Natural Variation in Small Molecule–Induced TIR-NB-LRR Signaling Induces Root Growth Arrest via EDS1- and PAD4-Complexed R Protein VICTR in Arabidopsis
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In a chemical genetics screen we identified the small-molecule [5-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)furan-2-yl]-piperidine-1-ylmethanethione (DFPM) that triggers rapid inhibition of early abscisic acid signal transduction via PHYTOALEXIN DEFICIENT4 (PAD4)– and ENHANCED DISEASE SUSCEPTIBILITY1 (EDS1)–dependent immune signaling mechanisms. However, mechanisms upstream of EDS1 and PAD4 in DFPM-mediated signaling remain unknown. Here, we report that DFPM generates an Arabidopsis thaliana accession–specific root growth arrest in Columbia-0 (Col-0) plants. The genetic locus responsible for this natural variant, VICTR (VARIATION IN COMPOUND TRIGGERED ROOT growth response), encodes a TIR-NB-LRR (for Toll-Interleukin1 Receptor–nucleotide binding–Leucine-rich repeat) protein. Analyses of T-DNA insertion victr alleles showed that VICTR is necessary for DFPM-induced root growth arrest and inhibition of abscisic acid–induced stomatal closing. Transgenic expression of the Col-0 VICTR allele in DFPM-insensitive Arabidopsis accessions recapitulated the DFPM-induced root growth arrest. EDS1 and PAD4, both central regulators of basal resistance and effector-triggered immunity, as well as HSP90 chaperones and their cochaperones RAR1 and SGT1B, are required for the DFPM-induced root growth arrest. Salicylic acid and jasmonic acid signaling pathway components are dispensable. We further demonstrate that VICTR associates with EDS1 and PAD4 in a nuclear protein complex. These findings show a previously unexplored association between a TIR-NB-LRR protein and PAD4 and identify functions of plant immune signaling components in the regulation of root meristematic zone-targeted growth arrest.

INTRODUCTION

Chemical genetics provides a powerful tool to address redundancy and network robustness in signal transduction (Schreiber, 2000; Armstrong et al., 2004; Zouhar et al., 2004; Park et al., 2009). In a recent screen of a 9600-compound chemical library for inhibition of abscisic acid (ABA) signaling, a small molecule named [5-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)furan-2-yl]-piperidine-1-ylmethanethione (DFPM) was isolated that showed the capacity to inhibit several ABA responses, including rapid disruption of ABA-induced stomatal closing and ABA activation of guard cell anion channels (Kim et al., 2011). Detailed analyses revealed that DFPM stimulates an effector-triggered immune signaling pathway and thereby rapidly disrupts ABA signal transduction (Kim et al., 2011).

The central regulators of basal resistance and effector-triggered immunity, EDS1 and PAD4, as well as the cochaperones RAR1 (REQUIRED FOR Mia12 RESISTANCE) and SGT1b (SUPPRESSOR OF G-TWO ALLELE OF SKP1b) are required for DFPM-induced disruption of ABA signaling (Kim et al., 2011). Effector-triggered immunity relies on resistance (R) proteins as sensors that indirectly or directly recognize specific pathogen-derived effector molecules and trigger downstream disease resistance responses (Glazebrook, 2005; Jones and Dangl, 2006). Whether specific R proteins are required for the small-molecule DFPM-induced response remains unknown. Depending on their N-terminal domain, R proteins are generally divided into two groups, coiled-coil (CC)-nucleotide binding (NB)-leucine-rich repeat (LRR) and Toll-Interleukin1 Receptor (TIR)-NB-LRR (Meyers et al., 2003; Belkhadir et al., 2004; Chisholm et al., 2006; DeYoung and Innes, 2006; Shen and Schulze-Lefert, 2007; Caplan et al., 2008). The genome of Arabidopsis thaliana contains ~150 NB-LRR genes, and up to 600 genes are found in rice (Oryza sativa); Kadota et al., 2010). The presence of many polymorphisms in NB-LRR genes has been proposed as a diversification process against rapidly evolving pathogens (Tian et al., 2003; Guo et al., 2011). In fact, NB-LRR genes represent the most variable plant gene family (Weigel, 2012).
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Upon pathogen recognition, intramolecular structural changes of NB-LRR proteins induce ADP-ATP exchange in the NB domain and activate downstream responses. Effector-triggered activation of TIR-NB-LRR proteins requires the function of EDS1 and PAD4 to generate downstream disease resistance responses, including salicylic acid-mediated signal transduction (Wiermer et al., 2005). Recently, it was found that EDS1 resides in some complexes with TIR-NB-LRR proteins (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011; Heidrich et al., 2011).

Here, using a chemical genetics approach, we identified natural genetic variation in a primary root growth response induced by the small molecule DFPM. The Arabidopsis Columbia-0 (Col-0) accession responds specifically to DFPM by generating a primary root meristem arrest. Molecular identification of the responsible genetic locus and its functional characterization demonstrate a natural genetic variant in the TIR-NB-LRR gene VICTR (for VARIATION IN COMPOUND TRIGGERED ROOT growth response) determines DFPM-induced root growth arrest. Involvement of additional components of effector-triggered immune signaling in this phenotype implicates pathogen-induced primary root growth arrest as a possible resistance response to soil-borne plant pathogens. Furthermore, we show an association of VICTR protein with EDS1 and with PAD4 within a nuclear complex. The finding of PAD4 within a TIR-NB-LRR-containing protein complex expands recent findings that TIR-NB-LRR R proteins can associate within complexes with the EDS1 protein (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011; Heidrich et al., 2011). The DFPM-induced PAD4- and EDS1-dependent root growth arrest is caused by inhibition of primary root meristem activity. The DFPM-induced and easily scored root growth arrest provides a powerful tool for further dissection of R protein/EDS1/PAD4-dependent signaling mechanisms, as genetic and cell biological components of immunity-induced cell death pathways can be identified, as shown here for VICTR.

RESULTS

Accession-Specific Primary Root Growth Response to the Small Compound DFPM

The chemical compound DFPM (Figure 1A) was isolated from a chemical library of 9600 compounds by screening for physiologically active small molecules that inhibit ABA-induced gene expression and signal transduction (Kim et al., 2011). An in-depth screen for additional visible phenotypic responses caused by DFPM application identified a rapid root growth inhibition after DFPM treatment. Intriguingly, this arrest was found to be specific for the Col-0 accession. DFPM did not affect root growth of the Arabidopsis Landsberg erecta (Ler) accession (Figure 1B). At a concentration of 5 μM DFPM, root growth of

Figure 1. Natural Genetic Variation in Compound-Specific Root Growth Arrest Specifically Targets the Primary Root Meristem in Col-0.

(A) Chemical structure of root growth arrest-causing DFPM.
(B) DFPM causes a primary root growth arrest phenotype in Col-0.
(C) DFPM-induced root growth inhibition of Col-0 (EC50 ~ 1.5 μM). Error bars mean ± so.
(D) While Col-0 roots are sensitive to DFPM, roots of Ler, Br-0 (Brunn-0), Ts-1 (Tossa de Mar-1), Kin-0 (Kindalville-0), Bay-0 (Bayreuth-0), C24, RLD (Rschew), WS (Wassilewskija), and 42 other Arabidopsis accessions (see Supplemental Table 1 online) produced no significant growth arrest response to DFPM. The black horizontal bars mark the starting position of roots when DFPM was applied.
(E) Microscopy examination of DFPM-treated roots reveals that while root differentiation is normal, meristematic and elongation zones of the primary root are reduced in size in response to DFPM in Col-0. Note that Ler produced normal root morphology in response to DFPM exposure (left). Meristematic and elongation zones are indicated by lines and arrows. Bars = 50 μm.
(F) Deregulated DRS promoter expression by DFPM indicates that auxin accumulation is abrogated in the root meristem after 4 d of DFPM treatment. Expression of the endodermal marker gene SCR is also altered by DFPM. Expression of the CyclinB1 promoter suggests that cell cycle activity in the DFPM-treated primary root tip is reduced. All marker lines tested here are in the Col-0 background.
Col-0 was blocked (EC\textsubscript{50} = 1.5 \textmu M; Figure 1C). The seedling root growth of 50 other Arabidopsis accessions, including Ler, Kindalville-0 (Kin-0), Bayreuth-0 (Bay-0), and C24, were not affected by DFPM treatment (Figure 1D; see Supplemental Table 1 online). We concluded that the Col-0 accession contains unusual natural genetic variation that causes DFPM-induced root growth arrest. Based on the phenotype, we refer to this class of genes as VICTR. In response to DFPM, primary root growth of Col-0 was abrogated, but secondary lateral root growth was not (Figure 1B).

Chemical compounds structurally similar to DFPM (see Supplemental Figure 1A online) were analyzed to define critical structural motifs required for the triggering of the VICTR phenotype. These analyses showed that modifications in the structure of several DFPM-related compounds can reduce the potency of the DFPM response. Although the slightly modified molecules DFPM-1 and DFPM-2 exhibited biological activity similar to the original DFPM, a chlorine in the four position seemed to be critical for eliciting the VICTR phenotype (see Supplemental Figure 1 online). Loss of activity was also caused by modifications of side groups as shown in DFPM-3. Whether DFPM or a breakdown product thereof is the active compound remains to be determined. The root growth response caused by DFPM was found to be time dependent. Only 8 h of DFPM treatment was sufficient to initiate the VICTR phenotype in an irreversible manner (see Supplemental Figure 2C online). Primary root growth did not recover even 6 d after seedlings were retransferred to standard growth media.

**DFPM Targets the Primary Root Meristematic Zone in Col-0**

Microscopy examination of the morphological changes of Col-0 roots treated with DFPM revealed a large reduction of the meristematic and elongation zones, whereas root differentiation, such as vascular and lateral root formation, did not show any observable differences, indicating that DFPM may target the primary root meristematic zone (Figure 1E). To investigate molecular changes in DFPM-treated primary root tips, the pattern of local auxin accumulation was examined using DR5 promoter-driven green fluorescent protein (GFP) lines (Friml et al., 2003) in the Col-0 background (Figure 1F). DFPM treatment for 1 d did not change the pDR5:GFP activity at the columella at the root tip (see Supplemental Figure 2A online). After 4 d of DFPM treatment, no pDR5:GFP activity was visible at the columella of Col-0 (Figure 1F), consistent with the model that DFPM targets the primary root meristem. However, the pDR5:GFP construct has been reported to have certain limitations as an auxin reporter and to not necessarily correlate with other auxin-sensitive genes (Moreno-Risueno et al., 2010). Therefore, to measure the effect of DFPM on auxin transporters in the primary root, pPIN1:PIN1-GFP and pPIN2:PIN2-GFP Col-0 lines were monitored as a function of time after DFPM exposure (see Supplemental Figure 2B online). After 18 h of DFPM treatment, both PIN1:GFP and PIN2:GFP signals became weaker. At 24 h, when DFPM-mediated root growth arrest becomes visible, both PIN1:GFP and PIN2:GFP levels decreased substantially. These results indicate that altered PIN1 or PIN2 activities and resulting changes in the auxin gradient, which is important to maintain meristematic cell divisions (Biliou et al., 2005; Dello Ilio et al., 2007; Fernández-Marcos et al., 2011), might contribute to DFPM-mediated root growth inhibition.

**SCARECROW (SCR) expression** was analyzed as an endodermal and quiescent center marker gene (Di Laurenzio et al., 1996). SCR expression was disrupted after 4 d of DFPM treatment when DFPM had already produced obvious morphological differences (Figure 1F). However, SCR expression exhibited a normal pattern of expression after 1 d of DFPM treatment (see Supplemental Figure 2A online). Therefore, the disrupted SCR expression in Col-0 might be a secondary effect of the altered root morphology after DFPM treatment.

Consistent with the deregulated DRS and SCR expression patterns induced after 4 d by DFPM, cell cycle activity monitored by the Cyclin B1 promoter (Colón-Carmona et al., 1999) was disrupted by DFPM treatment (Figure 1F). Retention of the normal expression pattern of Cyclin B1 in secondary root meristems demonstrates the tissue specificity of the DFPM effect (Figure 1F). These marker line analyses suggest that DFPM targets the primary root meristematic zone led us to further explore how DFPM mediates root growth arrest (described further below).

**The VICTR Gene Encodes a TIR-NB-LRR Protein**

To identify the genetic element(s) in Col-0 producing the VICTR phenotype, 100 Col × Ler recombinant inbred lines (RILs) (Clarke et al., 1995) that have been genotyped using microarrays (Singer et al., 2006) were screened for the VICTR phenotype (see Supplemental Table 2 online). Quantitative trait locus (QTL) analyses of the phenotypic scores and available genetic map information for these RILs suggested that a single locus in Col-0 positioned on the lower arm of chromosome 5 might determine DFPM-induced root arrest (Figure 2A).

F1 seedlings of Col-0 crosses to Ler produced the Col-0 VICTR phenotype upon DFPM treatment, indicating that heterozygous expression of the VICTR\textsubscript{Col} allele is sufficient to confer the DFPM response in the Ler background. Consistent with QTL analyses of the Col-0 × Ler RILs, F2 seedlings of a Col-0 cross to Ler segregated at a ratio of 3:1 (P value of \chi^2 test is 0.665, n = 178), indicating that a single locus mediates the VICTR phenotype. Using these segregating VICTR F2 plants, map-based cloning narrowed down the candidate VICTR locus to a 100-kb region (Figures 2A and 2B). Since VICTR is a natural variant present in Col-0, any polymorphic gene within the target region could encode VICTR. Because VICTR\textsubscript{Col} was necessary and sufficient for the DFPM response and was hypothesized to represent an active allele, T-DNA insertion mutants of genes located within the target region in Col-0 were examined. Among the T-DNA lines tested, only T-DNA insertion lines in gene At5g46520 displayed complete insensitivity in DFPM-mediated root growth arrest (Figures 2C and 2D). Five independent T-DNA insertion mutants in the Col-0 At5g46520 gene showed loss of DFPM-triggered root arrest.

DFPM inhibits primary root growth in Col-0 but not in the vctr mutants. To determine how DFPM mediates this effect, confocal images of Col-0, vctr-1, and vctr-2 primary roots were analyzed (see Supplemental Figure 3 online). While the quiescent center cells and cortical stem cells appeared unaffected by 24 h of DFPM treatment in all three genotypes, the division zone of the...
DFPM-treated Col-0 primary roots was smaller than that of the victr-1 and victr-2 primary roots. To further analyze this phenotype, we quantified the number of meristematic cells in the division zones of primary roots (see Supplemental Figure 3 online). Our data indicate that when treated with DFPM for 24 h, Col-0 primary roots show a reduced number of meristem cells in the division zone of the primary root compared with victr-1 and victr-2. This shorter division zone and the reduced number of meristematic cells are consistent with the inhibition of primary root growth first observable after 24 h of DFPM treatment in Col-0 wild type and may represent the initial phase of DFPM-induced growth inhibition.

The most similar gene to VICTR, VICTR Like1 (VICTL1; At5g46510), is located in tandem upstream of VICTR (Figure 2C; see Supplemental Figure 4B and Supplemental Data Set 1 online). A T-DNA mutant victl1-1 was not resistant to DFPM treatment in the root growth arrest response (see Supplemental Figure 4C online). This suggests that the VICTRCol (At5g46520)
gene is required for the chemical DFPM response targeting primary root meristem activity. Chemical genetics can provide an approach for identifying phenotypes in potentially redundant signal transduction genes via small molecule targeting of specific proteins (Park et al., 2009).

**VICTR** is predicted to encode a previously uncharacterized member of the NB-LRR family with a TIR motif (TIR-NB-LRR) at the N terminus (Figure 2E). TIR-NB-LRR family members can function as immune signaling proteins encoded by R genes responding to specific pathogen effectors in plants (Belkhadir et al., 2004; Chisholm et al., 2006; Jones and Dangl, 2006; Shen and Schulze-Lefert, 2007; Caplan et al., 2008). Compared with characterized R proteins, the predicted VICTRCol protein shows high sequence similarity to that of At1g31540 (64.9% amino acid identity) (Katoh et al., 2002; Thompson et al., 2003; Raghava and Barton, 2006), the Col-0 allele of RAC1 from accession Ksk-1, which mediates PAD4-independent resistance to *Albugo candida* (Borhan et al., 2004). VICTRCol is in the same cluster of R genes as RPS6 (At5g46470; see Supplemental Figure 4B online) that specifies resistance to the *Pseudomonas syringae* effector HopA1 (Kim et al., 2009).

To determine whether VICTRCol contains unique polymorphism(s) that define the Col-0 sensitivity to DFPM, VICTR cDNA sequences from other accessions were reverse transcribed and compared with VICTRCol. The VICTR gene is highly diverged and possibly absent in L and Kin-0, showing that VICTRCol has multiple unique amino acid polymorphisms centered in the NB domain and the linker region between the NB and LRR domains (Figure 2E; see Supplemental Figure 5A and Supplemental Data Set 2 online). The promoter sequences of the VICTR genes are highly conserved (see Supplemental Figure 5B and Supplemental Data Set 2 online).

**VICTR Expression in DFPM-Insensitive Accessions Generates Col DFPM Responses**

To further establish whether DFPM-induced primary root growth inhibition in Col-0 is dependent on polymorphisms present in the coding region of VICTRCol, the VICTRCol gene expressed under the control of its natural Col-0 promoter was introduced into accessions insensitive to DFPM: Ler, C24, and Bay-0. The introduction of VICTRCol was sufficient to generate the DFPM response in primary roots of Ler, C24, and Bay-0, demonstrating that VICTRCol is the only missing component required for the DFPM-induced primary root growth arrest in these accessions (Figure 3A). As reported for other R proteins, ectopic 35S:VICTRCol expression in the Col-0 background caused stunted plant growth (see Supplemental Figure 1D online).

**VICTR Expression Is Increased in the Root Meristematic Zone in a DFPM- and VICTR-Dependent Manner**

Resistance genes are generally expressed ubiquitously and at very low levels in unchallenged plants. Electronic *Arabidopsis* gene expression resources, including AtGenExpress and eFP Browser indicate that VICTR is expressed at a low level in many different tissue types (Schmid et al., 2005; Winter et al., 2007). Interestingly, when treated with DFPM for 24 h, VICTR was highly induced (eightfold) in the Col-0 background (Figure 3B). Bay-0 and C24, which carry a polymorphic VICTR gene relative to VICTRCol but are not sensitive to DFPM, did not exhibit enhancement of VICTR expression in response to DFPM treatment (Figure 3B). More detailed analyses of VICTRCol promoter-driven-GUS (for β-glucuronidase) reporter and yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) reporter lines showed dramatic DFPM-enhanced expression of the VICTR gene in the root meristematic zone (Figures 3C and 3D). These results suggest that the DFPM-induced root growth arrest may be caused by differential spatial upregulation of VICTR expression in the primary root tip region.

Notably, induction of the VICTR gene by DFPM treatment requires functional VICTRCol because DFPM could not induce the VICTRCol promoter in the victr-1 mutant (Figure 3D, middle panels). On the other hand, the promoter of VICTRBay, whose sequence is highly similar to that of VICTRCol (see Supplemental Figure 5B and Supplemental Data Set 2 online), activated YFP expression by DFPM treatment when introduced into the Col-0 wild type (Figure 3D, bottom panels). Altogether, these results suggest that functional VICTR mediates DFPM-induced positive feedback regulation of VICTR expression and that amino acid polymorphism(s) in VICTRCol are responsible for the VICTR phenotype. Consistent with this, Bay-0 expressing VICTRCol under the VICTRCol promoter exhibited DFPM-mediated root growth arrest (Figure 3A) and also increased VICTR expression upon DFPM treatment (Figure 3B). Such positive feedback regulation has been observed for R genes and is part of the resistance response induced by corresponding avirulent pathogens (Zhang and Gassmann, 2007).

**VICTR Requires Effector-Triggered Immune Signaling Components for the DFPM Response**

The finding that VICTR encodes a TIR-NB-LRR family R-like protein and previous indications that DFPM induces defense-related gene expression (Kim et al., 2011) raise the hypothesis that pathogen-triggered biotic stress signaling and/or salicylic acid (SA) signaling is responsible for generation of the VICTR root growth arrest phenotype. To test this hypothesis and to identify additional genetic components of the DFPM-mediated signaling pathway, pathogen mutants in Col-0 were analyzed to determine whether they exhibit altered root growth responses to DFPM. Among the mutants analyzed, eds1-2 (Bartsch et al., 2006), eds1-23, and pad4-1 (Glazebrook et al., 1997) mutations disrupted the DFPM-induced root growth arrest, as did the eds1-2 pad4-1 double mutant (Figure 4A; see Supplemental Figure 4A online). No DFPM resistance was observed in eds5-1 and eds16-1.

EDS1 and PAD4 are also required for DFPM inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure (Kim et al., 2011). Therefore, victr-1 and victr-2 mutants were analyzed for the ability of DFPM to impair ABA-induced stomatal closing in genotype blind assays. Both victr-1 and victr-2 plants showed impairment in DFPM inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closing (Figure 4B).
Figure 3. *VICTR*<sup>Col</sup> Expression Is Sufficient for Generation of the DFPM-Induced Root Meristem Arrest in the DFPM-Resistant Ecotypes Ler, C24, and Bay-0.

**A** Expression of the *VICTR*<sup>Col</sup> gene under the control of the *VICTR*<sup>Col</sup> promoter in Ler (left panel), C24 (middle), and Bay-0 (right) reproduced the Col-0 phenotype in response to DFPM. Three independent transgenic lines are shown together with a control transgenic line transformed with empty vector. The black horizontal bars mark the starting position of roots when DFPM was applied.
**VICTR Subcellular Localization in Transiently Transformed Cells**

To investigate the subcellular localization of VICTR, YFP or GFP fluorophores were fused to either its N or C terminus. All constructs showed that VICTRCol localized to the nucleus with localization also in the cytoplasm as indicated by faint fluorescence in transient onion (*Allium cepa*) epidermis expression assays (see Supplemental Figure 4D online). *Arabidopsis* TIR-NB-LRR proteins, such as RPS4 and RPS6, also display nucleocytoplasmic distributions (Wirthmueller et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2009). In transient *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated overexpression and immunological detection of the VICTR constructs in *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants, VICTR fusion protein levels were low but detectable based on GFP fluorescence and immunoblots (Figure 5). In *N. benthamiana* expression analyses, we coexpressed red fluorescent protein (RFP) constructs, allowing us to clearly identify transformed cells, visualize nuclei, and perform colocalization analyses. The GFP–VICTR fusion protein was preferentially nuclear localized, and GFP–VICTR fluorescence merged with nuclear RFP (Figure 5A). Although >70% of cells showed RFP expression, GFP–VICTR was detected in only 15 to 20% of transformed cells. Immunoblot analyses identified GFP–VICTR fusions at the expected size (~160 kD), suggesting that the detected fluorescence is not due to either GFP alone or GFP fused to truncated VICTR (Figure 5B).

**VICTR Resides in Protein Complexes with Nuclear EDS1 and PAD4**

The requirement for EDS1 and PAD4 in DFPM-dependent root inhibition prompted us to investigate in vivo protein complex associations of VICTR with these proteins. Recent studies have shown that the TIR-NB-LRR resistance proteins RPS4 and RPS6 co-reside in protein complexes with EDS1 (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011; Heidrich et al., 2011). Pathogen effectors that might signal VICTR-dependent primary root growth arrest are presently not known and effectors that cause root growth arrest have not yet been determined. Such putative effectors may also redundantly use the tandem repeat homolog VICTL1. Identification of molecular interactors of VICTR is relevant toward understanding VICTR protein functions. Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC), an efficient tool to study in vivo protein–protein interactions, can be used to identify molecular complexes of key innate immunity components (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011). An inherent feature of this assay is its irreversibility, allowing capture of transient interactions. Confocal microscopy analysis of transiently expressed BiFC fusion combinations of VICTR with PAD4 or EDS1 in *N. benthamiana* cells suggested nuclear complexes of VICTR with these two proteins (Figure 6). VICTR did not show associations with the reciprocal BiFC vectors expressing GUS that also accumulated inside nuclei (see Supplemental Figure 6A online). Heterodimers of EDS1–PAD4 display nucleocytoplasmic distributions (Fey et al., 2005). However, our BiFC results cannot distinguish whether VICTR associates with EDS1–PAD4 heterodimers including complexes or within protein complexes individually with EDS1 or PAD4 proteins, which warrants further investigations. Our results suggest cocomplex formation of a TIR-NB-LRR protein with the EDS1 signaling partner PAD4. This is in marked contrast with the TIR-NB-LRR R proteins RPS4 and RPS6, which did not show an interaction with PAD4 in transient expression assays (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011).

We independently investigated the above results by performing coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) assays on transiently transformed *N. benthamiana* cells (Figure 7A). N-terminally hemagglutinin (HA) epitope-tagged VICTR (HA–VICTR) was coexpressed either with cMyc-tagged EDS1, -PAD4 or -GUS. Because VICTR expression was enriched in the nucleus, we isolated purified nuclei from the infiltrated leaf tissues and performed nuclear co-IP assays. Both EDS1 and PAD4, but not GUS, coimmunoprecipitated with VICTR (Figure 7A and 7C). These results validated the associations of VICTR with EDS1 and PAD4 proteins in nuclei detected by BiFC (Figure 6).

Using a mutated EDS1, eds1L262P, that does not heterodimerize with PAD4 (Rietz et al., 2011), we tested whether VICTR associates with EDS1–PAD4 heterodimers or individually with EDS1. As expected, the eds1L262P mutant showed a strongly diminished interaction with PAD4 in transient *N. benthamiana* BiFC assays (Figure 7B). We then performed co-IP assays on transiently transformed *N. benthamiana* cells (Figure 7C). N-terminally HA epitope-tagged VICTR (HA–VICTR) was coexpressed either with cMyc-tagged PAD4, -EDS1, -eds1L262P, or -GUS. Purified nuclei from the infiltrated leaf tissues were isolated and nuclear co-IP assays performed. EDS1 wild-type, eds1L262P, and PAD4, but not GUS, coimmunoprecipitated with VICTR (Figure 7C), supporting that VICTR can form complexes with EDS1 and PAD4 inside nuclei.

Senescence Associated Gene101 (SAG101) has also been shown to form a protein complex with EDS1 (Fey et al., 2005; Rietz et al., 2011). As eds1-2 and pad4-1 loss-of-function mutants showed a strong DFPM insensitivity, we tested sag101-2 loss-of-function mutants (Fey et al., 2005) for the DFPM-induced root growth arrest response. In contrast with eds1-2, pad4-1, and eds1-2 pad4-1, sag101-2 mutant plants behaved like Col-0 wild-type controls (Figure 8C). Thus, we propose that...
DFPM may trigger root growth inhibition by activating an SAG101-independent branch of EDS1-PAD4 signaling.

HSP90 Heat Shock Proteins and Their Cochaperones Are Crucial for DFPM Signaling

The HSP90 chaperones functionally stabilize and maintain R proteins in a signaling-competent state, and their activity is dependent on at least two different cochaperones, RAR1 and SGT1B (Kadota et al., 2010). In root assays, the single mutants rar1-21 (Tornero et al., 2002) and sgt1b/eta3 (Gray et al., 2003) exhibited DFPM insensitivity (Figure 8A). Since the Arabidopsis genome contains four cytosolic HSP90 isoforms, with three located in close proximity within a 12-kb region on chromosome 5 (Sangster et al., 2007), the effect of the HSP90 inhibitor geldanamycin (GDA) on the DFPM response was analyzed. GDA inhibits cancer cell proliferation by irreversibly binding to the N terminus of HSP90 proteins (Stebbins et al., 1997). A concentration of 5 µM GDA was sufficient to completely abolish Col-0 specific DFPM root growth inhibition (Figure 8B), consistent

Figure 4. DFPM-Induced Signal Transduction Requires Pathogen Signaling Components.

(A) Chemical signaling by VICTRCol is dependent on EDS1 and PAD4; thus, eds1-2 and pad4-1 mutants were insensitive to DFPM, in contrast with eds5-1 and 3SS:nahG. The black horizontal bars mark the starting position of roots when DFPM was applied. Error bars show ± so (n = 3 with 10 plants each).
(B) VICTR functions in DFPM inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closure. Guard cells of victr-1 and victr-2 mutants remained sensitive to ABA even in the presence of DFPM. n = 3 experiments with 42 to 50 stomata analyzed per condition. Error bars are se. *P < 0.01.
[See online article for color version of this figure.]

Figure 5. VICTR Localizes to the Nucleus in Plant Cells.

(A) Agrobacterium strains expressing GFP-VICTR fusions or GFP alone were co-infiltrated with RFP-expressing strains in N. benthamiana leaves. Two days after infiltration, leaf sections were analyzed with a confocal microscope. The left and the middle panels show GFP and RFP fluorescence, respectively. The right panels show an overlap of GFP and RFP fluorescence. Arrowheads point out the nucleus. The assay was repeated with similar localizations. Bars = 20 µm.

(B) Immunoblots (IB) detecting GFP-VICTR in N. benthamiana extracts and showing absence of free GFP or degradation products in GFP-VICTR sample.
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nuclear protein complexes with EDS1 and PAD4. By contrast,
the nucleocytoplasmic TIR-NB-LRR receptors RPS4 and
RPS6 were found only in complexes with EDS1 and not PAD4
(Bhattacharjee et al., 2011; Heidrich et al., 2011). Thus, different
types of TIR-NB-LRR receptors may operate in distinct resistance
signaling complexes containing EDS1 and/or PAD4. Previously,
it was shown that the eds1L262P mutant, which does not
interact with PAD4 (Figure 7B), has defects in basal immunity to
virulent pathogens (Rietz et al., 2011). The retention of a co-IP
signal between VICTR and eds1L262P mutant in transient
expression assays (Figure 7C) suggests that this mutation may not
be crucial for TIR-NB-LRR protein–EDS1 complex formation.
A requirement for VICTR interactors EDS1 and PAD4 in the
DFPM-induced response and microarray analyses support the
notion that a plant effector-triggered immunity signaling path-
way (Glazebrook, 2005; Wiermer et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2011)
mediates the DFPM response (Figure 4A). Furthermore, the re-
quirement of RAR1 and SGT1B and HSP90 chaperones sug-
ests that VICTR steady state accumulation and possibly also
chaperone-mediated conformational changes are important for
DFPM-triggered VICTR signaling (Shirasu, 2009) (Figures 8A and
8B). However, the lack of requirement for other analyzed de-
fense signaling elements, including key components of the SA-
signaling and JA-signaling pathways (Lorenzo et al., 2004;
Zhang et al., 2006; Fu et al., 2012), suggests that a SA/JA-
deleted disease signaling network participates in the DFPM-
induced root growth arrest (Figures 4A and 8C). Since PIN1:GFP
and PIN2:GFP expression was decreased after 18 h of DFPM
treatment, an involvement of auxin cannot be ruled out and
warrants further analysis. Auxin binding–specific fluorescence
reporters (Brunoud et al., 2012) with a rapid readout may be
required to unequivocally resolve this question.

**DISCUSSION**

We identified genetic natural variation in the VICTR locus that
produces root growth arrest in response to the small molecule
DFPM. We report that DFPM perception and signal transduction
requires early components of the plant R gene resistance sig-
naling network, including the TIR-NB-LRR protein VICTRCol,
EDS1, and PAD4. This response may also involve VICTR sta-
bilization by HSP90 together with RAR1 and SGT1B but oper-
ates independently of major defense signaling components in
the JA and SA signal transduction pathways. VICTRCol is also
required for DFPM-induced inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal
closing, further showing that early TIR-NB-LRR signaling rapidly
interferes with ABA signal transduction. We further establish in
transient protein expression assays that VICTR resides within
nuclear protein complexes with EDS1 and PAD4. By contrast,
the nucleocytoplasmic TIR-NB-LRR receptors RPS4 and
RPS6 were found only in complexes with EDS1 and not PAD4
(Bhattacharjee et al., 2011; Heidrich et al., 2011). Thus, different
types of TIR-NB-LRR receptors may operate in distinct resistance
signaling complexes containing EDS1 and/or PAD4. Previously,
it was shown that the eds1L262P mutant, which does not
interact with PAD4 (Figure 7B), has defects in basal immunity to
virulent pathogens (Rietz et al., 2011). The retention of a co-IP
signal between VICTR and eds1L262P mutant in transient
expression assays (Figure 7C) suggests that this mutation may not
be crucial for TIR-NB-LRR protein–EDS1 complex formation.
A requirement for VICTR interactors EDS1 and PAD4 in the
DFPM-induced response and microarray analyses support the
notion that a plant effector-triggered immunity signaling path-
way (Glazebrook, 2005; Wiermer et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2011)
mediates the DFPM response (Figure 4A). Furthermore, the re-
quirement of RAR1 and SGT1B and HSP90 chaperones sug-
ests that VICTR steady state accumulation and possibly also
chaperone-mediated conformational changes are important for
DFPM-triggered VICTR signaling (Shirasu, 2009) (Figures 8A and
8B). However, the lack of requirement for other analyzed de-
fense signaling elements, including key components of the SA-
signaling and JA-signaling pathways (Lorenzo et al., 2004;
Zhang et al., 2006; Fu et al., 2012), suggests that a SA/JA-
deleted disease signaling network participates in the DFPM-
induced root growth arrest (Figures 4A and 8C). Since PIN1:GFP
and PIN2:GFP expression was decreased after 18 h of DFPM
treatment, an involvement of auxin cannot be ruled out and
warrants further analysis. Auxin binding–specific fluorescence
reporters (Brunoud et al., 2012) with a rapid readout may be
required to unequivocally resolve this question.

**DISCUSSION**

We identified genetic natural variation in the VICTR locus that
produces root growth arrest in response to the small molecule
DFPM. We report that DFPM perception and signal transduction
requires early components of the plant R gene resistance sig-
naling network, including the TIR-NB-LRR protein VICTRCol,
EDS1, and PAD4. This response may also involve VICTR sta-
bilization by HSP90 together with RAR1 and SGT1B but oper-
ates independently of major defense signaling components in
the JA and SA signal transduction pathways. VICTRCol is also
required for DFPM-induced inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal
closing, further showing that early TIR-NB-LRR signaling rapidly
interferes with ABA signal transduction. We further establish in
transient protein expression assays that VICTR resides within
nuclear protein complexes with EDS1 and PAD4. By contrast,
the nucleocytoplasmic TIR-NB-LRR receptors RPS4 and
RPS6 were found only in complexes with EDS1 and not PAD4
(Bhattacharjee et al., 2011; Heidrich et al., 2011). Thus, different
types of TIR-NB-LRR receptors may operate in distinct resistance
signaling complexes containing EDS1 and/or PAD4. Previously,
it was shown that the eds1L262P mutant, which does not
interact with PAD4 (Figure 7B), has defects in basal immunity to
virulent pathogens (Rietz et al., 2011). The retention of a co-IP
signal between VICTR and eds1L262P mutant in transient
expression assays (Figure 7C) suggests that this mutation may not
be crucial for TIR-NB-LRR protein–EDS1 complex formation.
A requirement for VICTR interactors EDS1 and PAD4 in the
DFPM-induced response and microarray analyses support the
notion that a plant effector-triggered immunity signaling path-
way (Glazebrook, 2005; Wiermer et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2011)
mediates the DFPM response (Figure 4A). Furthermore, the re-
quirement of RAR1 and SGT1B and HSP90 chaperones sug-
ests that VICTR steady state accumulation and possibly also
chaperone-mediated conformational changes are important for
DFPM-triggered VICTR signaling (Shirasu, 2009) (Figures 8A and
8B). However, the lack of requirement for other analyzed de-
fense signaling elements, including key components of the SA-
signaling and JA-signaling pathways (Lorenzo et al., 2004;
Zhang et al., 2006; Fu et al., 2012), suggests that a SA/JA-
deleted disease signaling network participates in the DFPM-
induced root growth arrest (Figures 4A and 8C). Since PIN1:GFP
and PIN2:GFP expression was decreased after 18 h of DFPM
treatment, an involvement of auxin cannot be ruled out and
warrants further analysis. Auxin binding–specific fluorescence
reporters (Brunoud et al., 2012) with a rapid readout may be
required to unequivocally resolve this question.
DFPM may activate TIR-NB-LRR–mediated signal transduction by mimicking a pathogen effector (Belkhadir et al., 2004; Jones and Dangl, 2006; Caplan et al., 2008), analogous to the organophosphate insecticide fenthion that triggers an immune-like response via the kinase Fen and the NB-LRR protein Prf in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum; Pedley and Martin, 2003). Activation of effector-triggered immunity can promote infection by necrotrophic pathogens that feed on dead plant tissue (Glazebrook, 2005). Interestingly, certain necrotrophic fungal pathogens secrete small toxin molecules (such as victorin produced by Cochliobolus victoriae), which are sensed by NB-LRR proteins (Sweat and Wolpert, 2007; Lorang et al., 2012). When primary roots are challenged by soil-borne pathogens, localized VICTR responses may limit damage to the root and function in restriction of primary root growth, thereby protecting roots from further infection. Given the homology of VICTR and its tandem gene VICTL1, biological responses may be mediated redundantly via either of these two proteins. Chemical genetics provides a powerful approach for identification of redundant signaling genes and their linked phenotypes by protein-specific selective activation, as in the case of the discovery of the redundant PYR1 ABA receptor (Park et al., 2009). Whether VICTR

Figure 7. VICTR Associates in Planta with EDS1, PAD4, and eds1<sup>1262P</sup>.

(A) Myc-tagged EDS1, PAD4, and GUS were transiently coexpressed with HA-VICTR in N. benthamiana leaves. Purified nuclear extracts were immunoprecipitated (IP) and immunoblotted (IB) with the indicated antibodies. Top panel shows the pull-down of PAD4 and EDS1, but not GUS, with VICTR. Bottom two panels show input fractions (n = 3).

(B) eds1<sup>1262P</sup> does not strongly interact with PAD4 in BiFC assays. Reciprocal BiFC assays of PAD4 with wild-type EDS1 and eds1<sup>1262P</sup> were performed by Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression in N. benthamiana. Reconstituted YFP is indicated by yellow fluorescence. Bar values are indicated.

(C) VICTR interacts with eds1<sup>1262P</sup>. Co-IP was performed as described above. Top panel is the co-IP of PAD4, EDS1, and eds1<sup>1262P</sup>, but not GUS, with VICTR. Bottom two panels show the input fractions. HA-VICTR was detected only after immunoprecipitation with anti-HA antibodies. Migration and sizes (in kilodaltons) of molecular mass standards are at the left of the respective immunoblot panels. Each experiment was performed twice with similar results.
Figure 8. The DFPM-Induced Signal Transduction Relies on HSP90 and Its Cochaperones but Does Not Require SAG101, SA, and JA Signaling Components.

(A) Chemical signaling by VICTR<sup>Col</sup> requires functional HSP90 cochaperones RAR1 and SGT1B.

(B) The DFPM signal was blocked by applying additional 5 μM of the HSP90 inhibitor GDA.

(C) Other signaling and response components known to function in pathogen, SA, and JA signal transduction or other NB-LRR genes are not required for the VICTR phenotype. All mutants were tested in Col-0 background. Error bars mean ± σ. Note, some error bars are not visible due to very small σ (n = 3 with 10 plants each).

[See online article for color version of this figure.]
and VICTR function in concert in biologically induced root growth arrest will require identification of natural effectors that stimulate this root response in *Arabidopsis*.

DFPM was identified as a small molecule that rapidly inhibits ABA responses (Kim et al., 2011). DFPM interference with ABA signal transduction also required PAD4, EDS1, RAR1, and SGT1B but not SA or JA signal transduction components (Kim et al., 2011). As shown in stomatal movement analyses, DFPM inhibition of ABA-induced stomatal closing is impaired in vctr T-DNA insertion mutant stomata (Figure 4B), supporting the previous model that DFPM mediates inhibition of ABA signal transduction via early effector-triggered immune signaling (Kim et al., 2011). A requirement for VICTR in this response is consistent with data sets showing VICTR expression in leaves (Schmid et al., 2005; Winter et al., 2007).

**TIR-NB-LRR** genes mainly function in resistance against pathogens. Retention of nonfunctional genes and a statistically significant increase in the occurrence of R gene polymorphisms is hypothesized to be an outcome of fitness tradeoffs in evolving a large array of recognition specificities in a plant population (Tian et al., 2003; Weigel, 2012). An additional consequence of natural variants in a plant population (Tian et al., 2003; Weigel, 2012). An additional polymorphisms is hypothesized to be an outcome of fitness tradeoffs in evolving a large array of recognition specificities in a plant population (Tian et al., 2003; Weigel, 2012). An additional consequence of natural variants in a plant population (Tian et al., 2003; Weigel, 2012).

**Spotlight on Methods**

**Chemicals and Plant Materials**

DFPM (ID 6015316) was isolated from screening of 9600 compounds in ChemBridge’s DIVERSet E library. DFPM (ID 6015316), DFPM-1 (ID 5649754), DFPM-2 (ID 5872683), DFPM-3 (ID 6881095), DFPM-5 (ID 6017927), and DFPM-7 (ID 5143713) were purchased from ChemBridge. Transgenic reporter lines were in the Col-0 background or were crossed into the Col-0 background. *Arabidopsis thaliana* T-DNA lines were obtained from the ABRC (Ohio State University).

*Arabidopsis* ecotype set CS22660, vctr-1 (Salk_123918), vctr-2 (Salk_040688), vctr-3 (Salk_072727), vctr-4 (Salk_129941), vctr-5 (Sail_394_F01), victl-1 (Salk_097845), eds1-23 (Salk_057149), and Caroline Dean’s 100 Col × Ler RILs (CS1899) (Clarke et al., 1995; Singer et al., 2006) were obtained from the ABRC (Ohio State University). The eds1-23 T-DNA mutant (Salk_057149) has a T-DNA insertion in At3g48090, one of a tandem pair of EDS1 homologs in accession Col-0. Another T-DNA insertion mutant (Salk_071051) in the same gene, denoted eds1-22, substantially rescues the growth phenotype of bon1-1 constitutive resistance plants (Yang and Hua, 2004). pDR5:GFP, pPIN1:PIN1:GFP, and pPIN2:PIN2:GFP were kindly provided by Yunde Zhao (University of California at San Diego), pSCR:GFP and pCyclinB1:GUS were kindly provided by Jeff Long (Salk Institute) and Jeff Harper (Univ. of Nevada), respectively. Mutants eds1-2 (Bartsch et al., 2006) and sag101-2 (Fey et al., 2005), pad4-1 and pmr4-1 (Nishimura et al., 2003), rar1-21 (Tonnero et al., 2002), et3/sgt1b (Gray et al., 2003), fs2 (Gómez-Gómez and Boller, 2000), eds5-1 (Rogers and Ausubel, 1997) 3SS:nahG line (Reuber et al., 1998), and npr3-2pmr4-2 (Fu et al., 2012) were kindly provided by Jane Glazebrook (University of Minnesota), Jeff Deng (University of North Carolina), William Gray (University of Minnesota), Shauna Somerville (University of California at Berkeley), Shen Yang He (University of Michigan), Mary Wildermuth (University of California at Berkeley), and Xin Li (University of British Columbia, Canada), respectively.

**Root Growth Assays**

Sterilized *Arabidopsis* seeds were germinated on general growth medium (1% Suc, 0.5× Murashige and Skoog [MS] salts, 0.05% MES, and 0.8% plant agar, pH 5.8) after 2 d of stratification and vertically grown for 10 d before transfer to new plates containing the indicated chemicals. After transfer onto new plates, the end of each root was marked as a starting point, and root growth arrest was monitored after 6 d. Root morphology and GUS staining were examined by differential interference contrast microscopy (Leica DM5000B), and fluorescence reporter lines were analyzed by confocal microscopy (Nikon TE2000U) with propidium iodide staining.

**Confocal Microscopy**

Col-0, PIN1:GFP, and PIN2:GFP plants were grown vertically in half-strength MS medium containing 1% Suc for 5 d and transferred to 10 μM DFPM half-strength MS medium. At the indicated time, primary roots were stained with propidium iodide and observed using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope with spectral settings for excitation at 488 nm/ emission at 593 to 555 nm for the GFP signal and excitation 514 nm and emission at 596 to 719 nm for propidium iodide. A constant gain value was used for each genotype. For root meristem cell quantification, Col-0, vctr-1, and vctr-2 plants were prepared as described above. Meristem cells in the division zone were counted using Image J (Schneider et al., 2012). A single file of cortex cells was analyzed in all roots. The division zone was defined as the region made up of isodiametric cells between the quiescent center up to the cell, which was twice the length of the cell immediately before it (González-García et al., 2011). Analysis of variance and Tukey test statistical analyses were conducted using the Origin-Pro version 8.6 package. Ten seedlings per genotype and treatment were analyzed.
QTL Analyses and VICTR Cloning

For initial mapping and QTL analyses, the DFPM-induced VICTR phenotype was scored on the 100 Col × Ler RILs. QTL analyses were performed using QTL package (version 1.11-12) that uses R software (version 2.8.1) based on the QTL package manual (Broman et al., 2003). Parameters used for LOD estimation were step = 1 and error probability = 0.01. Haldane map function was used as a mapping algorithm. Genotyping information of each Col × Ler RILs was retrieved from the microarray-based physical genomic mapping data set (Singer et al., 2006).

For marker-based cloning of the VICTR<sup>Col</sup> locus, the recessive Ler root development phenotype was screened from a mapping population of ~ 2000 F2 plants of Col crosses to Ler. The VICTR<sup>Col</sup> locus was mapped to an ~5- centimorgan interval on the lower arm of chromosome 5 based on linkage to PCR-based markers 18.1 Mb (5'-GTCGTAAGAAGTACCGCTGACAGGAT-3'/5'-TCTCAATCCAGTGTTGAAGAGAGAG-3') and 19.1 Mb (5'-GAACTTCTAAAGGATCAGCCACAG-3'/5'-CTGAATACAGTTAAGTGTCAT-3'·). Within the 100-kb region defined by markers 18.86 and 18.95 Mb, 21 T-DNA insertion lines targeting 14 genes among 18 predicted genes (At5g46490 to At5g46520; VICTR<sup>L1</sup>, At5g46510; EDS1, At3g48090; and PAD4, At3g56710) were analyzed for the root VICTR phenotype.

Deletion of VICTR in certain ecotypes (see Supplemental Figure 4 online) was examined by PCR amplification of genomic DNA templates using LA Taq and Ex Taq polymerases (Takara Bio). Control primers were 5'-ATGGTGGAGGATCTAATTTAAGAGT-3' and 5'-GATAAGGGAGCCAGGAT-3'. Internal primers were 5'-GGACGATTTTGCGCTGATCG-3'. Note that internal primers anneal to both VICTR<sup>Col</sup> and VICTR<sup>L1</sup> coding regions. All transgenic plants used for generation of VICTR<sup>Col</sup> and VICTL1 reporter lines, a 2.5-kb fragment from the VICTR<sup>Col</sup> promoter-driven reporter lines, a 2.5-kb fragment from the VICTR<sup>L1</sup> promoter-driven reporter lines, a 2.5-kb fragment from the VICTR<sup>Col</sup> coding region in the entry clone of EDS1. Myc-ed3<sup>L1262P</sup> was generated by Clonase LR recombination system. BiFC vectors for compatible BiFC vectors pMDC-nVenus or pMDC-cCFP (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011) using the Clonase LR recombination system. BiFC vectors for EDS1, PAD4, and GUS expression were used as in previous studies (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011). Agroinfiltration and confocal microscopy analysis were performed as indicated earlier. For fusion protein detection, three 7-mm-diameter leaf discs were excised from the infiltrated patches, ground in 8 M urea and analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-GFP antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

BiFC Assays

The pENTR-TOPO-VICTR clone was recombined into the Gateway-compatible BiFC vectors pMDC-nVenus or pMDC-cCFP (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011) using the Clonase LR recombination system. BiFC vectors for EDS1, PAD4, and GUS have been used in previous studies (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011). Agroinfiltration and confocal microscopy analysis were performed as indicated earlier. For fusion protein detection, three 7-mm-diameter leaf discs were excised from the infiltrated patches, ground in 8 M urea and analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-GFP antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Coomininprecipitation Analyses

HA-tagged VICTR was generated using pENTR-TOPO-VICTR and the destination vector HA-pBA (Kim et al., 2010). Myc-tagged EDS1, PAD4, and GUS have been used before (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011). The eds1<sup>L1262P</sup> mutant described by Rietz et al. (2011) was generated by overlap PCR using the primers 5'-TCCCTGTAGCTAAGGTCCTTA3'-3' and 5'-GTCAGGGAAACTAAAGGT-3' and replacing the wild-type fragment in the entry clone of EDS1. Myc-eds1<sup>L1262P</sup> was generated by Clonase recombining the entry clone into the Myc-pBA binary vector. Agrobacterium-infiltrated N. benthamiana leaves were processed for nuclear extracts as described by Palma et al. (2007). The resulting nuclear lysates were centrifuged at 14,000g for 10 min to remove nuclear debris. Immunoprecipitation was performed as published before (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011). The immunoprecipitates and the input fractions were analyzed with anti-HA (Roche) or anti-Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) antibodies.

Quantitative Real-Time RT-PCR

Col-0, C24, Bay-0, and one line of Bay-0 expressing VICTR<sup>Col</sup> were grown in half-strength MS media for 14 d and were transferred to half-strength MS containing 10 μM DFPM. After 24 h of DFPM treatment, total RNA was extracted, and real-time RT-PCR was performed to quantify the transcript levels of VICTR. Primers were designed with QuantPrime (Arvidsson et al., 2008); VICTR-qRT2-F, 5'-AGACAGGCGTCTACGGAGAGAGAG-3'; VICTR-qRT2-R, 5'-CCATTGCTGCTGCTGT-3'. Amplified samples were normalized against PDF2 levels: PDF2_F, 5'-TAAAGCTGCAGAATGGTGTCG-3'; PDF2_R, 5'-GGGATCATGATGTTGGATG-3'. Three 7-mm-diameter leaf discs were analyzed for each condition. Stomatal aperture measurements were performed as described before (Kim et al., 2011; Hubbard et al., 2012). Student’s t tests were performed for n = 3 independent experiments with 42 to 50 stomata analyzed for each condition.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative database under the following accession numbers: VICTR, At5g46520; VICTL1, At5g46510; EDS1, At3g48090; and PAD4, At3g56710.

Localization and Immunodetection of VICTR in Nicotiana benthamiana Cells

VICTR cDNA in the entry vector (pENTR-TOPO-VICTR) was transferred via Clonase LR recombination system (Invitrogen) into the Gateway-compatible destination vector pMDC43 (Curts and Grossniklaus, 2003), resulting in a GFP-VICTR expression vector. The RFP vector used in colocalization analysis was described earlier (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011). N. benthamiana leaves were infiltrated with the indicated Agrobacterium tumefaciens strains at an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.3 for each strain. Two days after infiltration, tissue sections from the infiltrated patches were analyzed by a two-photon equipped Zeiss confocal microscope. Three 7-mm-diameter leaf punches were excised from the infiltrated tissues and ground in 100 μL of 8 M urea and subjected to immunoblotting with anti-GFP antibodies (Sigma-Aldrich).

Transgenic Studies

To generate VICTR promoter-driven reporter lines, a 2.5-kb fragment from the start codon of Col-0 and Bay-0 was PCR amplified from genomic DNA using primers 5'-GGGGAACAAGTTTACAAAAAGCACGGTGACAGCTGAACATGCAAGGTCG-3'/5'-GGGGACCACTTTTGACTCAAGAAAGTGCGGTCAAACTGACAAGAAGAAGAGAAGTTGAC-3'. Internal primers were 5'-GGGACACCTTTTGACTCAAGAAAGAAGTTGAC-3'. Cloning into the pMDC43 (Curtis and Grossniklaus, 2003), transgenic lines, the VICTR<sup>Col</sup> phenotype in L. T horner (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011) using the Clonase LR recombination system. BiFC vectors for compatible BiFC vectors pMDC-nVenus or pMDC-cCFP (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011) using the Clonase LR recombination system. BiFC vectors for EDS1, PAD4, and GUS expression were used as in previous studies (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011). Agroinfiltration and confocal microscopy analysis were performed as indicated earlier. For fusion protein detection, three 7-mm-diameter leaf discs were excised from the infiltrated patches, ground in 8 M urea and analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-GFP antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).
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