Protein Phosphatases 2C Regulate the Activation of the Snf1-Related Kinase OST1 by Abscisic Acid in Arabidopsis
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INTRODUCTION

Reversible protein phosphorylation was selected through evolution for the fine-tuning of signaling pathways. The phosphorylation state of Ser, Thr, and Tyr is regulated by protein kinases and phosphatases (Hunter, 1995; Moorhead et al., 2009). However, since the discovery of reversible protein phosphorylation, protein kinases have received more attention, emphasizing their driving role in this regulation mechanism. Consequently, our knowledge of protein phosphorylation specificity and regulation is still comparatively limited, thus affecting our general understanding of the fine regulation of signaling pathways.

Ser/Thr phosphatases of the PPP family (PP1, PP2A, and PP2B) are obligate multimeric enzymes. Their distinctive localization, regulation, and substrate specificity are essentially conferred by the large variety of regulatory subunits rather than the promiscuous activity of the few catalytic subunits (Virshup and Shenolikar, 2009). By contrast, Ser/Thr phosphatases of the unrelated PPM family, represented by protein phosphatase 2Cs (PP2Cs), are monomeric proteins. The significantly larger number of genes encoding PP2Cs compared with other phosphatase catalytic subunits in mammals and in plants, suggests that their regulation and substrate specificity might be defined by an increased catalytic specificity and by the presence of regulatory domains frequently associated with the catalytic domain (Schweighofer et al., 2004; Moorhead et al., 2007). PP2Cs act as general negative regulators of stress signaling in yeast and mammals through the regulation of the stress-activated mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway, DNA damage signaling, or the dephosphorylation of AMP-activated kinase (AMPK), the mammalian counterpart of yeast Snf1 kinase (Lammers and Lavi, 2007).

In plants, PP2Cs represent by far the major phosphatase family, with, for example, 76 PP2Cs out of 112 phosphatases encoded in the Arabidopsis thaliana genome (Schweighofer et al., 2004). Genetic evidence revealed that group A PP2Cs, including the related HAB1, ABI1, and ABI2 PP2Cs, are negative regulators of the stress hormone abscisic acid (ABA) signaling. ABA is synthesized in response to drought stress and triggers both the rapid closure of stomatal pores in leaf epidermis to limit water loss through transpiration and the induction of new genetic programs to help the plant withstand this adverse condition (Himmelbach et al., 2003; Hirayama and Shinozaki, 2007; Sirichandra et al., 2009). Loss-of-function and knockout mutants in HAB1, ABI1, and ABI2 are hypersensitive to ABA (Gosti et al., 1999; Merlot et al., 2001; Leonhardt et al., 2004; Saez et al., 2004). Conversely, plants carrying the dominant mutations abi1-1
Several proteins interacting with the PP2Cs implicated in ABA signaling have been identified. Recently, the Arabidopsis SWI3B subunit of SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complex was shown to interact with HAB1 (Saez et al., 2008). ABI1 interacts with the At HB6 transcription factor (Himmelbach et al., 2002), the stress-activated MPK6 kinase (Leung et al., 2006), and the ABA-activated kinase OST1 (Yoshida et al., 2006). OST1, also called SnRK2.6 and SRK2E, belongs to the SnRK2/3 subfamily and contains a Z-scanning residue that is either a single amino acid (pS, pT, or pY) or a molar combination of all amino acids except Cys. To these 99 semidegenerate peptides, we included two entirely degenerate peptides containing either a pS or a pT to analyze the preference of the phosphatases toward these phospho-residues (Figure 1A). The peptides were incubated with protein phosphatases in solution, and the kinetics of dephosphorylation were recorded in real time as an increase of fluorescence emission from the sensor as it complexes with the liberated phosphate (Pi) (Figures 1B and 1C). In our assay conditions, we routinely obtained a logarithmic relation between the fluorescent signal and Pi concentration ranging from 0.2 to 2 µM. In addition, we only observed a weak fluorescent signal loss (<10%) due to the fluorophore bleaching during the 2-h time course of our experiments (80 time points). These characteristics make the MDCC-PBP a very robust and sensitive Pi sensor, allowing the precise calculation of the dephosphorylation speed of the hundred phosphopeptides of the library. The impact or weight of each individual or group of amino acids at each position on dephosphorylation of the target pS/T is calculated as the ratio between the dephosphorylation speed of the corresponding peptide and the mean value of all peptides for a given position. The substrate preference of the phosphatase is illustrated by its position-specific scoring matrix (PSSM) that displays the weight of each amino acid at each position around the phosphorylated site as presented in Figure 2.

The G246-to-D Mutation Narrows the Specificity of HAB1 Phosphatase

The phosphatase profiling revealed that HAB1 has a rather broad preference pattern toward semidegenerate phosphopeptides (Figure 2A) and a slight bias for pT over pS (see Supplemental Figure 1 online). The latter observation contrasts with the usual strong preference observed for other PP2Cs toward pT (Marley et al., 1996; Donella-Deana et al., 2003). The strongest positive effect is observed for [NQ] at position −3, which increases dephosphorylation by 50% above the mean value. By contrast, a P at the +1 position virtually abrogates dephosphorylation, and [VILM] at position −1 and [KR] at position +2 reduces dephosphorylation by 50 and 65%, respectively. We obtained very similar results with wild-type ABI1 and ABI2 PP2Cs (see Supplemental Figure 2 online), which are homologs of HAB1.

As a point of comparison, we analyzed the substrate preferences of calf intestinal phosphatase (CIP), which is considered as a broad specificity protein phosphatase. CIP has a clear
preference for peptides containing acidic amino acids but has a strong aversion to basic amino acids containing peptides (Figure 2C). CIP is still able to dephosphorylate peptides containing P at the +1 position and displays a fourfold preference for pS over pT, as previously observed (Donella-Deana et al., 2003; Sun et al., 2008). These results indicate that the profiling strategy we developed is able to reveal subtle substrate preferences between phosphatases.

We then analyzed the effect of the dominant G-to-D mutation on the phosphatase specificity of HAB1 (hab1G246D). In comparison with the crystal structure of human PP2Cα, this mutation is predicted to localize in the close proximity of the catalytic site and would likely affect its activity (Das et al., 1996; Robert et al., 2006). The hab1G246D mutant protein is 10 times less active than HAB1 against the degenerate pT peptide but maintains a preference for pT over pS (see Supplemental Figure 1 online). The comparison of HAB1 and hab1G246D phosphatase profiles revealed that the dominant G-to-D mutation narrows the specificity of the phosphatase (Figure 2B). The presence of [DE] at position +1 of the peptide substrates abrogates its dephosphorylation, indicating that this position is particularly sensitive to the negative charge and/or the steric constraints associated with the G-to-D mutation. The mutation also accentuates the negative effect of [NQ] and W at position +1 and of [KR] and [DE] at position +2. This reduction of activity is compensated by an apparent positive impact of [ST], [VILM], and [FY] at position +1 and [VILM] at position +2. The positive effect of [NQ] at position +2 observed for HAB1 is also amplified by hab1G246D. This analysis suggests that the dominant G246D mutation narrows the intrinsic phosphatase specificity of HAB1. We observed a similar, although less pronounced, effect of the equivalent G180D and G168D mutations on ABI1 and ABI2 activity, respectively (see Supplemental Figure 2 online), indicating that the dominant mutation has a comparable effect on the activity of other group A PP2Cs.

**hab1G246D Substrate Preferences Reveal the Activation Loop of the Kinase OST1 as One of Its Putative Targets**

We compiled the HAB1 substrate preferences into a PSSM to screen the *Arabidopsis* protein database using the MAST program. However, because of the broad substrate preferences of HAB1, we were not able to discriminate between putative substrates according to the calculated position P value of the target peptides. On the other hand, while the molecular consequences of the G-to-D mutation on the activity or regulation of group A PP2Cs is still obscure, the genetic nature of the mutation implies that hab1G246D is still able to dephosphorylate at least one of the physiological substrates of HAB1 (Wilkie, 1994). We therefore used the more restrictive hab1G246D preferences to screen for

---

**Figure 1. Profiling Strategy to Define Dephosphorylation Preferences of Ser/Thr Phosphatases.**

(A) Our phosphatase profiling strategy relies on an array of 101 semi-degenerate phosphopeptides (left diagram). Each peptide of the array (right diagram) contains a Z-scanning position (red Z) successively moving from positions −5 to +4 with respect to the fixed target dephosphorylation site (i.e., position 0), which correspond to an equimolar mix of pS and pT. The Z-scanning position is either occupied by a single amino acid (e.g., P) or a limited mixture of amino acids with similar physico-chemical properties (e.g., V, I, L, and M). The degenerate X positions consist of an equimolar mix of all amino acids except C. In addition, we used two independent degenerate phosphopeptides, containing either a pS or a pT, to test the selectivity of the phosphatase toward these two phosphorylated amino acids.

(B) The 101 phosphopeptides were dephosphorylated in solution by the protein phosphatase in the presence of MDCC-PBP, the fluorescent free-phosphate (Pi) sensor.

(C) The dephosphorylation kinetics of the 101 phosphopeptides were recorded in parallel and in real time for 2 h by monitoring the fluorescent signal (R.U., relative unit) increase resulting from the hydrolysis of Pi. The fluorescent signals corresponding to 2, 1, 0.5, and 0.2 μM Pi are indicated on the graph.
putative HAB1 substrates. To further focus our search toward physiological substrates, we limited our screen to genes that, like HAB1 (Leonhardt et al., 2004; Saez et al., 2004), are strongly expressed in stomata. This bioinformatics screen identified several putative hab1G246D substrates, including the AMPK/Snf1-related kinase OST1 (Table 1). OST1 plays an important role in stomatal closure and gene induction in response to ABA (Mustilli et al., 2002; Yoshida et al., 2002). The predicted target site is pS175, located in the activation loop of OST1 that was previously shown to play a critical function in OST1 activation in vitro and in vivo (Belin et al., 2006; Boudsocq et al., 2007). These data suggest that hab1G246D, and consequently HAB1, can dephosphorylate pS175 to directly regulate OST1 activity.

hab1G246D and HAB1 Dephosphorylate and Deactivate OST1 in Vitro

To demonstrate that OST1 is a substrate of hab1G246D and HAB1, we measured their phosphatase activity using the OST1AL peptide, which mimics the portion of the activation loop surrounding pS175, as substrate (Figure 3A). HAB1 dephosphorylates the OST1AL peptide (58.7 \pm 6.8 pmol Pi·min^{-1}·mg^{-1}) more efficiently than hab1G246D (11.0 \pm 0.4 pmol Pi·min^{-1}·mg^{-1}). We then introduced mutations in OST1AL to confirm the selectivity predictions deduced from the phosphopeptide array screening (Figure 3B). The substitution of K to L at position 1 reduces dephosphorylation by hab1G246D by >40%, but, in contrast with

PSSM of HAB1 (A), hab1G246D (B), and CIP (C). The weight (w) of each amino acid at each position reported in the PSSM corresponds to the ratio between the speed of dephosphorylation of the corresponding peptide and the mean speed of peptide dephosphorylation at a given position. Weights were colored from blue (1 < w ≤ 2.5 and over) to red (1 > w ≥ 0.2 and under) using Excel conditional formatting.
predictions, does not reduce dephosphorylation by HAB1. This discrepancy between the predicted and the experimental data for HAB1 may be the consequence of the degenerate nature of the peptide containing [VILM] at this position. The substitutions of T to Q (OST1T1Q) and V to D (OST1V2D) at the +1 and +2 positions reduce the dephosphorylation speed of HAB1 by 50 and 75%, respectively. These mutations have a stronger negative impact on hab1G246D dephosphorylation, resulting, for example, in a 50 times lower activity of hab1G246D against the OST1T1Q peptide (0.56 ± 0.01 pmol Pi·min⁻¹·mg⁻¹), compared with HAB1 (30.5 ± 3.9 pmol Pi·min⁻¹·mg⁻¹). Furthermore, the substitution of S at −4 by D (OST1S⁴D) slightly increases the dephosphorylation by both HAB1 and hab1G246D. These results largely confirm the selectivity prediction for HAB1 and hab1G246D and further support the hypothesis that OST1 pS175 is a target of these PP2Cs.

When expressed in E.coli, OST1 autophosphorylates on S175, resulting in an active kinase (Belin et al., 2006), and interacts with purified HAB1 and hab1G246D in vitro (see Supplemental Figure 3 online). Incubation of the active OST1 with both HAB1 and hab1G246D leads to the deactivation of the kinase (Figure 3C). In this assay, hab1G246D is only two to three times less active than HAB1 at deactivating OST1. This result significantly contrasts with the 40 times reduction linked to the G246D mutation observed when using phosphocasein as an artificial substrate of PP2Cs (Robert et al., 2006) and further suggests that hab1G246D might have a significant phosphatase activity against OST1 pS175 in vivo. By contrast, the deactivation of OST1 by CIP requires a considerable excess of alkaline phosphatase activity compared with HAB1, demonstrating the specificity of PP2Cs for OST1.

HAB1 and hab1G246D Interact with OST1 in Vivo

Next, we used bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay to test if HAB1 and hab1G246D interact with OST1

### Table 1. hab1G246D Putative Substrates Expressed in Stomata

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ranka</th>
<th>AGIb</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Peptide Sequence</th>
<th>Position P Valuec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>AT4G34110.1</td>
<td>PAB2, poly(A) binding protein</td>
<td>PEQORTMLGE</td>
<td>9.9e-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>AT3G02360.1</td>
<td>6-Phosphogluconate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>ARNGPSMMPI</td>
<td>4.1e-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>AT5G56630.1</td>
<td>Phosphofructo-1-kinase</td>
<td>STNQSPFGIP</td>
<td>5.4e-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>AT3G27690.1</td>
<td>AT2G05070.1</td>
<td>Photosystem II light-harvesting complex gene 2</td>
<td>SENTPSYLTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5</td>
<td>AT4G29220.1</td>
<td>6-Phosphofructokinase</td>
<td>STNQSPSKMP</td>
<td>2.4e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6</td>
<td>AT2G22240.1</td>
<td>Inositol-3-phosphate synthase</td>
<td>GGNQGSLTSA</td>
<td>2.4e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#7</td>
<td>AT5G13630.1</td>
<td>G5N, magnesium chelatase subunit</td>
<td>PENAEIJE</td>
<td>2.8e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8</td>
<td>AT4G26850.1</td>
<td>VTC2, GDP-γ-l-galactose/GDP-β-glucose phosphatase</td>
<td>PENPSVVAI</td>
<td>2.8e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#9</td>
<td>AT2G25450.1</td>
<td>2-Oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase</td>
<td>KPNGNSSLH</td>
<td>3.2e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#10</td>
<td>AT3G19800.1</td>
<td>Unknown protein</td>
<td>RENRASTLP</td>
<td>3.4e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#11</td>
<td>AT1G78820.1</td>
<td>Curculin-like lectin family protein</td>
<td>DGOQPGTSVND</td>
<td>3.5e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#12</td>
<td>AT1G15690.1</td>
<td>AVP1, vacuolar H+-pumping pyrophosphatase</td>
<td>YANARITLEA</td>
<td>3.5e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#13</td>
<td>AT4G09320.1</td>
<td>NDPK1, nucleoside diphosphate kinase</td>
<td>PVNWQGSSHP</td>
<td>3.8e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#14</td>
<td>AT2G23760.1</td>
<td>BLH4, homeomain transcription factor</td>
<td>NNNNINSLHM</td>
<td>4.3e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#15</td>
<td>AT1G07720.1</td>
<td>3-Ketoacyl-CoA synthase</td>
<td>SGNRNRSMILA</td>
<td>4.3e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#16</td>
<td>AT3G59660.1</td>
<td>C2 domain-containing protein</td>
<td>RENRASTLP</td>
<td>4.3e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#17</td>
<td>AT4G33950.1</td>
<td>OST1, SnRK2-6, SRK2E, Snf1-related protein kinase</td>
<td>HSPQKSTVG</td>
<td>4.8e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#18</td>
<td>AT4G15530.1</td>
<td>Pyruvate orthophosphate dikinase</td>
<td>GDOQPGTVIPE</td>
<td>4.9e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#19</td>
<td>AT5G54390.1</td>
<td>HAL2-like phosphatidylinositol phosphatase</td>
<td>GDOQNLSTVA</td>
<td>5.1e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#20</td>
<td>AT2G16055.1</td>
<td>Photosystem II subunit T</td>
<td>KEQSSSTITMR</td>
<td>5.4e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#21</td>
<td>AT4G28940.1</td>
<td>Vacular processing enzyme gamma</td>
<td>ASQACPTTPLT</td>
<td>5.6e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#22</td>
<td>AT3G51570.1</td>
<td>Protein phosphatase 2C</td>
<td>DTONQSSVKG</td>
<td>5.7e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#23</td>
<td>AT3G28710.1</td>
<td>H⁺-transporting two-sector ATPase</td>
<td>AQNOQSIHRD</td>
<td>5.8e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#24</td>
<td>AT1G03550.1</td>
<td>Secretory carrier membrane protein</td>
<td>PFANHTSVPP</td>
<td>6.0e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#25</td>
<td>AT5G17170.1</td>
<td>ENH1, metal ion binding protein</td>
<td>DEPQDTYVPP</td>
<td>6.2e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#26</td>
<td>AT5G12140.1</td>
<td>Cys proteinase inhibitor</td>
<td>DQOAGTVGG</td>
<td>6.3e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#27</td>
<td>AT2G4520.0</td>
<td>AHA5, plasma membrane proton ATPase</td>
<td>SDEASILVP</td>
<td>6.5e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#28</td>
<td>AT1G50200.1</td>
<td>Alanyl-tRNA synthetase</td>
<td>PHNDFTLFA</td>
<td>6.9e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#29</td>
<td>AT1G27530.1</td>
<td>Unknown protein</td>
<td>DPNKSTTLR</td>
<td>7.3e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#30</td>
<td>AT3G24170.1</td>
<td>ATGR1, glutathione reductase</td>
<td>GROQKTLMLK</td>
<td>8.2e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#31</td>
<td>AT5G12250.1</td>
<td>AT5G44340.1</td>
<td>β-Tubulin</td>
<td>PNNKSVSVDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#32</td>
<td>AT5G62690.1</td>
<td>β-Tubulin</td>
<td>PNNKSTVCDV</td>
<td>8.5e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#33</td>
<td>AT3G23280.1</td>
<td>Zinc finger family protein</td>
<td>DAQRPTRMLPL</td>
<td>9.0e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#34</td>
<td>AT2G26080.1</td>
<td>ATGLPD2, Gly dehydrogenase</td>
<td>HRNGESSLPP</td>
<td>9.7e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#35</td>
<td>AT3G26744.1</td>
<td>ICE1, MYC-like bHLH transcriptional activator</td>
<td>SNNNINMLCG</td>
<td>1.0e-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The table is sorted by decreasing position P value of peptide match. Only peptide match with position P value ≤0.0001 is shown.

AGI, Arabidopsis Genome Initiative.

The position P value of a match is the probability of a single random subsequence of the length of the motif scoring at least as well as the observed match.
in vivo. HAB1 was translationally fused to the myc epitope and the N-terminal 155–amino acid portion of yellow fluorescent protein (YFPN) to create HAB1-myc-YFPN (Figures 4A and 4B). On the other hand, OST1 was fused to the C-terminal 84–amino acid portion of YFP to generate YFPC-OST1. Transient coexpression of the two proteins in tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana) cells yielded YFP fluorescence in the nucleus and cytosol (Figure 4A). No fluorescence signal was observed when only one of the two proteins was expressed with the complementary YFP portion or when HAB1-myc-YFPN was expressed together with the truncated YFPC-OST11-280, lacking the C-terminal amino acid residues 281 to 362. These results indicating that HAB1 can interact with OST1 in vivo were confirmed by coimmunoprecipitation experiments using tobacco protein extracts prepared from the BiFC assay (Figure 4B). The expression of hab1G246D-myc-YFPN together with YFPC-OST1 also led to a fluorescent signal qualitatively similar to the one obtained with HAB1-myc-YFPN, indicating that the G246D mutation does not visibly affect the interaction between the phosphatase and the kinase (Figure 4A). Furthermore, BiFC experiments also revealed the interaction of OST1 with the two related group A PP2Cs, ABI1 and ABI2, as well as with their mutant forms, abi1G180D and abi2G168D in plant cells (Figure 4D; see Supplemental Figure 4 online).

We confirmed the interaction between OST1 and both HAB1 and hab1G246D using in vivo cross-linking experiments (Figure 4C). Proteins from protoplasts cotransformed with Flag-OST1 and either HA-HAB1 or HA-hab1G246D were cross-linked in vivo. Our results show that Flag-OST1 coimmunoprecipitated with HA-HAB1 and HA-hab1G246D but not with HA-tagged MPK6, attesting to the specificity of the interaction. The observation that less OST1 coprecipitated with hab1G246D than with HAB1 is likely the consequence of the lower expression of HA-hab1G246D in protoplasts rather than of the reduction of affinity caused by the G246D mutation. Together, these results show that both HAB1 and hab1G246D bind to OST1 in vivo.

To determine specific regions of OST1 involved in the interaction with HAB1, we repeated BiFC experiments using different OST1 mutant and deleted forms (Figure 5A) and confirmed the expression of these fusion proteins in plant cells by immunoblot analysis (Figure 5B). BiFC experiments using the inactive kinases ost1G33R and ost1S175A indicated that the interaction with HAB1 does not require an active kinase or the target S175. We therefore suspected the presence of a HAB1 docking site in the C-terminal extension of OST1, which was shown to be important for its activation by ABA and for the interaction with ABI1 in yeast (Belin et al., 2006; Yoshida et al., 2006). Accordingly, YFPC-OST11-362 interacts with HAB1-myc-YFPN, indicating that the OST1 C-terminal extension is necessary and sufficient for the interaction with HAB1 (Figure 5). We then used variant C-terminal deletions of YFPC-OST11-362 to further define the domain of interaction with HAB1. HAB1-myc-YFPN interacts with YFPC-OST11-344, but not with YFPC-OST11-331, further indicating that the OST1 region from amino acids 331 to 362 is not absolutely required for the interaction with HAB1 in vivo.

Figure 3. HAB1 and hab1G246D Dephosphorylate the OST1 Activation Loop in Vitro.

(A) Sequence alignment of OST1 activation loop with two closely related Arabidopsis SnRK2 kinases activated by ABA (SnRK2.2 and SnRK2.3) and the more distant SnRK2.10 kinase, activated by osmotic stress. The star indicates the position of Ser-175 and the underlined sequence corresponds to the OST1AL phosphopeptide.

(B) The phosphatase activity of HAB1 (black bars) and hab1G246D (white bars) was analyzed using OST1AL and variant mutant phosphopeptides. The activity of HAB1 (58.7 ± 6.8 pmol Pi·min⁻¹·μg⁻¹) and hab1G246D (11.0 ± 0.4 pmol Pi·min⁻¹·μg⁻¹) toward OST1AL peptide was normalized to 100%. Experiments were repeated independently two times with similar results. Results from one experiment are presented, and error bars represent SE.

(C) The MBP kinase activity of OST1 (100 ng) expressed in E. coli is compared before and after incubation of the kinase with a given amount of HAB1, hab1G246D, and CIP phosphatases. The activity of CIP was normalized to HAB1 using the degenerate YAXXXXXpSXXXXAKKK as standard substrate. Using this peptide, the activity of 1 μg HAB1 is equivalent to 0.02 units of CIP. This experiment was repeated two times independently, with similar results. Data from one representative experiment are presented.
OST1 Activation by ABA Is Dependent on PP2C Activity in Planta

If OST1 is dephosphorylated and negatively regulated by HAB1 in planta, then both loss-of-function and hypermorphic mutations in HAB1 should impact the activation of OST1 in response to ABA. We specifically immunoprecipitated OST1 from hab1-1 knockout plants ectopically expressing hab1G246D treated or not with ABA and measured OST1 activity toward myelin basic protein (MBP) using an in-gel kinase assay (Figure 6A). The results showed that, while OST1 was rapidly and strongly
Figure 5. The Interaction of OST1 with HAB1 Depends on the Regulatory C-Terminal Domain of OST1.

(A) BiFC analysis of the interaction between HAB1 and different OST1 mutant (G33R and S175A indicated with a star) and deletion forms, as depicted on the left. Protein interaction is revealed by YFP fluorescence analyzed by confocal microscopy (right column). Bars = 25 μm. Larger images are presented in Supplemental Figure 4C online.

(B) The expression in tobacco leaves of the different OST1 mutant and deletion forms used in (A) is verified by immunoblot using the anti-GFP antibody.

(C) Sequence alignment of the OST1 C-terminal regulatory domain with the two closely related Arabidopsis SnRK2 kinases, which are activated by ABA (SnRK2.2 and SnRK2.3), and the more distant SnRK2.10 kinase, which is only activated by osmotic stress. The sequence alignment was realized using
activated in wild-type plants by ABA, this activation was barely detectable in hab1<sup>G246D</sup>-expressing plants. We also performed this experiment in abi1<sup>G180D</sup> and abi2<sup>G168D</sup> mutants and observed that OST1 activation was also strongly reduced. These results confirmed that abi1<sup>G180D</sup> inhibits ABA-dependent activation of OST1 (Mustilli et al., 2002; Yoshida et al., 2006; Boudsocq et al., 2007) and interestingly revealed a similar effect of abi2<sup>G168D</sup>, which was not previously observed (Yoshida et al., 2006; see Discussion).

By contrast, the ABA-dependent activation of OST1 is increased in the hab1-1 knockout mutant (Figure 6B), but the mutation does not visibly affect the activity of OST1 in the absence of ABA. The ABI1 knockout mutation abi1-2 has a comparable effect on ABA-dependent activation of OST1, but no synergistic or cumulative effect was observed in the double hab1-1 abi1-2 mutant (Figure 6B). These results provide genetic evidence that the PP2Cs HAB1, ABI1, and ABI2 regulate the activation of OST1 in vivo.

**DISCUSSION**

The primary goal of this work was to identify the substrate(s) of group A PP2Cs, including HAB1, ABI1, and ABI2, which are key elements in the ABA signaling pathway. This pathway plays a crucial role for plant survival under drought stress and coordinates plant growth and development with stress adaptive responses. Using HAB1 and hab1<sup>G246D</sup> substrate preferences, we were able to identify pS175, located in the activation loop of the AMPK/Sn1-related kinase OST1, as a putative substrate suggesting that HAB1 regulates OST1 activity. This prediction was subsequently supported by in vitro dephosphorylation assay, in vivo interaction assays, and the analysis of OST1 activation in PP2C mutant plants, which provided strong correlative evidence that these PP2Cs play an important and conserved role in the regulation of OST1 activity.

To quantitatively measure the substrate preferences of PP2Cs, we developed an original protein phosphatase profiling strategy using a combinatorial peptide library. Combinatorial peptide array screening strategies are now largely used to reveal the substrate preferences of protein kinases (Manning et al., 2002; Hutti et al., 2004; Doppler et al., 2005; Vlad et al., 2008), but this strategy has only rarely been used with protein phosphatases (Wang et al., 2002). In addition, the analysis of the substrate preferences of Ser/Thr phosphatases using peptide libraries was further limited until recently because of the absence of methods to easily and sensitively detect dephosphorylation of Ser and Thr in high-throughput assays (Sun et al., 2008). Our results show that the simultaneous dephosphorylation of hundreds of peptides can easily and quantitatively be recorded in real time through the release of inorganic phosphate using the MDCC-PBP fluorescent sensor (Brune et al., 1994; Pais et al., 2005).

**Figure 6. OST1 Activation by ABA Is Dependent on PP2C Activity in Planta.**

(A) Activation of OST1 by ABA in different Arabidopsis mutants expressing G-to-D mutant PP2Cs is analyzed by in-gel kinase assay after endogenous OST1 immunoprecipitation. OST1 activation in plantlets expressing hab1<sup>G246D</sup> is compared with the corresponding Columbia (Col) wild-type ecotype. OST1 activation in the abi1<sup>G180D</sup> (abi1-1) and abi2<sup>G168D</sup> (abi2-1) mutant is compared with that in the Landsberg erecta (Ler) wild-type ecotype. The endogenous OST1 protein level was monitored in parallel by immunoblotting analysis of the soluble protein extract with the anti-OST1 antibody.

(B) Same experiments as in (A) performed in single and double knockout mutants in HAB1 (hab1-1) and ABI1 (abi1-2) genes. These mutants are in the Col ecotype.

Because of its versatility, sensitivity, and the possibility of measuring phosphate release in real time, we think the MDCC-PBP sensor offers technical advantages over techniques that rely on phosphotyrosine-specific antibody (Kohn et al., 2007) or ProQ-Diamond (Sun et al., 2008) for the calculation of peptide dephosphorylation speed in high-throughput strategies. Furthermore, if necessary, the resolution and versatility of the strategy presented here can be increased using a single amino acid at each Z-scanning position and by designing a similar phosphotyrosine-based peptide library to study phosphotyrosine and dual-specific phosphatases.

These quantitative profiling data can be used to help the identification of phosphatase substrates using a bioinformatic approach. However, we think that bioinformatic screens exclusively based on these profiling data would generate a detrimental amount of false positives in the case of protein phosphatases with low substrate specificity, such as HAB1. We show with this Figure 5. (continued).

ClustalW2. Identical residues (asterisk), conserved substitutions (colon), and semiconserved substitutions (period) are indicated below the alignment. The motifs conserved in all SnRK2s (SnRK2 box) or in ABA-activated SnRK2s (ABA box) are indicated by plain and dotted lines, respectively (Belin et al., 2006). Black arrows indicate the position of the OST1 deletions used in this study.
work that this strategy will be valuable when combined with other sets of data, such as gene coexpression and coregulation of protein interactome analysis, to limit the number of potential substrates. In this study, we took advantage of both transcriptomic analysis of guard cells and the specific effect of the hab1<sup>G246D</sup> mutation to identify putative HAB1 substrates. The hypermorphic G-to-D mutation significantly narrows the specificity of hab1<sup>G246D</sup>, suggesting that the mutation might restrict the spectra of substrates compared with wild-type HAB1. Nevertheless, the hypermorphic nature of the G-to-D mutation also implies that the mutant phosphatase is still able to dephosphorylate at least one of the wild-type HAB1 substrates in ABA signaling. In addition to the SnRK2 kinase OST1, the bioinformatic screen revealed other putative substrates, including the chloroplastic GUN5 Mg-chelatase H subunit. This protein is, controversially, considered to be an ABA receptor (Shen et al., 2006; Muller and Hansson, 2009); however, because HAB1 does not localize in chloroplasts (Saez et al., 2008), we did not consider this protein as a likely HAB1 substrate and focused on OST1. Further experiments are needed to test if other candidate proteins correspond to physiological HAB1 substrates.

We have subsequently shown that HAB1 directly binds in vivo to the regulatory C-terminal domain of OST1 (Figure 5). We think the presence of a PP2C docking site in the kinase regulatory domain (OST1<sub>280-363</sub>), outside of the kinase domain, is an important component of the specificity of HAB1 toward OST1, likely bringing the catalytic domain of the PP2C in close proximity to the activation loop to favor the dephosphorylation of pS175.

Our results also reveal that ABI1 and ABI2 play a similar role as HAB1 in ABA signaling. ABI1, ABI2, and their mutant forms display very similar substrate preferences as HAB1 and hab1<sup>G246D</sup> (Figure 2; see Supplemental Figure 1 online). ABI1 and ABI2 also interact with OST1 in vivo (Figure 4D), and mutations in the corresponding PP2C genes significantly affect ABA-dependent OST1 activation (Figure 6). The direct regulation of OST1 activity by HAB1 and ABI1, but also ABI2, might modify our understanding of ABA signaling. In contrast with the Arabidopsis abi<sup>1G180D</sup> and abi<sup>2G168D</sup> mutants and ost1 mutants do not produce reactive oxygen species in response to ABA, suggesting that ABI1 and OST1 act upstream of reactive oxygen species, while ABI2 plays a role downstream of this secondary messenger (Murata et al., 2001; Mustilli et al., 2002). Accordingly, the ABA-dependent activation of OST1 is strongly reduced in the abi<sup>1G180D</sup> mutant, but abi<sup>2G168D</sup> does not affect the activation of ectopically expressed OST1 fused to green fluorescent protein (GFP), further suggesting that ABI1, but not ABI2, acts upstream of OST1 (Mustilli et al., 2002; Yoshida et al., 2006). However, we observed that the abi<sup>2G168D</sup> mutation negatively affects the activation of endogenous OST1 by ABA (Figure 6A). We think that the effect of abi<sup>2G168D</sup> on ABA-dependent OST1 activation was not previously observed because a significant fraction of the ectopically expressed GFP-OST1 kinase might not have been expressed together with abi<sup>2G168D</sup> in the same cells and therefore was not affected by the mutant phosphatase. ABI1 and abi<sup>1G180D</sup> were previously shown to interact with OST1 in yeast (Yoshida et al., 2006). However, the weaker interaction observed with the G-to-D mutant phosphatase led the authors to conclude that OST1 was not a direct substrate of ABI1. By contrast, our results support the idea that these PP2Cs play an important role in an early step of ABA signaling through the dephosphorylation and regulation of OST1 activity. Recently, it was shown that the role of ABI1 and abi<sup>1G180D</sup> as negative regulators of ABA signaling depends on their nuclear localization (Moës et al., 2008). Our BiFC experiments showing that OST1 interacts with the PP2Cs in the nucleus further suggest that the regulation of OST1 by PP2Cs takes place in this cellular compartment (Figure 4).

Our results also anticipate that these PP2Cs regulate SnRK2.2 and SnRK2.3, two ABA activated kinases homologous to OST1 (Boudsocq et al., 2004; Fujii and Zhu, 2009). The Arabidopsis abi<sup>1G180D</sup> and abi<sup>2G168D</sup> mutants are strongly insensitive to ABA for stomatal closure, root growth, and seed germination (Leung et al., 1994, 1997; Meyer et al., 1994), while ost1 only visibly affects ABA responses in stomata (Merlot et al., 2002; Mustilli et al., 2002; Yoshida et al., 2002). The analysis of the snrk2.2 snrk2.3 double knockout mutant revealed that these kinases are required for ABA responses in seeds and roots (Fujii et al., 2007). On the other hand, abi<sup>1G180D</sup> was shown to affect the activation of all SnRK2s activated by ABA (Boudsocq et al., 2007). Therefore, SnRK2.2 and SnRK2.3, which have the same activation loop as OST1 around S175 (Figure 3A) and share strong homologies in the regulatory C-terminal domain (Figure 5C), are likely targets of the PP2Cs in seeds and roots.

The results presented here do not exclude the possibility that these PP2Cs also perform specific functions at other steps of ABA signaling and therefore may have other physiological substrates, including SW1B (Saez et al., 2008) and ATHB6 (Himmelbach et al., 2002), that were not revealed in our bioinformatics screen based on the stringent hab1<sup>G246D</sup> substrate preferences. However, the demonstration that these proteins are genuine substrates of these PP2Cs in ABA signaling further require that they be identified as phosphorylated proteins in vivo that can be directly dephosphorylated by PP2Cs.

One remaining question is whether PP2Cs play an important role in the activation mechanism of OST1 by ABA. Our results indicate that the ABA-dependent OST1 activation is tightly dependent on PP2C activity in planta. The expression of hab1<sup>G246D</sup>, abi<sup>1G180D</sup>, and abi<sup>2G168D</sup> strongly reduces OST1 activation in response to ABA in plants. By contrast, the ABA-dependent activation of OST1 is significantly increased in the single hab1-1 or abi-1-2 knockout mutants. This result is even more surprising if we consider that HAB1, ABI1, and ABI2 play partially redundant roles in ABA signaling in stomata (Merlot et al., 2001; Saez et al., 2004; Rubio et al., 2009), suggesting that even a mild reduction of PP2C activity results in increased OST1 activation. Therefore, we propose that OST1 is activated by ABA at least in part through the inhibition of the dephosphorylation of its activation loop by PP2Cs (Figure 7). While this article was in preparation, two articles reported the identification of a novel class of ABA sensors, called RCAR or PYR/PYL, which specifically binds and inhibits HAB1, ABI1, and ABI2 phosphatases in the presence of ABA (Ma et al., 2009; Park et al., 2009). This new finding provides functional support for our model. In addition to this proposed rapid negative regulation, PP2Cs are also positively regulated by ABA through a feedback loop that involves their transcriptional upregulation (Leung et al., 1997; Merlot et al., 2001; Leonhardt et al., 2004). This suggests that PP2Cs are both...
regulated negatively by the input ABA and positively by the ABA signaling output to precisely adjust the adaptive response to the strength and duration of the stress the plant is facing (Figure 7). In parallel to the role of PP2Cs, ABA-dependent activation of OST1 might also rely on the activation of upstream kinase(s) that phosphorylate S175 (Boudsocq et al., 2007). We did not observe a constitutive activation of OST1 in the absence of ABA in the single hab1-1 or abi1-2 mutants or in the double hab1-1 abi1-2 knockout mutant, and this suggests that the reduction of PP2C activity is not sufficient to activate OST1. In vitro, OST1 auto-phosphorylates on S175 leading to its activation (Belin et al., 2006). However, in vivo OST1 activation by ABA is not inhibited by 0.5 μM staurosporine, while OST1 is sensitive to this concentration of kinase inhibitor. This suggests that OST1 is phosphorylated on S175 by a staurosporine-resistant kinase in planta (Boudsocq et al., 2007). The activation of this kinase by ABA might also participate in the activation of OST1. It is now necessary to identify the kinase phosphorylating the OST1 activation loop to evaluate the role of this kinase on the activation of OST1 by ABA.

Our results enable us to better understand the hypermorphic nature of the G-to-D mutation that was recently proposed to cause an exaggerated activity of the clade A PP2Cs in ABA signaling (Robert et al., 2006; Moes et al., 2008). So far, the genetic behavior of the G-to-D mutation was difficult to reconcile with its strong deleterious effect on both the PP2C activity when assayed against phosphocasein as heterologous substrate (Leung et al., 1997; Gosti et al., 1999; Robert et al., 2006) and the interaction with putative substrates (Guo et al., 2002; Himmelbach et al., 2002; Saez et al., 2008). However, it was recently shown that the abi1G180D mutation causes a preferential accumulation of the mutant PP2C in the nucleus, where it acts as a negative regulator of ABA signaling (Moes et al., 2008). The G-to-D mutation also disrupts the interaction between PP2Cs and their inhibitory proteins RCAR/PYR/PYL, suggesting that the mutant PP2Cs escape the negative regulation by ABA (Ma et al., 2009; Park et al., 2009; Santiago et al., 2009). Furthermore, we show here that the G-to-D mutation only weakly reduces the in vitro activity of hab1G246D toward the OST1 activation loop (Figure 3C) and does not abrogate or visibly affect the interaction between the PP2Cs and OST1 in vivo (Figure 4). Together, these results suggest that the G-to-D mutant forms of PP2Cs dephosphorylate and deactivate the kinase OST1 in vivo, whether or not ABA is present, providing a tangible molecular explanation for the genetic hypermorphic nature of the G-to-D mutation in ABA signaling.

Mammalian PP2Ca dephosphorylates pT172 in the activation loop of AMPK in vitro, resulting in the deactivation of the kinase (Moore et al., 1991; Davies et al., 1995; Marley et al., 1996). More recently, it was proposed that the negative regulation of PP2C activity mainly participates in AMPK activation in response to an elevated AMP/ATP ratio following metabolic stress (Suter et al., 2006; Sanders et al., 2007). Our work supports that the activation mechanism of certain members of the AMPK/Snf1/SnRKs kinase family by the inhibition of regulating PP2Cs is conserved from plants to humans and therefore designates PP2Cs as key players in the regulation of stress signaling in eukaryotes.

Figure 7. Proposed Model for the Negative Regulation of OST1 Activity and ABA Responses by HAB1.

(A) In the absence of ABA, HAB1 dephosphorylates pS175, located in the OST1 activation loop, leading to the accumulation of the dephosphorylated inactive form of the kinase.

(B) Perception of ABA leads to the inhibition of HAB1 activity toward the OST1 activation loop. The underlying mechanism likely involves the RCARs/PYR/PYLs inhibitor proteins. The phosphorylated pS175 OST1 active form accumulates, triggering downstream ABA responses.

(C) hab1G246D PP2C activity toward the OST1 activation loop is proposed to be insensitive to the inhibition by ABA, leading to a constitutive dephosphorylation and inhibition of OST1 and therefore of ABA signaling.
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**METHODS**

Protein Phosphatase Profiling and Bioinformatic Screen

The 101 semidegenerate phosphopeptides of the array were synthesized by Intavis AG as crude peptides at a 5-mg scale. The sequence of the peptides in this set have the common YAXXXXXp(S/T)XXXXAKKK structure. The fixed central position p(S/T), or position 0, is an equimolar mix of phosphorylated Ser and Thr. The scanning Z position, which moves from position −5 to +4, is successively occupied by single amino acids (P, C, W, and H) or an equimolar mix of amino acids grouped according to their physicochemical properties ([G], [S], [W], [Y], [K], [N], and [D]). The X positions represent an equimolar mix of all natural amino acids, except Cys, to avoid problems with oxidation. In addition to these 99 phosphopeptides, two degenerate phospho-S and phospho-T peptides (YAXXXXXpSXXXXAKKK and YAXXXXXpTXX-XXK) were added in this set to measure the preference of the phosphatases for pS and pT. The 101 phosphopeptides were first dissolved as 20 mM stock in DMSO and then diluted to 200 μM in 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4. The dephosphorylation of the peptides was analyzed in 384-well black plates (Greiner Bio-One; 781076) containing 5 μL of the 200 μM phosphopeptide solutions. In addition, inorganic phosphate (Pi) standards (from 8 to 0.002 μM) were added in different wells for absolute quantification of Pi. Phosphopeptides (50 μM final concentration) were subsequently dephosphorylated at 25°C in 20 μL of reaction solution (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 20 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM DTT, and 0.05% Tween 20), containing 0.5 μM phosphate sensor (Invitrogen; PV4406) and the protein phosphatase (0.15 to 7.5 ng/μl) in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8). All these clones were recombined into the pYFP C43 vector (kindly provided by A. Ferrando, Universidad de Valencia, Spain), a derivative of pMDC43 (Curtis and Grossniklaus, 2003), to express YFPOST1 fusion proteins. HAB1-c-myc-YFPN and hab1G246D-c-myc-YFP fusion proteins were expressed using pSPYNE-35S-HAB1 and pSPYNE-35S-hab1G246D constructs (Saéz et al., 2008). The coding sequence of ABI1 and abi1168D was obtained by RT-PCR with primers 5’-CCGGCCC-CTCGAGATGGAGGAAGTATCTCCGGC-3’ and 5’-CCCGCCCCTCGAG-TCAGTCAAGGGTTGTGGT-3’ using mRNA extracted from Ler and the abi1-1 mutant, respectively. The same strategy was used for ABI2 and abi2G168D using primers 5’-ATGAGCAAGTTTCTCCTGCA-3’ and 5’-TCAATTCAGGTAGTGCCTCT-3’. PCR fragments were cloned into pCR8/GW/TOPO and recombined by LR reaction into the pYFP43 destination vector. A. tumefaciens C58C1 (pGV2260) transformed with the given constructs was injected into young, fully expanded leaves of N. benthamiana plants together with the silencing suppressor p19. YFP fluorescence of infected leaves was examined after 3 to 4 d with a Leica TCS-SL confocal microscope. For analysis of fusion protein expression, total proteins were extracted in 2× Laemmli buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 2% mercaptoethanol, and 0.001% bromophenol blue) and analyzed by immunoblotting using a standard protocol with monoclonal anti-c-myc (clone 9E10; Roche) or anti-GFP (clone JL-8; Clontech). Detection of primary antibody was performed using the ECL Advance Western Blotting Detection Kit (GE Healthcare). For immunoprecipitation experiments, soluble proteins were extracted in PBS containing 1 mM EDTA, 0.05% Triton X-100, and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). C-myc-tagged proteins were immunoprecipitated and purified using superparamagnetic micro MACS beads coupled to monoclonal anti-c-myc antibody according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Miltenyi Biotech). Purified immunocomplexes were eluted with hot Laemmli buffer and analyzed by immunoblotting as previously described.

**O1T1 Deactivation Assay**

Active His-tagged OST1 protein kinase (100 ng) produced in E. coli (Belin et al., 2008) was incubated with protein phosphatase for 30 min at 25°C in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 20 mM MgCl₂, and 1 mM DTT. We then added NaF (10 mM), β-glycerophosphate (25 mM), and ATP (250 μM, 1 μCi/mmol) to a final volume of 30 μL and incubated the reaction for 90 min at 25°C in the presence of 5 μg MBP. The reaction was then analyzed by SDS-PAGE, and MBP phosphorylation was quantified by phosphor imaging using a Storm 840 and ImageQuant 5.2 software (Molecular Dynamics).

**BiFC Interaction Experiments**

BiFC experiments were performed using transient transfection of Nicotiana benthamiana leaves with Agrobacterium tumefaciens as previously described (Voinnet et al., 2003; Saéz et al., 2008). The entire coding sequence of OST11-362 was amplified by RT-PCR and cloned into the pCR8/GW/TOPO entry vector (Invitrogen). The coding sequence of the OST1 deletions (OST11-348, OST11-331, OST11-320, and OST11-280) and the inactive kinases ost1G23R and ost1T174A were cloned into the pENTR201 entry vector (Belin et al., 2008). OST1a131-362 was amplified with primers 5’-CTACCGGCAATCTAATGGAAC-3’ and 5’-TTTTGGCT- GACCTAACATGGCCACACT-3’ and cloned in pCR8/GW/TOPO. All these clones were recombined into the pYFP43 vector (kindly provided by A. Ferrando, Universidad de Valencia, Spain), a derivative of pMDC43 (Curtis and Grossniklaus, 2003), to express YFPPOST1 fusion proteins. HAB1-c-myc-YFPN and hab1G246D-c-myc-YFP fusion proteins were expressed using pSPYNE-35S-HAB1 and pSPYNE-35S-hab1G246D constructs (Saéz et al., 2008). The coding sequence of ABI1 and abi1168D was obtained by RT-PCR with primers 5’-CCGGCCCTCGAGATGGAGGAAGTATCTCCGGC-3’ and 5’-CCCGCCCCTCGAG-TCAGTCAAGGGTTGTTGGT-3’ using mRNA extracted from Ler and the abi1-1 mutant, respectively. The same strategy was used for ABI2 and abi2G168D using primers 5’-ATGAGCAAGTTTCTCCTGCA-3’ and 5’-TCAATTCAGGTAGTGCCTCT-3’. PCR fragments were cloned into pCR8/GW/TOPO and recombined by LR reaction into the pYFP43 destination vector. A. tumefaciens C58C1 (pGV2260) transformed with the given constructs was injected into young, fully expanded leaves of N. benthamiana plants together with the silencing suppressor p19. YFP fluorescence of infected leaves was examined after 3 to 4 d with a Leica TCS-SL confocal microscope. For analysis of fusion protein expression, total proteins were extracted in 2× Laemmli buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 2% mercaptoethanol, and 0.001% bromophenol blue) and analyzed by immunoblotting using a standard protocol with monoclonal anti-c-myc (clone 9E10; Roche) or anti-GFP (clone JL-8; Clontech). Detection of primary antibody was performed using the ECL Advance Western Blotting Detection Kit (GE Healthcare). For immunoprecipitation experiments, soluble proteins were extracted in PBS containing 1 mM EDTA, 0.05% Triton X-100, and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). C-myc-tagged proteins were immunoprecipitated and purified using superparamagnetic micro MACS beads coupled to monoclonal anti-c-myc antibody according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Miltenyi Biotech). Purified immunocomplexes were eluted with hot Laemmli buffer and analyzed by immunoblotting as previously described.

**PP2C Activity Assay**

The PP2Cs ABI1, ABI2, and HAB1 and their mutant forms used in this study were produced as glutathione S-transferase fusion proteins in Escherichia coli and purified using a standard protocol (Leung et al., 1997; Gosti et al., 1999; Robert et al., 2006). CIP was purchased from New England Biolabs. Defined sequence phosphopeptides were synthesized by Eurogentec as crude peptides as follows: OST1AL, SVLH5PCKpSTVGTYPAY; OST11G0, SVLH0PCKpSTVGTYPAY; OST1a1L, SVLH5PCKpSTVGTYPAY; OST1a1aD, SVLH5PCKpSTVGTYPAY; and OST1a1aD, SVLH5PCKpSTVGTYPAY. Reaction conditions, data processing, and dephosphorylation speed calculation were the same as for the phosphopeptide array. All samples were duplicated.

**OST1 In-Gel Kinase Assay**

Ab-dependent activation of OST1 was analyzed in Arabidopsis mutant lines hab1-1, abi1-2, hab1-1 abi1-2 (Saéz et al., 2008), abi1-1 and abi2-1 (Leung et al., 1997; abi1168D and abi2G168D), and hab1-1 transgenic plants expressing the mutant hab1G246D protein (Robert et al., 2006), and the corresponding Col and Ler wild-type ecotypes. Ten- to twelve-day-old plantlets grown in vitro were transferred to liquid Murashige and Skoog...
medium for 3 h before a 30-min treatment with 30 μM ABA, or ethanol as control, and then rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Soluble proteins were extracted and an in-gel kinase assay was performed as previously described (Boudsocq et al., 2007). Endogenous OST1 was immunoprecipitated at 4°C from 300 μg soluble protein extract using 5 μL of anti-OST1N serum (Belin et al., 2006) for 12 h followed by incubation with protein A-Sepharose CL-4B (Sigma-Aldrich; P3391) for 2 h. After three washes in immunoprecipitation buffer, the kinase activity of immunoprecipitated OST1 was revealed by an in-gel kinase assay and quantified by phosphor imaging. Endogenous OST1 expression in different mutant plant backgrounds was analyzed by immunoblotting using purified anti-OST1N antibody and horseradish peroxidase–conjugated protein A (Sigma-Aldrich; P8651) with ECL chemiluminescence substrate (GE Healthcare).
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