The Dual Functions of WLIM1a in Cell Elongation and Secondary Wall Formation in Developing Cotton Fibers
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INTRODUCTION

The cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) fiber develops from a single epidermal cell of the seed coat. Fiber development can be divided into four overlapping stages: initiation, elongation, secondary wall deposition, and maturation (Graves and Stewart, 1988). Mature cotton fiber cells are extremely long, up to 3 to 5 cm, and are occupied in major part by a secondary wall that consists of mainly of cellulose (>90%) and some minor non-cellulosic carbohydrates, such as xyl glucans, pectic polysaccharides, xylans, glucomannans, and glucans (Meinert and Delmer, 1977; Huwyler et al., 1979). Owing to its exceptional cell length and simple secondary wall composition, the cotton fiber provides an excellent model for studies of plant cell elongation and cell wall biogenesis (Kim and Triplett, 2001). During recent years, significant progress has been made in large-scale identification of genes and proteins involved in fiber development, particularly those related to fiber elongation (Arpat et al., 2004; Shi et al., 2006; Gou et al., 2007; Q.Q. Wang et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2010; X.M. Li et al., 2013), and a few fiber elongation-related genes have been structurally or functionally characterized (Ruan et al., 2003; Li et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2013). However, to date, little is known about the genes and molecular mechanisms governing secondary wall formation.

Lignin is a complex aromatic heteropolymer consisting of three major phenylpropanoid monomers (Boerjan et al., 2003). In vascular plants, lignin is an important component of secondary walls where it acts as natural glue, cross-linking cellulose microfibrils to provide mechanical support to plant tissues (Liu, 2012). Recent molecular and genetic studies revealed that the biosynthesis of secondary wall lignin phenolics is activated via a transcriptional regulatory network (Zhong and Ye, 2007; Zhao and Dixon, 2011). Unlike fiber cells of other plants, the cotton fiber cell has been considered to have no lignin deposition in its secondary wall (Kim and Triplett, 2001). However, several recent studies seem to require that we update this notion. For example, Fan et al. (2009) reported that lignin-like phenolics were present in cotton fibers, and the cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase (CAD) genes encoding the key enzymes involved in monolignol biosynthesis were highly expressed during the secondary wall synthesis stage. In addition, transcriptome analyses revealed that genes encoding components of the phenylpropanoid pathway are expressed in developing fiber cells (Gou et al., 2007; Al-Ghazi et al., 2009), and the microRNA GhmiR397, targeting the laccase gene involved in lignin polymerization, was found in fiber initials (Wang et al., 2012). Data from all of these studies lead to the hypothesis that lignin or lignin-like phenolics are synthesized in cotton fibers. Identification of fiber-specific gene(s) that may regulate biosynthesis of lignin/lignin-like phenolics, and investigation of their functions in secondary cell wall...
formation will certainly help to verify the presence of such phenolics in fiber cells and, more importantly, to understand the contribution of phenolics to the development of secondary wall properties and fiber quality.

LIN-11, Isl1 and MEC-3 (LIM)-domain proteins are widely distributed in eukaryotes ranging from yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) to humans (Dawid et al., 1995). In animal cells, these proteins are distributed in the cytosol, the nucleus, or in both subcellular compartments. The nuclear LIM-domain proteins act primarily in tissue-specific gene regulation and cell fate determination, whereas the cytoplasmic LIM-domain proteins function mainly in cytoskeletal organization (Zheng and Zhao, 2007). Some LIM-domain proteins have both cytoplasmic and nuclear functions and shuttle between the cytoplasm and nucleus (Boateng et al., 2009; Moes et al., 2013). Based on these features of LIM-domain proteins, it has been proposed that LIM-domain proteins may mediate communication between the cytoplasm and the nucleus (Weiskirchen and Günther, 2003).

The first known plant LIM-domain protein, PLIM1, was identified from sunflower (Helianthus annuus) pollen (Baltz et al., 1992a, 1992b). Subsequently, LIM-domain proteins have been identified from a number of plant species, such as tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum), lily (Lilium longiflorum), Arabidopsis thaliana, and cotton (Luo et al., 2003; Thomas et al., 2006; Arnaud et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2008; Y. Li et al., 2013). Plant LIM-domain proteins belong to the Cys-rich protein subfamily of animal LIM-domain proteins. They are small proteins of around 200 amino acids and have two LIM domains that are separated by a long (40 to 50 amino acid) linker (Weiskirchen and Günther, 2003). Arabidopsis and tobacco LIM-domain proteins can be characterized into two groups: The PLIMs, which are specifically expressed in pollen grains, and the WLIMs, which are expressed in all tissues (Eliaßon et al., 2000). While most of the characterized plant LIM-domain proteins showed actin binding activity, two tobacco LIMs (WLIM1 and WLIM2) exhibited functions in both actin organization and transcriptional activation. Tobacco WLIM1 (N-LIM1) was found to bind specifically to the Phe ammonia lyase (PAL)-box element and activate the expression of a β-glucuronidase gene placed under the control of the promoter of the horseradish peroxidase C2 (prxC2) gene (Koathien et al., 2002) in the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway (Kawaoaka et al., 2000; Kawaoaka and Ebinuma, 2001), and tobacco WLIM2 was shown to bind to the octameric cis-elements (Oct) and activate the expression of the basal histone gene involved in cell proliferation and cell cycle progression (Moes et al., 2013). In addition, several plant LIM-domain proteins, including tobacco WLIM1 and WLIM2, sunflower WLIM1, and Arabidopsis LIMs, have cytoplasmic-nuclear localization, and tobacco WLIM2 was shown to shuttle to the nucleus upon cytoskeleton remodeling (Brière et al., 2003; Thomas et al., 2006; Papuga et al., 2010; Moes et al., 2013). Although these results provide important evidence for the subcellular distribution, cytoskeletal function, and transcription factor functions of some plant LIMs, our understanding of the physiological relevance of the plant LIM-domain proteins remains limited.

In this study, we characterized the functions of the cotton WLIM1a protein. We show that WLIM1a has cytoplasmic and nuclear localization and exerts dual functions. On one hand, WLIM1a binds the actin cytoskeleton to bundle actin filaments, which is favorable for fiber cell elongation. On the other hand, WLIM1a binds to the PAL-box DNA element to enhance the transcription of PAL-box genes and stimulates the biosynthesis of lignin/lignin-like phenolics, which is crucial for secondary wall formation. Furthermore, we observed that WLIM1a could shuttle into the nucleus, and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) served as a trigger. Interestingly, we found that a simultaneous improvement in fiber fineness and strength occurred along with the WLIM1a-mediated increase in lignin/lignin-like phenolics content. These results may provide a promising strategy for concurrent improvement of fiber properties by genetic manipulation.

RESULTS

Cloning of WLIM1a and Expression Pattern Analysis

We identified four cotton homologs of Arabidopsis LIM genes by performing database searches. The phylogenetic relationships of these cotton LIMs, together with Gh-WLIM5 identified by Y. Li et al. (2013), were compared with LIMs from Arabidopsis. The result shows that these cotton LIM-domain proteins all belong to the WLIM subgroup. Three of these proteins are homologs of Arabidopsis WLIM1 and two are homologs of Arabidopsis WLIM2a and WLIM2b. Hence, we designated the four LIMs we identified as WLIM1a, WLIM1b, WLIM1c, and WLIM2a, respectively (Figure 1A; see Supplemental Data Set 1 online). To identify LIM genes that are preferentially expressed in cotton fibers, we used quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) to analyze the expression patterns of the four WLIMs. The results showed that these WLIM genes were differentially expressed in cotton organs and that WLIM1a was expressed preferentially in cotton fiber cells and was also abundant in the stem (Figures 1B to 1E).

To further understand the expression specificity of WLIM1a, we investigated the expression profile of WLIM1a in developing fibers. As shown in Figure 1F, WLIM1a expression occurred during the elongation and secondary wall synthesis stages (6 to 24 d postanthesis [DPA]), indicating that its expression is associated with fiber elongation and secondary wall biogenesis.

The full-length cDNA of WLIM1a (JX648310) was cloned through 5’ rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE). The predicted WLIM1a protein consists of 190 amino acids and contains two typical LIM domains (domain1 and domain2) that are separated by a 44-amino acid linker sequence.

WLIM1a Function Is Associated with Fiber Length Development

Both gain- and loss-of-function approaches were applied to characterize the cellular function of WLIM1a. For the gain-of-function strategy, we generated cotton plants overexpressing WLIM1a by cloning the WLIM1a cDNA in sense orientation under the control of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter and introducing the construct into cotton via Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation. Similarly, for the loss-of-function strategy,
the WLIM1a cDNA was cloned in antisense orientation. For subsequent analyses, we selected three independent lines of transgenic plants for either WLIM1a overexpression (lines 406, 286, and 41) or WLIM1a underexpression (lines A1, A2, and A38). We confirmed the up- or downregulation of WLIM1a expression in these transgenic lines by qRT-PCR (Figures 2A and 2B). Phenotypic examination showed no significant differences in overall growth and development between the wild type and the two types of transgenic plants except that WLIM1a-overexpressing plants exhibited some increases in the length of the peduncle and peduncle trichomes and in the thickness of the stem (see Supplemental Figure 1 online). As WLIM1a is expressed preferentially in fiber cells, we then inspected the effects of WLIM1a on fiber growth and found obvious change in fiber length in the overexpressing lines (Figure 2C). Quantitative analysis indicated that the fibers of lines 406, 286, and 41 were 10.6, 9.9, and 9.6% longer than the wild type, respectively (Figure 2E). The WLIM1a-undexpressing lines did not exhibit an obvious alteration in fiber length (Figures 2D and 2F) or in other fiber properties described below (see Supplemental Figures 2 and 3 online), implying a functional redundancy of LIM genes in cotton plants.

Overexpression of WLIM1a Alters the Architecture of the Secondary Cell Wall

As the secondary cell wall occupies the major part of the mature cotton fiber, we next inspected the wall structure of wild-type and WLIM1a-overexpressing fibers. To our surprise, the cross sections of the transgenic fiber cells showed a dramatic decrease in the thickness and perimeters of the secondary cell wall (Figure 3A). For example, the median thickness of the wild-type fiber was 6.14 µm, whereas the transgenic fibers were 4.63, 4.74, and 4.91 µm for lines 406, 286, and 41, respectively (Figure 3C). To see this change more clearly, we used transmission electron microscopy to view longitudinal sections of mature and 30-DPA fibers and found that the secondary walls of the transgenic fibers were much thinner and appeared more compact than those of the wild-type cells (Figure 3B). As the secondary wall is built up with an ordered array of cellulose microfibrils and their deposition pattern is a major determinant of cell wall properties (Emons and Mulder, 2000), we also measured this parameter by x-ray diffraction and found that the microfibrils angles were reduced in the transgenic fibers compared with the wild-type control (Table 1). Scanning electron
microscopy was also performed to view the surface of the fibers. Figure 3D shows that the arrangement of fibrils on the surface of transgenic fibers at the secondary synthesis stage was clearly different compared with the wild-type fiber. The surface of the transgenic cells looked smoother, with more uniform arrays of the fibrils aligned with each other, while grooves could be clearly seen in the surface of the control fiber cells. All these analyses revealed that the transgenic fibers possessed cell walls with different structural features.

To see if the thinner secondary wall was due to a decrease in cellulose, which constitutes more than 90% of the mature fiber mass, we measured the crystalline cellulose content and analyzed the expression levels of the cellulose synthase genes CesA1 and CesA2 in the cotton fibers. Despite the substantially decreased cell wall thickness, the cellulose content and the expression levels of CesA1 and CesA2 in the transgenic fibers were not significantly changed (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). This result indicates that a factor(s) other than cellulose biosynthesis may account for the changes in secondary wall structure.

**Biosynthesis of Lignin/Lignin-Like Phenolics Is Enhanced in WLIM1a-Overexpressing Fibers**

A previous study reported that tobacco LIM1 functions as a transcription factor to regulate lignin biosynthesis (Kawaoka et al., 2000; Kawaoka and Ebinuma, 2001); therefore, we were interested to see if WLIM1a function was also associated with lignin biosynthesis, although cotton fiber cells were previously considered to be lignin free. First, we stained cotton fibers with phloroglucinol-HCl, which stains lignin/lignin-like phenolics red. Wild-type fibers were stained faint red by phloroglucinol-HCl, compared with those soaked in buffer (Figure 4A, panels a and b), suggesting that cotton fibers of variety R15 may contain lignin/lignin-like phenolics, but at a low level. Compared with the wild-type fibers, the WLIM1a-overexpressing fibers stained a slightly darker color (Figure 4A, panels c to e), indicating the presence of a relatively higher amount of lignin/lignin-like phenolics. To further test for the presence of these materials in fiber cells, we applied both the Klason and thioglycolate methods to
measure the lignin/lignin-like phenolics in mature cotton fiber. The Klason method dissolves away polysaccharides and small soluble phenolics with sulfuric acid, leaving the insoluble lignin phenolics on the filter paper. Using this method, we clearly observed insoluble residue from wild-type and transgenic fibers (Figure 4B). The dry weight of Klason phenolics was 0.98% for the wild type and 1.54, 1.48, and 1.38% for transgenic lines 406, 286, and 41, respectively (Figure 4C). The lignin/lignin-like content measured by the thioglycolate method also showed that there were more lignin/lignin-like phenolics in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>MFAs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>18.24 ± 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406</td>
<td>16.08 ± 0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>286</td>
<td>16.38 ± 0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>16.62 ± 0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value is the average ± se of about 10 measurements. 406, 286, and 41, WLIM1a-overexpressing lines.
the *WLIM1a*-overexpressing fibers, ranging from 1.3- to 1.6-fold higher in the three transgenic lines compared with the wild-type fibers (Figure 4D). These data were consistent and reproducible with the two methods. Besides the increased amount of lignin/lignin-like phenolics in cotton fibers, we also observed ectopic deposition of lignin in peduncle phloem cells in the transgenic plants, in addition to the normal deposition in the xylem cells (see Supplemental Figure 4 online), and similar changes in lignin deposition occurred in stem phloem cells as well.

HPLC analysis of the intermediates in the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway supported the results from the Klason and thioglycolate analyses. As seen in Table 2, the residues predominantly contained products of the phenylpropanoid pathway, such as caffeic acid, vanillic acid, sinapic acid, and ferulic acid in wild-type fibers, and their amounts increased in the *WLIM1a*-overexpressing cotton fibers.

**WLIM1a Activates Transcription of PAL-Box Genes in the Phenylpropanoid Pathway**

The increased phenolic content in *WLIM1a*-overexpressing fibers indicates that WLIM1a may play a role in the transcriptional regulation of PAL-box genes involved in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, as previously reported for Nt-LIM1 (Kawaoka et al., 2000; Kawaoka and Ebimuna, 2001). Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) indicated that His-tagged WLIM1a proteins indeed bound to the PAL-box, but did not bind to Oct, a binding motif for Nt-WLIM2 (Moes et al., 2013), under the same experimental conditions (see Supplemental Figure 5 online).

**Table 2.** HPLC Analysis of Intermediates in the Phenylpropanoid Biosynthesis Pathway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Caffeic acid</th>
<th>Vanillic acid</th>
<th>Sinapic acid</th>
<th>Ferulic acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.054</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.018</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.036</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.076</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.026</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.043</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>286</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.068</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.019</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.058</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.059</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.034</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.046</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value is the mean (mg/g dry cell walls) ± SE of three separate assays. 406, 286, and 41, *WLIM1a*-overexpressing lines.
To test the transcription factor activity of WLIM1a in vivo, we analyzed the expression levels of some key genes in the phenylpropanoid pathway. As expected, several genes, such as those encoding for 4-coumarate coenzyme A (CoA) ligase 1 (4CL1), cinnamoyl CoA reductase1 (CCR1), and CAD6, were expressed preferentially in the later stage of fiber development in the wild-type fibers. In the WLIM1a-overexpressing cotton fibers, expression of these genes was significantly induced (Figure 5A). EMSA and dual-luciferase reporter (DLR) assays were conducted to verify whether the enhanced expression of these genes was a consequence of WLIM1a expression. The promoters containing the PAL-box element (see Supplemental Table 1 online) of the three cotton genes (4CL1, CCR1, and CAD6) were cloned and used for the EMSA and DLR assays. As seen in Figure 5B, WLIM1a could bind directly to the three promoter fragments. The DLR assay was conducted according to the methods described by Ohta et al. (2001). Figures 5C and 5D show that compared with the GAL4 DNA binding domain (GAL4-BD) negative control, WLIM1a strongly activated the expression of the reporter gene; moreover, cotransfection of the effector (35S-GAL4BD-WLIM1a) and reporter (GAL4-4CL1pro-LUC, GAL4-CCR1pro-LUC, or GAL4-CAD6pro-LUC) also resulted in higher firefly luciferase (LUC) activity than the control.

Concurrent Improvement of Fiber Fineness and Strength in WLIM1a-Overexpressing Fibers

As the thickness and structure of the secondary wall are directly related to fiber fineness and strength, the two major fiber properties, we evaluated these two traits in wild-type and
transgenic fibers. We measured the micronaire value, which is used for assessing fiber fineness and maturity; a higher micronaire value usually indicates fibers with thicker cell walls (Gordon, 2007). Indeed, along with the formation of a thinner secondary wall, the micronaire values of the transgenic fibers decreased accordingly. As shown in Table 3, the micronaire units of transgenic lines 406, 286, and 41 were -20.2, 19.1, and 19.1% lower than that of the wild type, respectively. Interestingly, we found that despite having a thinner secondary wall, the strength of the transgenic fibers increased significantly. The fiber strength values of transgenic lines 406, 286, and 41 were -9.7, 9.0, and 7.3% higher than that of the wild type, respectively. Thus, the formation of the thinner and more compact secondary wall in WLIM1a-overexpressing fibers resulted in simultaneous improvement of fiber fineness and strength.

**WLIM1a Acts as an Actin Bundler and Functions in Fiber Cell Elongation**

The putative actin-related function of WLIM1a was assessed. A high-speed cosedimentation assay showed that 6×His-tagged WLIM1a cosedimented with actin filaments (Figure 6A). To verify the binding of WLIM1a to filaments actin (F-actin), we expressed recombinant 6×His-tagged WLIM1a-RFP (for red fluorescent protein) and incubated it with preassembled F-actin. Figure 6B shows that the actin filaments were decorated by WLIM1a-RFP proteins. We also calculated apparent equilibrium K_d values, as previously described by Thomas et al. (2006). The K_d value in the representative experiment (see Supplemental Figure 6 online) was 0.32, and at saturation, the stoichiometry of the interaction was 1.89:1 (WLIM1a:actin). For three such experiments, a mean K_d ± SD value of 0.42 ± 0.13 µM (n = 3) and a stoichiometry at saturation of 1.96 ± 0.19 mol WLIM1a bound per mol actin were calculated.

The ability of WLIM1a to bundle actin filaments was examined by low-speed cosedimentation. In the absence of WLIM1a, very few polymerized actin filaments sedimented; however, in the presence of WLIM1a, the amount of actin in the pellet increased proportionally to the WLIM1a concentration (Figure 6C). The actin bundling activity of WLIM1a was confirmed by fluorescence microscopy to visualize actin filaments stained with Alexa488-phalloidin (Figure 6D). We also tested whether the F-actin bundling activity of WLIM1a was pH or Ca^{2+} dependent like the *Arabidopsis* LIM-domain protein PLIM2c (Papuga et al., 2010). The results indicate that WLIM1a actin bundling activity was not affected by pH or Ca^{2+} (see Supplemental Figure 7 online).

This different feature of pH and Ca^{2+} dependence between PLIM2c and WLIM1a may be due to the sequence divergence at their C termini.

To characterize the cytoskeletal function of WLIM1a in vivo, we compared the F-actin structure in wild-type and transgenic fibers at the elongation stage by Alexa488-phalloidin staining. As seen in Figure 7A, both in 6- and 9-DPA cotton fibers, longitudinal or oblique actin bundles in the cortical region appeared more abundant in the transgenic fibers than in the wild-type fibers. The analysis of skewness, a statistical parameter, was conducted to quantify actin bundles (Higaki et al., 2010). Compared with that of the wild-type fiber, the skewness values were higher in transgenic fibers, indicating that the actin arrays in 6- and 9-DPA transgenic fibers were bundled to a greater extent (Figure 7B). These results indicate that WLIM1a bundles actin filaments in the living cells as it does in vitro, and this function is associated with cell elongation.

To further investigate the relevance of WLIM1a-mediated actin bundle formation to cell elongation, we also generated transgenic tobacco Bright Yellow 2 (BY2) cells overexpressing WLIM1a. Phenotype analysis showed that overexpression of WLIM1a raised the abundance of the actin bundles and led to the formation of elongated BY2 cells (see Supplemental Figure 8 online).

**Cytoplasmic-Nuclear Localization of WLIM1a and Its Translocation into Nucleus in Response to H_{2}O_{2}**

The subcellular distribution of WLIM1a was examined to further elucidate the mechanisms by which WLIM1a exerts its dual functions. Because of technical difficulties with the long cotton fiber cells, we used tobacco BY2 cells as a model system for this study. A plasmid containing a WLIM1a-GFP (for green fluorescent protein) fusion was transformed into BY2 cells. As shown in Figure 8A, panels a and d, WLIM1a-GFP fusion proteins accumulated both on the actin filaments (Figure 8A, panel b, stained with Alexa543-phalloidin) and in the nucleus (Figure 8A, panel e, stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole [DAPI]). The merged images (Figure 8A, panels c and f) confirmed the dual localization of WLIM1a. Although the consequence of fusing GFP to WLIM1a has not been fully characterized, the fusion proteins localized to actin bundles and were able to shuttle from the cytoplasm to the nucleus upon H_{2}O_{2} treatment (see below), suggesting that the fusion protein is functional.

To see if the cytoplasmic WLIM1a can shuttle to the nucleus and, if so, what the trigger could be, we examined the subcellular

---

**Table 3. Comparison of Fiber Quality Parameters between Wild-Type and WLIM1a-Overexpressing Plants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Fiber Length (mm)</th>
<th>Micronaire Units</th>
<th>Fiber Strength (cN/tex)</th>
<th>Fiber Maturity Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>28.07 ± 0.85</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.14</td>
<td>29.9 ± 0.94</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406</td>
<td>31.06 ± 0.68</td>
<td>3.75 ± 0.12</td>
<td>32.8 ± 0.65</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>286</td>
<td>30.87 ± 0.59</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.08</td>
<td>32.6 ± 0.86</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>30.81 ± 0.76</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.21</td>
<td>32.1 ± 0.78</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD for samples of wild-type and WLIM1a-overexpressing plants (lines 406, 286, and 41). The fiber length, micronaire, fiber strength, and fiber maturity ratio were measured at the National Center for Evaluation of Fiber Quality (Anyang, China). cN/tex: Centi-Newton per Tex.
distribution of WLIM1a-GFP in the absence or presence of externally applied H$_2$O$_2$, Ca$^{2+}$, or hormones including indole-3-acetic acid, gibberellic acid, and ethylene, which we considered as candidate triggers based on the literature. We found that WLIM1a was able to translocate from the cytosol into nucleus in response to H$_2$O$_2$ but not to Ca$^{2+}$ or any of the hormones. As indicated in Figure 8B, panels a and d, WLIM1a-GFP was distributed within both the cytoplasm and nucleus of BY2 cells cotransformed with WLIM1a-GFP and ABD2-mCherry plasmids under normal conditions. When cells were subjected to H$_2$O$_2$ treatment, WLIM1a signals in the nucleoplasm increased from 0 to 16 min after H$_2$O$_2$ treatment, with stronger signals appeared in the nucleolus gradually (Figure 8B, panels a to c), indicating that some WLIM1a proteins translocated into the nucleus. Furthermore, a majority of the actin filaments decorated by actin binding domain2 (ABD2-mCherry) were retained in the cytosol (Figure 8B, panels e and f) after H$_2$O$_2$ treatment, indicating that the unloading of WLIM1a proteins from the F-actin bundles was not a result of a breakdown of the actin cytoskeleton. The skewness and average occupancy values of actin filaments in the cell before and after H$_2$O$_2$ treatment indicated that when WLIM1a proteins entered into the nucleus, the amount of actin bundles decreased and more, thinner actin filaments were present in the cell (see Supplemental Figures 9A and 9B online).

Thus, translocation of WLIM1a into the nucleus occurred when the cells were placed in an oxidative environment. Such events were clearly revealed by time-lapse imaging, which showed that while the WLIM1a-GFP signals on actin filaments gradually disappeared, the signal in the nucleus gradually increased (see Supplemental Movie 1 online). In order to exclude the possibility that fluorescence exposure affected the translocation of WLIM1a-GFP proteins, we also performed a control experiment without application of H$_2$O$_2$. As seen in Supplemental Movie 2 online, the WLIM1a-GFP signal did not appear in the nucleus without H$_2$O$_2$ treatment over the same time period of fluorescence exposure.

It is known that a reactive oxygen species (ROS) burst occurs during the transition from elongation to secondary wall synthesis in developing fibers (see Discussion). The H$_2$O$_2$-triggered entry of WLIM1a into the nucleus of BY2 cell suggested that a similar process may occur in cotton fibers. To assess this possibility, we extracted nuclear proteins from different stages of cotton fibers, and the purity of nuclear proteins was ensured by the absence of β-actin (a marker of cytoplasmic proteins) in the protein preparations (Zhang et al., 2012) (see Supplemental Figure 10 online). Protein gel blot analysis showed that the time when WLIM1a proteins started to accumulate in the nucleus coincided with that of the ROS burst (Potikha et al., 1999). As shown in Figure 9A, the WLIM1a protein level was rather low in the nuclei of fast elongating fiber cells (6 to 12 DPA); during the transition from the elongation to secondary wall synthesis stages (15 DPA), WLIM1a proteins started to accumulate in the nucleus and increased to a higher level at the active secondary wall synthesis stage (18 to 24 DPA). qRT-PCR analysis was also conducted to examine the expression of WLIM1a-regulated genes in developing fibers, and none of the target genes tested were found to be activated in the elongating fiber cells (Figure 9B). In addition, the lignin/lignin-like phenolics were undetectable at the elongation stage (Figure 9C). To further elucidate the causal link between H$_2$O$_2$ and nuclear import of WLIM1a in the cotton fibers, we performed a protein gel blot experiment to examine whether the nuclear import of WLIM1a would be promoted or prevented after addition of H$_2$O$_2$ or ROS scavengers to ovule cultures. The results showed that when H$_2$O$_2$ was added to 9-DPA ovule cultures, WLIM1a apparently accumulated in the
nucleus, indicating that the nuclear import of the proteins was promoted by exogenously applied H$_2$O$_2$; by contrast, when diphenyleneiodonium was added to the ovule cultures to inhibit production of ROS, WLIM1a proteins did not appear in the nuclear proteins of the fibers up to 18 DPA (Figures 9D and 9E). These results confirmed that H$_2$O$_2$ is indeed an essential trigger for the nuclear translocation of WLIM1a.

**DISCUSSION**

LIM-domain proteins have been identified in various plants and have been shown to have varied functions in cytoskeletal organization and transcriptional regulation. In *Arabidopsis*, all six LIM-domain proteins were found to decorate actin filaments (Papuga et al., 2010). In tobacco, LIM1 was found to be a transcriptional regulator that was able to activate the expression of a β-glucuronidase reporter gene expressed under the control of the prxC2 promoter, and overexpression of LIM1 led to upregulated expression of PAL-box genes *PAL*, *4CL*, and *CAD*. In addition, sunflower LIM1 was shown to bind to microtubules and has a nuclear function in interphase cells (Brière et al., 2003). Recently, it was reported the Nt-WLIM2 has dual functions in actin bundling and transcriptional activation of the histone gene, and it shuttles to the nucleus in response to cytoskeletal remodeling (Moes et al., 2013). In our study, both in vitro and in vivo data showed that WLIM1a has dual functions in
Figure 8. Subcellular Localization of WLIM1a and Its Translocation from the Cytosol into the Nucleus in Tobacco BY2 Cells. 

(A) Subcellular localization of WLIM1a-GFP in BY2 cells. (a) and (d) Green fluorescence of WLIM1a-GFP fusion proteins; (b) Alexa543-phalloidin stained actin filaments in (a); (e) DAPI-stained nucleus in (d); (c) and (f) merged images. Bar = 20 µm.
actin bundling and transcriptional activation of PAL-box genes involved in the biosynthesis of lignin/lignin-like phenolics and that cytoplasmic WLIM1a could translocate into the nucleus, which is triggered by H$_2$O$_2$. Taken together, these studies imply a complicate spectrum of LIM functions in plants. On one hand, LIM cytoskeletal functions are linked with both actin cytoskeleton and microtubules; on the other hand, their transcription factor functions target different genes. Moreover, their cytoplasmic-nucleus movements can be triggered by different stimuli. Thus, it seems that the cellular roles of LIM protein family are more multifaceted than might be expected.

**WLIM1a Contributes to Fiber Elongation through Its Actin Bundling Activity**

In plant cells, actin bundles serve as tracks for intracellular transport. It has been shown that actin bundles are required for proper cell elongation and morphogenesis (Hussey et al., 2006; Staiger and Blanchon, 2006). Cotton fibers are highly specialized single cells. During the fast elongation stage, cotton fibers undergo enormous growth and increase their length 1000 to 3000 times the diameter of the cell (Meinert and Delmer, 1977), a process that requires highly active cellular transport. To cope with this requirement, F-actin filaments must be actively assembled to transport the large amounts of cell wall and membrane components required for cell expansion. In this study, we observed that WLIM1a is expressed predominantly in fiber cells, and its overexpression led to increased cell length associated with the formation of more abundant actin filament bundles. Such a relationship between actin bundles and cell length was also observed in WLIM1a-transgenic BY2 cells. Our results suggest that WLIM1a contributes to rapid fiber elongation via its function in actin filament bundling. In agreement with our data, lily LIM1 has been found to participate in the regulation of actin filament organization and dynamics during pollen tube elongation (Wang et al., 2008).

**WLIM1a Functions in Secondary Wall Formation and May Mediate Crosstalk between the Cytoplasm and Nucleus in Developing Cotton Fibers**

In our study, we found that WLIM1a functions as a transcription factor to activate the expression of PAL-box genes in the phenylpropanoid pathway, and overexpression of WLIM1a promoted the biosynthesis of the lignin/lignin-like phenolics in transgenic fibers. Cell wall structure analyses by transmission electron microscopy and x-ray diffraction revealed a thinner cell wall with smaller microfibril angles. Based on the function of lignin in cross-linking cellulose microfibrils, we assume that the increase in lignin/lignin-like phenolics in the transgenic fiber cells may enhance the cohesion of the cellulose microfibrils, which were not altered in terms of quantity, thus leading to the formation of a thinner and more compact cell wall with improved fiber fineness and strength.

In our previous study, we found that the presence of higher amounts of actin bundles by downregulation of actin depolymerizing factor led to the formation of transgenic fiber cells with increased strength and thickness (Wang et al., 2009). Here, we observed that overexpression of WLIM1a resulted in increased production of both actin bundles and lignin/lignin-like phenolics in cotton fibers. Although we cannot rule out the possibility that the presence of more actin bundles had an effect on the strength-related wall structure of the WLIM1a-overexpressing fibers, the formation of thinner and more compacted secondary walls in these cells should be attributed to the enhanced biosynthesis of lignin/lignin-like phenolics.

In cotton fibers, an ROS burst occurs during the transition from the elongation to the secondary wall synthesis stages, and this ROS burst is required for the onset of secondary wall synthesis (Potitka et al., 1999; Hovav et al., 2008). WLIM1a is expressed mainly during the elongation and the secondary wall synthesis stages. When the fiber is rapidly elongating, WLIM1a may act as an actin bundling protein and contribute mainly to active intracellular transportation. Once fiber elongation is arrested when the ROS burst occurs, WLIM1a may respond to the high ROS levels and enter into the nucleus, where it serves as a transcription factor to activate the expression of the genes in the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway. Thus, WLIM1a may play an important role in the crosstalk between the processes of cell elongation and secondary wall synthesis in developing cotton fibers.

**Lignin/Lignin-Like Phenolics May Represent a Determinant of Fiber Properties**

Although it has been widely accepted that cotton fiber contains no lignin, several recent studies raised the possibility that lignin/lignin-like phenolics are actually synthesized in cotton fiber cells (Gou et al., 2007; Al-Ghazi et al., 2009; Fan et al., 2009). In this study, our results support the presence of lignin/lignin-like phenolics in cotton fibers based on the following observations. First, using two different lignin measurement methods, lignin/lignin-like phenolics were detected in wild-type fiber cells, and HPLC analysis identified the key intermediates of the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway. Second, real-time PCR analysis detected relatively high levels of expression of the PAL-box genes at the secondary wall synthesis stage in wild-type fibers. Third, overexpression of WLIM1a enhanced the expression of...
the PAL-box genes and led to the production of higher amounts of lignin/lignin-like phenolics in transgenic fiber cells, which affected the development of fiber properties. Based on these experimental data, it seems reasonable to believe that lignin/lignin-like phenolic polymers are constituents of the secondary cell walls of cotton fiber cells. The earlier conclusions about the absence of lignin/lignin-like may be attributable to the low content of lignin in fiber cells and the technical limitation for detection of such low percentages of this substance.

According to our results as well as those of other studies (Gou et al., 2007; Al-Ghazi et al., 2009; Fan et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012), it is possible that lignin/lignin-like phenolics is a determinant of cotton fiber quality.

**Application Potential of the WLIM1a Gene**

Higher quality natural fibers are highly desirable for the current textile market to meet demands based on the increasing standard of living and advanced textile techniques. Because of the frequently observed negative correlation between fiber fineness and strength, the concurrent improvement of the two traits has been a bottleneck problem in cotton breeding. We found that a slight increase in WLIM1a gene expression could enhance the expression of PAL-box genes and augment the lignin/lignin-like phenolic content, which led to a favorable improvement in both fiber fineness and strength. Thus, our results provide a clue for concurrent improvement of fiber quality properties via genetic manipulation of WLIM1a or other genes involved in the biosynthesis of lignin/lignin-like phenolics.

Finally, two points should be considered regarding the biosynthesis of lignin/lignin-like phenolics in fiber cells and in term of its application: (1) Because of the technical limitation in determining the polymer length of lignin/lignin-like phenolics, we cannot rule out the possibility that the lignin/lignin-like phenolics we described here are different from the lignin phenolics in the secondary walls of other plant cells in characteristics including polymerization status, etc. Further study is required to verify the biochemical nature of the cotton fiber lignin/lignin-like phenolics. (2) Although an increase in the lignin/lignin-like phenolics could improve fiber fineness and strength, it might also increase the rigidity of fiber cells, which is unfavorable for spinability of the fibers. Thus, the biosynthesis of lignin/lignin-like phenolics must be modulated to an optimal level in cotton fibers.

---

**Figure 9.** Analysis of the Link between ROS and Nuclear Translocation of WLIM1a in Cotton Fibers.

(A) Protein gel blot analysis of WLIM1a in nuclear and total proteins in developing fiber cells. (a) and (c) WLIM1a in nuclear and total proteins, respectively. (b) and (d) CBB, Coomassie blue staining of the gel to show equal loading of nuclear and total proteins, respectively.

(B) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression of 4CL1, CCR1, and CAD6 genes in developing fiber cells. The cotton UBI gene was used as an internal control. Error bars represent ± se of three biological replicates.

(C) Thioglycolate analysis on the content of lignin/lignin-like phenolics in developing and mature fiber cells. Error bars represent ± se of three biological replicates.

(D) Analysis of the translocation of WLIM1a into the nucleus in response to exogenous addition of H2O2 (left panels) and diphenyleneiodonium (DPI; right panels). (a) and (b) Protein gel blot analysis of WLIM1a and Histone 3; (c) CBB, Coomassie blue staining of the gel to show equal loading of nuclear proteins.

(E) Fluorescence analysis of 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate-stained ROS in cotton fibers after treatment with H2O2 or diphenyleneiodonium. (a) 9-DPA fiber; (b) 9-DPA fiber treated with H2O2 (1 mM) for 3 h; (c) 15-DPA fibers; (d) 9-DPA fiber treated with 1 mM diphenyleneiodonium for 6 d. Bars = 20 μm.

[See online article for color version of this figure.]
METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

The wild-type cotton variety R15 (Gossypium hirsutum) and WLIM1a transgenic cotton plants (T3 generation) were used in this study. The cotton plants were cultivated in the field under standard conditions. Flowers were tagged on the day of anthesis. Cotton bolls were harvested at 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, 27, 30, and 35 DPA, respectively. Ovules were excised from the bolls, and fibers were scraped from the ovules. All collected materials were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C before use.

For ovule culture, the bolls were collected at 2 DPA, surface sterilized with 95% (v/v) ethanol for 5 s, then sterilized at 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, 27, 30, and 35 DPA, respectively. Ovules containing 0.5 M indole-3-acetic acid, 0.5 M gibberellic acid, and 200 mg/mL of cefotaxime in the dark at 30°C without agitation.

Cloning of WLIM1a cDNA

Total RNA (2 µg) from 12-DPA cotton fibers was used in the RACE experiments using a BD SMART RACE kit (Clontech, TAKARA BIO Group). The primers used in RACE were designed based on the EST sequence (GenBank accession number ES847967) obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information. The full-length cDNA (GenBank accession number JX648310) was obtained by PCR. Open reading frame (ORF) and motif analyses were performed with National Center for Biotechnology Information BLAST and DNAMAN version 6.0.

Phylogenetic Analysis

The protein sequences were aligned using the ClustalX program version 1.83. The unrooted phylogenetic tree was produced using MEGA program version 5.1 (Tamura et al., 2007). Bootstrap test with 1000 replicates was used to evaluate the unrooted phylogenetic tree by the MEGA program version 4.03 software. Low-speed cosedimentation was conducted to determine the effects of Ca2+ and pH on the actin bundling activity of WLIM1a.

RNA Extraction and qRT-PCR

Total RNA from different tissues was used for reverse transcription with Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Promega). The qRT-PCR assays were performed using SYBR Green real-time PCR master mix (Toyobo) and manufacturer’s recommended conditions (Promega). The reactions were performed in triplicate. The primers used in RT/qRT-PCR are shown in Supplemental Table 2 online.

Plasmid Construction and Protein Expression

To construct plasmids harboring 35S-WLIM1a, 35S-WLIM1a-GFP, and 35S-WLIM1a-mCherry, WLIM1a cDNA was amplified using gene-specific primers and cloned into the plant expression vectors pPZP111 (Hajdukiewicz et al., 1994) (predigested with BamHI and SacI), pPZP111-GFP (pre-digested with BamHI and SacI), and pCAMBIA1301-mCherry (pre-digested with BamHI and SacI) (Invitrogen), respectively. The WLIM1a ORF was inserted into pPZP111 between SacI and SacI sites in the antisense orientation to generate antisense plasmid. The recombinant plasmids were introduced into cotton or BY2 cells by Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation (Horsch et al., 1985).

The cDNA fragment containing WLIM1a ORF was digested with BamHI and SalI and cloned into the bacterial expression vector PET-28a (Novagen/Merck). The recombinant proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli strain BL21 (DE3). His-tagged WLIM1a proteins were purified using nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid resin following procedures described by the manufacturer (Qiagen).

His-tagged WLIM1a-RFP recombinant proteins were expressed in E. coli and purified following similar procedures as described above. All primers and enzyme sites used in plasmid construction are described in Supplemental Table 2 online.

High- and Low-Speed Cosedimentation Assays

High- and low-speed cosedimentation assays were conducted according to Wu et al. (2010). Rabbit muscle actin proteins were dissolved in A-buffer (5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.2 mM CaCl2, 0.2 mM Na2ATP, and 0.5 mM DTT). All solutions were preclariﬁed at 200,000g for 60 min. Mg-ATP-actin was prepared by incubation of Ca-ATP-actin on ice with 1 mM EGTA and 0.1 mM MgCl2 for 2 min and used immediately. Actin (3 µM) was incubated at 22°C for 60 min either alone or with 3 µM WLIM1a in 1× KMEI buffer (10× stock: 500 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM EGTA, and 100 mM imidazole, pH 7.0). For high-speed cosedimentation assay, the samples were centrifuged at 200,000g for 60 min in an Optima TLX ultracentrifuge (Beckman) at 4°C; for low-speed cosedimentation assay, the samples were centrifuged at 13,500g for 30 min at 4°C. The proteins in the supernatants and pellets were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized with Coomasie Brilliant Blue R250.

To determine the WLIM1a-actin Kd, WLIM1a in increasing concentrations (0.1 to 10 µM) was incubated with 3 µM preassembled Mg-ATP-actin in 1× KMEI buffer for 60 min at 22°C. Samples were cosedimented, and analyzed as described above, and the amount of WLIM1a in the pellets or supernatants was quantified using Glyko Bandscan version 5.0. The moles of bound WLIM1a proteins per mole of actin subunits at saturation and the Kd value were determined by fitting the data of bound protein versus free protein to a hyperbolic function with GraphPad Prism version 4.03 software. Low-speed cosedimentation was conducted to determine the effects of Ca2+ and pH on the actin bundling activity of WLIM1a. The buffers containing various concentration of Ca2+ or different pH were prepared as described by Papuga et al. (2010). The reaction buffers were supplemented with increasing amounts of Ca2+ (from 10 mM to 100 mM), and the pH was adjusted to values ranging from 6.2 to 8.0. After sedimentation, the proteins were examined by SDS-PAGE.

Visualization of Actin Filaments by Fluorescence Microscopy

F-actin (3 µM) was incubated with WLIM1a and WLIM1a-RFP at the indicated concentrations at room temperature for 30 min and then labeled with 4 µM Alexa488-phalloidin (Invitrogen). Actin filaments were subsequently diluted to a final concentration of 10 nM in fluorescence buffer (10 mM imidazole, pH 7.0, 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EGTA, 100 mM DTT, 100 µg/mL Glc oxidase, 15 mg/mL Glc, 20 mg/mL catalase, and 0.5% methylcellulose) as described by Michelot et al. (2005). The diluted samples were visualized using a 1.0 iris ×40 oil immersion lens mounted on an Olympus BX51 microscope. For Actin-Alexa488-phalloidin observation, a B-4 filter (Olympus) was used to generate blue fluorescence, and for the RFP-WLIM1a observation, a G-2 filter (Olympus) was used to generate green fluorescence. Images were collected with a Leica Spot Pursuit charge-coupled device camera using SPOT version 4.7 software.

Fluorescent Staining and Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy

Actin filament staining in cotton fibers was performed mainly according to J. Wang et al. (2010). Cultured ovules with fibers attached were stained in PBS, pH 7.0, containing 0.066 µM Alexa488-phalloidin (Molecular
Probes), 0.1 M PIPES, pH 6.9, 0.05% (v/v) Triton X-100, 1 mM MgCl₂, 3 mM DTT, 0.3 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 5 mM EGTA, and 0.25% glutaraldehyde.

Actin microfilament staining in BY2 cells was performed as described by Traas et al. (1987). Briefly, 1 volume of a 5-d-old BY2 subculture was incubated for 15 min in 1 volume of staining buffer (50 mM PIPES, 50 mM EGTA, 20 mM MgCl₂, 5% DMSO, and 0.01% Nonidet P-40, pH 6.9), and then supplemented with 1 µM Alexa543-phalloidin (Molecular Probes) and DAPI (1 mg/mL) if needed.

Cells were examined under a confocal laser microscope (Leica TCS SP5; Leica Microsystems) in multitrack mode (at 0.75-µm steps with two-line averaging and one-frame averaging). Excitation wavelengths and emission filters were as follows: 405 nm/band-pass 420 to 480 nm for DAPI, 488 nm/band-pass 505 to 530 nm for GFP and Alexa-Fluor 488, and 543 nm/long-pass 560 to 600 nm for Alexa Fluor 543.

Quantitative Analysis of Actin Filament Bundling in Cotton Fibers

Skewness analysis was performed to quantify the extent of actin bundling in cotton fibers according to a previously described method (Higaki et al., 2010; Henty et al., 2011). The z-series stacks of all optical sections were imported into a single image using maximum intensity projections, and the skewness value was calculated.

Analyses of Cell Wall Structure and Fiber Properties

Paraffin sections of mature cotton fibers were used for statistical analysis of cell wall thickness. Mature cotton fibers were fixed in FAA (37% formaldehyde/glacial acetic acid/50% ethanol, 5:6:89, by volume) for 12 h at 25°C. After gradient dehydration and infiltration, the samples were embedded in paraffin and 6-µm sections were generated. The slices were examined and photos were taken under a microscope (Olympus BX 51). The surface of mature fibers was examined by cold-field scanning electron microscopy after dehydration and platinum spraying. The mature and 30-DPA cotton fibers were analyzed by transmission electron microscopy according to a previous report (Wang et al., 2009). X-ray diffraction was performed using an X’ Pert PRO MPD diffractometer, following the method described previously (Stuart and Evans, 1994; Cave, 1997). The 2θ was set as 22.6°, the cotton fibers were irradiated vertically by the x-ray, and then the specimen were rotated vertically from 0° to 360°. The reflection curve was generated according to the record of the reflection. The model is \( y = a + b \exp[-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2] \). Here, \( \mu \) is the center of the peak, \( a \) is the half-width at inflection point, and \( b \) indicates the height of the curve above constant background. The T value was estimated as 2\( \pi \). Microfibril angle (MFA) value was estimated from the equation MFA = 0.67.

The length, strength, microfibre values, and maturity of mature fibers were measured at the National Center for Evaluation of Fiber Quality (Anyang, China).

EMSA

EMSA were performed using biotin-labeled probes and the Lightshift Chemiluminescent EMSA kit (Pierce). The binding reaction was performed in a 20-µL reaction mixture containing the indicated LIM proteins, 20 nmol of synthetic biotin-labeled DNA probe (conserved PAL-box; Oct motif; 4CL1, CCR1, and CAD6 promoter fragments containing PAL-box, as described in Supplemental Table 2 online), and 50 ng poly(dI-dC). The reaction mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 30 min and then the samples were separated on a 6% native polyacrylamide gel in 0.5× TBE buffer (45 mM Tris-borate, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). Electrophoresis was performed at 15 V/cm in 0.5× TBE buffer. For the competition assay, appropriate amounts of unlabeled PAL-motif DNA fragments were used as competitors and were added to the reaction prior to the addition of the proteins. The labeled probes were transferred to positively charged nylon membrane and detected using the chemiluminescent nucleic acid detection module provided with the kit.

Protoplast Assay of Transcription Factor Activity

The DLR assay was performed according to Ohta et al. (2001). The GAL4 reporter plasmid contains the LUC gene, driven by the minimal TATA region of the 35S promoter with five GAL4 binding elements upstream. The reporter plasmids were constructed by inserting 4CL1, CCR1, and CAD6 promoters after the GAL4 binding elements, respectively. The Renilla luciferase gene driven by the 3SS promoter was used as an internal control. For construction of the effector plasmid, the coding region of WLIM1a was cloned into expression vector pRT-BD to generate 3SS-GAL4BD-WLIM1a plasmid.

Isolation and transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana protoplasts were performed based on the protocol provided by He et al. (2007). Six micrograms of reporter construct, 6 µg of effector construct, and 0.5 µg of internal control were used for each polyethylene glycol cotransfection. After 16 h of culturing, luciferase assays were performed with the Promega DLR assay system and values were measured with the GloMax 20-20 luminometer.

Measurements of Cellulose Content in Cotton Fibers

The cotton fibers were cut, and the middle parts (1.5 cm) were used for determination of the cellulose content. The cut fibers (10 mg) of wild-type and transgenic cotton fibers were used to count the fiber numbers with 30 repeats for each line. As no significant difference in the fiber numbers was observed between the wild-type and transgenic fibers, the aliquots of the cut fibers were used. Alcohol-insoluble cell wall residues were prepared from cotton fibers as previously described (Harholt et al., 2006). Two milligrams of destarched alcohol-insoluble cell wall residue sample was hydrolyzed in 2 M trifluoroacetic acid at 121°C for 90 min and then centrifuged to collect the supernatants. The crystalline cellulose content was analyzed by hydrolyzing the remains of trifluoroacetic acid treatment with Updegraff reagent (acetic acid:nitric acid:water, 8:1:2, by volume) at 100°C for 30 min. After treating the pellets with 72% sulfuric acid, the content was quantified via an anthrone assay (Updegraff, 1969).

Determination of Lignin/Lignin-Like Phenolics in Cotton Fibers

For detection of lignin/lignin-like phenolics in cotton fibers, the samples were stained for 30 min with 2% phosphoglucinol in 6 M HCl. The Klason and thigloycates methods were used to determine the amounts of lignin/lignin-like phenolics (Hatfield and Fukushima, 2005; Fan et al., 2009). The mature and dry cotton fibers were used to generate Klason lignin. The dried cotton fibers were ground into a fine powder, extracted four times in methanol, and dried. Then, 2 g of the extract was mixed with 5 mL of 72% sulfuric acid at 30°C and hydrolyzed for 60 min. The hydrolysate was diluted to 4% sulfur by the addition of water and then autoclaved. The residue was filtered through a dry glass filter (W1). The sample with the filter was washed with deionized water, dried at 60°C, and then weighed (W2). The samples were burned in a muffle furnace and the ashes were collected (W3). The lignin content was measured and expressed as a percentage of the original weight of cell wall residues. The concentration of lignin-like phenolics = (W2-W1-W3)/2 × 100%.

Cotton fiber powder (100 mg) was used for lignin measurement using the thigloycates method (Müse et al., 1997). Samples were placed in a plastic tube with 5 mL of 2 M HCl and 1.5 mL of thigloycic acid for 4 h at 98°C with gentle shaking. After centrifugation (15,100g, 15 min), the
pellets were washed three times with distilled water and the thiglycolate lignin phenolics were extracted with 5 mL of 0.5 M NaOH for 10 to 12 h with shaking. After extraction four times, the supernatants were obtained and acidified with 7.5 mL of 12 M HCl. Lignin-thiglycolic acid was allowed to precipitate for 4 h at 4°C and then recovered by centrifugation (15,100g, 20 min) and dissolved in 10 mL of 0.5 M NaOH. The absorbance was detected against a 0.5 M NaOH blank at 280 nm using a Shimadzu UV-1750 spectrophotometer. Three replicates were included in these experiments. At least 12 samples were used for each replicate.

HPLC Analysis

HPLC analysis of intermediates in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway was mainly performed according to a previous report (Müse et al., 1997). Cotton fiber residues (200 mg) extracted by the thiglycolate method were saponified with 2 mL of 4 M NaOH for 24 h at 35°C. To acidify the samples, 0.76 mL of 12 M HCl was added, followed by addition of 7.4 mL of 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 2.1. After filtration, the solution was extracted three times in a tube with an equal volume of CH2Cl2 and the organic phase was washed three times with distilled water and the thioglycolate (solvent B). The column was treated with a gradient solvent system that composed of 1% acetic acid in water (solvent A) and 100% acetonitrile (solvent B). The column was treated with a gradient solvent system that was designed to separate the metabolites. The ratios of solvent A to B were 90:10 (0 to 5 min), 88:12 (5 to 10 min), 80:20 (10 to 20 min), 75:25 (20 to 30 min), 65:35 (30 to 35 min), 60:40 (30 to 40 min), and 50:50 (40 to 55 min), respectively. The flow rate was 0.4 mL/min, and the injection volume was 25 µL. The UV spectrum was monitored at 280 nm.

Antibody Preparation and Protein Gel Blot Analysis

The 6×-His-tagged WLIM1a recombinant proteins purified from BL21 (DE3) strain of E. coli were used to immunize rabbits to raise the antibody against WLIM1a. The β-actin and Histone 3 antibody were purchased from Abcam.

Total proteins of soil-grown cotton fibers (1 g) were prepared by extraction with buffer containing 50 mM NaPO4, pH 7.4, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF, and 10% polyvinylpyrrolidone. About 1 mg of total proteins was obtained. Nuclear proteins were extracted according to the methods described by Marzábal et al. (1998), Zhang et al. (2012), and Deng et al. (2012). Cotton fibers (1 g) from about 100 ovules were ground in liquid nitrogen, and the fine powder was homogenized in 20 mL of buffer A (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.8, 100 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 200 mM Suc, 0.5% Triton X-100, and protease inhibitors). After centrifugation at 4°C for 20 min at 3000 g, the pellets were washed with buffer A and centrifuged for 15 min at 2000 g. The pellets were then suspended in 200 µL buffer B (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.8, 20 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 25% glycerol, 200 µM EDTA, 500 µM PMSF, 250 mM Suc, 0.5% Triton X-100, and protease inhibitors). After centrifugation at 4°C for 20 min at 3000 g, the pellets were washed with buffer A and centrifuged for 15 min at 2000 g. The pellets were then suspended in 200 µL buffer B (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.8, 20 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 25% glycerol, 200 µM EDTA, 500 µM PMSF, and protease inhibitors), and -60 µL of buffer C (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.8, 1 M KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 25% glycerol, 200 µM EDTA, 500 µM PMSF, and protease inhibitors) was added to the solution. After centrifugation for 5 min at 12,000 g, the supernatants were dialyzed against 1000 mL of buffer D (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.8, 100 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 200 µM EDTA, 500 µM PMSF) for 1 h. The extract was centrifuged at 12,000 g for 30 min. About 100 µg of nuclear proteins was obtained from each sample. Both total and nuclear proteins were quantified by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad protein assay kit), and 40 µg of total or nuclear proteins was subjected to SDS-PAGE. Protein gel blot experiments were performed as reported by U. Wang et al. (2010). The antibodies raised against WLIM1a (11:1000 dilution), mouse β-actin (1:5000 dilution), or mouse Histone 3 (1:5000 dilution) were used as the primary antibodies, and horseradish peroxidase–conjugated goat anti-rabbit/mouse IgG (H+L) (1:3000 dilution; Sungeen Biotechnology) was used as a secondary antibody.
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