Natural Variation in Maize Aphid Resistance Is Associated with 2,4-Dihydroxy-7-Methoxy-1,4-Benzoxazin-3-One Glucoside Methyltransferase Activity
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Plants differ greatly in their susceptibility to insect herbivory, suggesting both local adaptation and resistance tradeoffs. We used maize (Zea mays) recombinant inbred lines to map a quantitative trait locus (QTL) for the maize leaf aphid (Rhopalosiphum maidis) susceptibility to maize Chromosome 1. Phytochemical analysis revealed that the same locus was also associated with high levels of 2-hydroxy-4,7-dimethoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one glucoside (HDMBOA-Glc) and low levels of 2,4-dihydroxy-7-methoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one glucoside (DIMBOA-Glc). In vitro enzyme assays with candidate genes from the region of the QTL identified three O-methyltransferases (Bx10a-c) that convert DIMBOA-Glc to HDMBOA-Glc. Variation in HDMBOA-Glc production was attributed to a natural CACTA family transposon insertion that inactivates two of these enzymes.

INTRODUCTION

Plants in natural and agricultural ecosystems are constantly attacked by a multitude of insect herbivores, including leaf and root chewers, stem borers, leaf miners, gall formers, and phloem feeders. Most of these herbivores have distinct geographical distributions, resulting in divergent herbivore communities across latitudinal (Züst et al., 2012), longitudinal (Kozlov, 2008), and altitudinal gradients (Péllissier et al., 2008). The pronounced geographical heterogeneity of herbivore communities coincides with considerable variation in herbivore resistance and expression of defensive traits in many plants (Johnson, 2011). In some natural ecosystems, the geographical distribution of herbivores has been shown to covary with specific defensive phenotypes (Prasad et al., 2012; Züst et al., 2012). However, similar associations have rarely been described for crop species, and the extent to which pest occurrence may have shaped the defensive makeup of crops remains unknown.

Independent of geographical patterns, the high genetic diversity of plants provides an opportunity to map quantitative trait loci (QTL) conferring insect resistance (Kroymann et al., 2003; Klingler et al., 2009; Qiu et al., 2010). QTL mapping exploits genetically heritable within-species differences that can statistically be associated with a specific part of the genome. QTL mapping is often limited by the relatively high cost of genotyping and the difficulty of repeating experiments with a segregating population. To overcome these limitations, large sets of recombinant inbred lines have been created for several plant species, including Arabidopsis thaliana (Keurentjes et al., 2011) and maize (Zea mays) (Flint-Garcia et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2008; McMullen et al., 2009a). Once such recombinant inbred lines have been genotyped, they represent a permanent resource that can be used to genetically map loci that influence any phenotypic trait that varies in the population.

As a productive food crop that is attacked by more than 90 insect species (Steffey et al., 1999), maize is an attractive model...
for the identification of resistance factors. Geographical variation in the abundance of insect herbivores suggests that there may be associated variation in the level of pest resistance among different commercial maize lines. For instance, the western maize rootworm (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera*) is a major pest in the midwestern US but has not been present in Europe until recently (Miller et al., 2005). The maize leaf aphid (*Rhopalosiphum maidis*) does not survive winters in North America but migrates north each summer from warmer areas, resulting in periodic outbreaks of this species (Steiner et al., 1985).

Recent genome sequencing of the maize inbred line B73 (Schnable et al., 2009) and the development of high-resolution physical and genetic maps (Wei et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2009; Ganal et al., 2011) has opened up new research opportunities. A nested association mapping (NAM) population of ~5000 recombinant inbred lines was generated by crossing a genetically diverse population of 25 maize inbred lines to B73 (Flint-Garcia et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2008; McMullen et al., 2009a). The NAM population has been used to map numerous maize traits, including resistance to northern leaf blight (*Setosphaeria turcica*; Poland et al., 2011) and southern leaf blight (*Bipolaris maidis*; Kump et al., 2011). In both cases, genome-wide association mapping revealed numerous QTL with relatively small additive effects. To date, the NAM population has not been employed to identify alleles involved in insect resistance.

Insect resistance factors in maize as well as other plants have been identified not only by genetic mapping approaches but also by phytochemical screening. Known maize antiherbivore defenses include protease inhibitors (Lawrence et al., 2012), the Maize insect resistance1 (Mir1) Cys protease (Pechan et al., 2000), ribosome-inactivating proteins (Walsh et al., 1991; Bass et al., 1995), and other protein-mediated defenses, as well as secondary metabolites such as chlorogenic acid (Cortés-Cruz et al., 2003), maysin (Rector et al., 2003), and benzoxazinoids (Frey et al., 1997). Benzoxazinoids have been demonstrated to confer resistance to aphids (Ahmad et al., 2011), chewing herbivores (Glauser et al., 2011), and a wide range of other pests, pathogens, and weeds (Niemeyer, 2009). They are found abundantly in many Poaceae, including maize, wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), and rye (*Secale cereale*) (Zuniga et al., 1983), as well as in several dicot species in the Plantaginaceae and Ranunculaceae (Schultheiner et al., 2008). In maize seedlings, the predominant benzoxazinoid is 2,4-dihydroxy-7-methoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one (DIMBOA; Figure 1), which is stored as an inactive glucoside (DIMBOA-Glc). Constitutive benzoxazinoid levels tend to decline as the plants age (Cambier et al., 2000). In addition to DIMBOA-Glc,

![Figure 1. Selected Steps of Benzoxazinoid Metabolism in Maize.](image)

The major benzoxazinoids detected in the leaves of maize seedlings are DIMBOA-Glc, HDMBOA-Glc, DIM2BOA-Glc, and HMBOA-Glc. In contrast with DIMBOA-Glc, the biosynthetic steps leading to HDMBOA-Glc, DIM2BOA-Glc, and HMBOA-Glc are unknown. Upon tissue disruption by herbivores, the different glucosides are cleaved by β-glucosidases (Glu), leading to the release of active aglucones. The N-methoxyl group of HDMBOA decreases its stability and renders it more reactive than DIMBOA.

[See online article for color version of this figure.]
2-hydroxy-4,7-dimethoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one glucoside (HDMBOA-Glc), 2,4-dihydroxy-7,8-dimethoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one glucoside (DIM2BOA-Glc), and 2-hydroxy-7-methoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one glucoside (HMBOA-Glc) are commonly found in maize leaves. Benzoxazinoids are predominantly stored as glucosides in the cell vacuole. Tissue maceration by chewing herbivores results in the release of active aglucones by the action of endogenous β-glucosidases (Figure 1). The nonenzymatic breakdown of the major aglucone DIMBOA results in the formation of 6-methoxybenzoxalin-3-one, which is insect deterrent (Grambow et al., 1986). Insect feeding also induces enzymatic conversion of DIMBOA-Glc to HDMBOA-Glc (Oikawa et al., 2004; Dafoe et al., 2011; Glauser et al., 2011). While HDMBOA-Glc is also activated by glucosidases during tissue maceration, further nonenzymatic breakdown is faster for HDMBOA than DIMBOA (Maresh et al., 2006). The conversion of DIMBOA-Glc to HDMBOA-Glc has been associated with increased resistance to both pathogens and herbivores (Oikawa et al., 2004; Dafoe et al., 2011;
Thus, herbivory-induced HDMBOA-Glc accumulation creates a pool of reactive defense compounds that allows maize plants to respond more rapidly to subsequent herbivore attack. Although DIMBOA-Glc methyltransferase activity has been demonstrated enzymatically (Oikawa et al., 2002), it was unclear which genes encode this activity.

Unlike chewing herbivores, such as caterpillars, aphids feed by inserting their stylets into phloem sieve elements. Analysis of aphid honeydew and sap collected by stylectomy shows that DIMBOA-Glc, but not DIMBOA, is found in the phloem (Givovich et al., 1992, 1994; Caillaud and Niemeyer, 1996). Additionally, aphid feeding does not increase overall DIMBOA accumulation (Cambier et al., 2001), suggesting that glucosidase-mediated activation of DIMBOA-Glc does not occur in response to insects that feed exclusively from the phloem sieve elements. However, a recent study shows that DIMBOA is secreted into the apoplast upon aphid infestation (Ahmad et al., 2011).

In artificial diet experiments, DIMBOA-Glc and DIMBOA reduced feeding by five monocot-feeding aphid species (Givovich and Niemeyer, 1995). In the case of the rose-grain aphid (Metopolophium dirhodum) feeding from artificial diet, the DIMBOA-Glc LD50 (concentration to reduce aphid survival by 50%) was fivefold lower than the DIMBOA-Glc LD50 (Cambier et al., 2001), suggesting that, as in the case of chewing herbivores, conversion of DIMBOA-Glc to HDMBOA-Glc could provide a defensive benefit to the plants. Benzoxazinoids may also function to elicit the production of other plant defensive metabolites or proteins. The maize benzoxazinoneless1 (bx1) indole glycerol phosphate lyase1 (igf1) double mutant, which is blocked in the first step of benzoxazinoid biosynthesis, has reduced callose accumulation as a defense response (Ahmad et al., 2011). Infiltration of DIMBOA, but not HDMBOA-glucoside, into the maize apoplastic space induced callose formation, suggesting that differences in the structure or reactivity of benzoxazinoids leads to differential regulation of maize callose accumulation.

As chewing herbivores rather than aphids have been the focus of most prior research on natural variation in maize herbivore resistance (McMullen et al., 2009a; Meihs et al., 2012), we initiated experiments using R. maidis and recombinant inbred lines of the NAM population to identify resistance mechanisms. Concerted mapping of loci associated with aphid resistance, benzoxazinoid production, and callose deposition was followed by the molecular and biochemical characterization of candidate genes. Our results show that dominant aphid susceptibility in several NAM parental lines is associated with a DIMBOA-Glc methyltransferase that is inactivated by a transposon insertion in other inbred lines.

**RESULTS**

**Mapping of R. maidis Resistance and HDMBOA-Glc QTL to the Same Interval on Maize Chromosome 1**

To explore natural variation in maize aphid resistance, we measured R. maidis reproduction on 2-week-old seedlings of the NAM population parental lines (Figure 2). Reproduction was low on the inbred lines B97, M37W, Mo17, and Oh7B, intermediate on B73, and high on CML52, CML69, CML277, CML322, NC350, and NC358. Aphid progeny production varied more than 100-fold, suggesting that it should be possible to map aphid resistance as a quantitative trait using the recombinant inbred lines of the NAM population. Analysis of aphid reproduction on 124 recombinant inbred lines derived from B73 × CML322 identified a single significant QTL on maize Chromosome 1 (bin 1.04; see Supplemental Figure 1A online). On average, the CML322 allele increased aphid progeny production by approximately three nymphs per adult aphid per week (see Supplemental Figure 1B online), and aphid susceptibility was dominant in F1 progeny of crosses between B73 and CML322 (see Supplemental Figure 1C online). Measurement of R. maidis reproduction on recombinant inbred lines derived from B73 crossed to CML52, CML69, and CML277 also identified a significant aphid resistance QTL in maize bin 1.04 (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). Going on the assumption that aphid resistance is influenced by the same locus in all four of these inbred lines, we combined the data sets in an association mapping approach. This narrowed the genomic interval containing the aphid resistance QTL to ~4 Mb containing 31 annotated genes (see Supplemental Table 1 online).

Since benzoxazinoids are known to have a profound effect on maize resistance to insect herbivores (Cambier et al., 2001; Oikawa et al., 2004; Dafoe et al., 2011; Glauser et al., 2011), we measured benzoxazinoid concentration in 2-week-old seedlings of the NAM parental lines as a possible explanatory factor for the observed aphid performance differences (Figure 2A). All of the detected benzoxazinoids showed considerable variation in the NAM parental lines (Figure 2). DIMBOA-Glc and HDMBOA-Glc concentrations were negatively correlated (P < 0.01, r = −0.51, n = 27; see Supplemental Figure 3A online), DIMBOA-Glc and DIM2BOA-Glc were positively correlated (P < 0.05, r = 0.39, n = 27; see Supplemental Figure 3B online), and no significant correlation was observed between DIMBOA-Glc and HDMBOA-Glc concentrations (P > 0.05, r = 0.18, n = 27; see Supplemental Figure 3C online). Aphid reproduction was negatively correlated with DIMBOA-Glc (P < 0.01, r = −0.76, n = 27; see Supplemental Figure 4A online) and positively with HDMBOA-Glc (P < 0.05, r = 0.45, n = 27; see Supplemental Figure 4B online) across the NAM parental lines. In particular, the six NAM parental lines that were most suitable for aphid reproduction (CML52, CML69, CML277, CML322, NC350, and NC358; Figure 2A) had the lowest DIMBOA-Glc content (Figure 2B) and relatively high HDMBOA-Glc levels (Figure 2C).
To test whether a QTL for HDMBOA-Glc production colocalizes with the identified aphid resistance QTL, we quantified benzoxazinoids in B73 × CML322 recombinant inbred lines that had previously been used for aphid resistance mapping. The identified HDMBOA-Glc QTL coincided with the aphid resistance QTL (Figure 3). The hypothesis that CML322 has constitutively higher DIMBOA-Glc methyltransferase activity than B73 is supported by the observations that (1) the CML322 allele in bin 1.04 increased HDMBOA-Glc content by 1200 μg/g fresh weight relative to the B73 allele (see Supplemental Figure 5A online); (2) high HDMBOA-Glc content is dominant in F1 progeny from a B73 × CML322 cross (see Supplemental Figure 5B online); (3) a QTL for DIMBOA-Glc content is located on Chromosome 1 in the B73 × CML322 recombinant inbred population (see Supplemental Figure 5C online); and (4) DIMBOA-Glc and HDMBOA-Glc concentrations are negatively correlated in these lines (see Supplemental Figure 5D online).

Three Putative Methyltransferase Genes with Homology to Benzoxazineless7 Are Located in the Aphid Resistance/ HDMBOA-Glc QTL

Given the colocalization of the aphid resistance and HDMBOA-Glc QTL (Figure 3), we hypothesized that variation in a DIMBOA-Glc methyltransferase would constitute the underlying genetic basis of these phenotypes. Three adjacent genes in the QTL interval (GRMZM2G311036, GRMZM2G336824, and GRMZM2G023325 (Bx10a-c)) cluster closely together in a phylogenetic tree of predicted maize O-methyltransferase sequences (Figure 4). These three genes showed >40% amino acid sequence identity to Benzoxazineless7 (BX7)
A Transposon Insertion in Bx10c Correlates with Low Levels of HDMBOA-Glc and Lower Aphid Reproduction

The Bx10c gene in inbred line B73 was found to contain an inserted CACTA family transposon (base pair 66,501,488 to 66,509,673 on Chromosome 1 in the maize genome assembly v2), with ~73% DNA sequence identity to the previously described Doppi4 transposon (GenBank ID AF187822.1; Bercury et al., 2001). The >8000-bp insertion in the first exon of Bx10c is likely to be a knockout mutation. Although Bx10a and/or Bx10b transcripts could be detected using quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis, Bx10c was not expressed in B73 (Figure 5). Due to the 98% DNA sequence identity of Bx10a and Bx10b, attempts to design primers that would reliably amplify one but not the other were unsuccessful. Analysis of DNA sequence data from the maize HapMap2 project (Chia et al., 2012) showed that the Bx10c gene in CML322 does not contain the Doppi4-like transposon insertion. This observation was confirmed by PCR amplification and DNA sequencing of the complete gene (GenBank ID = KC754964). Consistent with this finding, Bx10c transcription was detectable by qRT-PCR in CML322 seedlings (Figure 5). To investigate the Bx10c transposon insertion presence or absence in all NAM parental lines, a PCR approach with gene-specific and transposon insertion-specific primers was conducted as shown in Figure 6A. Whereas 10 of the maize lines contained an insertion in Bx10c, the other 17 did not (Figure 6B). On average, NAM parental lines with the transposon insertion had significantly higher DIMBOA-Glc content (Figure 6C), lower HDMBOA-Glc content (Figure 6D), and lower aphid reproduction (Figure 6E) than lines without the insertion. However, due to reduced genetic recombination resulting from the proximity of Bx10c to the pericentromeric region of Chromosome 1 (Gore et al., 2009), we cannot rule out possible effects of other nearby genes on the observed phenotypes.

The Bx10a, Bx10b, and Bx10c Genes Encode DIMBOA-Glc O-Methyltransferases and Are Differentially Expressed in the NAM Lines

Gene cloning and in vitro enzyme assays showed that the B73 alleles of Bx10a and Bx10b encode an enzyme with DIMBOA-Glc O-methyltransferase activity (Figure 7). Enzyme activity depended on the presence of S-adenosyl-L-Met as a methyl donor in the reaction mixture. As Bx10c is interrupted by a transposon insertion in inbred line B73, we cloned this gene from CML322, which does not have an insertion (Figure 6B). Enzyme assays showed that BX10c from CML322 also has DIMBOA-Glc O-methyltransferase activity (Figure 7).
(Oikawa et al., 2004; Dafoe et al., 2011; Glauser et al., 2011), this was not the case with *R. maidis* feeding (Figure 9), thus ruling out differential induction as a possible explanation for the above discrepancy. As an alternative hypothesis, we tested whether other defenses are induced by DIMBOA-Glc but not HDMBOA-Glc. Ahmad et al. (2011) demonstrated that in filtration of DIMBOA, but not HDMBOA-Glc, into maize leaves induced accumulation of callose, a common plant defense against aphid feeding (Dreyer and Campbell, 1987; Walling, 2000; Botha and Matsiliza, 2004). To determine whether similar effects can result from natural variation in maize benzoxazinoid content, we measured constitutive and aphid-induced callose accumulation in B73, CML52, CML69, CML277, CML322, NC350, and NC358. Aphid feeding increased callose formation on all seven tested maize lines (Figure 10A). However, both control and aphid-treated samples from the six maize inbred lines with low DIMBOA-Glc content had much lower callose levels than the corresponding B73 reference samples (P < 0.05, Tukey’s HSD test; Figure 10A). As in the case of aphids that were able to roam freely on whole maize seedlings (Figure 2A), aphids that were caged on individual leaves in this experiment produced more progeny on inbred lines with low DIMBOA-Glc content than on B73 (Figure 10B). Taken together, this suggests that DIMBOA-Glc increases aphid resistance by promoting callose deposition and that the increased production of HDMBOA-Glc by methylation of DIMBOA-Glc leads to aphid susceptibility via a reduction in callose defenses.

When aphids were given a choice of host plants, there was no significant difference in their settling on B73 relative to NC350 or NC358 (see Supplemental Figure 6 online). In the case of pairwise comparisons with CML277 and CML52, aphids actually
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**Figure 6.** A *Doppia*-Like Transposon in *Bx10c* Is Associated with Reduced HDMBOA-Glc Production and Increased Aphid Resistance in the NAM Parental Lines.

(A) Strategy for PCR analysis to amplify fragments that are specific for either the insertion or the deletion allele.

(B) Detection of the transposon knockout and functional alleles of *Bx10c* by PCR using primers TPA1, TPA2, and TPA3 (described in Supplemental Table 2 online).

(C) to (E) Comparison of DIMBOA-Glc content, HDMBOA-Glc content, and aphid reproduction in NAM parental lines, with and without the transposon insertion (mean ± se; n = 10 or 17). Asterisks indicate significant differences (*P < 0.05; two-tailed Student’s t test). FW, fresh weight.
preferred the less suitable host, B73. Thus, it appears that different plant factors mediate *R. maidis* host plant choice and reproductive success on maize.

**DISCUSSION**

By mapping a QTL for natural variation in maize resistance to *R. maidis*, we identified a DIMBOA-Glc methyltransferase maize gene family representing important catalysts in the formation of plant defenses. Although several studies have demonstrated that herbivory and pathogen infection induce DIMBOA-Glc to HDMBOA-Glc conversion in maize (Oikawa et al., 2004; Dafoe et al., 2011; Glauser et al., 2011; Huffaker et al., 2011), it was unclear which genes encode this enzyme activity. In addition to *Bx10a*, *Bx10b*, and *Bx10c*, other genes that are in the same cluster of the phylogenetic tree (Figure 4; GRMZM2G099297, GRMZM2G106172, GRMZM2G093092, and GRMZM2G127418) may also encode DIMBOA-Glc methyltransferases. Given the currently available DNA sequence data, it is not possible to determine whether the size of this gene family varies among the inbred lines of the NAM population.

The presence of multiple genes encoding the same DIMBOA-Glc methyltransferase activity may allow variable defense activation in different plant tissues or in response to different pests and pathogens. Whereas DIMBOA-Glc is produced constitutively in most maize lines studied so far, the conversion to HDMBOA-Glc can be both constitutive (as shown here) or induced by insect feeding and pathogen attack (Oikawa et al., 2004; Dafoe et al., 2011; Glauser et al., 2011; Huffaker et al., 2011). In the maize hybrid Delprim, HMDBOA-Glc is strongly inducible in the leaves, but produced constitutively in the roots (Marti et al., 2013). Across the maize inbred lines of the NAM population, the *Bx10c* transposon insertion strongly influences the relative constitutive abundance of DIMBOA-Glc and HDMBOA-Glc (Figures 6C and 6D). However, the lack of *Bx10c* transcripts in Oh43 (Figure 5), an inbred line which does not contain a *Bx10c* transposon insertion, suggests another regulatory mechanism for *Bx10c* downregulation in this line. Additionally, it is quite likely that the transcriptional regulation of other members of the DIMBOA-Glc methyltransferase gene family affects the constitutive or induced accumulation of HDMBOA-Glc. Such biochemical redundancy in key regulated steps of defense pathways may occur commonly in plants. For instance, although most reactions in Arabidopsis indole glucosinolate biosynthesis are encoded by single genes, induced indole glucosinolate methoxylation is encoded by multiple genes with the same enzymatic functions (Pfalz et al., 2009, 2011).

In addition to having toxic effects on herbivores and pathogens, DIMBOA has a further function in the induction of callose as a plant defense response. This was previously demonstrated by reduced callose accumulation in a *bx1 igl1* maize double mutant, as well as by callose formation in response to infiltration of DIMBOA into the apoplastic space (Ahmad et al., 2011). Our results show that natural variation in this pathway has a significant effect on maize aphid resistance. Even though HDMBOA-Glc is more deleterious than DIMBOA-Glc to *R. maidis* in artificial diet assays (Figure 8), aphids grow better on maize with low DIMBOA-Glc and elevated HDMBOA-Glc (see Supplemental Figure 4 online). This can be explained by the observation that callose, which provides defense against aphids (Dreyer and Campbell, 1987; Walling, 2000; Botha and Matsiliza, 2004), is more abundant in B73 than in maize lines with low DIMBOA-Glc content (Figure 10A). Further research will be needed to identify the mechanisms by which DIMBOA and/or one of its breakdown products induces callose formation. It is quite possible that DIMBOA acts early in a pathway that induces not only callose formation, but also other plant defenses that provide protection against aphids.

![Figure 7. BX10a-c Are Functional DIMBOA-Glc O-Methyltransferases That Produce HDMBOA-Glc.](image-url)
Callose induction by benzoxazinoids in maize is similar to previously reported callose induction by indole glucosinolate breakdown in Arabidopsis (Clay et al., 2009). Specificity of these pathways is demonstrated by the fact that chemically similar transformations lead to opposite effects on callose formation. Whereas DIMBOA induces callose formation in maize, and O-methylation to HDMBOA abolishes this effect (Ahmad et al., 2011), hydrolysis of indol-3-ylmethylglucosinolate (or 1-methoxyindol-3-ylmethylglucosinolate) in Arabidopsis does not trigger callose formation but hydrolysis of the O-methylated product 4-methoxyindole-3-ylmethylglucosinolate creates an inducer of callose formation (Clay et al., 2009). For both plant species, activation of existing defense products, benzoxazinoids (maize) or glucosinolates (Arabidopsis) is an indicator of enemy attack and thus provides a reliable signal for inducing other defenses. It is likely that future research with other plant systems will provide additional examples of defensive metabolites that have a secondary function as signals in activating other defenses.

Although aphids produce more progeny on plants with low DIMBOA-Glc content (Figure 2), this was not reflected as a preference for these plants in aphid choice assays (see Supplemental Figure 6 online). In two cases, the aphids actually settled preferentially on inbred lines that are less suitable host plants. This suggests that different plant factors affect host plant choice and reproduction in the case of R. maidis. It is not known what plant cues affect host plant choice in this species. However, similar situations, where choices made by adult insects do not identify the best host plants for developing progeny have been reported in other plant–insect interactions (Mayhew, 2001).

The parental lines of the NAM population, which were chosen based on their high level of genetic diversity (Flint-Garcia et al., 2005; McMullen et al., 2009b; Chia et al., 2012), vary considerably in their accumulation of defense-related benzoxazinoids (Figure 2). The negative correlation between DIMBOA-Glc and HDMBOA-Glc content (see Supplemental Figure 3A online) suggests that there are divergent defense strategies in maize. B73 and other maize lines with constitutively high DIMBOA-Glc and low HDMBOA-Glc levels likely rely on inducible responses to increase HDMBOA-Glc accumulation when there is an herbivore or pathogen attack. Conversely, maize seedlings with high levels of HDMBOA-Glc are already provisioned to be more resistant to attack by chewing herbivores. However, such lines might also be more prone to false alarms and the production of phytotoxic benzoxazinoid breakdown products in the absence of attack. Thus, depending on the particular environment in which a plant is located, either constitutive or inducible HDMBOA-Glc production may be the better strategy.

Previous studies have mapped QTL for resistance to lepidopteran herbivores, the southwestern maize borer (Diatraea grandiosella) and the maize earworm (Helicoverpa zea), to bin 1.04 of the maize genome (Byrne et al., 1998; Groh et al., 1998; Brooks et al., 2005). This variation in chewing herbivore resistance may also be mediated by regulation of DIMBOA-Glc methyltransferase. A previously reported bin 1.04 QTL for
Since B73 HDMBOA-Glc was not measured in this assay, it is not possible to determine whether there was a concomitant increase in this metabolite. Since B73 × CML322 recombinant inbred lines, which we used in our study (Figure 3A), also were used by Butrón et al. (2010), it is quite likely that the same QTL was mapped.

It is perhaps significant that the six NAM parental lines with the highest constitutive HDMBOA-Glc/DIMBOA-Glc ratio (CML52, CML69, CML277, CML322, CML350, and CML358; Figure 2) represent tropical germplasm. Since pressure from insect herbivores and pathogens tends to be higher in tropical habitats (Rasmann and Agrawal, 2011), maize breeding in such regions may have selected for plants with constitutively elevated HDMBOA-Glc accumulation. The Egyptian cotton leafworm (Spodoptera littoralis) and the fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda) are able to reglycosylate DIMBOA to DIMBOA-Glc (Glauser et al., 2011), which may render this compound ineffective as a defense. However, HDMBOA is highly unstable and immediately degrades into active catabolites, which may make it impossible for caterpillars to detoxify it effectively. The ability of maize plants to produce high amounts of HDMBOA-Glc may therefore be an important prerequisite for stable yields under heavy pressure by chewing arthropods. Aphids, on the other hand, tend to be a lesser problem than chewing herbivores at the maize seedling stage. Thus, the concomitant selection for aphid susceptibility due to a reduction in callose accumulation and perhaps other aphid-specific defenses may not be a significant problem for tropical maize farmers. It remains to be determined whether defenses elicited by DIMBOA are beneficial in temperate agroecosystems, which are characterized by a distinct pathogen community (Ullstrup and Renfro, 1976) and a few dominating herbivore pests, many of which are resistant to benzoxazinoids (Dafoe et al., 2011; Glauser et al., 2011; Robert et al., 2012).

The distinct induction patterns, phytochemical properties, and potential defense elicitation roles of benzoxazinoids suggest that they may have divergent functions in plant defenses against different herbivores and pathogens. Alternatively, benzoxazinoids may act synergistically at different biological levels to protect the plant against its enemies (Glauser et al., 2011). Deciphering the individual contribution of the different benzoxazinoid derivatives to insect resistance has remained difficult because of their unknown biochemical and genetic origins and the lack of genetic resources to study them in vivo. Data presented here will permit identification of additional genes involved in maize benzoxazinoid metabolism. For instance, investigation of natural variation in DIM2BOA-Glc accumulation (Figure 2D) may lead to the identification of the as yet unknown enzymes for the biosynthesis of this benzoxazinoid. GRMZM2G408458 for instance, which is similar to other herbivore resistance QTL will open up new opportunities for improving maize through classical breeding and transgenic approaches.
**METHODS**

**Plants and Growth Conditions**

To grow maize (*Zea mays*) plants for experiments, single seeds were buried ~1.5 cm deep in a 7.6 × 7.6-cm plastic pot (~200 cm³) filled with moistened maize mix (produced by mixing 0.16 m³ Metro-Mix 360 [Scotts], 0.45 kg finely ground lime, 0.45 kg Peters Unimix [Scotts], 68 kg Turface MVP [Profile Products], 23 kg coarse quartz sand, and 0.018 m³ pasteurized field soil). Plants were grown in Conviron growth chambers under 16-h-light/8-h-dark photoperiod and 180 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ light at constant 23°C and 60% humidity and were watered from below as needed.

**Aphids and Growth Conditions**

A maize leaf aphid (*Rhopalosiphum maidis*) colony was started with insects obtained from S. Gray (USDA Plant Soil and Nutrition Laboratory, Ithaca, NY), from a colony that was originally collected in New York State and had been maintained on barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). Aphids were reared on 4- to 8-week-old maize plants (variety B73) under 16-h-light/8-h-dark photoperiod at constant 23°C. Plants were watered from below as needed. Adult aphids were used for all experiments.

**Whole-Plant Aphid Bioassays**

NAM population parental lines, F1 progeny, and B73 × CML322, B73 × CML277, B73 × CML69, and B73 × CML52 recombinant inbred lines were screened for resistance to *R. maidis* infestation. Plants were used for aphid bioassays at the age of 2 weeks (V2-V3 stage). Ten adult aphids were confined on 2-week-old seedling plants using microperforated polypropylene bags (15.25 cm × 61 cm; PJP Marketplace). Seven days after infestation, the surviving adults and progeny were counted.

Aphid choice assays were performed under conditions similar to the whole-plant aphid bioassays. Seeds of the two inbred lines used for comparisons were planted in opposite corners of individual pots. Ten aphids were released in the center of the pots between the plants. The pots were covered with perforated bags in a manner that allowed contact between the leaves of the two plants. Pots were placed in the growth chamber in randomized orientation. After 24 h, the surviving aphids were counted, and the plant from which they were feeding was recorded.

**Artificial Diet Assays**

A previously described benzoxazinoid artificial diet assay (Cambier et al., 2001) was modified to measure the effects of benzoxazinoids on *R. maidis* reproduction and survival. DIMBOA-Glc and HDMBOA-Glc were added to the diet at 0.25, 0.5, 1, and 2 mM concentrations. HDMBOA-Glc was added to the diet at 0.25, 0.5, 1, and 2 mM concentrations.

Fifteen to 20 adult *R. maidis* were added to 30-mL plastic cups, a sheet of Parafilm (Pechiney Packaging Company) was stretched across the 3-cm diameter opening, 80 μL of artificial diet, with and without benzoxazinoids, was pipetted onto the Parafilm, and another sheet of Parafilm was stretched across the top to keep the diet in place. The number of nymphs produced by the adult aphids was counted after 2 d on control diet, diet containing DIMBOA-Glc (1, 2, 4, or 8 mM), or diet containing HDMBOA-Glc (0.25, 0.5, 1, or 2 mM). The number of surviving adult aphids was counted after 2 and 4 d on control diet and diet with 2 mM DIMBOA-Glc or 2 mM HDMBOA-Glc. The continued presence of intact benzoxazinoids and absence of breakdown products in the aphid diet was confirmed at the end of the experiment.

**Tissue Collection for Benzoxazinoid Assays**

For measurement of leaf benzoxazinoid content, NAM parental lines, B73 × CML322 recombinant inbred lines, and B73 × CML322 F1 progeny were grown in the same manner as for aphid bioassays. When the plants were 2 weeks old, the distal half of the second leaf was harvested and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for benzoxazinoid assays.

For measuring benzoxazinoid changes induced by aphid feeding, cages were placed 1 cm away from the leaf tip on the dorsal side of the third leaf of 2-week-old maize seedlings. Ten aphids were added to each cage and, at the end of the feeding period, the ~1-cm leaf segment contained within the cage was harvested for benzoxazinoid assays. To avoid the influence of diurnal cycles on benzoxazinoid abundance, aphids were added to the cages in a staggered manner (0, 2, 4, 8, 24, 48, and 96 h after the start of the experiment), and all tissue samples were harvested at noon, 96 h after the start of the experiment. The harvested tissue samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at ~80°C for later analysis of benzoxazinoids.

**Extraction and Analysis of Benzoxazinoids**

Benzoxazinoid concentrations were determined according to a previously described protocol (Glauser et al., 2011) with some modifications. Extraction solvents were HPLC-grade methanol (WWR), Milli-Q water (Millipore), and analytical-grade formic acid (Sigma-Aldrich). Fresh plant leaves were frozen in liquid nitrogen, stored at ~80°C, and ground to a powder with a mortar and pestle under liquid nitrogen. Twenty milligrams of frozen powder were weighed in a 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tube, and 1 mL of extraction solvent (methanol/water/formic acid, 50:49:5.0, v/v) was added. The tubes were vortexed for ~10 s, and five to 10 glass beads (2 mm diameter) were added to each tube. The samples were then extracted in a tissue lyser (Retsch MM300) at 30 Hz within 3 min and centrifuged at 14,000 g for 3 min, and the supernatant was transferred to an HPLC vial and stored at ~80°C before analysis.

Benzoxazinoid analysis was performed on an Acquity UPLC system (Waters) equipped with an eX. photodiode array detector and coupled to a Synapt G2 quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Waters) through an electrospray interface. An Acquity BEH C18 column from Waters (2.1 × 50 mm, 1.7-μm particle size) was used. The following gradient program was employed at a flow rate of 400 μL/min: solvent A = water + formic acid 0.05% solvent B = acetonitrile + formic acid 0.05%; 2 to 27.2% B in 3.5 min, 27.2 to 100% B in 1.0 min, holding at 100% B for 1.0 min, and reequilibration at 2% B for 1.0 min. The temperatures of the column and autosampler were maintained at 40 and 15°C, respectively.

The injection volume was 2.5 μL. UV spectra were acquired over the range 210 to 400 nm at a frequency of 20 Hz and a resolution of 1.2 nm. The extracted trace at 264 nm was used for quantification of benzoxazinoids. The quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer was operated in positive ion mode over a range of 85 to 600 Da using a scan time of 0.4 s. Source parameters were as follows: capillary and cone voltages 2800 and 25 V, respectively, source temperature 120°C, desolvation gas flow and
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Callose Assays
Maize inbred lines B73, CML52, CML69, CML277, CML322, NC350, and NC358 were grown in a growth chamber for 10 d. Callose formation was induced by caging 10 R. maidis 1 cm from the leaf tip on the dorsal side of the third leaf for 3 d. Control leaves received cages without aphids for 3 d. After 3 d, the number of aphid offspring in each cage was counted, and the portion of the leaf contained in the cage was stained according to a previously described protocol (Luna et al., 2011). Each leaf segment was decolorized for 48 h in 98% ethanol and stained for 2 h with 0.01% aniline-

Data Analysis
QTL analysis using individual sets of recombinant inbred lines was done by composite interval mapping using the Windows QTL Cartographer software version 2.5 (Wang et al., 2012). The experimental LOD threshold was de-
termined by permutation tests with 500 repetitions at the significance level of 0.05. All analyses were performed using the default settings in the WinQTL program. The program settings were as follows: the CIM program module = Model 6: Standard Model, walking speed = 2 centimeters, control marker numbers = 5, window size = 10 centimorgans, regression method = backward regression method. Maize genetic marker data were downloaded from www.panzea.org and were used for association mapping using mul-
tiple sets of recombinant lines from the NAM population. Statistical tests were conducted using JMP (www.jmp.com) and SAS (www.sas.com).

Preparation of Genomic DNA, RNA, and cDNA
Leaf material was harvested from 14-d-old seedlings, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C until sample preparation. After grinding of the frozen leaf material to a fine powder in a mortar filled with liquid ni-
trogen, DNA and RNA were extracted using the DNaseasy plant mini kit (Qiagen) and RNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen), respectively, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Nucleic acid concentration, purity, and quality were assessed using a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop 2000c; Thermo Scientific) and an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technolo-
gies). Prior to cDNA synthesis, 0.75 µg RNA was DNase treated using 1 µL
DNase (Fermentas). Single-stranded cDNA was prepared from the DNase-treated RNA using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase and oligo(dT18) primers (Invitrogen).

Cloning and Expression of O-Methyltransferase Genes
The complete open reading frames of Bx10a-B73, Bx10b-B73, and Bx10c-CML322 were amplified from cDNA with the primer pairs listed in Supplemental Table 1 online. PCR products were cloned as blunt frag-
ments into the sequencing vector pCR-Blunt II-TOPO (Invitrogen) and both strands were fully sequenced. For heterologous expression with an N-terminal His-tag, the genes were inserted as BstI fragments into the expression vector pASK-IEX37 plus (IBA). The constructs were in-
troduced into the Escherichia coli strain TOP10 (Invitrogen). Liquid cul-
tures of the bacteria harboring the expression constructs were grown at 37°C to an OD600 of 0.6 to 0.8. Anhydrotetracycline (IAB) was added to a final concentration of 200 µg L−1, and the cultures were incubated for 20 h at 18°C. The cells were sedimented for 5 min at 5000g and 4°C. For breaking up the cells, the pellet was resuspended in ice-cold 4 mL 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, containing 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 50 mM mercaptoethanol, and 10% glycerol and subsequently exposed to ul-
trasonication (4 × 20 s; Bandelin UW2070). The debris was separated by centrifugation for 20 min at 16,100g and 4°C. N-terminal His-tagged proteins were purified using nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid spin columns (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The purified proteins were eluted with 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, containing 0.5 M NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, and 10% glycerol. The salt was removed by gel filtration using ultrafiltration columns (GE Healthcare), and the proteins were redissolved in 50 mM MOPS, pH 7.0, containing 50% glycerol.

O-Methyltransferase Assays
The in vitro O-methyltransferase activity of BX10a-B73, BX10b-B73, and BX10c-CML322 was proven using enzyme assays containing purified recombinant protein, the substrate DIMBOA-Glc, and the cosubstrate S-adenosyl-L-Met. The assays were performed with 4 mM DTT, 0.4 mM S-adenosyl-L-Met, 0.2 mM DIMBOA-Glc, and 0.5 µg desalted enzyme buffered by 50 mM MOPS, pH 7.0, in a volume of 50 µL. The assays were

Sequence Analysis and Phylogenetic Tree Reconstruction
A nucleotide sequence alignment of maize methyltransferase genes similar to Bx10a, Bx10b, and Bx10c was computed using the MUSCLE (codon) algorithm (see Supplemental Data Set 1 online; gap open, −2.9; gap extend, 0; hydrophobicity multiplier, 1.2; clustering method, UPGMB) implemented in MEGAS (Tamura et al., 2011). Based on the MUSCLE alignment, a phylogenetic tree was reconstructed with MEGAS using a maximum likelihood algorithm (general time-reversible model, gamma distributed rates among sites). Codon positions included were 1st+2nd +3rd+Noncoding. All positions with <90% site coverage were eliminated. Ambiguous bases were allowed at any position. A bootstrap resampling analysis with 1000 replicates was performed to evaluate the tree topology. Two recently described maize methyltransferase genes, Anthranc acid methyltransferase1 (Aamt1) and O-Methyltransferase8 (Omt8), which both
belong to a different class of O-methyltransferases, were included as an outgroup.

Transposon Analysis
To test for the presence of a Doppia-like transposon in the first exon of Bx10c alleles, PCR was performed with genomic DNA as template and the gene specific primer TPA1 located on exon 1 and the transposon specific primer TPA2 (Supplemental Table 2 online). Conversely, the absence of the transposon was analyzed using two gene specific primers (TPA1 and TPA3) binding on gene regions upstream and downstream, respectively, of the transposon insertion site. The resulting PCR products (671 bp and 398 bp, respectively) were cloned as blunt fragments into the sequencing vector pCR®-Blunt II-TOPO® and both strands were fully sequenced.

qRT-PCR Analysis
For the amplification of Bx10c gene fragments with a length of ~150 bp, primer pairs specific for Bx10a/b and Bx10c were designed having a Tm ≥ 58°C, a GC content between 25 and 55%, and a primer length in the range of 20 to 25 nucleotides (see Supplemental Table 2 online for primer information). Primer specificity was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis, melting curve analysis, and sequence verification of cloned PCR amplicons. Primer pair efficiency was determined using the standard curve method with fivefold serial dilution of cDNA and found to be between 90 and 105%. The actin gene Zm-Act1 (accession number MZEACT1G) was used as a reference gene. The cDNA was prepared as described above, and 1 μL cDNA was used in all 20-μL reactions. Samples were run in triplicates using Brilliant III SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix (Stratagene) with ROX as the reference dye. The following PCR conditions were applied for all reactions: initial incubation at 95°C for 3 min followed by 40 cycles of amplification (95°C for 20 s and 60°C for 20 s). Plate reads were taken during the annealing and the extension step of each cycle. Data for the melting curves were gathered at the end of cycling from 55°C to 95°C.

All samples were run on the same PCR machine (MxPro Mx3000P; Stratagene, Agilent Technologies) in an optical 96-well plate. Five biological replicates were analyzed as triplicates in the quantitative PCR for each of the two inbred lines. Data for the relative quantity to calibrator average (dRn) were exported from the MxPro Software.

Accession Numbers
Sequence data from this article can be found in GenBank/EMBL data libraries under the following accession numbers: Aamt1, HM242244; Om8, HM242248; Zp4, NP_001105689; Bx7-C31A, NP_001120719; Bx10a-B73, KC754962; Bx10b-B73, KC754963; Bx10c-CML322, KC754964; GRMZM2G100754, AFW64967; GRMZM2G023152, AFW60893; GRMZM2G124799, AFW78713; GRMZM2G020863, AFW78712; GRMZM2G141026, AFW78711; GRMZM2G140996, AFW78710; GRMZM2G041866, AFW65591; GRMZM2G099297, AFW64033; GRMZM2G16172, AFW87991; GRMZM2G030092, AFW87990; GRMZM2G127418, DAA39165; GRMZM2G408458, AFW60754; GRMZM2G059465, DAA48819; GRMZM2G104730, AFW5836; GRMZM2G104710, AFW5884; GRMZM2G177424, DAA60533; GRMZM2G091774, AFV56785; GRMZM2G097491, AFV60116; GRMZM2G147491, DAA38843; and GRMZM2G085924, DAA38859.

Supplemental Data
The following materials are available in the online version of this article.
Supplemental Figure 1. Aphid Susceptibility Is Caused by a Dominant Allele on CML322 Chromosome 1.
Supplemental Figure 2. Maize Chromosome LOD Plots Showing Location of Aphid Resistance QTL.
Supplemental Figure 3. Correlation of DIMBOA-Glc Content with Other Benzoxazinoids in Parental Lines of the NAM Population.
Supplemental Figure 4. Correlation of Aphid Resistance and Benzoxazinoid Content of Maize Leaves.
Supplemental Figure 5. High HDMBOA-Glc Accumulation Is Caused by a Dominant Allele on CML322 Chromosome 1.
Supplemental Figure 6. Aphid Choice Experiments, Comparing B73 to More Susceptible Inbred Lines.
Supplemental Table 1. Predicted B73 Genes in the Area of the Bin 1.04 R. maidis Resistance QTL.
Supplemental Table 2. Primers Used in This Study.
Supplemental Data Set 1. Nucleotide Sequence Alignment of Putative Methyltransferase Genes Similar to Bx10a, Bx10b, and Bx10c.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
This research was funded by US National Science Foundation Award IOS-1139329, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Award W31PQ-10-1-0011, and a Friedrich Wilhelm Bessel Research Award from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation to G.J.; USDA Award 2011-67012-30675 to L.N.M.; and the USDA–Agricultural Research Service and US National Science Foundation Award DBI-0820619 to E.S.B. Research activities of J.G., V.H., T.G.K., G.G., and M.E. were supported by a Sinergia Grant of the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF 136184). The work of M.E. is supported by a Marie Curie Intra European Fellowship (Grant 273107). We thank Gabriella Gómez for assistance with callose assays, Neil Villard for benzoxazinoid measurements, and I-Chun Chen for aphid bioassays. Part of this work was carried out using the resources of the Computational Biology Service Unit from Cornell University, which is partially funded by Microsoft Corporation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Received April 9, 2013; revised June 4, 2013; accepted June 11, 2013; published June 28, 2013.

REFERENCES


Natural Variation in Maize Aphid Resistance Is Associated with 2,4-Dihydroxy-7-Methoxy-1,4-Benzoxazin-3-One Glucoside Methyltransferase Activity


Plant Cell 2013;25;2341-2355; originally published online June 28, 2013;
DOI 10.1105/tpc.113.112409

This information is current as of June 23, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplemental Data</th>
<th>/content/suppl/2013/06/17/tpc.113.112409.DC1.html</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>References</td>
<td>This article cites 66 articles, 22 of which can be accessed free at: /content/25/6/2341.full.html#ref-list-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eTOCs</td>
<td>Sign up for eTOCs at: <a href="http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/alerts/ctmain">http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/alerts/ctmain</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CiteTrack Alerts</td>
<td>Sign up for CiteTrack Alerts at: <a href="http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/alerts/ctmain">http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/alerts/ctmain</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscription Information</td>
<td>Subscription Information for The Plant Cell and Plant Physiology is available at: <a href="http://www.aspb.org/publications/subscriptions.cfm">http://www.aspb.org/publications/subscriptions.cfm</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

© American Society of Plant Biologists
ADVANCING THE SCIENCE OF PLANT BIOLOGY