. The results support a role for RNC1 in tRNA splicing rather than the immunoprecipitation pellet, but spliced tRNAs were not. These hybridize to both exon and intron probes were enriched in the immunoprecipitation pellet, but spliced tRNAs were not. These results provide additional evidence that the flanking RNA sequences do not coimmunoprecipitate with RNC1. Lack of enrichment of 16S rRNA sequences was confirmed by RNA gel blot hybridization of RNC1 coimmunoprecipitations (Figure 5, right). These results provide additional evidence that RNC1 does not serve as a RNase III for chloroplast rRNA processing.

**rmc1 Mutants Are Deficient for Plastid Ribosomes**

To gain insight into the role of RNC1 in the metabolism of its RNA ligands, plastid chlorophyll gene expression was characterized in the *rmc1* insertion mutants. If RNC1 is required for the maturation of any of the tRNAs or ribosomal protein mRNAs with which it coimmunoprecipitates, then *rmc1* mutants would be expected to have compromised plastid translation and, consequently, a reduced content of plastid ribosomes and of all plastid-encoded proteins. These predictions are supported by the data shown in Figure 6. Immunoblot analyses of leaf proteins show that core subunits of each photosynthetic enzyme complex that includes plastid-encoded subunits (photosystem II, cytochrome *b*/*f*, photosystem I, ATP synthase, and Rubisco) accumulate to reduced levels in *rmc1* mutants (Figure 6A). Furthermore, the accumulation of all plastid rRNAs is reduced in *rmc1* mutants, with the magnitude of the defect correlating with the magnitude of the RNC1 protein and chlorophyll deficiencies conditioned by each allele (Figure 6B). The plastid RNA transcript populations are similar to those in previously described mutants with plastid ribosome deficiencies: pale green *mc1-1/mc1-2* mutants have rRNAs similar to those in *hcf7* mutants, which are similar in pigmentation and plastid protein content (Barkan, 1993); albino *mc1-2/mc1-3* mutants lack detectable plastid rRNAs, as do albino *iojap* mutants, which lack plastid ribosomes (Walbot and Coe, 1979). As discussed previously, rRNA defects like these are pleiotropic effects of many or all mutations that disrupt the assembly of the ribosome and need not reflect a direct role for a gene in RNA metabolism (Barkan, 1993; Williams and Barkan, 2003; Schmitz-Linneweber et al., 2006).

**RNC1 Promotes the Splicing of Chloroplast Group II Introns in Vivo**

To determine whether RNC1 facilitates the splicing of the introns with which it associates, the ratio of spliced-to-unsigned RNA from all plastid loci encoding group II introns was assayed in *rmc1* mutants. To control for pleiotropic effects that might result from the ribosome deficiencies in *mc1* mutant plastids, RNA in pale green *mc1-1/mc1-2* mutants was compared with that in pale green *hcf7* mutants and RNA in albino *mc1-2/mc1-3* mutants was compared with that in albino *iojap* mutants; the magnitude of the plastid rRNA deficiencies in these *mc1* alleles is well matched with that of the corresponding controls (Figure 6). The complete absence of chloroplast ribosomes in maize and barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) is accompanied by the failure to splice plastid introns in subgroup IIA (Hess et al., 1994; Jenkins et al., 1997; Vogel et al., 1999). Many subgroup IIA introns coimmunoprecipitate with RNC1 (trn*K*, trn*I*, trn*A*, rpl2, trn*V*, and rps12-int2); for these introns, splicing defects observed in the weak *mc1* alleles that retain chloroplast ribosomes (i.e., *mc1-1/mc1-2*) would support a role for RNC1 in splicing, whereas splicing defects observed in the strong *mc1* alleles would be uninformative, as they could result from the ribosome deficiency.

Splicing was assayed by poisoned-primer extension, RNase protection, and/or RNA gel blot hybridization, according to which assay yielded the clearest data for each intron (Figure 7; see Supplemental Figure 2 online). The results showed that RNC1 is

**Figure 5. Coimmunoprecipitation of Unspliced tRNA Precursors but Not Spliced tRNAs with RNC1.**

RNA purified from an RNC1 immunoprecipitation supernatant (Sup) and pellet (Pel) was analyzed on duplicate RNA gel blots by hybridization to the indicated exon-specific and intron-specific probes. Equal fractions of the pellet and supernatant samples were analyzed. An immunoprecipitation with antibody to OE16 served as a negative control. RNA extracted from an equivalent amount of stroma was analyzed for comparison. Blots were initially probed with spliced cDNAs corresponding to the indicated mature tRNAs (exons) and were reprobed with intron-specific probes; residual signal at the positions of mature tRNAs remained after the intron probeds. The right panel shows analogous samples probed to detect 16S rRNA. Pre-16S rRNAs were not detectable in any of the lanes.
protection assays confirmed these results for mutants compared with the hcf7 (see Supplemental Figure 2A online). RNA gel blot hybridizations (Figure 7B) demonstrated a clear splicing defect for particularly striking. The concurrent overaccumulation of their unspliced precursors, was required for the efficient splicing of most of the introns that emerged as RNC1 ligands in the communoprecipitation assays. Poisoned-primer extension assays revealed a clear decrease in splicing for petB, petD, ndhB, and rps12-int2 in mc1-1/mc1-2 mutants compared with the hcf7 control (Figure 7A); RNase protection assays confirmed these results for petB, petD, and ndhB (see Supplemental Figure 2A online). RNA gel blot hybridizations (Figure 7B) demonstrated a clear splicing defect for tmA, tmI, tmG, tmV, and tmK in mc1-1/mc1-2 mutants compared with the hcf7 control; the loss of mature tmA, tmI, and tmG, and the concurrent overaccumulation of their unspliced precursors, was particularly striking. The rps12-int2, tmA, tmI, tmV, and tmK introns are subgroup IIA introns and are among the group of introns that fail to splice in the absence of plastid ribosomes (Vogel et al., 1999). However, the mild plastid ribosome deficiency in mc1-1/mc1-2 mutants cannot account for their reduced splicing, as they are spliced normally in hcf7 mutants (Figure 7B). A tRNA encoded by a locus lacking an intron, trmR-ACG, accumulated normally in the mc1 mutants (compared with the appropriate control), demonstrating that tRNA metabolism is not globally disrupted in these mutants.

Three introns that did not emerge as RNC1 ligands from the communoprecipitation assays, rps12-int1, ndhA, and rps16, are spliced similarly in mc1 mutants and in the matched control mutants (Figures 7A and 7C). Thus, there is generally good correspondence between those introns that were strongly enriched in RNC1 communoprecipitations and those whose splicing is disrupted in mc1 mutants. There are a few exceptions, however. Two RNAs with strong support (rpl2 and ycf3) and one with weak support (rpl16) from the RIP-chip data exhibited little or no decrease in splicing in mc1 mutants compared with their matched controls (Figures 7A and 7C).

The rpl2 intron showed strong RNC1-dependent enrichment in the RIP-chip assays but its splicing was affected little, if at all, in mc1-1/mc1-2 mutants. These results suggest that RNC1 is bound to the rpl2 intron in vivo but is not required for optimal splicing. A firm conclusion cannot be made, however, because the residual RNC1 protein present in mc1-1/mc1-2 seedling leaves (Figure 2C) might be sufficient to promote the splicing of this intron. The rpl2 intron is in subgroup IIA and fails to splice in all mutants lacking plastid ribosomes, so its lack of splicing in plants with the stronger allele combination, mc1-2/mc1-3, is uninformative. To address the possibility that RNC1 may participate in some other aspect of rpl2 RNA metabolism, the rpl2 mRNA was analyzed by RNA gel blot hybridization using exon- and intron-specific probes (Figure 8; see Supplemental Figure 2B online), but no clear differences in the pattern of transcripts between hcf7 and mc1-1/mc1-2 plants emerged. Together, these results neither support nor refute a role for RNC1 in rpl2 splicing.

The atpF intron is an exceptional case in that its communoprecipitation with RNC1 was detected by slot-blot hybridization but not in the RIP-chip assays. However, atpF splicing is reduced in mc1-1/mc1-2 mutants compared with the hcf7 control (Figure 7A), suggesting that RNC1 functions in concert with CRS1 (Jenkins et al., 1997; Till et al., 2001; Ostheimer et al., 2003) to promote atpF splicing. Although RNC1 was not originally detected as communoprecipitating with CRS1 by mass spectrometry, immunoblot analysis (Figure 3B) detected weak RNC1/CRS1 communoprecipitation.

A role for RNC1 in splicing rather than in stabilizing the spliced RNA is supported by several observations. First, intron sequences rather than flanking exon sequences were most strongly enriched in the communoprecipitations, and where examined, intron-containing precursors but not spliced products

Figure 6. Loss of Plastid Ribosomes and Plastid-Encoded Proteins in mc1 Mutants.

(A) Reduced accumulation of photosynthetic enzyme complexes in mc1 mutants. Immunoblots of total leaf extract (5 μg of protein or dilutions as indicated) were probed with antibodies to the protein labeled at left. AtpA, D1, PsAD, and PetD are subunits of ATP synthase, photosystem II, photosystem I, and the cytochrome b6f complex, respectively. The same blot stained with Ponceau S is shown below to illustrate the relative loading of the samples and the abundance of RbcL.

(B) Loss of plastid rRNAs in mc1 mutants. Total seedling leaf RNA (0.5 μg) from the genotype indicated at top was analyzed by RNA gel blot hybridization using probes specific for the RNAs indicated at bottom. The pigmentation of the mutants is indicated at top: iv, ivory leaves; pg, pale green leaves. One of the blots stained with methylene blue is shown below to illustrate equal loading of the cytosolic rRNAs.
Figure 7. Chloroplast Splicing Defects in rnc1 Mutants.

(A) Poisoned primer extension assays for mRNA splicing. Reverse transcriptase reactions were initiated with radiolabeled primers complementary to the exon downstream of the indicated intron; a dideoxy nucleotide that terminates reverse transcription after different distances on spliced and unspliced templates was included in the reactions. The splicing defects for the petB, petD, and ndhB introns were confirmed with RNase protection assays (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). The asterisk at the petB panel marks a product that terminates near the branch point adenosine; this likely originates from the lariat intermediate that is the product of the first step in splicing. Data are shown for the weak allele combination rnc1-1/rnc1-2 to minimize secondary effects due to the loss of plastid ribosomes. P, primer; S, spliced; U, unspliced.

(B) RNA gel blot analysis of tRNA splicing. Blots were probed with cDNAs derived from the indicated spliced tRNA. Asterisks mark the positions of unspliced precursors, as deduced from their size and by their hybridization to intron-specific probes (Figure 5; data not shown). The trnR-ACG gene does not contain an intron; it is shown because it emerged as a possible RNC1 ligand in the RIP-chip assays and to illustrate that tRNA metabolism is not globally disrupted in rnc1 mutants. iv, ivory leaves; pg, pale green leaves.

(C) RNase protection assays of mRNA splicing. Probes spanned either the 3’ splice junction (rps16, rpl16, and ycf3-int2) or the 5’ splice junction (ndhA and ycf3-int1). The asterisk marks a product anticipated to result from hybridization to the excised intron.
coimmunoprecipitated with RNC1 (Figure 5); these results point to introns as RNC1’s ligands. Second, a reduction in the level of spliced RNA was in some cases accompanied by an increase in the abundance of unspliced precursor (e.g., trnA, trnI, and trnG in Figure 7B). Third, a band that likely reflects the presence of the lariat intermediate in petB splicing (Figure 7A, asterisk) is reduced in rnc1-1/rnc1-2 mutants, suggesting that the first step in petB splicing is disrupted. Additional evidence that RNC1 functions in splicing is shown in Figure 8: RNA gel blot hybridizations with intron-specific probes for ndhB, rps12-int2, and petD showed that the ratio of excised intron to unspliced precursor RNAs is reduced in rnc1 mutants; this finding supports a role for RNC1 in intron excision rather than in intron turnover or some other aspect of RNA metabolism.

Together, these results provide strong evidence that RNC1 enhances the splicing of two CAF2-dependent introns (ndhB and petB) and two CAF1-dependent introns (petD and trnG). The results also provide strong evidence that RNC1 promotes the splicing of a set of plastid introns for which splicing factors have not been described previously: trnA, trnI, trnV, rps12-int2, and trnK. In addition, the data suggest a role for RNC1 in splicing the atpF intron, which also requires CRS1. That RNC1 coimmunoprecipitates with these introns and that its absence causes a decrease in their splicing together provide strong evidence that RNC1 plays a direct role in facilitating their splicing in vivo.

Recombinant RNC1 Binds RNA but Lacks Endonuclease Activity

Untagged recombinant RNC1 (rRNC1) was generated by expression as a fusion with maltose binding protein (MBP), purification by amylose affinity chromatography, cleavage from the MBP moiety, and subsequent purification on a gel filtration column. Total leaf RNA (5 μg per lane) was analyzed by RNA gel blot hybridization using probes specific for the indicated intron. RNA from a caf1 mutant, which has a strong defect in petD splicing, was included on the petD intron blot for comparison. Arrows point to presumed excised introns: their sizes match those of excised introns and they do not hybridize to exon probes (data not shown). The reduced splicing of the rpl2 and rps12-int2 introns in the strong rnc1 alleles (rnc1-2/rnc1-3 and rnc1-2 homozygotes) is not informative because these introns are in subgroup IIA and fail to splice in all maize mutants lacking plastid ribosomes. iv, ivory leaves; pg, pale green leaves.

Figure 8. RNA Gel Blots Showing the Levels of Excised Introns in rnc1 Mutants.

The elution position of rRNC1 from the gel filtration column (Figure 9A) and analytical ultracentrifugation (data not shown) showed rRNC1 to be monomeric. The ability of rRNC1 to bind to one of its most strongly supported in vivo ligands, the trnA intron, and to a non-intron chloroplast RNA of similar size (rpoB-coding region) was tested with filter binding assays (Figure 9B). Because RNC1 could, in principle, recognize any of a variety of intron conformations, binding was tested to introns that had been heated and then treated in each of the following ways: (1) snap-cooled in a low concentration of monovalent salt and in the absence of Mg²⁺ to minimize RNA structure, (2) slow-cooled in the presence of 200 mM monovalent salt but no Mg²⁺ to promote the formation of secondary structures, and (3) slow-cooled in the presence of 200 mM salt and 5 mM Mg²⁺ to promote the formation of both secondary and tertiary contacts. rRNC1 bound RNA with high affinity (apparent K_d in the low nanomolar range in the absence of Mg²⁺) but did not discriminate between its specific and nonspecific substrates under any of these conditions. The addition of NaCl to 200 mM reduced the affinity of rRNC1 for both intron and non-intron RNAs, and the further addition of Mg²⁺ had an additional negative effect. Analogous results were obtained in assays using two other RNC1 ligands, the petB and trnV introns (data not shown). The inhibition of binding by monovalent and divalent salts suggests that binding is supported primarily by ionic interactions; the strong negative effect of Mg²⁺ suggests further that RNC may not bind to the more collapsed conformers that form in the presence of Mg²⁺. This behavior is very different from that observed for the CRS1–atpF intron interaction, which is dependent on Mg²⁺ (Ostersetzer et al., 2005).
A gel mobility shift assay was used with synthetic 31-mer oligonucleotides to determine whether rRNC1 has a preference for single-stranded or double-stranded RNA (Figure 9C). A discrete band reflecting an RNA/protein complex did not form in the presence of rRNC1, but protein binding could be monitored by the loss of free RNA as the protein concentration increased. rRNC1 bound with similar affinity to RNA in single-stranded and double-stranded forms; this is illustrated most clearly by its lack of preference for one form over the other when both were present in the same binding reaction (Figure 9C, right lanes). Together, the in vitro binding data suggest that RNC1 is a sequence-nonspecific RNA binding protein that can interact with both single-stranded and double-stranded RNAs.

The lack of conservation of critical catalytic residues in RNC1’s RNase III domains (Figure 1B) strongly suggested that it lacks endonuclease activity. To test this prediction, rRNC1 and E. coli RNase III were incubated with single-stranded and double-stranded RNA 31-mers under conditions described for assaying the endonuclease activity of RNase III and Dicer enzymes, which require Mg$^{2+}$ and a dsRNA substrate (Zhang et al., 2004). As expected, E. coli RNase III cleaved the double-stranded 31-mer in a Mg$^{2+}$-dependent manner (Figure 9D). rRNC1, however, showed no evidence of endonuclease activity, even at high protein concentrations. Furthermore, incubation of RNAs harboring group II introns with rRNC1 under a variety of conditions detected neither intron-splicing nor intron-cleaving activity (data not shown). We cannot eliminate the possibility that rRNC1 was catalytically inactive due to protein misfolding or the lack of posttranslational modifications. Nonetheless, the failure to detect endonuclease activity in these assays, together with the lack of catalytic residues in RNC1’s RNase III domains, provides strong evidence that RNC1 does not function as an endonuclease.

**DISCUSSION**

**RNC1 Is a Catalytically Inactive Member of the RNase III Family That Promotes Group II Intron Splicing**

We show here that RNC1 coimmunoprecipitates and cosediments with the chloroplast-splicing factors CAF1 and CAF2, that it is associated with both CAF-dependent and CAF-independent group II intron RNAs, that it is required for the efficient splicing of many chloroplast group II introns in vivo, and that it binds RNA with high affinity in vitro. These findings together provide strong
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RNase III forms a homodimer, with dimerization mediated by interactions between the single RNase III domain in each monomer. In Dicer, a pseudomonomeric active site is formed via interactions between its two RNase III domains. The paired RNase III domains in RNC1 monomers may likewise form a pseudodimer. The dsRBD in *E. coli* RNase III is not essential for RNA binding or catalysis (Sun et al., 2001). Given that RNC1 lacks a dsRBD, its lack of preference for binding double-stranded RNA over single-stranded RNA is not surprising.

**RNC1 Functions Together with, and Independently of, Previously Described Chloroplast Splicing Factors**

The intron specificities of RNC1 and previously described chloroplast splicing factors in maize are summarized in Figure 10. Of the 17 group II introns in maize chloroplasts, 10 had been shown previously to depend upon nucleus-encoded proteins for their splicing: CRS2/CAF1 and CRS2/CAF2 complexes are required for the splicing of overlapping subsets of nine introns in subgroup IIB (*trnG*, *rps16*, *rpl16*, *petB*, *petD*, *rps12-int1*, *ndhB*, *ndhA*, and *ycf3-int1*), PPR4 is required for the trans-splicing of *rps12-int1*, and CRS1 is required for the splicing of the *atpF* intron in subgroup IIA. RIP-chip data support the notion that these are the sole RNA ligands of CAF1 and PPR4 (Schmitz-Linneweber et al., 2005, 2006). The results presented here show that RNC1 associates with and promotes the splicing of at least four subgroup IIB introns that also require a CRS2/CAF complex: *ndhB*, *trnG*, *petB*, and *petD*. Thus, three nucleus-encoded proteins are now implicated in the splicing of each of these introns (RNC1, CRS2, and either CAF1 or CAF2).

RNC1 also associates with and promotes the splicing of several introns in subgroup IIA: the *trnF*, *trnA*, *trnK*, and *trnV* introns are strongly enriched in RNC1 coimmunoprecipitates and their splicing is strongly reduced even in weak *mc1* mutants. Two other subgroup IIA introns, *rps12-int2* and *atpF*, also show an interaction with, and some dependence upon, RNC1. Aside from the CRS1–*atpF* intron interaction, nucleus-encoded proteins involved in the splicing of subgroup IIA introns in land plant chloroplasts have not been reported previously. However, a role for a plastid-encoded protein in their splicing has been proposed based upon their failure to splice in maize and barley mutants lacking plastid ribosomes (Hess et al., 1994; Jenkins et al., 1997; Vogel et al., 1997, 1999). A candidate for this plastid-encoded splicing factor is MatK, which is encoded within the *trnK* intron. MatK is related to the maturase proteins encoded within many bacterial and fungal group II introns that facilitate the splicing of their host intron (reviewed in Pyle and Lambowitz, 2006). Although a role for MatK in splicing has not been proven, it is possible that RNC1 functions in conjunction with MatK to facilitate the splicing of subgroup IIA introns in the chloroplast.

There is good correspondence between the introns that strongly coimmunoprecipitate with RNC1 and those whose splicing is disrupted in *mc1* mutants, but there are several exceptions. The RIP-chip data suggested that RNC1 associates with RNAs from the intron-containing genes *ycf3*, *rpl2*, and possibly *rpl16*, but the splicing of these RNAs was not detectably reduced in *mc1* mutants compared with the appropriate controls. Because the *rpl2* intron, a member of subgroup IIA, fails to splice in all mutants

Evidence that RNC1 facilitates the splicing of a subset of group II introns in the chloroplast and that it does so via direct interaction with intron RNAs. The presence of two RNase III domains in RNC1 initially suggested that RNC1 might function as an endonuclease and that this activity could be relevant to its role in intron metabolism. However, several observations argue that RNC1 lacks endonucleolytic activity. First, several amino acids that are essential for catalysis by bacterial RNase III, Dicer, and Drosha enzymes are not conserved in RNC1’s RNase III domains (Figure 1B). Second, RNC1’s domain organization differs from that of previously characterized members of the RNase III domain family (MacRae and Doudna, 2007). Third, recombinant RNC1 lacks double-stranded RNA endonuclease activity in vitro. Finally, although an RNase III-like endonuclease could potentially be involved in intron turnover (Danin-Kreiselman et al., 2003), RNC1 is unlikely to play such a role because excised group II introns do not accumulate to increased levels in *rnc1* mutants, as would result from a reduced rate of intron decay.

In bacteria, RNase III functions in the processing of a poly-cistronic rRNA precursor. The organization of rRNA operons is similar in chloroplasts and bacteria, so it is anticipated that a RNase III enzyme likewise participates in chloroplast rRNA processing. However, RNC1 is unlikely to serve this function because it appears to lack endonuclease activity. Furthermore, the communoprecipitation data did not provide evidence for an association between RNC1 and rRNA sequences: the trnI and trnA introns, which are encoded between the 16S and 23S rRNA genes and cotranscribed with them, are strongly enriched in RNC1 communoprecipitates, but the enrichment of the flanking rRNA sequences drops off sharply. Although *mc1* mutants do exhibit defects in rRNA metabolism, no rRNA processing defects were detected beyond those observed in other mutants with defects in plastid ribosome biogenesis (e.g., *hcf7* and *ppr4*) (Barkan, 1993; Schmitz-Linneweber et al., 2006) and that result from disrupted ribosome assembly. The reduced splicing of several plastid rRNAs and one ribosomal protein mRNA in *mc1* mutants (trnI, trmA, trnG, trnK, trnV, and *rps12-int2*) can account for the loss of chloroplast translation and plastid ribosomes; the aberrant rRNA metabolism in *mc1* mutants is likely to be a consequence of the reduced availability of these essential components of the translation machinery.

If RNC1 does not function as a chloroplast RNase III, then what protein might do this? Bacterial RNase III enzymes harbor a dsRBD and a RNase III domain. A search of the POGs/PlantRBP database (Walker et al., 2007) (http://plantrbp.oregon.edu/) for rice and *Arabidopsis* proteins with both of these domains identified orthologous proteins in rice (*Os05g18850*) and *Arabidopsis* (*At3g20420*) that are excellent candidates. All of the essential catalytic residues are conserved in their RNase III domains, they have predicted chloroplast-targeting sequences, and their domain organization mirrors that of bacterial RNase III enzymes (data not shown). No other proteins in rice or *Arabidopsis* meet all of these criteria.

RNC1 is unique among characterized proteins and among predicted plant proteins in that it has two RNase III domains and no other conserved domains. Eukaryotic Dicer resembles RNC1, however, in that it contains two RNase III domains and functions as a monomer (reviewed in MacRae and Doudna, 2007). Bacterial RNase III forms a homodimer, with dimerization mediated by interactions between the single RNase III domain in each monomer. In Dicer, a pseudomonomeric active site is formed via interactions between its two RNase III domains. The paired RNase III domains in RNC1 monomers may likewise form a pseudodimer. The dsRBD in *E. coli* RNase III is not essential for RNA binding or catalysis (Sun et al., 2001). Given that RNC1 lacks a dsRBD, its lack of preference for binding double-stranded RNA over single-stranded RNA is not surprising.
lacking plastid ribosomes, the strong *mc1* alleles were uninformative, and it remains possible that RNC1 does promote *rpl2* splicing, with the residual RNC1 in plants harboring weak mutant alleles being sufficient to do the job. Alternatively, RNC1 may act redundantly with other proteins to promote the splicing of these introns, it may be involved in some other aspect of the metabolism of these RNAs, its association with these introns may not be functionally important, or its apparent association with these introns may be artifactual, due to postlysis binding to nonnative ligands. Postlysis exchange of RNA binding proteins from their native targets has been demonstrated (Mili and Steitz, 2004), although the generally excellent correspondence between our RIP-chip data and mutant phenotypes (Schmitz-Linneweber et al., 2005, 2006; Y. Asakura and A. Barkan, unpublished results) suggests that this is the exception rather than the rule. The coimmunoprecipitation data in Figure 4B suggest that RNC1 may interact to a small extent with many or most chloroplast RNAs. Whether these associations reflect a scanning activity of RNC1 as it explores for potential intron binding sites, or perhaps physiologically relevant activities of RNC1 that are independent of splicing, remains to be determined.

**Role of RNC1 in Splicing**

RNC1 associates with and facilitates the splicing of multiple introns in both subgroups IIA and IIB, giving it the broadest substrate specificity of any group II intron-splicing factor described to date. Nonetheless, several introns show little association with RNC1 and no apparent dependence on RNC1 for their splicing (e.g., *ndhA* and *rps16*). Among those introns whose splicing it does influence, RNC1 associates preferentially with and has a more profound effect on some than on others. For example, the splicing of the *trnI* and *trnA* introns is highly sensitive to a partial loss of *mc1* function, and these RNAs are very strongly enriched in RNC1 coimmunoprecipitations, whereas *rps12-int2* exhibits a weak splicing defect in mild *mc1* alleles and is enriched to a lesser extent in RNC1 coimmunoprecipitations. In fact, the splicing of introns that depend upon both CAF/CRS2 complexes and RNC1 is disrupted less in strong *mc1* mutants than in strong *crs2*, *caf1*, or *caf2* mutants, implicating the CAF/CRS2 complex as the major player, with RNC1 playing a supporting role. Thus, RNC1 seems to be required for the splicing of some of the introns with which it associates (e.g., *trnI* and *trnA*) and to merely enhance the splicing of others (e.g., *petB* and *petD*).

Proteins that promote the splicing of group II introns fall into two categories: conserved intron-encoded maturases that have an ancient relationship with their host intron, and proteins encoded by the host genome that were recruited to function in splicing (reviewed in Pyle and Lambowitz, 2006). RNC1 adds to the diversity of host-encoded proteins shown to promote the splicing of group II introns. In land plant chloroplasts, Raa1, Raa2, and Raa3 promote the trans-splicing of one or both of the split group II introns in the *psaA* gene; Raa3 exhibits limited similarity to pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate oxidases (Rivier et al., 2001), Raa2 resembles pseudouridine synthase enzymes (Perron et al., 1999), and Raa1 encodes a protein that includes repeated motifs that are reminiscent of tetratricopeptide and PPR motifs (Merendino et al., 2006). In yeast mitochondria, the DEAD box protein MSS116 promotes the splicing of all group I and group II...
introns (Huang et al., 2005). Despite this diversity, a commonality among many host-derived group I and group II intron splicing factors is their derivation from RNA-interacting proteins that evolved in other contexts. For example, RNA synthetases were recruited to serve as group I and group II intron splicing factors in fungal mitochondria (reviewed in Lambowitz et al., 1999), CRS2 was derived from a peptidyl-tRNA hydrolase (Jenkins and Barkan, 2001), CRS1, CAF1, and CAF2 were derived from a preribosome binding protein (Barkan et al., 2007), and Raa2 was derived from a pseudouridine synthase (Perron et al., 1999). RNC1 adds a further example of this phenomenon, as it is derived from a RNase III-like protein whose ancestral function presumably involved cleavage of double-stranded RNA. The results presented here show that RNC1 lacks this ancestral endonuclease activity. Analogously, CRS2 seems to lack its ancestral peptidyl-tRNA hydrolase activity (Jenkins and Barkan, 2001; Ostheimer et al., 2005) and Raa2’s pseudouridine synthase activity is not necessary for its role in splicing (Perron et al., 1999).

Few group II intron splicing factors have been studied in sufficient detail to gain insight into mechanisms, but to date, all act by enhancing the productive folding of the intron into its catalytically active structure (reviewed in Pyle et al., 2007). Proteins could, in principle, facilitate intron folding by stabilizing an inherently weak native substructure, destabilizing aberrant structures, or precluding the formation of competing nonnative structures. The bacterial LtrA maturation (Matsuura et al., 2001; Noah and Lambowitz, 2003; Rambo and Doudna, 2004) and maize CRS1 (Osteserter et al., 2005) bind in vitro with high affinity and specificity to elements in domains 1 and 4 of their cognate introns; this binding is accompanied by the internalization of intron elements that are at the core of the folded ribozyme (reviewed in Pyle and Lambowitz, 2006; Pyle et al., 2007). By contrast, two fungal DEAD box proteins, CYT19 and Ms116p, promote the splicing of several group II introns via nonspecific RNA interactions and do so in a manner that requires ATP but not helicase activity (Mohr et al., 2006; Solem et al., 2006; Grohman et al., 2007; Halls et al., 2007). Given its RNA binding activity and lack of endonuclease activity, it seems very likely that RNC1 likewise promotes intron folding. rRNC1 lacks sequence specificity under the conditions tested, but it remains possible that RNC1 does have intrinsic specificity for its in vivo intron targets when presented to them under physiological conditions. Alternatively, RNC1 might be recruited to specific introns via protein–protein interactions. In fact, structural modeling of the first RNase III domain in RNC1 based on the structure of the endonuclease interacts. In fact, structural modeling of the first RNase III reveals a candidate protein interaction surface: a large hydrophobic surface in the RNC1 domain that corresponds to a hydrophilic surface in the bacterial protein (data not shown).

Whereas a single nucleus-encoded protein is sufficient to promote the splicing of several mitochondrial group I introns (reviewed in Weeks and Cech, 1995; Lambowitz et al., 1999; Solem et al., 2002) and an intron-encoded maturation is sufficient to promote the splicing of the bacterial LtrB group II intron (reviewed in Lambowitz and Zimmerly, 2004), it is becoming apparent that the machinery for group II intron splicing in chloroplasts is considerably more complex. At least 14 nuclear genes are implicated in the splicing of the two trans-spliced group II introns in the psaA gene in C. reinhardtii chloroplasts (Goldschmidt-Clermont et al., 1990). In maize chloroplasts, three nucleus-encoded proteins (PPR4, CRS2, and CAF2) have been shown to be required for the trans-splicing of rps12-int1 (Ostheimer et al., 2003; Schmitz-Linneweber et al., 2006), and at least four are required for the efficient cis-splicing of the petB intron: RNC1, CRS2, CAF2, and a genetically defined but as yet uncloned gene dubbed crs3 (our unpublished results). The group II introns in land plant chloroplasts appear to include the key intron elements required for group II intron catalysis, yet none of them has been observed to self-splice in vitro. Thus, these introns offer an opportunity not only to elucidate mechanisms for integrating the expression of nuclear and organelar genes but also for the discovery of novel mechanisms by which proteins collaborate with RNA within complex ribonucleoprotein particles.

METHODS

Immunofinity Purification of CAF1 and CAF2 RNPs

Generation of the CAF1 and CAF2 antibodies was described previously (Ostheimer et al., 2003). Protein A/G agarose beads (250 μL, 25% suspension; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were washed three times with PBS plus 0.1% Tween 20 and incubated with ~100 μg of affinity-purified anti-CAF1 or anti-CAF2 antibodies for 3 h at 4°C. Beads were washed three times in PBS plus 0.1% Tween 20 and three times with 0.2 M sodium borate, pH 9. Antibodies were cross-linked to protein A/G by suspending the beads in 500 μL of 0.2 M sodium borate containing 10 mg/mL dimethyl pimelimidate (Pierce) and rotating for 1 h at 25°C. To stop the reaction, the beads were washed three times in 0.2 M ethanalamine, pH 8, and then incubated in the same buffer for 1 h. After three washes with PBS, beads were stored at 4°C until use.

Chloroplast stroma was prepared from maize (Zea mays) seedling leaves as described previously (Ostheimer et al., 2003) and stored at ~80°C at a concentration of 5 to 10 mg/mL. Approximately 6 mg of stromal protein was layered onto each of two 10 to 30% sucrose gradients in KEX buffer [30 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 8.0, 150 mM KOAc, 10 mM Mg(OAc)2, and 5 mM DTT] and centrifuged for 4 h at 50,000 rpm in a Beckman SW55Ti rotor at 4°C. Gradient fractions containing CAF1 and CAF2 RNPs (600 to 700 kD) were pooled and incubated for 45 min at 4°C with 0.2 mL of protein A/G beads that had been prewashed with RIPA buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, and 5 μg/mL aprotinin). Beads were removed by centrifugation, and the precleared lysate was split into two equal aliquots and incubated with either anti-CAF1 or anti-CAF2 coupled protein A/G beads. Beads were incubated with rotation for 90 min at 4°C and then washed five times with 1 mL of RIPA buffer. Proteins were eluted from the beads by two sequential incubations in 75 μL of elution buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.6, and 3% SDS). The eluates were pooled, concentrated using the methanol/chloroform method (Wessel and Flugge, 1984), suspended in SDS loading buffer, and fractionated by SDS-PAGE (4 to 15% polyacrylamide). The gel was stained with silver, and 18 (CAF1 immunoprecipitation) or 23 (CAF2 immunoprecipitation) contiguous gel slices containing proteins between ~15 and 120 kD were excised and analyzed by mass spectrometry.

Mass Spectrometry

Gel slices were manually washed, reduced, alkylated, digested with trypsin, and extracted principally as described by Shevchenko et al. (1996). The resulting peptides were dried and suspended in 15 μL of 5% formic acid.
After verification of digestion by matrix-assisted laser-desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry peptide mass fingerprinting, all peptide samples were analyzed by nano-liquid chromatography electrospray ionization MS/MS using a Q-TOF mass spectrometer (Waters) interphased with a CapLC (Waters) using the following conditions for liquid chromatography. Peptides were loaded on a guard column (LC Packings; MGU-30-C18PM), followed by separation on a PepMap C18 reverse-phase nano column (LC Packings; nan75-15-03-C18PM), using 90-min gradients with 95% water, 5% acetonitrile, and 0.1% formic acid (solvent A) and 95% acetonitrile, 5% water, and 0.1% formic acid (solvent B) at a flow rate of 200 nL/min. Spectral data were extracted using Mascot distiller and searched with Mascot (Matrix Science) against maize (The Institute for Genomic Research code ZmGI-v6) and rice (Oryza sativa) (The Institute for Genomic Research code OsGI-v4). Search parameters were as follows: only full tryptic peptides, carbamidomethylation as fixed modification; Met oxidation as variable modification; peptide mass tolerance, ±0.8 Da; maximally one missed cleavage. The Mascot XML output was automatically processed and further filtered using in-house software (O. Sun and K.J. Van Wijk; unpublished results), followed by manual verification.

Plant Material

Insertion alleles of rnc1 were identified in a reverse-genetic screen of a collection of ~2300 Mu transposon–induced nonphotosynthetic maize mutants [http://chloroplast.uroregon.edu]. DNA from pooled mutant seedlings was analyzed by PCR with an rnc1-specific primer (5'-TCTGGTG-GAGAAGCTACTGCTC-3' for rnc1-1 and rnc1-2; 5'-ATGCCAGCCCATC-GATCAGAA-3' for rnc1-3) in conjunction with a Mu terminal inverted repeat primer (5'-GCTCCATTTGTCGTAATCCCG-3'), using a method analogous to that described previously (Williams and Barkan, 2003). Sequences flanking both sides of each identified insertion were amplified by PCR and sequenced to locate the insertion sites. Mutations were tested for complementation by crossing plants heterozygous for each allele in all pairwise combinations. Twenty-four ears were recovered from rnc1-1/+ × rnc1-2/+ crosses, eight of which segregated chlorophyll-deficient mutants. Twenty-four ears were recovered from rnc1-2/+ × rnc1-3/+ crosses, 10 of which segregated chlorophyll-deficient mutants. Twenty-three ears were recovered from rnc1-1/+ × rnc1-3/+ crosses, one of which segregated chlorophyll-deficient mutants. Suppression of the mutant phenotype due to epigenetic silencing of Mu transposons may have caused the low recovery of chlorophyll-deficient mutants in this set of crosses, as described for other Mu-induced alleles with insertions upstream of the start codon (Martienssen et al., 1990).

Other maize mutants used in this work include hct7 mutants, which are pale green and show reduced polysome assembly and aberrant metabolism of 18S rRNA (Barkan, 1993); fully albino ijojap mutants, which lack plastid ribosomes (Walbot and Coe, 1979); w1 mutants, which are albino, lack plastid ribosomes, and do not have a primary defect in carotenoid biosynthesis (Han et al., 1993); and cat2 mutants, which are albino and have a severe plastid ribosome deficiency due to defects in the splicing of multiple group II introns (Ostheimer et al., 2003). The inbred line B73 (Pioneer HiBred) was used as the source of wild-type tissue for RIP-chip and chloroplast fractionation experiments. Seedlings were grown in soil in a growth chamber under a 16-h-light/8-h-dark cycle at 26°C and harvested between 7 and 9 d after planting. Plants harboring any of the mutant allele combinations analyzed here died after the development of approximately three leaves (~3 weeks of growth) when grown in soil, as is typical of nonphotosynthetic maize mutants.

Analysis of RNA in Mutant Tissue

Seedling leaf RNA was extracted using Tri Reagent (Molecular Research Center). RNA gel blot hybridizations were performed as described previously (Barkan et al., 1994) using 5 μg of leaf RNA to detect chloroplast mRNAs, 0.5 μg of leaf RNA to detect chloroplast tRNAs and rRNAs, and 20 μg of leaf RNA to detect mcr1 mRNA. PCR fragments with the following results were used as probes for RNA gel blots (residue numbers from GenBank accession number X86563): trnA-UGC intron, residues 98,079 to 98,704; trnI-GAU intron, residues 96,991 to 97,939; trnV-UCU intron, residues 53,156 to 53,834; trnF-ACG, residues 102,631 to 103,061; ndhB intron, residues 90,044 to 90,779; trn12-intron2, residues 92,281 to 92,861; petD intron, residues 74,777 to 75,423; petP2 intron, residues 82,901 to 83,519; rm16, residues 95,559 to 96,780; rm23, residues 98,332 to 99,793; rm5, residues 102,161 to 102,740; and rm4.5, residues 101,951 to 102,270. RNase protection assays were performed with the method and probes described previously (Jenkins et al., 1997). Poised-primer extension assays to distinguish spliced from unspliced RNAs were performed as described previously (Asakura and Barkan, 2006) using the following primers and dideoxynucleotide: petB, 5'-GACGTTCCTAAAACCAATCATATAATC-3' (ddCTP); petD, 5'-CAGGTATTTAAAGTCAGGTTT-3' (ddCTP); ptpF, 5'-GGTTTTCGATTATCATTAATAATCTT-3' (ddCTP); ndhB, 5'-GAGAACGTGGATGGGGAGG-3' (ddATP); ptpP2-intron 1, 5'-GGTTTTTTGGGGTTAATG-3' (ddCTP); ptpP2-intron 2, 5'-TTGGTCTTTGGCCCATATT-3' (ddCTP); and ptpP2, 5'-GGCGCGGTCAAGGCATATC-3' (ddCTP). Radioactive gels and blots were exposed to a Phosphorimager screen (Molecular Dynamics) and analyzed using ImageQuant software (GE Healthcare).

Antibody Production

A fragment of RNC1 (amino acids 29 to 190) with a C-terminal 6 His tag was expressed in Escherichia coli and used for the generation of antibodies in rabbits at the University of Oregon Antibody Facility. The expression clone pRNC1-C90 was produced by PCR amplification from DNA from the inbred line B73 (primers 5'-TATACCATGGTGCTTG-CCGTGCGCGCAGAC-3' and 5'-TACACGTGGACACTGACACACC-3') with pET28 (ddCTP); PetP2-1, 5'-GAGTTTTTTGGGGTTAATG-3' (ddCTP); PetP2-intron 2, 5'-TTGGTCTTTGGCCCATATT-3' (ddCTP); and ptpP2, 5'-GGCGCGGTCAAGGCATATC-3' (ddCTP). The PCR product was digested with NcoI and SalI and cloned into pet28b that had been digested with NcoI and XhoI. For antigen production, BL21 Star (DE3) cells (Invitrogen) were transformed with pRNC1-C90, and a 1-liter culture was grown with Luria-Bertani medium plus kanamycin (50 μg/L) to an OD600 of 0.6; protein expression was then induced by the addition of 0.8 mM isopropylthio-galactoside and cells were grown at 37°C for 120 min. After harvesting, cell pellets were suspended in 60 mL of buffer A (50 mM Na-phosphate, pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol) and lysed by passage through a French press. Inclusion bodies were pelleted by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 15 min and washed with buffer A containing 2 M urea. Antigen was solubilized in buffer A containing 8 M urea. The clarified antigen was purified by affinity chromatography on nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid agarose beads (Qiagen) that had been equilibrated in buffer A plus 8 M urea. Antigen was eluted in 2 mL of elution buffer (100 mM Na-phosphate, pH 4.5, and 8 M urea), precipitated with ethanol, suspended in PBS, and used for immunization. Antibodies were affinity-purified on a column in which the same RNC1 fragment had been covalently attached to cyanogen bromide–activated Sepharose. Antisera to spinach (Spinacia oleracea) chloroplast RPL2 and MDH were generously provided by A. Subramaniam (University of Arizona) and Kathy Newton (University of Missouri), respectively. Other antibodies used were generated by us and described previously (Voelker and Barkan, 1995).

Chloroplast Fractionation and Protein Analyses

Total leaf protein was extracted and analyzed by immunoblotting as described previously (Barkan, 1998). Chloroplast subfractions were those described by Williams and Barkan (2003). Stromal extracts (0.5 mg of
stromal protein per experiment) were fractionated by sedimentation through sucrose gradients according to Jenkins and Barkan (2001).

Analysis of RNAs That Coimmunoprecipitate with RNC1
RNA coimmunoprecipitation assays via RIP-chip and slot-blot hybridization were performed as described previously (Schmitz-Linneweber et al., 2005).

Generation of Recombinant RNC1 for in Vitro Assays
A PCR product encoding RNC1 was generated by PCR amplification using B73 cDNA as a template with primers 5'-ATAAGATCTCGC-CCGCAGCCTGGCCTT-3' and 5'-TATTCGACTACAGAGCTTTAGG-GCTGAGC-3'. The PCR product was digested with BglII and Sall and cloned into BamHI/Sall-cut pMAL-TEV to generate plasmid pRNC1-C180. pMAL-TEV is pMAL-c2X (New England Biolabs) modified to include sequences encoding a TEV protease cleavage site at the EcoRI site. The protein produced after cleavage with TEV protease starts at RNC1 amino acid 24 (five amino acids before the transit peptide cleavage site predicted by ChloroPro) and continues to the natural stop codon. The DNA sequence of the insert was verified prior to use for protein expression.

The MBP-RNC1 fusion protein was expressed by introducing pRNC1-C180 into Rosetta 2 cells (Novagen); cultures initiated from a single colony were grown at 37°C on Luria-Bertani medium with carbenicillin and chloramphenicol to an OD600 of 0.4, transferred to 20°C, and grown for another 1 h (OD600 ~ 0.7). Protein expression was then induced by the addition of isopropylthiogalactoside to 0.4 mM, and incubation was continued for 6 h at 20°C. Harvested cells were suspended in 50 mL of ice-cold buffer A (40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol) containing a Roche Complete (EDTA-free) protease inhibitor cocktail tablet. Cells were lysed at 4°C by sonication with a sonic dismembrator (Fisher 550) with three 1-min pulses (medium tip at setting 5), stirring on ice between each pulse. The lysate was then cleared by centrifugation at 13,000g for 15 min. Nuclear acids were precipitated by slowly adding polyethyleneimine to the lysate from a 10% stock, pH 7.5, to a final concentration of 0.2% and centrifugation at 13,000g for 15 min. The MBP-RNC1 fusion protein was purified from the supernatant by affinity chromatography on amylose-coupled agarose (New England Biolabs). The lysate was applied to a 2-mL column containing 200 μL of amylose resin (New England Biolabs). Bound protein was eluted in buffer A containing 15 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and 50% glycerol and stored at −80°C.

To generate double-stranded substrates, the labeled oligonucleotides (1 pmol) were annealed with the corresponding unlabeled antisense RNA oligonucleotide (2 pmol) in 24 μL of 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 180 mM NaCl, and 2 mM DTT by heating the samples to 95°C and cooling them slowly over 30 min to 37°C. Single-stranded substrates were heated in that same buffer and snap-cooled on ice. For binding reactions, the single- or double-stranded oligonucleotides were further diluted in annealing buffer and placed on ice. rRNC1 was diluted in its dialysis buffer containing 100 μg/mL BSA, and 5 μL was mixed with 25 μL of annealed nucleic acid and incubated at 25°C for 30 min. The final binding reactions contained 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 0.2 mM EDTA, 16.7 μg/mL BSA, 8.3% glycerol, 40 μM oligonucleotide, and the concentrations of protein indicated in the figure legends. Samples were applied to a running nondenaturing 5% polyacrylamide (29:1 acrylamide: bis-acrylamide: bis-acrylamide: bis-acrylamide) gel prepared in 1× TBE buffer (Buck et al., 2005). Gels were run at 10 W (constant power) at 4°C with buffer recirculation until the bromophenol blue dye in an adjacent lane had migrated 10 cm. Gels were fixed in 30% methanol and 10% acetic acid, dried, and analyzed with a PhosphorImager.

Filter binding assays were based on the procedure of Wong and Lohman (1993) with modifications as described by Osteseter et al. (2006). RNA substrates were prepared by transcription of PCR products containing a T7 RNA polymerase promoter at one end. Deoxynucleotides used for PCR were as follows: rpoBT7for, 5'-TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGG-GATCCAGAGGCCC-3' and rpoBrev, 5'-GGATCTCGTGATTA-GGCC-3'; trnAfor, 5'-CTAACTGACACTATAGGGGGATATAGCTCAGTTGTAAGA-3' and trnArev, 5'-GGAGATAAGGGAGGCGAGAACGG-3'. The rpoB substrate is from the 3' end of the rpoB mRNA and is 723 nucleotides long, while the trnA substrate includes the intron and both exons and is 879 nucleotides long. [α-32P]UTP was incorporated into the RNAs during in vitro transcription, the reactions were treated with DNase I, and RNAs were purified on denaturing polyacrylamide gels. Immediately prior to addition to the binding reactions, RNA was diluted in 4 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and 0.4 mM EDTA, heated at 95°C for 3 min, and then treated in one of three ways. For reaction set A, the RNA was immediately placed on ice for 3 min before the addition of an equal volume of 2× folding salts (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 180 mM NaCl, and 5 mM DTT). For reaction set B and C, an equal volume of 2× folding salts that had been prewarmed to 65°C was added directly to the RNA at 95°C and the mixture was slowly cooled (~20 min) to 35°C. Folding salts (2×) for reaction B contained 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 360 mM NaCl, and 5 mM DTT, while 2× salts for reaction C also included 12 mM MgCl2. Taking into account the salts from the protein dilution buffer, the final salt concentrations were 125 mM NaCl (reaction set A), 200 mM NaCl (reaction set B), and 200 mM NaCl and 5 mM MgCl2 (reaction set C). Binding reactions (30 μL) consisted of folded RNA substrates (10 pm, 25 μL) and 5 μL of diluted rRNC1 in RNC1 dialysis buffer containing 100 μg/mL BSA. After 30 min at 25°C, the reactions were filtered in a slot-blot manifold through sandwiched nitrocellulose and nylon membranes and washed with 125 μL of the respective binding buffer (4°C) lacking BSA and DTT. Binding reactions were quantitated by phosphor imaging, and results were plotted using Microsoft Excel and Kaleidiograph.

Endonuclease assays used the single-stranded and double-stranded RNA 31-mers prepared for the oligonucleotide binding assays described above. RNAs (4 nM) were incubated for 30 min at 25°C in a 10-μL reaction containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 5% glycerol plus or minus 10 mM MgCl2. E. coli RNase III (Epitcentre) and rRNC1 were diluted in RNC1 dialysis buffer containing 100 μg/mL BSA. Reactions contained rRNC1 at a concentration of 125 nM or E. coli RNase III at 10 nM (dimer concentration). Reactions were stopped by the addition of 3 volumes of formamide dye mix (90% formamide, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 20 mM EDTA, and 0.04% each xylene cyanol and bromphenol blue) and heating to 95°C. RNA was
resolved on a 20% polyacrylamide (19:1 acrylamide:bis-acrylamide) gel containing 8 M urea and detected with a PhosphorImager.

Accession Number

Sequence data for mrc1 cDNA can be found in the GenBank data library under accession number EF850835.

Supplemental Data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

Supplemental Figure 1. RIP-Chip Data Showing Enrichment of RNA Sequences in RNC1 Immunoprecipitations Involving Wild-Type or mrc1-1/mrc1-2 Mutant Extract.

Supplemental Figure 2. Additional Analyses of Plastid RNA Metabolism in mrc1 Mutants.

Supplemental Table 1. RNC1 Peptides Identified by Mass Spectrometry of CAF1 and CAF2 Immunoprecipitation Reactions.

Supplemental Table 2. Statistical Analysis of RNC1 RIP-Chip Data.
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