Tobacco Cells Can Occur in the Absence of the Pathogen
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Translocation of pathogen effector proteins into the host cell cytoplasm is a key determinant for the pathogenicity of many bacterial and oomycete plant pathogens. A number of secreted fungal avirulence (Avr) proteins are also inferred to be delivered into host cells, based on their intracellular recognition by host resistance proteins, including those of flax rust (Melampsora lini). Here, we show by immunolocalization that the flax rust AvrM protein is secreted from haustoria during infection and accumulates in the haustorial wall. Five days after inoculation, the AvrM protein was also detected within the cytoplasm of a proportion of plant cells containing haustoria, confirming its delivery into host cells during infection. Transient expression of secreted AvrL567 and AvrM proteins fused to cerulean fluorescent protein in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) and flax cells resulted in intracellular accumulation of the fusion proteins. The rust Avr protein signal peptides were functional in plants and efficiently directed fused cerulean into the secretory pathway. Thus, these secreted effectors are internalized into the plant cell cytosol in the absence of the pathogen, suggesting that they do not require a pathogen-encoded transport mechanism. Uptake of these proteins is dependent on signals in their N-terminal regions, but the primary sequence features of these uptake regions are not conserved between different rust effectors.

INTRODUCTION

To establish disease, plant pathogens must overcome the natural defense mechanisms of their host plants. These include both preformed barriers to infection, as well as inducible responses to infection. For instance, recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by membrane-bound receptors induces a set of biochemical and transcriptional responses leading to PAMP-triggered immunity (Jones and Dangl, 2006). Bacterial pathogens have evolved mechanisms to suppress these responses through the action of effector proteins that are delivered into host cells via the specialized type three secretion system and specifically inhibit components of PAMP-triggered immunity (Chisholm et al., 2006). Eukaryotic pathogens, including biotrophic and hemibiotrophic fungi and oomycetes, also secrete effectors into host cells (Birch et al., 2008; Ellis et al., 2009; Panstruga and Dodds, 2009; Tyler, 2009). Some of these effectors have functions in suppressing defense responses, and their delivery into host cells during infection probably plays an important role in the establishment of the parasitic relationship. However, plants possess a second layer of inducible defenses governed by highly specific resistance (R) proteins that either directly or indirectly recognize pathogen effector proteins, leading to effector-triggered immunity. Effector-triggered immunity activates programmed cell death at pathogen infection sites, a process also known as the hypersensitive reaction (HR; Jones and Dangl, 2006). In this context, effector proteins that are recognized by host R proteins are termed Avirulence (Avr) proteins.

Avr proteins identified in oomycete pathogens, including Hyaloperonospora arabidopsis and Phytophthora spp, encode secreted proteins that are recognized inside plant cells (Allen et al., 2004; Shan et al., 2004; Armstrong et al., 2005; Rehmany et al., 2005). These oomycete proteins are characterized by a short sequence motif just downstream of the signal peptide that consists of the consensus amino acid sequence RxLR (where x is any amino acid), and in the case of the Phytophthora proteins, this is followed shortly afterwards (5 to 21 amino acids) by a dEER motif. The RxLR motif directs the transfer of Avr3a from haustoria of Phytophthora infestans into infected potato (Solanum tuberosum) cells but is not required for secretion from the pathogen, suggesting that oomycete Avr proteins enter the host cell in a two-step process involving signal peptide–mediated secretion followed by host cell translocation mediated by the RxLR motif (Whisson et al., 2007). Additional amino acids flanking the core conserved residues of the motif are also required for host cell uptake, suggesting that the local protein structure influences the presentation of the uptake motif (Bhattacharjee et al., 2006; Dou et al., 2008). The RxLR motif is related to the PEXEL (for Plasmid Export Element) host-targeting signal present in secreted effectors of the human malaria parasite, Plasmodium falciparum (Hiller et al., 2004; Marti et al., 2004), and the two
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signals are apparently interchangeable (Bhattacharjee et al., 2006; Dou et al., 2008; Grouffaud et al., 2008). One important question is whether the transfer process depends on a pathogen-encoded translocation mechanism or on host cell transport machinery. Strong support for the second hypothesis came from a recent study of Phytophthora sojae Avr1b by Dou et al. (2008), who demonstrated that the RxLR-dEER motif mediates delivery of both Avr1b and the green fluorescent protein (GFP) into plant cells in the absence of the pathogen.

Secreted effectors of fungal pathogens are also likely to be delivered into host cells during infection. For instance, the AVR-Pita and AvrPiz-t proteins of the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae are recognized by intracellular R proteins (Jia et al., 2000; Li et al., 2009). AVR-Pita colocalizes with several other effector candidates to a distinct region of the extravesicle hyphal space, known as the biotrophic interfacial complex, while other secreted proteins are distributed throughout the extravesicle hyphal space (Mosquera et al., 2009). Khang et al. (2010) showed that the biotrophic interfacial complex–localized proteins are subsequently delivered into host cells, suggesting that this structure serves as an assembly area for secreted effectors prior to their transport into host cells. Likewise, the Avr2 protein of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp lycopersicon is recognized by the tomato I-2 resistance protein intracellularly (Houterman et al., 2009). Several genes for secreted proteins in the maize smut fungus, Ustilago maydis, have homology to proteins with intracellular regulatory functions, suggesting that they may act inside plant cells (Mueller et al., 2008).

The flax rust fungus Melampsora lini is an obligate biotrophic pathogen, and during infection it forms haustoria, specialized structures that penetrate host cell walls and make intimate contact with the host cell membrane. During R gene–dependent resistance to rust fungi, the HR is first observed in plant cells containing developing haustoria (Kobayashi et al., 1994; Heath, 1997), and, indeed, flax rust Avr proteins encode small secreted proteins that are expressed in haustoria (Dodds et al., 2004; Catanzariti et al., 2006; Barrett et al., 2009). Agrobacterium tumefaciens–mediated transient expression of AvrL567, AvrM, AvrP123, and AvrP4 induced cell death in plants expressing the corresponding nucleotide binding-leucine rich repeat-type R proteins. Importantly, this response was observed when the Avr proteins were expressed without their signal peptides, indicating that recognition occurs inside the plant cytoplasm. This is consistent with the location of the corresponding R proteins, and in fact both the AvrL567 and AvrM proteins directly interact with the cytoplasmic L6 and M resistance proteins, respectively (Dodds et al., 2006; Catanzariti et al., 2010). These results imply that these Avr proteins are delivered from the haustorium into the cytoplasm of infected plant cells. Kemen et al. (2005) provided direct evidence for transfer of the broad bean rust protein, RTP1, into host cells. Immunolocalization detected RTP1 in the plant cytoplasm adjacent to haustoria and within host cell nuclei.

Fungal effectors show high sequence divergence even within the same species, and there are no clearly conserved motifs that may function as uptake signals. Thus, it is not known whether fungal effectors use a similar or different uptake mechanism to oomycete effectors. Preliminary evidence suggests that the flax rust AvrM protein may enter plant cells independently of the pathogen, since transient expression of the AvrM protein with or without the signal peptide induces an M gene–specific HR, but addition of the HDEL endoplasmic reticulum (ER) retention signal prevents recognition of the secreted but not the cytoplasmic version (Catanzariti et al., 2006). This is consistent with recognition of the secreted form of AvrM by the cytoplasmic M protein after AvrM secretion and reentry into the plant cell. Similarly, AvrL567, AvrP4, and AvrP123 all induce HR when expressed as secreted proteins (Dodds et al., 2004; Catanzariti et al., 2006). In this study, we show by immunolocalization that AvrM protein of the rust pathogen M. lini is transferred from haustoria to host flax cells during infection. We also demonstrate that both AvrM and AvrL567 effectors can enter plant cells in the absence of the pathogen and that their translocation across the plant plasma membrane depends on transport signals occurring in their N-terminal domains.

RESULTS

AvrM-A Is Secreted from M. lini Haustoria and Is Translocated into Host Plant Cells

Intracellular recognition of flax rust Avr proteins provides indirect evidence for their delivery into the plant cytoplasm during infection. To directly assess AvrM delivery into host cells, we used immunolabeling to locate this protein in flax leaves infected by flax rust. His-tagged AvrM-A protein was expressed in Escherichia coli and purified by immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography. Two independent polyclonal antisera were raised in rabbits, and the antisera were purified by negative adsorption against protein extracts from uninfected flax leaves. Immunoblot analysis showed that both antisera detected a protein of ~35 kD, the predicted size for AvrM-A, in extracts from flax leaves infected by rust strain CH5 (see Supplemental Figure 1 online). No labeling was detected in protein extracts from uninfected flax plants, confirming the specificity of the antisera. The purified antibodies were used to immunolocalize AvrM in infected flax leaves fixed at different time points from 12 to 120 h after inoculation (HAI; Figure 1, Table 1). No labeling was observed at 12 HAI, at which time no rust haustoria were visible. Haustoria were first seen at 16 HAI and at this stage were strongly labeled by the AvrM-antisera (Figure 1A). Haustoria continued to show strong labeling at subsequent time points, indicating that AvrM is present throughout haustorial development. This was further supported by colocalization studies with the haustorium-specific monoclonal antibody ML1 (Murdoch and Hardham, 1998), which showed that all haustoria detected by ML1 also showed labeling for AvrM (Figure 1B). Fluorescence labeling was also detected at the tips of fungal hyphae at 64 and 120 HAI (Figure 1C). At 120 HAI, we also detected strong AvrM-associated fluorescence in the cytoplasm of ~13% (11 out of 87) of flax cells containing haustoria (Figure 1B). Cytoplasmic labeling was not detected in host cells lacking haustoria, including those adjacent to positively labeled hyphae (Figure 1C), indicating that the transfer of AvrM occurs predominantly through haustoria. No fluorescence was observed in sections incubated with the preimmune serum, confirming the specificity of the
AvrM antisera labeling. No fluorescence was detected in host cells at earlier time points, although fewer haustoria were observed (∼15 haustoria at 16 and 24 HAI and ∼30 at 64 HAI).

AvrM localization was also examined by electron microscopy using immunogold labeling of sections of flax leaves 5 d after rust infection. Labeling was detected in the cell walls of infection hyphae and in plant cell walls adjacent to these hyphae (Figure 1D), suggesting that AvrM is secreted from hyphae and can diffuse through the apoplast although no labeling was detected within adjacent plant cells. Strong labeling was also detected around haustoria with gold particles predominantly occurring over the haustorial cell wall (Figure 1Dii). Some labeling was evident in the extrahaustorial matrix (EHM), the space between the haustorial wall and the extrahaustorial membrane, often occurring in small clumps associated with electron-dense material of similar appearance to the haustorial cell wall. Potential secretory vesicles containing AvrM were also observed in the cytoplasm of fungal haustoria and hyphae. In most cases, immunogold labeling was not observed within the cytoplasm of plant cells containing haustoria; however, in a few cases, individual or small clusters of gold particles were seen in the plant cytoplasm near the haustoria (Figure 1Diii). Apart from an
occasional gold particle over starch granules within the chloroplasts, no labeling was observed in sections incubated with the preimmune serum. Overall, the immunofluorescence and immunogold localization results indicate that during flax rust infection, the AvrM protein is secreted from rust haustoria into the extra-haustorial space and, from there, is translocated into the host cytoplasm.

**Secreted AvrM-Cerulean Is Internalized into Plant Cells**

Previously, we showed that in planta expression of AvrM-A with and without the signal peptide induces an HR dependent on the cytoplasmic resistance protein M (Catanzariti et al., 2006). To further investigate the ability of AvrM-A to enter plant cells after secretion, we generated expression constructs encoding this protein (with and without the secretion signal peptide) with a C-terminal fusion to Cerulean, a variant of enhanced cyan fluorescent protein (Rizzo et al., 2004). *Agrobacterium*-mediated transient expression of both AvrM-Cerulean and AvrMdSP-Cerulean (i.e., a construct lacking the signal peptide) triggered HR in leaves of flax plants carrying the *M* resistance gene, as well as in transgenic tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* W38) expressing *M* (Figures 2A and 2B), confirming the functionality of the fusion proteins. No response was observed in flax or tobacco lines (Figures 2A and 2B), suggesting that the protein failed to be internalized into the cytoplasm. However, addition of the chemically similar HDDL tetrapeptide tag, which does not function in ER retention, resulted in intracellular accumulation of fluorescence (Figure 3D) and did not affect HR induction (Figure 2B). This indicates that the full-length AvrM-Cerulean fusion is efficiently delivered into the secretory pathway and that retention in the ER prevents subsequent internalization into the cytoplasm. Thus, the observed cytoplasmic accumulation in transformed cells is most likely the result of uptake of AvrM-Cerulean into the cytoplasm across the plant plasma membrane after passing through the secretory pathway. Importantly, this uptake can occur in the absence of flax rust haustoria, suggesting that it does not rely on pathogen-encoded transport machinery.

**An N-Terminal Region of AvrM Determines Uptake by Plant Cells**

Uptake of oomycete effector proteins depends on the RxLR transport motif (Whisson et al., 2007; Dou et al., 2008). To determine whether AvrM-A contains a functionally equivalent uptake signal, we constructed a series of Cerulean fusions to C-terminal truncations of AvrM-A, which include the signal peptide along with various regions of the predicted mature protein (Figure 4A). The localization of these proteins was examined by confocal microscopy of transiently transformed tobacco cells after plasmolysis. The smallest N-terminal fragment that allowed internalization of the Cerulean fusion contained 156 amino acids, while a 49-amino acid N-terminal fragment was extracellular, indicating that sequences between positions 49 and 156 are required for internalization. The virulence allele of this gene, avrM, lacks a 62–amino acid region between positions 45 and 108 of AvrM-A. We

### Table 1. Localization of AvrM at 12, 16, 24, 64, and 120 HAI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time after Inoculation (in Hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter of haustoria</td>
<td>12 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter of hyphae, especially apex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant cytoplasm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The labeling pattern of anti-AvrM antibody was observed in sections from at least three different leaves infected with *CH5* flax rust. No haustoria were observed at 12 HAI. NA, not applicable.
therefore tested an avrM-Cerulean fusion protein and found that this was also localized to the cytoplasm. This suggests that the virulence allele does indeed encode for a functionally translocated effector and that amino acids in the deleted region are not required for uptake. We further tested several internal deletions of AvrM-A for their effect on Cerulean localization (Figure 4A). Deletion of the region between amino acids 35 and 106 had no effect on accumulation of intracellular fluorescence (Figure 4). However, deletion of amino acids 35 to 153 or 106 to 153 led to the accumulation of Cerulean fluorescence solely in the apoplast space. Because the C-terminal region of AvrM-A after amino acid 196 is sufficient for recognition by the M resistance protein (Catanzariti et al., 2010), we also tested the effect of these truncations on recognition of the secreted proteins in M transgenic tobacco (Figure 4B). Expression of the 35-106 deletion protein still induced an HR in M tobacco, while the 35-153 and 106-153 deletions abrogated the M-dependent HR, consistent with the extracellular localization of these proteins. Finally, we generated a Cerulean fusion containing just the signal peptide and the 106-156 region of AvrM (SP106-156). Transient expression of this construct gave rise to intracellular fluorescence (Figures 4A and 4C). Immunoblot analysis confirmed that the internally deleted protein fusions accumulated to levels equivalent to the wild-type protein (see Supplemental Figure 2E online). Taken together, these results indicate that residues in the region between amino acids 106 and 156 are both necessary and sufficient for internalization of secreted AvrM.

Mutational Analysis of AvrM Uptake Motifs

Although the 106-156 region of AvrM does not contain a clearly conserved RxLR motif such as found in the uptake region of the oomycete effectors, there are three short sequences, RGFLR, KFLK, and RDL, that contain positively charged and hydrophobic residues in a similar arrangement (Figure 5A). Unlike the RxLR signal, these sequences do not occur within the N-terminal 60 amino acids, and there is no downstream dEER acidic motif. We generated Ala substitutions in these sequences and assayed their effects on AvrM uptake. The RDL-AAA and RGFLR-AAAAA substitutions had no effect on Cerulean localization or HR induction; however, the KFLK-AAAA mutation resulted in a slight but consistent delay in HR induction on M tobacco (Figure 5B). This was evident as a weaker HR phenotype at 48 h, but by 3 d, the phenotype was similar to the wild-type AvrM-Cerulean fusion. Consistent with this observation, Cerulean fluorescence was observed largely in the extracellular space at 24 to 48 h but was detected intracellularly by 3 d after infiltration (Figure 5C). This suggested that this mutation partially compromised, but did not completely abolish, the uptake process. To test for possible functional redundancy of these motifs, we generated a mutant in which all three motifs were converted to Ala (triple mutant). This mutation abolished uptake (Figure 5B), suggesting these motifs may contribute independently to translocation. We therefore tested three further deletions within this region to determine what other features may be involved in the uptake signal. Deletion of the region between 106 and 122, which includes the RFGLR sequence, had no effect on either HR induction or Cerulean internalization (Figures 5B and 5C). However, deletion of amino acids 123 to 139 (containing KFLK) or 140 to 153 (containing RDL) abolished HR induction and led to extracellular accumulation of Cerulean, indicating that amino acids in these regions are required for uptake. In this case, both regions are required and do not seem to act independently.

To examine the conservation of the predicted AvrM uptake region, we compared AvrM to homologous sequences present in the recently released genome sequence of poplar rust,
Melampsora larici-populina (Mlp), which belongs to the same genus as flax rust. Figure 6 shows an alignment of AvrM to the four most similar predicted proteins in poplar rust. The amino acid sequences of these proteins show significant similarity to AvrM in the C-terminal region corresponding to the recognition domain of AvrM and in the N-terminal signal peptide. However, the intervening regions are highly divergent, except for an ∼23-amino acid sequence within the 123-153 region of AvrM that is required for uptake. This suggests that this sequence shares a conserved function in these related proteins. Most of the conserved positions within this sequence contain hydrophobic residues. In the KFLK motif, whose mutation partially compromised AvrM uptake, the F and L positions are highly conserved, while the two Lys residues are substituted by other hydrophilic residues (E or N). We confirmed that the conserved region of Mlp-37970 contains a functional uptake signal using this sequence to replace the equivalent region of AvrM (amino acids 124 to 147). The AvrM-Mlp-Cerulean fusion protein induced HR similar to

Figure 3. Subcellular Localization of Transiently Expressed Avr-Cerulean Fusions in Tobacco.

(A) to (C) Confocal images of wild-type W38 tobacco cells expressing Cerulean alone (A), AvrM-Cerulean (B), or the AvrM signal peptide only fused to Cerulean (C). The left panel shows fluorescence of untreated cells, the middle panel shows fluorescence of cells plasmolysed with 0.8 M mannitol, and the right panel shows corresponding transmission images of the plasmolysed cells. Closed arrowheads indicate the plant plasma membrane where it is pulled away from the cell wall (arrows), and open arrowheads indicate nuclei. Cerulean fluorescence and chloroplast autofluorescence (red) were imaged using the 405- and 543-nm laser excitation light sources, respectively, with appropriate emission filters. Bars = 25 μm.

(D) Confocal images of tobacco cells expressing AvrM-Cerulean with HDEL (left and middle panels) or HDDL (right panel) C-terminal tags. The left-hand panel shows a z-stack of five optical sections showing reticulate distribution of AvrM-Cerulean-HDEL indicative of localization within the ER. The middle panel is a single optical section showing perinuclear labeling of AvrM-Cerulean-HDEL (open arrowhead). The right-hand panel shows AvrM-Cerulean-HDDL localization within the plant cell cytoplasm and nucleus (open arrowhead). Bars = 25 μm.
Figure 4. Deletion Analysis of AvrM.

(A) A series of C-terminal and internal truncations of AvrM fused to Cerulean were compared with wild-type AvrM-Cerulean in transient expression assays in tobacco cells. The fusion proteins included the 28–amino acid signal peptide (SP, dark gray), various regions of AvrM protein (unshaded), and a C-terminal cerulean domain (light gray). One fusion included the full-length virulence allele of AvrM (avrM), which contains an internal deletion of 62 amino acids. Localization of Cerulean fluorescence was assessed by confocal microscopy of infiltrated tissues after plasmolysis. Protein fusions showing intracellular fluorescence are indicated by +, with – indicating those showing solely extracellular localization.

(B) Fusions of Cerulean to either wild-type AvrM (left leaf panels) or truncated AvrM proteins with internal deletion of amino acids 35 to 106, 35 to 153, or 106 to 153 (Δ35-106 etc, right leaf panels) were expressed in W38:M tobacco by agroinfiltration. Images prepared 48 h after infiltration.

(C) Confocal images of W38 tobacco cells expressing AvrM-Cerulean fusion proteins with internal deletions of amino acids 35 to 153, 35 to 106, or SP106-156 after plasmolysis. The Δ35-106 fusion protein is intracellular, while Δ35-153 is extracellular. Bars = 25 μm.
An N-Terminal Region of AvrL567 Determines Uptake by Plant Cells

We also tested the ability of the flax rust effector AvrL567-A to enter plant cells after secretion. Similarly to AvrM, we found that Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression of AvrL567-Cerulean with and without the signal peptide triggered HR in leaves of flax plants carrying the L6 resistance gene (see Supplemental Figure 2A online), again suggesting that the AvrL567-Cerulean protein was internalized after secretion. Immunoblot analysis detected the expected 44-kD protein corresponding to intact AvrL567-Cerulean fusion (see Supplemental Figure 2B online), confirming the stability of the fusion protein in vivo. Confocal microscopy showed that AvrL567-Cerulean accumulated in the plant cytoplasm (Figure 7A), while fusion of the signal peptide alone to Cerulean resulted in extracellular fluorescence (data not shown). Analysis of C-terminal truncations of AvrL567 revealed that amino acids 1 to 50 were sufficient to direct intracellular localization of Cerulean, while a smaller fragment consisting of amino acids 1 to 41 allowed only apoplastic accumulation of Cerulean (Figure 7B). Thus, amino acids between position 41 and 50 are required for the uptake of this protein. We also tested an internal deletion lacking amino acids 26 to 37, which abrogated both HR induction and accumulation of intracellular Cerulean. This deletion did not affect recognition...
of a nonsecreted AvrL567 protein (lacking the signal peptide) in flax and also had no effect on interaction with the L6 protein in a yeast two-hybrid (y2h) assay (Figures 8B and 8D).

Thus, amino acid sequence features in the AvrL567 N-terminal region from amino acid 26 to 50 are implicated in protein translocation (Figure 8A). This region does not show any similarity to the uptake region of AvrM. However, it contains a sequence, RFYR, which is similar to the RxLR transport motif of oomycete effectors. We therefore tested several mutations of this sequence for their effect on protein uptake. Mutation of RFYR to AAAA was found to prevent both intracellular accumulation of AvrL567-CRL and HR induction (Figures 8B and 8C). However, this mutation also prevented HR induction when introduced into a nonsecreted version of AvrL567, as well as knocking out the interaction with L6 in a y2h assay (Figures 8B and 8D), indicating that it has an additional effect on recognition separate from any effect on uptake. Indeed, in the crystal structure of AvrL567, F41 is deeply buried in the protein and is probably critical for overall folding. The second R residue (R43) is also largely buried, with part of the charged amine group exposed on the opposite side of the protein to the rest of the amino acid side chains in this sequence (see Supplemental Figure 3 online). We therefore tested single and double Ala substitutions for the two Arg residues, R40 and R43, for their effect on protein uptake and recognition (Figures 8B to 8D). Mutation of both Arg residues together (RFYR/AFYA) abolished both HR induction and intracellular localization of the secreted AvrL567-Cerulean but also prevented recognition of the nonsecreted version in planta as well as in y2h assays. However, mutation of R40 alone (RFYR/AFYR) had no effect on recognition of the nonsecreted protein in planta or in y2h assays but did prevent HR induction and intracellular localization of the secreted protein. On the other hand, R43 was required for AvrL567 recognition both in planta and in y2h assays. However, the secreted AvrL567-Cerulean containing this mutation (RFYR/RFYA) was intracellular, indicating that R43 is not important for uptake. All of the mutant protein variants were stably expressed in both yeast and in planta (see Supplemental Figures 2C and 2D online).

**DISCUSSION**

Translocation of pathogen effector proteins into the host cell cytosol is a key determinant for the pathogenicity of many...
bacterial and oomycete plant pathogens (Chisholm et al., 2006; Birch et al., 2008; Tyler, 2009). A number of fungal Avr proteins are also inferred to be delivered into host cells, based on their intracellular recognition by R proteins (Jia et al., 2000; Dodds et al., 2004; Catanzariti et al., 2006; Ridout et al., 2006; Houterman et al., 2009; Li et al., 2009). For instance, flax rust Avr proteins have been shown to trigger HR when transiently expressed as intracellular proteins in flax leaves expressing corresponding R genes (Dodds et al., 2004; Catanzariti et al., 2006), and recognition of both AvrM and AvrL567 is determined by direct interaction with cytoplasmic resistance proteins (Dodds et al., 2006; Catanzariti et al., 2010). Here, we show by immunolocalization that the flax rust AvrM secreted protein is localized within the cytoplasm of plant cells during infection, confirming its delivery from rust haustoria. Furthermore, uptake of secreted AvrL567 and AvrM proteins into plant cells can occur in the absence of the pathogen, suggesting that they do not require a pathogen-encoded transport mechanism. Uptake of these proteins is dependent on signals in their N-terminal regions, but the primary sequence features of these uptake regions are not related to each other and also differ from the conserved RxLR uptake motif that has been defined in oomycete secreted effector proteins (Rehmany et al., 2005; Birch et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2008).

AvrM Is Delivered into Host Cells during Infection

The AvrM protein is secreted by both intercellular hyphae and haustoria of flax rust, and we observed accumulation of AvrM protein in some host cells containing haustoria (Figure 1). Translocation of AvrM into plant cells is likely to occur mostly from haustoria, as only haustoria-infected cells showed positive labeling with AvrM antibodies and no signal could be detected in cells neighboring hyphal tips. This localization is similar to that of the bean rust RTP1p protein, which was previously found to be secreted from *Uromyces fabae* haustoria and transferred into infected host cells (Kemen et al., 2005). The delivery of AvrM into host cells seemed to be temporally regulated. At 5 d after inoculation, we observed cytoplasmic labeling in ~13% of host cells containing an haustorium. However, at earlier time points, AvrM was only detected in the haustorial wall or EHM, but not in host cells (Table 1). It is possible that low levels of AvrM protein were present in the host cytoplasm at earlier time points but were below the detection limit with this antibody. Nevertheless, it was clear that at all time points, the majority of AvrM signal was detected surrounding the haustorium with only low levels observed in the host cytoplasm. This may reflect a concentration dependent process, whereby significant AvrM translocation does not occur until a high concentration is reached within the EHM. Alternatively, it may reflect a developmental regulation of the transport process. The observation that AvrM was mainly accumulated in the haustorial cell wall suggests that it is not freely diffusible in the EHM. It is possible that AvrM is initially sequestered in the haustorial wall and is subsequently released from the wall allowing transport into the host (Figure 1D). Alternatively, AvrM may have a specific function in the fungal cell wall since it is also present in the hyphal wall (Figure 1Di). Another

![Deletion Analysis of AvrL567](image)
possibility is that translocated AvrM protein is rapidly degraded in the host cell. Similarly, RTP1 was first detected only in the EHM of early stage haustoria and accumulated in the host cytosol at later stages of haustorium development (Kemen et al., 2005).

**Uptake of Avr Proteins Can Occur Independently of the Rust Pathogen**

One important question is whether the entry of rust effectors into plant cells depends on a translocation mechanism provided by the pathogen, as is the case of Type III secreted effectors of gram-negative bacterial pathogens (Chisholm et al., 2006), or whether it occurs via a pathogen-independent process. Our data support the second hypothesis since expression of the secreted AvrM and AvrL567 Cerulean fusion proteins in plant cells resulted in their intracellular accumulation (Figure 3). The signal peptides of these proteins are functional in plants, as they directed a fused Cerulean to the apoplastic space, and addition of an HDEL tag resulted in retention of the secreted proteins in the ER, confirming that the fusion proteins do enter the secretion pathway.
transiently expressed in soybean (Glycine max) cells (Manning and Ciuffetti, 2005). The RxLR-dEER motif of oomycete effectors controls effector delivery during infection (Whisson et al., 2007; Grouttaud et al., 2008), and Dou et al. (2008) showed that this motif also controls delivery of P. sojae Avr1b into plant cells in the absence of the pathogen. This motif was required for recognition of Avr1b transiently expressed in the host cytosol late in the infection process. Similarly, ToxA, a host selective toxin delivered from the plasma membrane after secretion into the apoplast, which implies that AvrM protein was only detected in the host cytosol late in the infection process. In addition, fusion to the Avr1b RxLR-dEER domain allowed purified GFP to enter soybean root cells from solution. These results suggest that uptake of RxLR effectors into host cells is not dependent on a pathogen-derived transport mechanism. This contrasts with recent findings for malaria effectors that contain the related PEXEL motif (RxLxE/Q). These effectors are subjected to proteolytic cleavage immediately after the central Leu residue, and an N-acetyl group is added to the mature protein (Chang et al., 2008; Boddey et al., 2009). PEXEL cleavage and modification occurs within the parasite endomembrane system, meaning that the native motif is no longer present when it encounters the host membrane. de Koning-Ward et al. (2009) recently identified a protein complex present in the parasitophorous vacuole that includes an ATP-driven HSP101 chaperone and a potential membrane pore protein and could therefore constitute a pathogen-encoded translocation machine. However, these proteins are apparently unique to Plasmodium species, suggesting that despite the similarity in the uptake motifs, the translocation mechanism may not be conserved between oomycetes and plasmodium.

Uptake of Avr Proteins Depends on N-Terminal Signals

For both AvrM and AvrL567, intracellular accumulation was dependent on sequence features in the N-terminal region (Figures 4 and 7). These regions are distinct from the recognition domains; hence, these Avr proteins have a bipartite structure, with an N-terminal region directing transport of the protein first into the rust secretory pathway, and then across the host membrane, while the C-terminal regions are likely to represent the functional effector domains. These C-terminal regions are sufficient for recognition by the corresponding R proteins (Figure 8D; Catanzariti et al., 2010), but there is not yet any direct evidence for a pathogenicity function of AvrL567 and AvrM. Oomycete effectors also appear to have a bipartite structure, with distinct N-terminal uptake (RxLR) and C-terminal effector domains (Bos et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2008). For AvrM, uptake was dependent on the region from amino acids 106 to 156 (Figure 5), which includes a 23-amino acid stretch that is strongly conserved in AvrM homologs from poplar rust, despite a high level of diversity in the rest of the N-terminal region (Figure 6). The equivalent region from Mlp-37970 was functional when incorporated into AvrM (Figure 5B). Although this region of AvrM contains some sequences loosely related to the RxLR motif (RGFLR, KFLK, and RDLA), it is not clear whether these are the critical residues for uptake. Mutation of one of these motifs (KFLK) slightly delayed uptake, while the other two had no effect. However, mutation of all three motifs together abolished uptake, suggesting that they could act redundantly. However, this is not consistent with the deletion results, which suggest that the regions 123-139 and 140-153 are both required. It is possible that either the triple mutant, which has 12 Ala substitutions, or the deletion constructs, or both may disrupt important structural elements of the uptake signal. The conserved region 125-148 shows a pattern of conserved hydrophobic residues, which may form an amphipathic structure, followed by three charged residues (EKD; Figure 6). Interestingly, the KFLK-AAAA mutation, which partially compromises uptake, disrupts two of the conserved hydrophobic residues (FL). On the other hand, the equivalent sequence in Mlp-37970 is EFLN, which is fully functional when substituted into AvrM, indicating that the positively charged Lys residues are not required at these positions.

In contrast with AvrM, the AvrL567 uptake region occurs immediately after the SP and depends on amino acids between positions 26 and 50. This region includes an RxLR-like sequence (RFYR). However, mutation analyses indicated that the first Arg (R40) is required for uptake but not recognition of AvrL567 protein, while the second Arg (R43) has a role in recognition but not uptake (Figure 8). Thus, the similarity of this sequence to the RxLR motif may be coincidental rather than reflecting a conserved function. In addition to R40, the sequence between amino acids 26 and 37 is also required for uptake. This amino acid region has no fixed structure (Wang et al., 2007) and constitutes a flexible tail that extends from the globular AvrL567 protein. R40 is located on the surface of the protein close to this flexible tail and therefore may form part of an exposed uptake signal.

These results suggest that pathogen-independent intracellular delivery of AvrM and AvrL567 depends on N-terminal uptake signals. While some sequence features are similar to RxLR in terms of positively charged residues, they do not conform to all known RxLR characteristics. For instance, Whisson et al. (2007) showed that Lys residues could not substitute for Arg residues in the RxLR motif of Avr3a. The uptake regions of AvrM and AvrL567 are also not similar to each other or to sequences present in other known effectors from rust or other fungi. The apparent divergence between the transport signals of oomycete and fungal effectors is striking, especially given the strong conservation within the oomycetes and the lack of conservation even between rust effectors. Most oomycete RxLR effectors are members of a large superfamily of related sequences that may have expanded...
from a single ancestral sequence (Jiang et al., 2008). Thus, the conservation of the RxLR motif may reflect their relatively recent divergence. Other non-RxLR effectors also occur in oomycetes, such as the crinkler family (Kamoun, 2006). Although the divergence between these uptake signals may suggest that different transport mechanisms are involved, it is possible that convergent evolution has led to targeting of similar host transport pathways by these effectors without leaving a clearly recognizable sequence relationship. Detailed mutagenesis of AvrM and AvrL567 uptake regions will be required to determine precise sequence requirements for translocation.

The structure formed by the defined uptake peptides can help elucidate the transport mechanism of these proteins. Internalization of P. tritici-repentis ToxA into wheat cells depends on a solvent-exposed loop of the ToxA protein, which contains the cell attachment motif RGD (Manning et al., 2008). Mutation of individual residues within the RGD loop impaired internalization, suggesting the importance of the RGD loop structure in the internalization process, possibly through recognition by a receptor at the plant cell plasma membrane.

Rust effector protein uptake signals may be considered as a class of cell penetrating peptides (CPPs), which have been identified in numerous proteins from distant phylogenetic groups, including the Tat protein of the human immunodeficiency virus type1 (Frankel and Pabo, 1988) and the Antennapedia protein of Drosophila melanogaster (Derossi et al., 1994), and facilitate protein trafficking across membranes. CPPs have diverse sequences, and while some are rich in positively charged amino acids, others consist of amphipathic structures (Einkedsottir et al., 2010). CPPs can engage in different uptake pathways, including endocytosis, macropinocytosis, and direct plasma membrane penetration, sometimes simultaneously (Duchardt et al., 2007). Several CPPs defined in mammalian systems have also been shown to function in plants (Chugh and Eudes, 2008), indicating that they can engage appropriate uptake mechanisms in plant cells. However, these mechanisms are not yet understood; likewise, we still know little of the uptake pathway of rust effector proteins. Ultrastructure studies have detected tubular extensions of the extrahaustorial membrane with associated budding vesicles that reach into the host cell cytoplasm and can form close contact with host ER and dictyosomes (Mims et al., 2002). Although this suggests that endocytosis may be a key process in the uptake of effector proteins, it remains to be determined what uptake pathways are involved in rust Avr protein delivery.

METHODS

Rust and Plant Materials

The flax rust (Melampsora lini) strain CH6 is the F1 hybrid of a cross between parental strains C and H, while CH5-120 and CH5-132 are two individuals from the F2 family derived from selfing rust CH5 and are homozygous for the avirulence and virulence alleles of AvrM, respectively (Lawrence et al., 1981). The flax lines Dakota and Birio contain the M or L6 resistance genes, respectively (Lawrence et al., 1981). A transgenic W38 tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) line expressing the M gene was described by Catanzariti et al. (2006). Rust inoculations were performed as described by Lawrence et al. (1981).

Expression of His-Tagged AvrM, Antibody Generation, and Affinity Purification of Antibodies

AvrM-A (lacking the signal peptide) was expressed with an N-terminal hexahistidine tag in BL21 (DE3) pLyS3 Escherichia coli from the vector pET15b. Luria-Bertani broth cultures were grown to midexponential phase and induced with 1 mM isopropyl-thio-β-D-galactoside for 16 h at 16°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 1/20 of the original culture volume of column buffer (20 mM HEPEs, pH 8.0, and 300 mM NaCl) with 10 mM imidazole and 1× Ni-column compatible protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were lysed by three freeze-thaw cycles in the presence of lysosome, and the cell lysate was loaded onto a 1 mL Ni-sepharose 6 Fast Flow column (GE Healthcare) prequillibrated with 10 column volumes of buffer. Then, the column was washed with 25 volumes of column buffer with 65 mM imidazole before elution of AvrM-A in column buffer with 250 mM imidazole. The protein was then buffer exchanged into (2.7 mM KCl, 138 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM KH2PO4, and 20.4 mM NaH2PO4, pH 7) and concentrated using a 30 kD MWCO Amicon Ultrafiltration device (Millipore), snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C.

Antibodies were raised in rabbits inoculated four times with 400 µg of purified fusion protein with Freund’s adjuvant. Sera were purified by negative adsorption against protein from uninfected flax plants bound to HybondC nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham) in a protocol modified from Robinson et al. (1988). Briefly, proteins extracted from uninfected flax plants were spotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane, stained with Ponceau S, and rinsed three times for 5 min in TBS (20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.4, and 500 mM NaCl). Then, poorly bound protein was removed by washing with 200 mM glycine, pH 2.5. This was followed by rinsing the membranes three times for 5 min with TBS (20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl), and 0.05% [v/v] Tween 20 and blocking with 1% BSA and 2% nonfat milk for 1 h at room temperature. The membranes were then cut to 5 × 5 mm and incubated overnight at 4°C with diluted sera. Sera were then used for immunolocalization studies.

Immunoblot Analysis

Tissue from infected flax leaves or agroinfiltrated tobacco leaves was frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground in either 50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 5 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, 10% glycerol, or 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 6.5, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol, and 1 mM PMSF. In both cases, extraction buffers were supplemented with Roche Complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics). The samples were then centrifuged to remove cellular debris before boiling for 5 min in the presence of Laemmli buffer with β-mercaptoethanol. Samples containing Cereulean fusion proteins were not boiled as this treatment was found to cause significant cleavage of the 27-KD Cereulean fragment from the fusion protein. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and electroblotted to Hybond C-extra nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham Biosciences). AvrM protein was detected using anti-AvrM primary antibodies and anti-rabbit secondary antibodies conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (Chemicon International) with nitroblue tetrazolium/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate as the color substrate. Cereulean and Cereulean fusion proteins were detected by the SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescence kit (Pierce) using an anti-GFP primary antibody (Roche Diagnostics) and anti-mouse secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Bio-Rad).

Immunolocalization of AvrM

Leaves from Hoshangabad flax plants were sampled at 12, 16, 24, 64, and 120 HAI with CH6 flax rust (compatible interaction). The lower epidermis was peeled off and leaves were cut into 0.3 × 3-mm pieces and fixed under vacuum in 4% [v/v] formaldehyde with 0.2% [v/v] glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4. Fixed tissues were postfixed in 1% [v/v] osmium tetroxide in sodium cacodylate buffer for 1 h and then dehydrated through a series of ethanol washes before being infiltrated with Spurr resin (Spurr). Thin sections were cut and examined with a JEOL model 100CX1 transmission electron microscope.
50 mM PIPES buffer, pH 7.0, and 0.02% Triton X-100, dehydrated, and embedded in butyl-methyl methacrylate as described by Kobayashi et al. (1994). Infected leaves were sectioned using an Ultracut microtome (Reichert-Jung, Cambridge Instruments). After removing embedding resin in acetone, sections were blocked for 1 h in 1% (w/v) BSA and 0.1% (v/v) fish scale gelatin in PBS. Sections were then incubated in primary anti-AvrM antibodies for 2 h at 37°C, rinsed three times, and then incubated in secondary goat anti-rabbit antibodies conjugated to Alexa A488 (Molecular Probes). For double-labeling studies, sections were coincubated with rabbit anti-AvrM antibodies and mouse monoclonal anti-phototropin antibody ML1 (Murdock and Hardham, 1998) followed by coincubation with sheep anti-mouse antibodies conjugated to rhodamine (Silenus Laboratories) and goat anti-rabbit antibodies conjugated to Alexa A488 for 2 h at 37°C. Following incubation with secondary antibodies, slides were rinsed, stained with 1 μg/mL 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Sigma-Aldrich), and mounted in a glycerol-based mounting medium containing Mowiol 4-88 (Hoechst Australia) containing the antifade agent p-phenylenediamine (Sigma-Aldrich). Sections were viewed using an Axioi microscope (Zeiss) and photographed with a digital camera (Princeton Instruments).

For immunogold labeling, the lateral epidermis was removed from infected leaves, and leaves were fixed in a vacuum for 2 h in 2% glutaraldehyde in 500 mM PIPES buffer, pH 6.9, and embedded in Lowicryl K4M (Lowi) as previously described (Hardham, 2001). Lowicryl ultrathin sections were blocked with 1% (w/v) BSA plus 0.1% (v/v) fish scale gelatin in PBS for 20 min and then incubated with anti-AvrM antibody diluted in 1% (w/v) BSA plus 0.1% (v/v) fish scale gelatin for 1 h at room temperature. Sections were rinsed three times in PBT (PBS with 0.2% Tween 20) and incubated with goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to 10-nm gold (GE Healthcare) for 1 h at room temperature. Sections were counterstained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and gated to 10-nm gold (GE Healthcare) for 1 h at room temperature. Labeling was detected with the SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescence kit (Pierce).

**Transgenic in planta expression assays**

Gene expression constructs contained the **AvrM** and **AvrL567** coding sequences fused to the Cerulean version of cyan fluorescent protein were generated in the vector pCAMBIA3301, driven by the nopaline synthase promoter and terminator sequences (De Block et al., 1984; Horsch et al., 1984). The AvrM-Cerulean and AvrL567-Cerulean cDNA expression constructs were generated by inserting a cloned PCR product as a SpeI-PvuI fragment, using primers **AvrM-5′**, 5′-CGCCTAGTAGTGATGATGATGAT-TTCTACAAGTAGC-3′; **AvrM-3′**, 5′-GCTACCACCATGCTGAGGCG-GCTGACGGCATGTCTGGAGATTCC-3′; **AvrL567-5′**, 5′-CGCCTAGTAGTA-TGAAGATTTATCTCTATGCG-3′; **AvrL567-3′**, 5′-GCTACCACCATGCTG-CTGACGGCATGTCTGGAGATTCC-3′; **Cerulean-5′**, 5′-GCTGCAGCGCCGAGCATGACGCTATGGTGAGCAAGCAAGCAGAGGCGACGC-3′; and **Cerulean-3′**, 5′-CTGCACCTCATCTCAGGCGAGCCATG-3′. Constructs encoding the truncated AvrM and AvrL567 proteins without the signal peptides start from the internal Met residues at positions 31 and 24, respectively. The stop codons of both AvrM and AvrL567 proteins were removed to create in-frame fusions to Cerulean. Truncation and Ala mutations within AvrM and AvrL567 coding sequences were generated by overlapping PCR. C-terminally truncated fragments were fused directly to Cerulean at an in-frame XbaI site, while the other constructs contain a seven Ala linker. AvrM-Cerulean constructs encoding C-terminal HDEL or HDDL tetrapeptide tags contained 12 additional bases (CATGATGAGCTC or CATGATGACCTC, respectively) inserted immediately prior to the stop codon of Cerulean. All constructs were fully sequenced to confirm their integrity.

**Agrobacterium cultures** of strain GV3101 pMP90 containing the binary vector expression constructs were prepared at an OD600 of 0.1 or 0.2 for AvrM and 0.5 for AvrL567 constructs, in Murashige and Skoog (1962) basal medium supplemented with 200 μM acetoxyseiragine and infiltrated into flax or tobacco leaves using a 1-mL syringe.

**Confocal Microscopy**

Plant tissue samples were harvested from infiltrated tobacco or flax leaves at either 2 or 3 d after infiltration, and tissue was either directly imaged or infiltrated with 0.8 M mannitol solution, for plasmolysis, 15 to 20 min prior to imaging. Live tissue imaging was performed on a Leica microscope with a Leica SP2 confocal system using ×40 and ×63 C-Apochromat water immersion objectives. The 405- and 458-nm laser lines with appropriate emission filters were used to image Cerulean and chloroplast autofluorescence, respectively.

**Yeasts Two-Hybrid Analysis**

**Gal4 DNA binding domain** and transcriptional activation domain fusions to AvrL567 protein variants were prepared in the pGBT9 and pGADT7 vectors, respectively (Clontech as described (Dodds et al., 2006). L5 and L6 GBT9 and GADT7 clones were described previously (Dodds et al., 2006). Yeast transformation and His growth assays were performed as described in the Yeast Protocols Handbook (Clontech). Yeast proteins were extracted by the trichloroacetic acid method, and immunoblotting was performed as described above with detection by anti-HA (Roche Molecular Systems) monoclonal antibodies followed by goat anti-mouse/horseraseridish peroxidase (Pierce). Labeling was detected with the SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescence kit (Pierce).

**Accession Numbers**

Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative or GenBank/EMBL databases under accession numbers DQ279864.1 (AvrM) and AYS10102.1 (AvrL567).
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