Multiple Repeats of a Promoter Segment Causes Transcription Factor Autoregulation in Red Apples
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The fruit flesh (cortex) of most apple varieties is white or off-white in color. The skin is usually green or red, with the red anthocyanins accumulating in response to developmental, hormonal, and light signals (Ubi et al., 2006). However, there are a number of high anthocyanin, red-fleshed apple varieties originating from the wild-apple forests of Central Asia, including Malus pumila var Niedzwetzkyana (Harris et al., 2002) and Malus × domestica ‘Red Field’ Open Pollinated (OP) (‘Red Field’; Espley et al., 2007). These high anthocyanin varieties possess a dramatic phenotype with highly pigmented vegetative, floral, and fruit tissues.

Many steps in the plant anthocyanin pathway have been described through analysis of natural mutants with several examples of biosynthetic gene-related mutations leading to phenotypic changes. In particular, studies on flower color polymorphism have shown that mutations can determine flower color. However, these are mostly caused by the insertion of transposons into genes or deletion of transposons from genes, such as the anthocyanin structural genes chalcone synthase (Habu et al., 1998), dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (Inagaki et al., 1994), and anthocyanin synthase (also known as leucoanthocyanidin dioxygenase; Hisatomi et al., 1997).

There are many examples of regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis by MYB transcription factors in diverse plant species (Allan et al., 2008). Small changes to these MYB proteins can have a marked effect on phenotype (Schwinn et al., 2006). Disruption of MYB gene expression can result in more severe phenotypes. For example, in grape (Vitis vinifera), a retrotransposon-induced mutation in the promoter region of mybA1 leads...
to a loss of anthocyanin accumulation in berry skin (Kobayashi et al., 2004), although further investigation has revealed that multiple mutations in an adjacent MYB gene also account for the difference in grape berry color (Walker et al., 2007). Mutations in genes encoding another major family of anthocyanin regulators, the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors, also produce anthocyanin-related phenotypic changes. These include the Rc mutation in rice (Oryza sativa), which accounts for the white pericarp of most rice varieties (Sweeney et al., 2007). These characterized anthocyanin regulator mutations result in a loss or a restricted distribution of anthocyanin. In some instances within the phenylpropanoid pathway, mutations can result in gain of function. TT2 (a MYB transcription factor), TT8 (a bHLH transcription factor), and TTG1 (contains a WD40 domain) directly regulate both BANYULS, encoding a core enzyme in proanthocyanidin biosynthesis, and TT8 expression at the transcriptional level in a self-activated feedback loop (Debeaujon et al., 2003; Baudry et al., 2004, 2006).

Recently, there have been a number of studies of the transcriptional regulation of the anthocyanin pathway in apple (Takos et al., 2006; Ban et al., 2007; Espley et al., 2007). Two genes, MYB1 (Takos et al., 2006) and MYBA (Ban et al., 2007), have been described as being responsible for apple skin color, while we previously demonstrated that the MYB10 gene regulated flesh color (Espley et al., 2007). MYB1, MYB10, and MYBA share at least 98% identity at the nucleotide residue level. At the deduced amino acid level, MYB1 and MYBA are identical and differ from MYB10 in three amino acids (Ban et al., 2007), but it is not clear whether these genes are alleles or tightly linked genes.

In the anthocyanin-accumulating red-fleshed variety ‘Red Field,’ anthocyanin levels are regulated by MYB10, with elevation of transcript levels of MYB10 correlated with higher levels of the anthocyanin biosynthetic gene transcripts (Espley et al., 2007). MYB10 has also been shown to cosegregate with the red flesh and foliage phenotype (Chagné et al., 2007), suggesting that the Rni locus that described the red flesh phenotype may be an allelic variant at the MYB10 locus. Overexpression of MYB10 in a white-fleshed, green-leaved apple variety results in transgenic plants with a red phenotype (Espley et al., 2007). We found that the white-fleshed ‘Pacific Rose’ and red-fleshed ‘Red Field’ varieties carry MYB10 alleles encoding identical proteins (Espley et al., 2007), suggesting that differences in transcriptional regulation of MYB10 are responsible for the ectopic accumulation of anthocyanin in ‘Red Field.’

Here, we report a 23-bp repeat motif in the upstream regulatory region of alleles of MYB10 found only in red-fleshed apples. This allele is autoregulatory; the MYB10 protein is able to bind and transactivate its own promoter, leading to an increase in transcript levels of MYB10 and the level of anthocyanin throughout the plant.

RESULTS

Isolation of the Upstream Regulatory Region of MYB10

To investigate further the molecular basis of the red-fleshed phenotype, we used genome walking to isolate the upstream regulatory sequence of MYB10. This sequence was cloned from both white-fleshed apple varieties, Malus × domestica ‘Sciros’ (‘Pacific Rose’), Malus × domestica ‘Granny Smith,’ and Malus × domestica ‘Royal Gala’ and red-fleshed Malus × domestica ‘Red Field’ open pollinated (a cross between ‘Wolf River’ and Malus pumila var Niedzwetzkyana). Apples are outcrossing, and as a result they are highly heterozygous. Our isolated DNA fragments revealed two sequences of different sizes for the same region. One sequence was present in all four varieties and showed limited variation between varieties. As an example, a comparison of the sequence from ‘Granny Smith’ with ‘Pacific Rose’ revealed six single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), five single base deletions, and four single base insertions over the 1700 bp of promoter analyzed. The other fragment contained an insertion of ~100 bp. This latter version was only found in the promoter region of the red-fleshed, red-foliaged variety Malus × domestica ‘Red Field’ OP. The insertion consisted of a 23-bp sequence, duplicated in a complex pattern of five near-perfect tandem repeats (Figure 1A). We define this duplication as a minisatellite based on the size of the duplicated units. A single version of the 23-bp sequence is found in all varieties tested (both red and white-fleshed) located ~30 bases downstream of the minisatellite insertion site. Between this potential donor sequence and the minisatellite lies a dinucleotide microsatellite. Figure 1B shows a schematic of these elements in both the promoters isolated from white-fleshed varieties with one repeat unit (R1) and those from red-fleshed varieties with six repeat units (R6). Sequence alignment of the longest cDNA clones identified through 5’ rapid amplification of cDNA ends (Espley et al., 2007) with genomic sequence locates a transcription start site at the G nucleotide at position −62 from the translational start ATG (indicated by an arrow in Figure 1B).

A Minisatellite Is Associated with the Ectopic Anthocyanin Phenotype

Previously, we showed that MYB10 cosegregates with Rni, a locus associated with red flesh and red foliage phenotypes in apple (Chagné et al., 2007). PCR amplification of the promoter region from red and white-fleshed varieties consistently showed that the R6 minisatellite repeat motif was amplified in all the plants with red-fleshed fruit (Figure 2). The genealogy of these apple varieties is detailed in the Supplemental Methods online. We determined the association of the minisatellite with the red-fleshed phenotype by sequencing the region encompassing the minisatellite over 19 apple varieties with diverse fruit phenotypes (11 red and eight white fleshed; Table 1). A number of sequence variations were found in the upstream region (e.g., SNPs at positions −612 and −245 from the ATG start site; Table 1), but only the minisatellite polymorphism is associated with the elevated accumulation of anthocyanins that causes red flesh and red foliage. The same region was PCR amplified from a further set of 77 apple varieties taken from two collections of Malus species, and in each case the product corresponding to the minisatellite motif was absent in the green foliage, white-fleshed varieties (see Supplemental Table 1 and Supplemental Figure 1 online). All the white-fleshed varieties tested contained only the R1 version, while the red-fleshed apple varieties contained both R1 and R6, or R6 only (Table 1).
Induction of Anthocyanin Pigmentation by \( R_6:MYB10 \) in Tobacco and Apple

Previous studies have shown that when \( MYB10 \) was fused to the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter (35S) and coinfiltrated into tobacco (\( Nicotiana tabacum \)) with a 35S:bHLH3 construct encoding a potential apple bHLH cofactor, a strong increase in anthocyanin pigment could be detected at the infiltration site (Espley et al., 2007). We therefore compared the level of anthocyanin that accumulated in tobacco leaves infiltrated with \( Agrobacterium tumefaciens \) suspensions with the \( MYB10 \) gene driven by either the R1 or R6 promoter sequences. Similar levels of anthocyanin were observed when either \( R_6:MYB10 \) or 35S:MYB10 was coinfiltrated with 35S:bHLH3 (Figure 3A). However, we were unable to detect anthocyanin accumulation in leaves infiltrated with the \( R_1:MYB10 \), both with and without 35S:bHLH3.

To investigate the properties of the \( R_6 \) promoter in apple, we transformed 'Royal Gala' (green leaves and white flesh) with \( MYB10 \) driven by the \( R_6 \) promoter. While the \( R_1 \) promoter is found in both white- and red-fleshed apple varieties, \( R_6 \) is not (Figure 2). We have already shown that when ‘Royal Gala’ was transformed with 35S:MYB10, red callus is produced and regenerates to produce red plants (Espley et al., 2007). We observed a similar callus phenotype when ‘Royal Gala’ was transformed with \( R_6:MYB10 \), with bright red areas on regenerating callus (Figure 3B), while no pigmentation was seen on regenerating apple callus transformed with \( R_1:MYB10 \). Similarly, callus transformed with an empty vector cassette showed no pigmentation. To further study the development of the ‘Royal Gala’ \( R_6:MYB10 \) transgenic lines, transformed plantlets were micrografted onto ‘M9’ rootstock, adapted from a previously described protocol (Lane et al., 2003). The grafted plants remained highly pigmented (Figure 3C), with a phenotype similar to the red foliaged, red-fleshed variety \( Malus \times domestica \) ‘Red Field’ OP and to the previously described 35S:MYB10 transgenic lines (Espley et al., 2007) (Figure 3D). These results strongly suggest that the \( R_6 \) promoter allele is responsible for the increased accumulation of anthocyanins in red-fleshed apple types.

Autoregulation of the MYB10 Promoter in the Dual Luciferase Transient Tobacco Assay

One possible result of the minisatellite insertion within the promoter of MYB10 is an increase in the basal activity of the promoter. A dual luciferase assay was used to quantify the activity of the two versions of the MYB10 promoter. \( R_1 \) and \( R_6 \) promoter sequences were fused to LUCIFERASE (LUC) and transactivation of the LUC gene measured relative to 35S:RENILLA (REN) by measurement of luminescence after transient expression in \( Nicotiana benthamiana \). The \( R_1 \) and \( R_6 \) promoters showed little difference in activity, as determined by the ratio of luminescence produced by MYB10-promoter-LUC to 35S-REN (Figure 4A). However, when 35S:MYB10 was coinfiltrated with...
MYB10-promoter-LUC constructs, it transactivated the promoters. When 35S:MYB10 was coinfiltrated with R1:LUC, there was a slight elevation in transactivation (Figure 4A), while 35S:MYB10 transactivated R6:LUC >30-fold compared with background promoter activity. There was a sevenfold increase in the effect of 35S:MYB10 on R6:LUC compared with R1:LUC (0.696 ± SE 0.02 compared with 0.098 ± SE 0.001) (Figure 4A). This level of transactivation suggests that the presence of the repeat motifs in R6:LUC act as an enhancer of MYB10-induced transcription, resulting in the elevated LUC levels.

To further investigate the effect of the promoter on MYB10 transcript accumulation and predicted protein levels, we repeated this assay, replacing the 35S:MYB10 with either the R1 or R6 promoter fused to MYB10. Results indicated that the high transcript abundance of MYB10 fused to the R6 promoter enables transactivation of the reporter, particularly when the reporter is fused to R6 (Figure 4B). The results show a similar level of activity to the 35S promoter. With the R1:LUC fusion, R6:MYB10 appears to be more effective at transactivating the promoter than 35S:MYB10. The R1:MYB10 fusion did not influence transactivation to the same extent.

Repeat Number Influences Transactivation in the Dual Luciferase Transient Tobacco Assay

A series of constructs were built to test the influence of the number of 23-bp repeat units in the upstream region of MYB10 on MYB10-induced transcriptional activity. These constructs were based on the native promoter sequences, but with repeat units ranging from one (R1) to six (R6), and were then fused to the LUC reporter (Figure 5A) and assayed as above. To test the spatial effect that the presence of the repeat-containing minisatellite sequence might exert on other unidentified regulatory regions, a further construct (R1+) was built where the minisatellite sequence from R6 was replaced with nonspecific DNA of the same length from a cloning vector (Promega). The results show a strong positive correlation.
Deletion Analysis of the MYB10 Promoter Using the Dual Luciferase Transient Tobacco Assay

Results from the dual luciferase transient tobacco assays described above suggest that the MYB10 transcription factor positively autoregulates its own transcription by interacting with the DNA repeat present in a single copy in the R1 promoter (Espley et al., 2007). In this assay, activation by MYB10 of all the promoters (R1 through to R6) is enhanced with the addition of 35S:bHLH3 (Figure 5B).

Deletion Analysis of the MYB10 Promoter Using the Dual Luciferase Transient Tobacco Assay

Results from the dual luciferase transient tobacco assays described above suggest that the MYB10 transcription factor positively autoregulates its own transcription by interacting with the DNA repeat present in a single copy in the R1 promoter and in six copies in the R6 promoter. In addition, a putative cofactor bHLH-related cis-acting elements that are recognized by transcription factors, such as bHLH and MYB, produce a cooperative regulation of the spatial distribution of flavonoids (Hartmann et al., 2005). Analysis of the promoter regions using the database PLACE (Higo et al., 1999) predicted many cis-acting elements. Flavonoid-related MYB binding elements were identified, including MYB26PS (Uimari and Strommer, 1997), MYB core (Planchais et al., 2002), MYBPLANT (Tamagnone et al., 1998), and MYBPZM (Grotewold et al., 1994). It was also noted that the 23-bp repeat motif starts with GTTAG, a G-box in bHLH-related transactivation (Figure 6). A third variant (R1Δc and R6Δc) with 362- and 463-bp proximal fragments, respectively, and with a restored distal region, including the G-box, was tested. A fourth variant, Δd, contained just the distal fragment, including the G-box, and was included as a control for transactivation levels.

The combinatorial interaction of cis-acting elements that are recognized by transcription factors, such as bHLH and MYB, produce a cooperative regulation of the spatial distribution of flavonoids (Hartmann et al., 2005). Analysis of the promoter regions using the database PLACE (Higo et al., 1999) predicted many cis-acting elements. Flavonoid-related MYB binding elements were identified, including MYB26PS (Uimari and Strommer, 1997), MYB core (Planchais et al., 2002), MYBPLANT (Tamagnone et al., 1998), and MYBPZM (Grotewold et al., 1994). It was also noted that the 23-bp repeat motif starts with GTTAG, a partial sequence from reported MYB binding domains (Grotewold et al., 1994; Uimari and Strommer, 1997; Romero et al., 1998) and that this sequence is also adjacent to the microsatellite. Further bHLH-related cis-acting elements, E-boxes (CACATG) (Atchley and Fitch, 1997; Heim et al., 2003), and G-boxes (CACGTG) (Giuliano et al., 1988; Williams et al., 1992) were identified, and their location is shown in Figure 6A.

All of the R1 variants showed a reduction in the relative level of accumulation of anthocyanins. All 11 red-fleshed varieties tested have the duplicated repeat motifs found in the MYB10 R6 promoter, while these were absent from the white-fleshed varieties. The positions shown are relative to the ATG translation start site.

Table 1. Association of the Minisatellite Motif with the Red-Fleshed Phenotype

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Flesh Color</th>
<th>G/T SNP Pos – 612</th>
<th>Minisatellite Motif</th>
<th>A/T SNP Pos – 245</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malus × ‘Babine’</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>G:G</td>
<td>R1–R6</td>
<td>A:T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus × ‘Kanegan’</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>G:G</td>
<td>R1–R6</td>
<td>A:T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus × ‘Simcoe’</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>G:G</td>
<td>R1–R6</td>
<td>A:T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus × ‘Stocan’</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>G:T</td>
<td>R1–R6</td>
<td>A:T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus × ‘Formosa’</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>G:T</td>
<td>R1–R6</td>
<td>A:T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus × domestica ‘Red Field’ OP</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>G:T</td>
<td>R1–R6</td>
<td>A:T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus × domestica ‘Close’</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>G:T</td>
<td>R1–R6</td>
<td>A:T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus × domestica ‘Mr Fitch’</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>T:T</td>
<td>R1–R6</td>
<td>A:A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus × domestica ‘Guildburg’</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>G:T</td>
<td>R1–R1</td>
<td>A:T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus × domestica ‘Alkmene’</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>T:T</td>
<td>R1–R1</td>
<td>A:A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus × domestica ‘Red Melba’</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>T:T</td>
<td>R1–R1</td>
<td>A:A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus × domestica ‘Rae Ime’</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>G:G</td>
<td>R1–R1</td>
<td>T:T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus × domestica ‘Lady Williams’</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>T:T</td>
<td>R1–R1</td>
<td>A:A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus × domestica ‘Granny Smith’</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>G:T</td>
<td>R1–R1</td>
<td>A:A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association test (r²)</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aPlant material and DNA samples supplied by Charles J. Simon and Philip L. Forsline (Agricultural Research Service, USDA).

A number of sequence variations were found in the promoter region, but only the minisatellite polymorphism was associated with the elevated accumulation of anthocyanins. All 11 red-fleshed varieties tested have the duplicated repeat motifs found in the MYB10 R6 promoter, while these were absent from the white-fleshed varieties. The positions shown are relative to the ATG translation start site.
critical for transactivation. This was more evident with R1Δb, which showed no transactivation, indicating that there are key elements in both the distal (−834 to −1704 from the ATG translation start site) and middle regions (−405 and −834) of the promoter. The loss of these elements from both regions in R1Δb prevented transactivation. The restoration of the distal region, including the G-box, in R1Δc does partly restore transactivation but at a lower level than R1Δa. A low level of transactivation was observed with R1Δd.

The results from the infiltration assay for R6Δa and R6Δb with 35S:MYB10 showed a similar level of transactivation, indicating that the R6 promoter can function in the most extensively deleted version. However, both variants were capable of only half the transactivation level of the intact R6 promoter, suggesting that upstream regulatory elements, beyond the minisatellite, are important for the very high level of transactivation previously seen with the R6 promoter and MYB10. Various MYB-related cis-acting elements were deleted in these variants, including MYB-CORE, MYBPZM, and MYBPLANT elements. Restoration of the distal promoter region in R6Δc did not elevate transactivation. Low levels of transactivation were detected with R6Δd.

The co-infiltration of 35S:MYB10 and 35S:bHLH3 with the R6 variants resulted in little difference to transactivation levels, although restoration of the distal region R6Δc partly restored activity. It is clear from the data that transactivation by R1 is dependent on distal upstream elements that encompass the predicted bHLH binding sites. It is also clear that the R6 promoter is partly dependent on distal upstream elements but that good levels of transactivation can be initiated by just the proximal 505-bp region. This version differs from the equivalent R1 version primarily by the presence of the minisatellite.

Deletion of the Primary Repeat Unit and Microsatellite in the MYB10 Promoter

Another set of constructs was built to test the importance of the primary repeat unit and the adjacent microsatellite on autoactivation of the MYB10 promoter by MYB10 (Figure 7A). The primary repeat unit, designated repeat 1 (Figure 1B), was deleted from both the R1:LUC and R6:LUC constructs, producing a version of the MYB10 promoter lacking the 23-bp unit (R0) and a version with the five units from the minisatellite-like insertion from R6 (R5). In addition, the microsatellite was independently deleted from both the R1:LUC and R6:LUC constructs, producing a version of the MYB10 promoter lacking the 23-bp unit (R0) and a version with the five units from the minisatellite-like insertion from R6 (R5).

Figure 3. The Native Apple Promoter Containing the Minisatellite Induces Ectopic Anthocyanin Accumulation.

(A) Red coloration around the infiltration site in the leaves of N. tabacum 8 d after transient transformation with (i) R6:MYB10 and (ii) 35S:MYB10 but not with (iii) R1:MYB10. All three patches were co-infiltrated with 35S:bHLH3.

(B) Photographs of regenerating ‘Royal Gala’ callus transformed with (i) R6:MYB10 and (ii) R1:MYB10. Red pigmentation was observed only on callus transformed with R6:MYB10. Emerging shoots showed a similar pigmented phenotype as shown for 35S:MYB10 in Espley et al. (2007).

(C) Representative R6:MYB10 plantlet (left), micrografted onto ‘M9’ rootstock shown next to ‘Royal Gala’ control (right). Pigmentation levels of the R6:MYB10 lines remained high.

(D) Leaves at same developmental stage taken from representative lines of (i) 35S:MYB10 (Espley et al., 2007), (ii) ‘Royal Gala’ control, and (iii) R6:MYB10.
from \( R_1 \) and \( R_6 \), producing \( R_{1-MS} \) and \( R_{6-MS} \), respectively. The partial MYB binding site (GTGAG) adjacent to the microsatellite was retained in these constructs. A construct was also built that contained neither a repeat unit nor a microsatellite, \( R_0-MS \).

For the \( R_1 \) deletions (\( R_0 \), \( R_{1-MS} \), and \( R_{0-MS} \)), there was little detectable transactivation activity with the coinfiltration of \( 35S:MYB10 \) (Figure 7B). A similar result was seen when \( R_0 \) and \( R_{0-MS} \) were coinfiltrated with both \( 35S:MYB10 \) and \( 35S:bHLH3 \). However, transactivation was evident when \( R_1 \) and \( R_{1-MS} \) were coinfiltrated with both \( MYB10 \) and \( bHLH3 \). This result suggests that the repeat unit is necessary for autoregulation of the promoter with \( MYB10/bHLH3 \) but that the presence of the microsatellite is not critical.

Similar deletions of the \( R_6 \) version of the promoter showed a large reduction in transactivation for both \( R_6 \) and \( R_{6-MS} \) when infiltrated with \( MYB10 \). Coinfiltration with both \( 35S:MYB10 \) and \( 35S:bHLH3 \) appeared to restore transactivation with \( R_{6-MS} \) but to a much lesser extent with \( R_6 \). For the \( R_6 \) promoter, it appears that the first repeat unit is critical to enable high levels of transactivation and that the microsatellite is required for the highest level of transactivation.

Analysis of \( MYB10 \) Protein:DNA Interaction Using Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays

To test directly \( MYB10 \) binding to DNA, we analyzed in vitro binding using electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs). Recombinant His-tagged \( MYB10 \) protein was purified from \( Escherichia coli \) and incubated with DNA probes representing the 23-bp repeated unit or mutations of the unit (Figure 8A). EMSA showed a band shift when the oligonucleotide probe, corresponding to the repeat motif found in the native promoter, and recombinant \( MYB10 \) were bound (Figure 8B). In control EMSA experiments, a nonspecific His-tagged protein did not complex with the repeat motif (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). When cold competitor DNA of the same sequence (\( r_1 \)) was added in 200-fold excess, this binding was reduced, indicating that the reaction was specific. To further determine active sites important for the oligonucleotide-protein binding, we used mutated versions of the motif as cold competitors (Figure 8B). In these versions, nucleotides were substituted across five different areas of the \( R_1 \) repeat unit (Figure 8A). The results indicated that the extreme 3’ and 5’ of the repeat unit are less important for binding as both \( m_1 \) and \( m_5 \) were able to compete off the \( r_1 \) probe to a similar extent as the native \( r_1 \) competitor. Three other competitors carrying mutations in the inner part of the sequence (\( m_2 \), \( m_3 \), and \( m_4 \)) were less able to compete, suggesting that this region, comprising the sequence ACTGGTAGCTATT, is critical for binding.

To confirm this finding, we substituted areas of the repeat unit sequence with randomly selected sequence from the apple actin gene (accession number CN938023) and performed binding assays. For these, substitutions were made at both the outer 3’ and 5’ sequences of the repeat unit (\( m_6 \)) and the entire inner sequence (\( m_7 \)) (Figure 8A). The signal was reduced when \( m_6 \) was used as a competitor, but \( m_7 \) was unable to compete off the \( r_1 \) probe (Figure 8C). When the \( m_6 \) and \( m_7 \) oligos were themselves radiolabeled and used as probes for binding to MYB10 protein, the \( m_7 \) probe failed to bind (Figure 8D), while the \( m_6 \) probe bound to the protein and was partially competed off by the inclusion of \( r_1 \) or \( m_6 \) competitor DNA. In the \( m_6 \) assay, an additional band was observed that may be due to nonspecific binding of the \( m_6 \) probe with partially degraded MYB10 protein.

DISCUSSION

Our data suggest that a minisatellite in the upstream regulatory DNA region of a gene encoding an apple transcription factor,
MYB10, creates a novel autoregulatory motif. This results in a massive increase in the level of anthocyanins throughout the plant. This gain-of-function mutation in the anthocyanin regulatory pathway has significant implications for the development, through both conventional and advanced breeding methods, of novel varieties of plants and fruit with enhanced anthocyanin and increased consumer appeal.

A Minisatellite Alters the Promoter of MYB10 in Red-Fleshed Apples

In the promoter from red-fleshed apples, we found a 100-bp insertion, 275 bp upstream of the ATG translation start codon. This insertion comprised a 23-bp sequence, duplicated in five tandem repeats to form a minisatellite-like repeat unit. In plants, it has been shown that minisatellites may be associated with other elements, such as miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements (Lu et al., 2008). The MYB10 minisatellite precedes a dinucleotide microsatellite. The 23-bp motif is found once in both red- and white-fleshed varieties, just downstream of the microsatellite, and is likely to be the origin of the repeat motif.

We sequenced the region encompassing the minisatellite motif in diverse apple varieties and observed an association of the repeat-containing R6 promoter of MYB10 with red flesh/red foliage in all the varieties tested. A number of sequence variations were found in the upstream region, but only the minisatellite polymorphism is associated with the elevated accumulation of anthocyanins. The same region was PCR amplified from a further set of 77 apple varieties, and in each case the product corresponding to the minisatellite motif was absent from the white-fleshed variety but present in red-fleshed varieties, suggesting that the minisatellite-containing allele of MYB10 has probably been inherited from a common ancestor in all the red-fleshed varieties tested.

Repetitive DNA can be grouped into two classes: interspersed repeats, such as retrotransposons, and local tandem repeats,
such as simple sequence repeats. Simple sequence repeats are generally defined by the length of repeat unit \( n = 1 \) to 13 bp), while minisatellites repeat units vary from 14 to 500 bp (Vergnaud and Denoeud, 2000). There are thought to be numerous mechanisms for microsatellite expansion, including replication slippage, recombination, and repair, while minisatellites appear to expand and contract as a result of recombination (Thomas, 2005). The regulatory sequence analyzed here contains examples of both a microsatellite \( n = 2 \) bp) and, in the case of red-fleshed apple phenotypes, a series of tandem repeats forming a minisatellite-like structure \( n = 23 \) bp). Since the first description of minisatellites in humans (Wyman and White, 1980) and in plants (Dallas, 1988), they have become a useful feature for DNA fingerprinting (Jeffreys et al., 1985), linkage studies (Nakamura et al., 1987), and genome mapping (NIH/CEPH Collaborative Mapping Group, 1992). The high rate of minisatellite polymorphism has been associated with various human pathologies and the heritability of diseases (Krontiris, 1995). Hypermutable minisatellites in promoters have been shown to have an effect on transcriptional regulation in humans. For example, the

**Figure 6.** Deletion Studies to Identify Areas of the Promoter Critical to Transactivation by MYB10 and bHLH3 in a Dual Luciferase Transient Tobacco Assay.

(A) Schematic (not drawn to scale) of the different promoter deletions of \( R_1 \) (i) and \( R_6 \) (ii), denoted as \( \Delta a \) to \( \Delta d \). Deleted areas are shown in white with dotted lines, and the relative positions of the repeat unit to the microsatellite and minisatellite are displayed. The approximate position of G-boxes (black flags) and E-boxes (white flags) are shown.

(B) Corresponding data from promoter deletion studies with luciferase fusions of \( R_1 \) (i and ii) and \( R_6 \) (iii and iv) coinfiltated with 35S:MYB10 (light-gray bars) and with 35S:MYB10 and 35S:bHLH3 (dark-gray bars). Data are presented as means \( \pm SE \) of six replicate reactions.
polymorphic minisatellite in the promoter region of the human insulin gene alters transcription according to minisatellite size (Kennedy et al., 1995). In plants, although minisatellites have been used for various evolutionary studies (Sykorova et al., 2006), fingerprinting (Nybom et al., 1990; Tzuri et al., 1991), and mapping (Barreneche et al., 1998), there is little evidence to date of minisatellite-induced changes to transcriptional regulation. The MYB10 minisatellite is conserved among the red-foliaged, red-fleshed varieties tested. Although we have defined the duplication in the R6 promoter allele as a minisatellite, we have found only one version with six repeats and none of the repeat copy number variation found in human studies. This apparently stable minisatellite may be the result of an ancient rearrangement because such diverse apple varieties as Malus domestica var Niedzwetzkyana, Malus marjorensis var Formosa, various Malus sieversii varieties, and Malus × purpurea ‘Aldenhamensis’ all carry the same mutation, and there were no exceptions in all the varieties we tested. The lack of intermediate numbers of repeats of this minisatellite might be the result of selection and domestication or might indicate that the mutation has occurred only once. The microsatellite may create a region of instability that might partially explain the presence of the repeated motifs at this particular site. In the MYB10 promoter sequences analyzed, repeat number polymorphisms (varying from 6 to 9) were detected in the microsatellite.

**Analysis of the Minisatellite Effects on Transcription**

We used a transient transactivation assay to identify candidate transcription factors that were able to interact specifically with the repeat-containing promoter by fusing a LUC reporter to both versions of the native apple promoter: the promoter isolated from white-fleshed apple varieties with one repeat motif (R1) and the corresponding promoter from red-fleshed varieties with six copies of the repeat motif (R6). We detected a significant increase in transactivation of the LUC-fused promoter from Malus ×

---

**Figure 7.** Promoter Deletions to Test the Role of the First Repeat Unit and the Adjacent Microsatellite on Transactivation Levels in a Dual Luciferase Transient Tobacco Assay.

(A) Schematic (not drawn to scale) of the different promoter deletions of R1(i) and R6(ii). Deletions of the first repeat unit (R1) and microsatellite are shown in white with dotted lines. The approximate position of G-boxes (black flags) and E-boxes (white flags) are shown as Figure 6.

(B) Corresponding data from promoter deletion studies with luciferase fusions of R1 (i and ii) and R6 (iii and iv) coinfiltrated with 35S:MYB10 (light-gray bars) and with 35S:MYB10 and 35S:bHLH3 (dark-gray bars). Data are presented as means (± SE) of six replicate reactions.
domestica ‘Red Field’ OP when coinfiltrated with the MYB10 transcription factor construct itself.

The constructs built to study the effect of differing numbers of repeat motifs (Figure 5A), which ranged from one motif (R1) to six (R6), showed that motif number directly affects promoter activation in the presence of MYB10. One explanation is that the MYB10 protein is able to bind its own promoter with greater efficiency when multiple motifs are present. This effect was further enhanced when an apple gene encoding a bHLH transcription factor was coinfiltrated, although the pattern of increased activation with motif number remained the same. In this assay, even R6 activity was significantly enhanced with the combination of MYB and bHLH proteins. The increase in transcript levels due to the presence of the minisatellite sequence is not merely a spatial phenomenon, as replacing the minisatellite with different sequence of the same length leads to no enhancement of transcriptional activity.

To further analyze the regions necessary for transcriptional activation, we subjected the native promoters to deletion analysis. The resulting transactivation levels for the most extreme deletions of R1 and R6 (R1Δb and R6Δb) differed; unlike the R6 variant, R1Δb was not able transactivate. The major difference between these two deletion promoters is the presence of the minisatellite in R6Δb. All the deleted promoters showed a reduction in transactivation levels, but it appears that the minisatellite in the R6 variant reduces the dependence on upstream elements for transactivation.

In the data set presented in Figure 6, there was little or no enhancement of the R6 promoter when we coinfiltrated with 35S:bHLH3. This is in contrast with the enhancement observed when 35S:bHLH3 was coinfiltrated in the experiments detailed in Figures 5 and 7. While the bHLH enhancement is usually observed with the R6 promoter, it is always observed in transient assays performed with promoters that contain fewer repeats. We
believe that this incongruence may be due to the high level of MYB-mediated transcriptional activation of the R6 promoter and that endogenous levels of bHLH, which may vary in tobacco leaves under certain experimental conditions, are able to satisfy the bHLH levels required to saturate the transcriptional potential of the R6 promoter.

Targeted deletions for both versions of the MYB10 promoter included the removal of repeat unit number one and/or removal of the microsatellite (Figure 7). The removal of the repeat unit from the R1 promoter, effectively producing a promoter with none of the identified units of 23 bp (R0), resulted in barely detectable transactivation when coinfiltrated with MYB10 and bHLH3 (Figure 7). However, removal of the microsatellite (R1-MS) had little effect, with transactivation at a similar level to that of R1. Removal of both the repeat unit and the microsatellite again resulted in loss of transactivation.

Similar deletions were performed on the R6 promoter, with the removal of repeat unit number one (R6) and removal of the microsatellite (R6-MS) (Figure 7). When coinfiltrated with MYB10, both R6 and R6-MS showed a decrease in transactivation when compared with R6. The addition of bHLH3 increased the transactivation level, particularly for that of R6-MS. The data suggest that the single repeat unit is important for transactivation levels and that maximum activity is achieved only when both the microsatellite and the first repeat unit R1 are present. Future work could focus on the importance of the location of the R1 site.

**Analysis of the Effect of the Minisatellite on MYB Function**

In tobacco leaves, the R6-driven MYB10 cDNA was able to induce anthocyanin pigmentation when coinfiltrated with an apple gene encoding a bHLH transcription factor, similar to results previously achieved with 3SS:MYB10 (Espley et al., 2007). By contrast, the R1-driven MYB10 cDNA was unable to induce detectable anthocyanin pigmentation, even when coinfiltrated with an apple gene encoding a bHLH transcription factor. Anthocyanin accumulation in this heterologous system may be dependent on a sufficient protein level of the MYB transcription factor, and when expressed under the control of the 3SS or R6 promoters, this accumulation is partially dependent on a high level of bHLH transcript. However, endogenous tobacco cofactors may also interact.

For the transformation of apple with the R6 promoter driving MYB10, we used the green-leaved variety ‘Royal Gala.’ We noted intense pigmentation in the transformed callus, regenerating plants, and in grafted plants (Figure 3). This demonstrated the functionality of the R6 promoter to drive anthocyanin in a constitutive manner and is similar to the phenotype caused by overexpression of MYB10 by the 3SS promoter (Espley et al., 2007).

**Effect of a bHLH Transcription Factor on Transcription from the MYB10 Promoter**

We have demonstrated that the presence of the minisatellite and the number of repeat units changes the level of transcription, and we predict that a MYB binding domain is located within each repeat motif. We also see differences in the level of transcriptional regulation in the presence of a bHLH transcription factor (bHLH3). Since the first descriptions of the anthocyanin-related MYB-bHLH interaction (Goff et al., 1992), considerable progress has been made into the understanding of the relationship between these two classes of transcription factors (Ramsay and Glover, 2005). The bHLH transcription factors associated with anthocyanin production may regulate the transcription initiated by their cofactor MYBs (Grotewold et al., 2000), and it has been shown that they play a key role in recruiting to the DNA a complex of proteins that regulates gene expression by histone modification (Hernandez et al., 2007).

The predicted protein sequence of MYB10 contains the conserved regions that confer dependence on a bHLH coactivator (Grotewold et al., 2000; Zimmermann et al., 2004). The apple bHLH transcription factor selected for these experiments belongs to the group of known anthocyanin regulators classed as the IIIf bHLH gene family (Heim et al., 2003), which includes TT8, reported to coregulate anthocyanin biosynthetic genes in Arabidopsis thaliana (Nesi et al., 2000). In apple, this relationship between the MYB and the bHLH transcription factors appears to conform to the proposed model, although experimental evidence from the reporter assays suggests that the reliance on a bHLH transcription factor may be less critical for the minisatellite-containing promoter (R6). The bHLH transcription factor appeared to have a decreasing influence on transcription level as the number of motifs rose (Figure 5B). However, this may be due to the limited supply of infiltrated bHLH transcription factor: while the MYB transcription factor is able to autoregulate itself to produce a constant supply of protein to perpetuate the transcript production/protein binding cycle, the bHLH transcription factor...
may become the limiting factor in the formation of this MYB/ bHLH protein complex. In the heterologous transient assay for anthocyanin production, the R6-driven MYB10 infiltration still requires the coinfiltration of a bHLH transgene (Figure 3). There is evidence for a self-activating feedback of regulation of the Arabidopsis TT8 transcription factor (Baudry et al., 2006), where in yeast assays the TT2 MYB can bind to the promoter of a target bHLH gene only in the presence of an appropriate bHLH protein. However, the authors also suggest that in plants it may be possible for the MYB transcription factor to bind the bHLH promoter in the absence of a bHLH partner. Similarly, we cannot exclude the possibility that our candidate bHLH transcription factor may be in sufficient supply to regulate or interact with MYB10.

Deletion of the predicted G-box in the distal promoter region may account for the reduced transactivation levels seen in Figure 6, particularly in view of the partial restoration of this activity when this region was reinstated with the R1ΔC and R6ΔC variants. Overall, the data suggest some dependency between the MYB and bHLH transcription factors in apple, although overexpressing MYB10 in transgenic lines does not elevate the transcript level of candidate apple bHLH transcription factors (Espley et al., 2007).

**METHODS**

Isolation of the MYB10 Upstream Promoter Region

For isolation of the upstream promoter region, genomic DNA was isolated from Malus × domestica ‘Sciros’ (Pacific Rose, derived from a cross between ‘Royal Gala’ and ‘Splendour’) using the DNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen). Nested primers to the coding region of MYB10 were designed: primary, 5′-CAGTCTCTCCTCATGAATCTCAGAC-3′, and secondary, 5′-CAGTTTCCATCATATCCCCTCCTCTC-3′. A 1.7-kb region of upstream DNA immediately adjacent to the transcription start site was isolated from the genomic DNA by PCR genome walking using a GenomeWalker kit (Clontech), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Genomic DNA was subsequently isolated from Malus × domestica ‘Granny Smith’, Malus × domestica ‘Royal Gala’, and Malus × domestica ‘Red Field’ OP using forward and reverse primers 5′-ACCTCTGCAAAGG-3′ and 5′-GCGATTGTTAGCTGTTAGCGGATTGAG-3′, respectively. The PCR products were cloned using the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen), and sequences aligned using Vector NTI (Invitrogen). Analysis of the promoter regions was performed using the database PLACE (http://www.dna.affrc.go.jp/PLACE/signalscan.html) (Higo et al., 1999).

Minisatellite Region PCR Amplification and Sequencing

Apple genomic DNA from 19 varieties was amplified using a pair of PCR primers located in the MYB10 promoter (forward: 5′-GGAGGGGAATGAAGAAGG-3′; reverse: 5′-CAGGTTTCCATCATATCCCCTCCTCTC-3′). PCR reactions were performed in 16.5 μL volume containing 1X PCR buffer mix (Invitrogen), 1.3 mM MgCl2, 100 μM of each dNTP, 0.72% formamide, 10 μM of each primer, 0.5 units of Platinum Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen), and 2 ng of genomic DNA. PCR amplifications were performed in a Hybrid PCR Express Thermal Cycler (Thermo Electron) with conditions as follows: 94°C for 2 min and 45 s followed by 40 cycles at 94°C for 55 s, 55°C for 55 s, and 72°C for 1 min 39 s, and a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products obtained were cloned using the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen). Four clones were sequenced for each PCR product. The sequences were aligned using Vector NTI (Invitrogen).

Plasmid Construction

Luciferase reporter constructs were derivatives of pGreen 0800-LUC (Hellens et al., 2005) in which the promoter sequence for MYB10 or the deletion fragments were inserted. Promoter sequences were PCR amplified using the primers 5′-ACCTCTGCAAAGG-3′ and 5′-CAGGTTTCCATCATATCCCCTCCTCTC-3′ and cloned into the multi-cloning region of pGreen 0800-LUC. R6 and R6ΔC promoter fragments were cloned in as native promoter sequences, while changes to the repeat frequency for the R6, R6ΔC, and R6ΔC promoter fragments were synthesized (Geneart AG) and cloned into R1 using the restriction enzymes Spel and Dral. Cloning strategies for the deletion constructs are outlined in the Supplemental Methods online. The pSAK construct for 35S:MYB10 and...
35S::bHLH3 was as previously described (Espley et al., 2007). The promoter sequences for R6 (EU518249) and R8 (EU518250) were PCR amplified from genomic DNA using the primers 5'-ATAGAGCTCCACCTGAACACGTCG-GGA-3' and 5'-ATTGGTTCTTCCTGAGTCGGAAGCA-3' containing SacI and XhoI restriction sites, respectively. The pSAK vector, containing the 35S::MYB10 cassette, was digested with SacI and XhoI to release the 35S promoter sequence and then religated with the PCR amplified R6 or R8 promoter fragments to produce R6::MYB10 and R8::MYB10.

Transactivation Analysis Using Transformed Tobacco Leaves

The promoter sequences for MYB10 were inserted into the cloning site of pGreen 0800-LUC (Hellens et al., 2005). In the same construct, a luciferase gene from REN, under the control of a 35S promoter, provided an estimate of the extent of transient expression. Activity is expressed as a ratio of LUC to REN activity. The promoter-LUC fusions were used in transient transformation of Nicotiana benthamiana by mixing 100 μL of Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 (MP90) transformed with the reporter cassette with or without another Agrobacterium culture(s) (900 μL) transformed with a cassette containing MYB10 fused to the 35S, R6, or R8 promoters and bHLH3 fused to the 35S promoter. The method is further described in the Supplemental Methods online.

Induction of Anthocyanin Pigmentation in Tobacco

Nicotiana tabacum plants were grown as previously described (Espley et al., 2007) and maintained in a greenhouse for the duration of the experiment. Agrobacterium cultures were incubated as for the dual luciferase assay, and separate strains containing the MYB10 gene fused to either the 35S, R6, or R8 promoter sequences and the bHLH3 gene fused to the 35S promoter were mixed (500 μL each) and infiltrated into the abaxial leaf surface. Six separate infiltrations were performed into tobacco leaves (two plants per treatment), and changes in color were observed and digitally recorded over an 8-d period. To control for leaf-to-leaf variability, at least two leaves were infiltrated, and each leaf included positive (Agrobacterium cultures containing 35S::MYB10 + 35S::bHLH3) and negative (Agrobacterium with empty vector) controls.

Transformation of Apple

The binary vector pSAK277 containing MYB10 driven by the R6 or R8 promoters was transferred into Agrobacterium strain GV3101 by the freeze-thaw method (Holsters et al., 1978). Transgenic Malus × domestica 'Royal Gala' plants were generated by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of leaf pieces using a method previously reported (Yao et al., 1995).

Production and Purification of MYB10 Protein

A synthesized version of MYB10 cDNA (Genearth) was used for recombinant protein expression (GenBank EU518248). The sequence was codon optimized for bacterial expression and cloned into the pET30a expression vector (Invitrogen) with an N-terminal His Tag. Two versions, full length (amino acids 1 to 243) and truncated (amino acids 1 to 167), were tested, and the truncated version was found to be more highly expressed. Both versions were used in EMSAs and showed similar results (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). The truncated version was used for all subsequent EMSAs (below and Supplemental Methods online). The construct was transformed into Escherichia coli BL21-CodonPlus-RIL (Stratagenec), and cells were grown in 500 mL ZYM-5052 autoinducible media (Studier, 2005) at 37°C for 2 h at 300 rpm and 16°C for a further 60 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (3500g) and resuspended in His Trap binding buffer (30 mM imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl, 5 mM DTT, and 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4) and EDTA-free inhibitor cocktail tablets (Roche). Cells were disrupted using an EmulsiFlex-C15 high-pressure homogenizer (15,000 to 20,000 p.s.i.) (Avestin) and were then pelleted at 15,000 rpm and the supernatant filtered through a 0.25-μm filter (Millipore) before loading onto a precharged and equilibrated 5-mL His-Trap HP column (GE Healthcare) charged with Ni²⁺. Bound proteins were washed following the manufacturer's specifications and eluted using a continuous 0 to 500 mM imidazole gradient at 2 mL min⁻¹. Fractions containing recombinant proteins were confirmed by SDS-PAGE and protein gel blot analysis prior to further protein purification by size-exclusion chromatography using a Superdex gel filtration 200 column (GE Healthcare) connected to an ATKA FPLC (GE Healthcare) and eluted in 20 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.0.

EMSAs

Complementary oligonucleotides for EMSA were annealed and labeled with [32P]-γ-ATP prior to binding with MYB10 protein. The forward strand sequences for the labeled probes were as follows: r1, 5'-GTTAGACTGG- TAGCTATTAACAA-3'; m6, 5'-ACCGAATCTGTTAGCTATTCTTACT-3'; and m7, 5'-GTTAGATCTGCAAGGAAAAACAA-3'. Forward strand sequence for the competitor oligos were as follows: r1 as above; m1, 5'-acccga- ACTGTTGACCTTTACAAC-3'; m2, 5'-GTGACTGAGAATCTTACAAC-3'; m3, 5'-GTTAGACTGAGCTATTAACAA-3'; m4, 5'-GTTAGACTGTTAG- GCGGGAAACAA-3'; m5, 5'-GTTAGACTTGAGCTAATCC-3'; m6, 5'-acccgaACTGTTGACTTTTACT-3'; and m7, 5'-GTTAGATCGAAGGAAA- ACAA-3'. Mutated bases are shown in lower case and underlined. Labelling reactions were performed using T4 Polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs) and [32P]-γ-ATP. Unincorporated labeled nucleotides were removed using ProbeQuant G50 micro columns (GE Healthcare). For the binding assay, 0.2 to 0.7 μg of recombinant MYB10 protein was mixed with 0.05 to 0.1 pmol of double-stranded, labeled DNA probe in binding buffer [10 mM Tris, 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM DTT, 1 μg poly(dI-dC), 10 μg BSA, and 4% glycerol] and incubated for 30 min at 25°C. Cold competitor DNA was added at 20- or 200-fold excess versus the radiolabeled probe. The bound complexes were resolved by electrophoresis on native 5% polyacrylamide gels in 0.5% Tris-borate EDTA (TBE) buffer, pH 8.3, at 100 V for 60 min at 25°C. The gel was dried in a gel drier (Atto) at 80°C under vacuum before autoradiography with an intensifier screen at ~80°C.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession numbers: Md MYB10 ('Red Field') OP, DQ267896; MYB10 (Pacific Rose), DQ267897; MYB10 (Granny Smith'), DQ267898; bHLH3, CN934367; MYB10 Codon Optimized, EU518248; MYB10 R6, EU518249; and MYB10 R8, EU518250.
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