Arabidopsis ACTIN-DEPOLYMERIZING FACTOR7 Severs Actin Filaments and Regulates Actin Cable Turnover to Promote Normal Pollen Tube Growth

Yiyan Zheng,⁎,1 Yurong Xie,⁎,1 Yuxiang Jiang,⁎,1 Xiaolu Qu,⁎,1 and Shanjin Huang⁎,2

⁎Key Laboratory of Plant Molecular Physiology, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100093, China
⁎University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
⁎National Center for Plant Gene Research, Beijing 100101, China

INTRODUCTION

The actin cytoskeleton constantly undergoes dynamic assembly and disassembly. These dynamic changes allow cells to constantly remodel networks and build distinct structures needed for various physiological cellular processes, including cell motility, cell division, cytokinesis, maintenance of cell polarity, and polarized cell growth (Pollard and Cooper, 2009), as well as responses to various stimuli (Staiger, 2000). How diverse cellular actin structures are generated and remodeled remains an open question in cell biology.

The pollen tube extends rapidly through the style, searching for the ovule in order to deliver two nonmotile sperm cells, resulting in double fertilization in flowering plants. Growth of the pollen tube is highly dependent upon dynamic remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton (Taylor and Hepler, 1997; Gibbon et al., 1999; Hepler et al., 2001; Vidali and Hepler, 2001; Vidali et al., 2001). It is widely accepted that the actin cytoskeleton is arranged into at least three distinct structures within pollen tubes (Cheung and Wu, 2008; Chen et al., 2009; Staiger et al., 2010). In the shank region, longitudinal actin cables are distributed throughout the cytoplasm. The consensus view regarding the function of longitudinal actin cables is that they provide molecular tracks to drive intracellular trafficking events, such as organelle movement and vesicle trafficking.

Several actin binding proteins have been implicated in the generation of longitudinal actin cables in the pollen tube. For example, the formin protein Arabidopsis thaliana Formin1 nucleates actin assembly (Ye et al., 2009), while bundling proteins, such as fimbrins, villins, and LIM-domain–containing proteins, decorate and stabilize actin cables, as well as maintaining their longitudinal arrangement (Wang et al., 2008; Papuga et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Su et al., 2012; Qu et al., 2013). However, the mechanisms that facilitate dynamic remodeling of these longitudinal actin cables and the extent to which dynamics of longitudinal actin cables are related to pollen tube growth remain largely unknown.

ACTIN-DEPOLYMERIZING FACTOR (ADF)/cofilin is a major factor involved in actin depolymerization and serves as a limiting factor in rapid actin turnover. ADF was originally purified from embryonic chicken brain (Bamburg et al., 1980) and was subsequently shown to rapidly depolymerize filamentous actin (Giuliano et al., 1988). Homologs of ADF have been identified in many different species, including plants. Although one ADF and one ADF and two cofilins are encoded in the genomes of unicellular eukaryotes and vertebrates, respectively, the number of ADF genes is significantly expanded in flowering plants (Maciver and Hussey, 2002; Feng et al., 2006; Bamburg and Bernstein, 2008). There are a total of 11 ADF genes encoded by the Arabidopsis genome, and these genes can be divided into four subclasses (Ruzicka et al., 2007). Arabidopsis ADFs have been implicated in numerous physiological processes, including etiolated...
hypocotyl growth, seedling development, flower timing, root hair growth, and plant defense (Dong et al., 2001; Gilliland et al., 2003; Burgos-Rivera et al., 2008; Clément et al., 2009; Tian et al., 2009; Henty et al., 2011). ADF has also been implicated in polarized moss protonemal cell growth (Augustine et al., 2008) and pollen tube growth (Chen et al., 2002; Li et al., 2010). However, knowledge regarding potential functions of ADF in pollen tube growth has primarily been obtained from gain-of-function studies (Chen et al., 2002; Li et al., 2010). Due to the presence of several distinct actin arrays and coexistence of multiple ADF isovariants (Bou Daher et al., 2011; Daher and Geitmann, 2012), loss-of-function analysis is needed to better understand the precise functions and mechanisms of action of each ADF isovariant during pollen tube growth. *Arabidopsis* ADF7 and ADF10 belong to the class IIa ADFs, which are expressed specifically in pollen (Pina et al., 2005; Ruzicka et al., 2007). Green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusions of both ADF7 and ADF10 were shown to decorate actin filaments and exhibit distinct localization patterns within pollen tubes (Bou Daher et al., 2011; Daher and Geitmann, 2012). However, whether these GFP fusion proteins are fully functional in the pollen tube needs to be examined. Furthermore, their precise roles in regulation of actin dynamics during pollen tube growth remain to be determined.

The biochemical activities of ADF/cofilins have been documented extensively over the past several decades. ADF/cofilin is able to bind to both ADP- and ATP-loaded G-actin, but it prefers ADP-loaded G-actin and inhibits nucleotide exchange (Carlier et al., 1997; Tian et al., 2009). Therefore, ADF/cofilin was thought to target older actin filaments. Historically, ADF/cofilin was thought to be an actin-depolymerizing protein that promoted subunit dissociation from the pointed ends of actin filaments (Carlier et al., 1997). However, more recent evidence suggests that filament severing is the primary function required for the function of ADF/cofilins (Andrianantoandro and Pollard, 2006; Chen and Pollard, 2011; Henty et al., 2011; Suarez et al., 2011). The most compelling evidence comes from the results showing that specific ablation of the severing activity of cofilin through point mutations alters its function in vivo (Chen and Pollard, 2011). However, to date, no similar study has been reported in plants. Previous studies have shown that the biochemical activities of ADF isovariants can vary greatly (Tholl et al., 2011), emphasizing the importance of characterizing the biochemical activity of each isovariant carefully before assessing its function in vivo. For example, a previous study showed that lily (*Lilium longiflorum*) pollen ADF1 binds to F-actin better and has less depolymerizing activity than the vegetative maize (*Zea mays*) ADF3 (Smertenko et al., 2001). However, more evidence is needed to determine whether these differences in activity are a common phenomenon for pollen ADFs. In particular, careful comparison of pollen and vegetative ADF isovariants from the same species is an ideal means to test this notion. Furthermore, careful determination of the interactions of pollen ADF with G-actin and F-actin and comparison of any differences in activity between pollen and vegetative ADF in regulation of the turnover of single actin filaments are necessary to better understand the mechanism of action of pollen ADF within the pollen cellular context.

To characterize the molecular mechanisms underlying the dynamic turnover of longitudinal actin cables in pollen tubes, we analyzed the role of pollen-specific ADF7 in *Arabidopsis* using a combination of live-cell imaging, reverse genetics, and state-of-the-art biochemical assays. Our results demonstrate that ADF7 is a typical ADF, but that it is less potent than the vegetative ADF1, consistent with several previous reports (Lopez et al., 1996; Smertenko et al., 2001; Allwood et al., 2002). These observations suggest that weak depolymerizing activity may be commonly associated with pollen ADFs, implying that these proteins may have evolved to fulfill pollen-specific requirements for actin dynamics. Real-time visualization of single filaments showed that ADF7 exhibits filament severing activity, which was verified in vivo by demonstrating that the average filament severing frequency was significantly decreased in *adf7* pollen tubes. The most compelling evidence supporting the importance of the severing activity comes from results showing that an ADF7-EGFP (for enhanced GFP) fusion protein deficient in severing activity that retains G-actin binding cannot complement *adf7*. Thus, we provide direct evidence that ADF-mediated severing regulates the turnover of longitudinal actin cables to promote pollen tube tip growth.

**RESULTS**

Loss of ADF7 Increases Bundling of Actin Filaments in the Shanks of Pollen Tubes

To dissect the in vivo functions of ADF7, a T-DNA insertion line (Salk_024576; *adf7*) was analyzed (Figure 1A). No ADF7 transcript was detected in *adf7* plants (Figure 1B), suggesting that the allele is a knockout. Pollen germination and tube elongation were initially assayed to determine the effects of loss of ADF7. Although pollen germination was not affected in *adf7* (see Supplemental Figure 1 online), the pollen tube elongation rate was significantly decreased in *adf7* compared with that of the wild-type Columbia-0 (Col-0) line (Figure 1C). Pollen tube elongation was fully rescued in the gADF7:adf7 line (Figure 1D), suggesting that this phenotype is indeed caused by loss of *ADF7*. Thus, these data suggest that loss of ADF7 has no overt effect on pollen germination but inhibits tube elongation.

We next sought to determine whether loss of *ADF7* affects the organization and dynamics of the actin cytoskeleton in the pollen tube. Pollen tubes derived from wild-type and *adf7* plants were subjected to F-actin staining with Alexa-488 phalloidin. No overt defects in actin organization were detected in *adf7* pollen tubes compared with wild-type pollen tubes (Figure 1E) and because ADF was implicated in regulating the construction of actin structures in subapical regions (Chen et al., 2002; Lovy-Wheeler et al., 2006). Analysis of the distribution of fluorescence pixel intensities revealed that larger intensity values were more frequent in *adf7* pollen tubes compared with wild-type pollen tubes (Figure 1F). Consequently, the average...
Figure 1. Loss of ADF7 Increases the Amount of Filamentous Actin and Inhibits Pollen Tube Growth.

(A) Structure of the ADF7 gene. ADF7 contains three exons and two introns, which are indicated by black boxes and lines, respectively. Salk_024576 was inserted in the second intron to produce the adf7 allele.

(B) adf7 is a knockout allele. No ADF7 transcripts were detected in adf7 plants; however, ADF7 expression was restored in the gADF7;adf7 line. eIF4A served as the internal control. The number of cycles used to amplify PCR fragments is indicated in the figure. WT, the wild type.

(C) Pollen tube growth was inhibited in adf7 plants. Individual pollen tubes derived from wild-type, adf7, and gADF7;adf7 plants are shown at 60 and 90 min after germination. Bar = 50 μm.

(D) The pollen tube elongation rate was significantly decreased in adf7 plants. Significant differences were assessed via a one-way analysis of variance, followed by an LSD post-hoc multiple comparison test. Values represent mean ± SE. Different capital letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.01). The experiment was repeated five times.

(E) No overt defect in the overall organization of actin filaments was detected in adf7 pollen tubes, although more prominent actin cables were present in adf7 pollen tubes. Representative images show wild-type (a) and adf7 (b) pollen tubes and the shank regions of five representative wild-type (c) and adf7 (d) pollen tubes. Images are the projections from all optical sections throughout pollen tubes. Bar = 10 μm.

(F) Fluorescence pixel intensity distribution of F-actin staining spanning the length of the pollen tubes. The percentage of fluorescent pixels with higher gray values was increased in adf7 pollen tubes.

(G) The amount of F-actin was increased in adf7 pollen tubes. The relative amount of F-actin was quantified by measuring the fluorescence pixel intensity in the apexes, subapexes, and shanks of pollen tubes. The definition for these regions is described in the methods section. Values represent mean ± SE. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 by a Student’s t test.

(H) The skewness of actin filaments increased in the shanks of adf7 pollen tubes. Values represent mean ± SE. *P < 0.05 by a Student’s t test.

(I) The width of filamentous structures increased in the shanks and subapexes of adf7 pollen tubes. Values represent mean ± SE. *P < 0.05 by a Student’s t test.
fluorescence pixel intensity was significantly increased in the shanks and subapexes of adf7 pollen tubes compared with that of wild-type pollen tubes (Figure 1G). Since phalloidin specifically binds to F-actin (Cooper, 1987), fluorescence intensity is positively correlated with the amount of F-actin. Thus, the data suggest that loss of ADF7 increases the amount of F-actin. Furthermore, measurement of skewness revealed that these values were significantly increased in adf7 pollen tubes (Figure 1H), suggesting that the extent of filament bundling was increased in adf7 pollen tubes. However, no overt differences were observed in the organization or amount of F-actin in pollen grains from adf7 plants compared with wild-type plants (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). Thus, these results suggest that ADF7 regulates pollen tube growth by promoting disassembly of actin filaments.

### Loss of ADF7 Renders Pollen Germination and Tube Elongation Resistant to Latrunculin B

We next examined whether the organization and dynamics of actin filaments were differentially affected by actin-targeted drugs in adf7 pollen. We approached this question by analyzing the effects of the actin monomer sequestering agent latrunculin B (LatB) (Coué et al., 1987; Gibbon et al., 1999) on pollen germination and tube growth. Results from this analysis revealed that germination of adf7 pollen was resistant to treatment with 3 nM LatB compared with both wild-type and gADF7;adf7 pollen (Figure 2A; see Supplemental Figure 3 online). Further analysis of a series of LatB concentrations further confirmed that the germination of adf7 pollen was resistant to LatB (Figure 2B). This phenotype was fully reversed in gADF7;adf7 plants (Figure 2B). Because 3 nM LatB was previously shown to result in half-maximum growth inhibition of wild-type Arabidopsis pollen tubes (Zhang et al., 2010) and was associated with LatB resistance in adf7 plants, two LatB concentrations (3 and 10 nM) were selected for further analysis of the effects of LatB on adf7 pollen tube elongation. The average pollen tube elongation rates for wild-type (202.1 ± 8.5 µm/h; n = 3; mean ± si) and adf7 (159.6 ± 6.2 µm/h; n = 3) plants were normalized to 100%. Addition of 3 and 10 nM LatB to standard germination medium (GM) reduced pollen tube elongation rates to 41.4% ± 3.7% (n = 3) and 25.7% ± 1.5% (n = 3) for wild-type pollen tubes and 90.7% ± 1.7% (n = 3) and 59.7% ± 7.3% (n = 3) for adf7 pollen tubes, respectively (Figure 2C). Thus, these data suggest that loss of ADF7 renders both pollen germination and tube elongation resistant to LatB.

### The Actin Cytoskeleton in adf7 Pollen Grains Is Resistant to Perturbation by LatB

We next visualized the effect of LatB on the actin cytoskeleton of the pollen grain, since it has been shown to be a good experimental system for visualization of actin filaments and quantification of F-actin (Huang et al., 2006; Ye et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2010). Pollen grains were stained with Alexa-488 phalloidin after treatment with 200 nM LatB for 30 min. Actin filaments became fragmented in both wild-type and adf7 pollen grains treated with LatB and were less abundant compared with untreated wild-type and adf7 pollen grains (Figure 2D), consistent with previous reports demonstrating net actin depolymerization induced by LatB (Gibbon et al., 1999; Snowman et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2010). However, actin filaments in adf7 pollen grains appeared brighter than those of wild-type pollen grains after LatB treatment (Figure 2D). To assess this difference, the relative amount of F-actin was quantified by measuring the average fluorescence pixel intensity associated with F-actin staining as described previously (Ye et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2010). The amount of F-actin in untreated wild-type and adf7 pollen grains was normalized to 100%. The relative amount (mean ± si) of F-actin was determined to be 41.0% ± 5.0% (n = 3) and 80.6% ± 4.2% (n = 3) for LatB treated wild-type and adf7 pollen grains, respectively (Figure 2E). These observations suggest that loss of ADF7 results in stabilization of actin filaments, implicating ADF7 in promotion of actin turnover.

### ADF7 Depolymerizes and Severs Actin Filaments, but to a Lesser Degree Than ADF1

To determine the biochemical basis for the function of ADF7, recombinant ADF7 was produced (Figure 3A, lane 1), and its activity was compared with the well-characterized ADF1 protein (Figure 3A, lane 2; Carlier et al., 1997). Although some ADF/cofilins have been shown to bind ADP-G-actin and ATP-G-actin with equal affinity, such as chicken ADF and mouse cofilin-2 (Vartiainen et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2004), most ADF/cofilins have been shown to prefer ADP-G-actin over ATP-G-actin (Maciver and Hussey, 2002; Bamberg and Bernstein, 2008). ADF7 quenched the fluorescence of both 7-chloro-4-nitrobenzo-2-oxa-1,3-diazole (NBD)-ADP-G-actin and NBD-ATP-G-actin, but to a lesser degree than ADF1 (Figures 3B and 3C; see Supplemental Table 1 online). Similar to ADF1, ADF7 preferentially bound ADP-actin (see Supplemental Table 1 online). ADF7 also inhibited nucleotide exchange on ADP-G-actin under physiological conditions, but this activity was less potent than that of ADF1 (Figure 3D). These data suggest that ADF7 is a typical ADF. As expected, it promotes actin depolymerization (Figure 3E; see Supplemental Figure 4A online) and enhances the actin turnover rate (Figure 3F). However, these effects were weaker than those of ADF1. The depolymerizing activity of ADF7 was further confirmed by visualizing its effect on shortening of actin filaments (Figures 3Gb and 3Gc). ADF7 reduced the length of actin filaments in a dose-dependent manner, but to a lesser degree than ADF1 (Figure 3Ge). To distinguish whether the filament shortening effect was a result of monomer dissociation, filament severing, or both, we directly visualized single filaments using time-lapse total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy. In the absence of ADF proteins, few breaks were observed along actin filaments (Figure 3Ha; see Supplemental Movie 1 and Supplemental Movie Legends 1 online). By contrast, the addition of ADF7 resulted in increased filament breakage (Figure 3Hb; see Supplemental Movie 2 online). Both ADF7 and ADF1 severed filaments in a dose-dependent manner, although ADF7 was less effective than ADF1 (Figure 3Hc). We also measured monomer off-rate in the absence or presence of ADF proteins and found that both ADF7 and ADF1 enhanced monomer dissociation from the pointed ends of actin filaments (see Supplemental Figure 4B online). Again, ADF7 was less efficient
Figure 2. Loss of ADF7 Inhibits Actin Turnover.

(A) Loss of ADF7 renders pollen germination resistant to LatB. Pollen grains derived from wild-type (WT), adf7, and gADF7;adf7 plants were germinated in standard GM for 3 h in the presence or absence of 3 nM LatB. Red arrows indicate the pollen tube protrusion sites. Bar = 50 μm.

(B) Loss of ADF7 renders pollen germination resistant to a range of LatB concentrations. Values represent mean ± SE. **P < 0.01 by a Student’s t test.

(C) Pollen tube elongation in adf7 plants is resistant to LatB. The average pollen tube elongation rate in standard GM was normalized to 100% for the wild type and adf7. The relative pollen tube elongation rate for wild-type and adf7 pollen tubes treated with 3 or 10 nM LatB were subsequently normalized to their untreated controls. The experiment was repeated three times. Values represent mean ± SE. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 by a Student’s t test.

(D) The actin cytoskeleton of adf7 pollen is resistant to LatB. Pollen grains derived from the wild type and adf7 were subjected to treatment with 200 nM LatB for 30 min, followed by staining with Alexa-488 phalloidin. Images are the projections from all optical sections throughout the whole pollen grains. Bar = 10 μm.

(E) Quantification of the relative amount of F-actin in pollen grains. The amount of F-actin fluorescence presents prior to LatB treatment was normalized to 100% for the wild type and adf7. Values represent mean ± SE. **P < 0.01 by a Student’s t test.
Figure 3. ADF7 is a Typical ADF but is less Efficient Than ADF1.

(A) SDS-PAGE analysis of purified recombinant ADF proteins. Lane 1, ADF7; lane 2, ADF1.

(B) and (C) ADF7 has weaker binding affinity for both ADP-G-actin (B) and ATP-G-actin (C) than ADF1 at pH 8.0. NBD-ADP-G-actin or NBD-ATP-G-actin (0.2 μM) was incubated with increasing concentrations of ADF7 or ADF1 for 1 min, and the changes in NBD fluorescence were monitored. The relative decrease in fluorescence over time was plotted. Dissociation constants (K₉) values were calculated by fitting the data to Equations 1 to 3 in Methods. K₉ values for ADF7 and ADF1 binding to ADP-G-actin and ATP-G-actin were determined to be 0.92 and 0.38 μM (B), and 29.6 and 13.7 μM (C), respectively.

(D) ADF7 inhibits nucleotide exchange on ADP-G-actin in the presence of 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and 50 mM NaCl, but to a lesser degree than ADF1. a.u., arbitrary units.
ADF7 Decorates Actin Cables in the Shanks of Pollen Tubes

To determine where ADF7 is localized to the pollen tube, we generated N-terminal, C-terminal, and several intramolecular ADF7-EGFP fusion constructs according to published methods (Okreglak and Drubin, 2007; Bou Daher et al., 2011). Expression of these constructs was driven by the native ADF7 promoter. ADF7 transcript levels were restored in transgenic plants carrying each of the different GFP fusion constructs (see Supplemental Figure 5B online). Among these lines, only gADF7-EGFPV10;ADF7 fully rescued the LatB-resistant germination and tube elongation phenotypes (see Supplemental Figures 5C and 5D online), indicating that ADF7-EGFPV10 is functional and faithfully represents the subcellular localization of ADF7 in pollen. ADF7-EGFPV10 decorated actin filaments throughout the pollen grain (Figure 4A). In pollen tubes of different lengths, it primarily decorated actin cables in the shank region (Figures 4B to 4D), and the GFP signal was quite dim in the apical and subapical regions (Figures 4B to 4D). We also examined the subcellular distribution patterns of other ADF7-EGFP fusion proteins, revealing that these proteins did not localize to obvious filamentous structures in either pollen grains or tubes (see Supplemental Figure 5E online). Together, these data suggest that ADF7 decorates longitudinal actin cables in pollen tubes.

Figure 3. (continued).

(E) ADF7 depolymerized actin filaments but was less potent than ADF1. Preassembled actin filaments (0.5 μM) were incubated with either 4 μM ADF7 or 4 μM ADF1 to induce actin depolymerization at pH 7.0. Actin depolymerization was monitored by measuring the changes in light scattering. Closed squares, 0.5 μM F-actin; closed circles, 0.5 μM F-actin + 4 μM ADF7; open circles, 0.5 μM F-actin + 4 μM ADF1. a.u., arbitrary units.

(F) ADF7 accelerates actin turnover but is less efficient than ADF1. The actin turnover rate was measured by analyzing the change in fluorescence of actin after exchange of α-ATP for nonfluorescent ATP. Open squares, 4 μM αADP-actin filaments; closed red circles, 4 μM αADP-actin filaments + 5 μM ADF7; open circles, 4 μM αADP-actin filaments + 5 μM ADF1. a.u., arbitrary units.

(G) ADF7 reduces the lengths of actin filaments but to a lesser degree than ADF1. Actin alone (a), F-actin + 200 nM ADF (b), F-actin + 500 nM ADF7 (c), and F-actin + 500 nM ADF1 (d). Bar = 5 μm. Mean length of actin filaments versus ADF (e). Values represent mean ± se; n = 3.

(H) ADF7 is able to sever actin filaments, but is less efficient than ADF1. The left panel shows time-series images of actin filaments in the absence (a) or presence of 500 nM ADF7 (b) at pH 7.0. Red arrows indicate filament severing events. Bar = 5 μm. ADF7 is less efficient than ADF1 at severing actin filaments (c). Values represent mean ± se; n = 3. Lowercase letters indicate difference at *P < 0.01 by a Student’s t test.

ADF7 Promotes Turnover of Single Actin Filaments in the Shanks and Subapexes of Pollen Tubes

To explore the mechanism by which ADF7 regulates the dynamics of longitudinal actin cables in the pollen tube, actin filaments were labeled with EGFP-lifeact, which has previously been shown to decorate actin filamentous structures within either pollen grains or tubes (see Supplemental Figure 5E online). ADF7-EGFP fusion proteins, revealing that these proteins did not localize to obvious filamentous structures in either pollen grains or tubes (see Supplemental Figure 5E online). Together, these data suggest that ADF7 decorates longitudinal actin cables in pollen tubes.

ADF7 Promotes Turnover of Single Actin Filaments in the Shanks and Subapexes of Pollen Tubes

To determine if ADF7 promotes actin cable turnover, we measured the time taken for these filaments to disassemble. We found that the elongation rate of actin filaments in shanks and subapexes was significantly increased in pollen tubes compared with wild-type pollen tubes (Figure 5C). Additionally, the depolymerization rate was significantly decreased in shanks and subapexes of adf7 pollen tubes, whereas no obvious difference was detected in subapexes of adf7 pollen tubes, compared with wild-type pollen tubes (Figure 5C). Consequently, the maximum filament lifetime significantly increased in shanks and subapexes of adf7 pollen tubes, whereas no obvious difference was detected in maximum filament length of adf7 pollen tubes, compared with wild-type pollen tubes (Figure 5C).

ADF7 Promotes Actin Cable Turnover 3411

Loss of ADF7 Decreases Depolymerization Frequency

We next determined whether ADF7 contributes to the bundling and depolymerization events of actin filaments. We tried to trace the process of actin bundle formation and deformation. As shown in Figure 6A (see Supplemental Movie 7 online), two adjacent actin bundles were zipped together to generate the heavy bundle in wild-type pollen tubes, which was supported by the measurement showing that the fluorescence pixel intensity increased while they were zipped together (Figure 6B). We also noted that the heavy actin bundles can split into two small actin bundles (Figure 6C; see Supplemental Movie 8 online). We next quantified the parameters associated with actin bundles dynamics in shanks. Surprisingly, we found that no obvious difference in

than ADF1 (see Supplemental Figure 4B online). Thus, these data suggest that ADF7 is a typical ADF but that its activity is weaker than that of ADF1.

ADF7 promotes actin filament turnover by severing single filaments and were selected from adf7 and wild-type pollen tubes for further analysis. Several dynamic parameters associated with single filaments were determined to see whether ADF7 contributes to the turnover of single filaments in shanks and subapexes. Filament severing (Figures 5Aa and 5Ba; see Supplemental Movies 3 and 4 online) and depolymerization (Figure 5Ab and 5Bb; see Supplemental Movies 5 and 6 online) events were traced and quantified. Severing frequency was significantly decreased in shanks and subapexes of adf7 pollen tubes compared with that of wild-type pollen tubes (Figure 5C). Additionally, the depolymerization rate was significantly decreased in the shanks of adf7 pollen tubes, whereas no obvious difference was detected in subapexes of adf7 pollen tubes, compared with wild-type pollen tubes (Figure 5C). Consequently, the maximum filament lifetime significantly increased in shanks and subapexes of adf7 pollen tubes, whereas no obvious difference was detected in maximum filament length of adf7 pollen tubes, compared with wild-type pollen tubes (Figure 5C).

ADF7 Promotes Actin Cable Turnover 3411

Loss of ADF7 Decreases Depolymerization Frequency

We next determined whether ADF7 contributes to the bundling and depolymerization events of actin filaments. We tried to trace the process of actin bundle formation and deformation. As shown in Figure 6A (see Supplemental Movie 7 online), two adjacent actin bundles were zipped together to generate the heavy bundle in wild-type pollen tubes, which was supported by the measurement showing that the fluorescence pixel intensity increased while they were zipped together (Figure 6B). We also noted that the heavy actin bundles can split into two small actin bundles (Figure 6C; see Supplemental Movie 8 online). We next quantified the parameters associated with actin bundles dynamics in shanks. Surprisingly, we found that no obvious difference in
bundling frequency was detected in adf7 pollen tubes, whereas debundling frequency significantly decreased in adf7 pollen tubes compared with wild-type pollen tubes (Figure 6D). Therefore, the decrease in debundling frequency may at least partially account for the increase in the extent of filament bundling in adf7 pollen tubes.

The Severing Activity of ADF7 Is Vital for Its Function in Vivo

Considering that ADF7-EGFP fusion proteins act differentially in vivo, careful documentation of their biochemical activities in combination with meaningful comparisons can provide insight into specific mechanisms of action of ADF7 in vivo. In addition to ADF7-EGFP 

Figure 4. ADF7-EGFP 

ADF7-Mediated Actin Dynamics Are Required for Pollen Tube Growth

ADF has been shown to be crucial for the growth of several types of tip growing cells, including moss protonemal cells (Augustine et al., 2008) and root hairs (Dong et al., 2001). ADF has also been implicated in pollen tube growth, primarily due to results from gain-of-function studies (Chen et al., 2002; Li et al., 2010). Here, we found that loss of function of a pollen-specific Arabidopsis ADF isovariant, ADF7, inhibits pollen tube growth (Figure 1C). The effect of loss of function of ADF7 on tip growth is similar to that of loss of function of ADF on moss protonemal cell growth (Augustine et al., 2008) but differs from a study showing that downregulation of ADF1 promotes root hair growth (Dong et al., 2001). Loss of ADF7 increased the amount of actin filaments in pollen tubes (Figure 1E), similar to the reported functions of ADF1, ADF2, ADF4, and moss ADF (Dong et al., 2001; Augustine et al., 2008; Clément et al., 2009; Henty et al., 2011). These observations suggest that increases in the amount of filamentous actin induced by downregulation of ADF are a common phenomenon, consistent with a role for ADF in depolymerizing actin filaments. On the other hand, these results also indicate that the net increase in the amount of filamentous actin resulting from ADF loss of function has differential effects on the growth of various types of tip growing cells, suggesting that the relationship between ADF-mediated actin dynamics and tip growth requires further characterization.

The effect of ADF loss of function on actin filament bundling is very likely indirect and is probably due to a decrease in the rate of single actin filament turnover. A previous study showed that single actin filament dynamics are dominated by prolific severing events that balance rapid polymerization (Staiger et al., 2009). ADF was verified as an important player in the stochastic dynamics
model (Henty et al., 2011). Consistent with a role for ADF7 in this process, we demonstrated that the average severing frequency was significantly decreased in *adf7* pollen tubes (Figure 5C), while the lifetime and maximum filament length of single actin filaments were significantly increased (Figure 5C). These parameters may therefore increase the probability of single actin filaments being brought together by bundling factors, such as villins, fimbrins, LIMs, and formins, among others (Thomas et al., 2009; Thomas, 2012). Certainly, it may also be possible that ADF7 directly competes for filament side binding with bundling factors.

**Figure 5. Time-Lapse Imaging of Actin Filaments Revealed a Reduction in the Severing Frequency in *adf7* Pollen Tubes.**

Three-dimensional time-lapse spinning disk confocal microscopy was used to image actin filaments in pollen tubes expressing Lat52: *EGFP-Lifeact*. Pollen tubes with an average length of ~200 μm were selected for imaging. Bars = 3 μm for images in (A) and (B).

(A) Dynamics of single actin filaments in the shank of a wild-type (WT) pollen tube. The image presented is an optical section. The right panels show an enlarged view of the region from shank in the red box. (a) A filament highlighted with orange dots underwent severing, and the green scissors indicate the severing events. See Supplemental Movie 3 online for the entire series. (b) Red and green dots indicate the ends of a filament that underwent shrinkage. The image was from the same region as that in (a) but in a different optical section. See Supplemental Movie 5 online for the entire series.

(B) Dynamics of single actin filaments in the shank of an *adf7* pollen tube. The image presented is an optical section. (a) A filament highlighted with orange dots underwent severing, and the green scissors indicate a severing event. The image corresponds to the red boxed region in the left panel. See Supplemental Movie 4 online for the entire series. (b) Red and green dots indicate the ends of a single filament that underwent shrinkage. See Supplemental Movie 6 online for the entire series.

(C) Quantification of parameters associated with single actin dynamics in wild-type and *adf7* pollen tubes. Actin filaments from the shanks and subapexes were analyzed. More than 27 pollen tubes from the wild type and *adf7* were used. Values given are means ± SE. ND, no significant difference; *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 by a Student’s *t* test.
This is very likely the case, since ADF is a very abundant protein (Chaudhry et al., 2007) and mainly associates with filaments in the pollen tube (Figure 4; discussed below). In support of this hypothesis, an elegant study from fission yeast showed that cofilin selectively competes with bundling factors for binding of actin filaments (Skau and Kovar, 2010). Therefore, it could be possible that loss of ADF7 increased the binding of bundling factors to filaments to consequently increase the extent of filament bundling (Figure 1). Meanwhile, the increase in binding of bundling factors may impact the physical properties of actin bundles. The decrease in debundling frequency in adf7 pollen tubes, to some extent, supports this (Figure 6).

The role of the actin cytoskeleton in epidermal cell expansion is not well understood either. Parameters associated with single filament dynamics in growing hypocotyl epidermal cells have been shown to be similar to that of nongrowing hypocotyl epidermal cells (Staiger et al., 2009), and root hair growth (Miller et al., 1999), it is possible that ADF could be involved in regulation of actin dynamics at pollen tube tips, though it has been assumed to be inactive within this region (Allwood et al., 2001). The role of the actin cytoskeleton in epidermal cell expansion is not well understood either. Parameters associated with single filament dynamics in growing hypocotyl epidermal cells have been shown to be similar to that of nongrowing hypocotyl epidermal cells (Staiger et al., 2009), and root hair growth (Miller et al., 1999), it is possible that ADF could be involved in regulation of actin dynamics at pollen tube tips, though it has been assumed to be inactive within this region (Allwood et al., 2001).

This is very likely the case, since ADF is a very abundant protein (Chaudhry et al., 2007) and mainly associates with filaments in the pollen tube (Figure 4; discussed below). In support of this hypothesis, an elegant study from fission yeast showed that cofilin selectively competes with bundling factors for binding of actin filaments (Skau and Kovar, 2010). Therefore, it could be possible that loss of ADF7 increased the binding of bundling factors to filaments to consequently increase the extent of filament bundling (Figure 1). Meanwhile, the increase in binding of bundling factors may impact the physical properties of actin bundles. The decrease in debundling frequency in adf7 pollen tubes, to some extent, supports this (Figure 6).

Different mechanisms have been envisioned to understand the role of the actin cytoskeleton in pollen tube growth. In early days, the actin cytoskeleton was simply viewed as providing tracks for delivery of secretory vesicles containing materials necessary for membrane extension and cell wall synthesis to the tip (Taylor and Hepler, 1997; Kost et al., 1998). In this model, alterations in the actin-bundling status in adf7 pollen tubes (Figure 1E) could matter for actin’s role as a molecular track for myosin motors. However, based on findings demonstrating that an extremely dynamic population of actin filaments is present at the apical region that is crucial for pollen tube growth (Lin and Yang, 1997; Gibbon et al., 1999; Geitmann et al., 2000; Vidali et al., 2001; Qu et al., 2013) and root hair growth (Miller et al., 1999), it is possible that ADF could be involved in regulation of actin dynamics at pollen tube tips, though it has been assumed to be inactive within this region (Allwood et al., 2001).

Figure 6. The Frequency of Debundling Is Significantly Decreased in adf7 Pollen Tubes.

To analyze the bundling and debundling events in the shanks of pollen tubes, a 6 × 10-μm² region that was 20 μm away from the tip was selected. (A) The time-lapse process showing that two thin actin bundles form a think actin bundle by zipping in a wild-type pollen tube. Red and blue dots indicate two original thin actin bundles, and green dots indicate the newly generated thick actin bundles. Red asterisk indicates the start point of zipping. Bar = 3 μm. See Supplemental Movie 7 online for the entire series.

(B) Measurement of the fluorescence pixel intensity of two original thin actin bundles and the new generated thick actin bundles. The fluorescence pixel intensity was measured at 44 s for both thin actin bundles and at 62 s for the thick actin bundles. a.u., arbitrary units.

(C) The time-series images indicate the process of debundling. Green dots indicate the original thick bundle, and red and yellow dots indicated two generate thin filamentous structures via debundling. Bar = 3 μm. See Supplemental Movie 8 online for the entire series.

(D) Debundling frequency significantly decreased in adf7 pollen tubes. More than 20 bundles from 10 pollen tubes were measured for both the wild type (WT) and adf7. Values represent mean ± se; ND, no significant difference; **P < 0.01 by a Student’s t test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bundle formation / deformation frequency</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>adf7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bundling frequency (events/μm² s⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.00023 ± 0.00013 (24)</td>
<td>0.00031 ± 0.00013 (21) **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debundling frequency (events/μm² s⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.00054 ± 0.00026 (29)</td>
<td>0.00023 ± 0.00013 (23) ***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is very likely the case, since ADF is a very abundant protein (Chaudhry et al., 2007) and mainly associates with filaments in the pollen tube (Figure 4; discussed below). In support of this hypothesis, an elegant study from fission yeast showed that cofilin selectively competes with bundling factors for binding of actin filaments (Skau and Kovar, 2010). Therefore, it could be possible that loss of ADF7 increased the binding of bundling factors to filaments to consequently increase the extent of filament bundling (Figure 1). Meanwhile, the increase in binding of bundling factors may impact the physical properties of actin bundles. The decrease in debundling frequency in adf7 pollen tubes, to some extent, supports this (Figure 6).

Different mechanisms have been envisioned to understand the role of the actin cytoskeleton in pollen tube growth. In early days, the actin cytoskeleton was simply viewed as providing tracks for delivery of secretory vesicles containing materials necessary for membrane extension and cell wall synthesis to the tip (Taylor and Hepler, 1997; Kost et al., 1998). In this model, alterations in the actin-bundling status in adf7 pollen tubes (Figure 1E) could matter for actin’s role as a molecular track for myosin motors. However, based on findings demonstrating that an extremely dynamic population of actin filaments is present at the apical region that is crucial for pollen tube growth (Lin and Yang, 1997; Gibbon et al., 1999; Geitmann et al., 2000; Vidali et al., 2001; Qu et al., 2013) and root hair growth (Miller et al., 1999), it is possible that ADF could be involved in regulation of actin dynamics at pollen tube tips, though it has been assumed to be inactive within this region (Allwood et al., 2001).
comes from a study showing that loss of function of VILLIN2 and VILLIN3 has different effects on the elongation of epidermal and interfascicular fiber cells (Bao et al., 2012; van der Honing et al., 2012). Henty et al. (2011) divided actin filaments into two populations in cells, comprising stable actin bundles and dynamic single actin filaments, and proposed that the ratio of single actin filaments to bundles is important for cell expansion. This may also apply to the pollen tube regarding the action mechanisms of the actin cytoskeleton, in which ADF7 may play an important role in the dynamic conversion between these two populations of actin filaments.

Severing Is the Key Mechanism Underlying ADF-Mediated Regulation of Actin Dynamics in Pollen Tubes

ADF/cofilin has been shown to be an important regulator of actin turnover among organisms (Bernstein and Bamburg, 2010). Consistent with this role, the actin turnover rate was decreased in adf7 pollen (Figures 2D and 2E). However, the molecular mechanisms underlying the regulation of actin turnover by ADF/cofilin remain quite controversial. Historically, ADF/cofilin was thought to depolymerize actin filaments by promoting dissociation of actin monomers from the pointed end, primarily based on results from in vitro biochemical characterization of Arabidopsis ADF1 (Carlier et al., 1997). However, direct visualization of the effects of ADF/cofilin on single actin filament dynamics has shown that filament severing is the primary mechanism by which ADF/cofilins promote actin filament turnover (Andrianantoandro and Pollard, 2006; Chan et al., 2009; Henty et al., 2011; Suarez et al., 2011; Shi et al., 2013). In support of this mechanism, mathematical modeling results based on the biochemical activity of ADF/cofilins also indicate that depolymerization alone cannot account for the rates of actin turnover mediated by ADF/cofilin in vitro (Roland et al., 2008; Berro et al., 2010; Kueh et al., 2010). Direct visualization of single filament dynamics in vivo have indeed verified that ADF/cofilin contributes to filament severing (Henty et al., 2011). Specific abolishment of the severing activity of cofilin through specific point mutations suggests that the severing activity of cofilin is essential (Chen and Pollard, 2011). However, to date, no similar study has been reported in plants.

As ADF7 exhibits reasonable levels of filament severing activity in biochemical assays (Figure 3; discussed below), we propose that the filament severing activity of ADF7 is important for its role in regulating cellular actin dynamics. Consistent with this hypothesis, we demonstrated that loss of ADF7 significantly decreases the average severing frequency in pollen tubes (Figure 5C). Furthermore, we showed that an ADF7-EGFP fusion protein defective in severing activity that retains G-actin binding cannot rescue adf7-associated phenotypes (see Supplemental Figures 5 and 7 online). Thus, these data suggest that severing activity is essential for the function of ADF7 in pollen tubes. Our data showed that the average severing frequency decreased in the subapical region as well (Figure 5C). Considering that ADF7 also decorates filamentous structures in subapical regions of pollen tubes, though it is less abundant compared with that in the shank (Figures 4C and 4D), the effect is unlikely indirect. It was assumed that ADF participates in the construction of actin collars via severing filaments to generate more ends to boost polymerization via elongation and therefore build the prominent collar structures (Lovy-Wheeler et al., 2006). If ADF7 contributes to the construction of actin collars via this manner, it was expected that the formation of actin collars will somehow be compromised. However, the actin collar structures became more prominent in adf7 pollen tubes (Figures 1E and 1G to 1I), suggesting that we cannot simply fit the function of ADF7 to the previous model (Lovy-Wheeler et al., 2006). Nonetheless, our data suggest that ADF7 plays a role in promoting the turnover of actin filaments within actin collars. Certainly, it could be possible that other ADF isovariants, such as ADF10, may play distinct roles from ADF7 in the construction of actin collars.

ADF7 Decorates Actin Cables in the Pollen Tube

Considering that both ADF7 and ADF10 are expressed in mature pollen at roughly similar high levels (Honys and Twell, 2003; Pina et al., 2005) and multiple actin arrays are present in pollen tubes (Cheung and Wu, 2008; Chen et al., 2009; Staiger et al., 2010), the question is raised whether a particular ADF isovariant regulates distinct actin arrays and/or multiple ADF isovariants coordinate regulate a particular actin array. One key piece of information needed to answer this question is determination of the precise subcellular localization of each ADF isovariant. Because different pollen ADFs share high amino acid sequence identity with one another (Lopez et al., 1996), generation of isovariant-specific antibodies for immunostaining is problematic. Therefore, fluorescent protein tagging needs to become the strategy of choice to tackle this issue. However, since ADF is a small protein, either N- or C-terminal fusions may alter protein function. Indeed, fusion constructs containing cofilin with GFP fused either at its N or C terminus do not complement its loss of function phenotypes in budding yeast (Okreglak and Drubin, 2007). However, a previous study undertook a very wise strategy, creating a fusion construct with GFP located within cofilin, and found that this construct fully rescued cofilin loss of function (Okreglak and Drubin, 2007). These results suggest that this intramolecular GFP fusion minimally affects ADF/cofilin function and likely provides a faithful probe that represents the intracellular localization of the endogenous protein. However, the authors did not test the activity of this fusion protein biochemically. Bou Daher et al. (2011) undertook a similar strategy to make intramolecular GFP fusions for both ADF7 and ADF10, although GFP was inserted in a different region, and found that both GFP fusion proteins decorated actin filaments in pollen grains and tubes. However, the authors did not test whether these constructs were fully functional. Having a null mutant for ADF7 in hand places us in the position to fully address this question. In addition to generating a construct with GFP fused at the same location as that of Bou Daher et al. (2011), we also made several additional intramolecular GFP fusion constructs with GFP fused near the region corresponding to the budding yeast cofilin fusion (Okreglak and Drubin, 2007). We found that expression of gADF7-EGFPV10 in adf7 plants fully rescued the LatB-resistant germination and pollen tube elongation phenotypes (see Supplemental Figures 5C and 5D online), suggesting that ADF7-EGFPV10 is fully functional and faithfully represents the subcellular localization of ADF7. ADF7-EGFPV10 primarily decorated longitudinal actin cables in pollen...
tubes of different lengths (Figures 4B to 4D), suggesting that ADF7 may regulate the dynamics of longitudinal actin cables. None of the other ADF7-EGFP fusion proteins formed obvious filamentous structures in pollen grains and tubes (see Supplemental Figure 5E online), suggesting that decoration of filamentous actin is vital for ADF7 function in vivo. Biochemical characterization of these ADF7-EGFP fusions and careful comparisons between them and the wild-type protein can provide significant insight into the mechanism of action of ADF7. In addition to ADF7-EGFPV10, ADF7-EGFPD75 was also selected for biochemical analysis because this fusion was comparable to the previously characterized yeast cofilin fusion (Okreglak and Drubin, 2007). Both ADF7-EGFPV10 and ADF7-EGFPD75 retain reasonable levels of G-actin binding activity (see Supplemental Figure 7D online), consistent with homology modeling results showing that the location of the GFP fusion is quite distal to the G-actin binding surface of ADF/cofilin and is therefore unlikely to affect G-actin binding (see Supplemental Figure 8A online). This could be the reason that the authors initially selected that location to insert GFP (Okreglak and Drubin, 2007). By contrast, we showed that none of the other constructs generated using a similar internal fusion strategy were functional in vivo (see Supplemental Figures 5C and 5D online). Compared with ADF7-EGFPV10, ADF7-EGFPD75 bound to F-actin poorly and could not sever actin filaments (see Supplemental Figures 7B to 7D online), potentially due to proximity to the adjacent actin monomer at D75 compared with V10 (see Supplemental Figure 8B online). This proximity may generate increased steric hindrance, affecting binding of the protein to F-actin. Certainly, it is also possible that this GFP fusion results in a conformational change in ADF7 and yeast cofilin that differentially affects activity.

The localization pattern of ADF7 is different from that of tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) ADF1 (Nt ADF1), GFP-Nt ADF1 (with GFP fused at its N terminus) is concentrated at the subapical region of pollen tubes (Chen et al., 2002), and similar localization was also observed by immunostaining using an ADF antibody in lily pollen tubes (Lovv-Wheeler et al., 2006). However, we found that ADF7-EGFPV10 was primarily concentrated on longitudinal actin cables (Figure 4). Our localization pattern of ADF7-EGFPV10 is slightly different from that reported by Bou Daher et al. (2011) with the same fusion construct. The resulting difference could be due to the presence of wild-type ADF7 protein that may somehow interfere with the localization of ADF7-EGFPV10 in pollen grains or tubes, since the construct was transformed into wild-type plants (Bou Daher et al., 2011). Based on our results demonstrating that ADF7-EGFP with EGFP fused at its N terminus cannot rescue adf7 phenotypes (see Supplemental Figures 5C and 5D online), previous localization data for N-terminal ADF fusions must be cautiously interpreted. The subcellular localization pattern of ADF7 is also different from that of LIADF1, which has been shown to decorate actin filaments in pollen grains, but to be cytoplasmic in pollen tubes (Allwood et al., 2002). ADF was found by immunostaining to concentrate at pollen tube tips in daffodil (Narcissus pseudonarcissus) (Smerenko et al., 2001), which differs from the localization of ADF7 (Figure 4; Bou Daher et al., 2011) and ADF10 (Bou Daher et al., 2011), as minimal localization to the apical regions of pollen tubes was observed for these proteins. Certainly, we cannot rule out the possibility that the localization pattern of ADFs in the pollen tube may vary between species.

ADF7 Is Less Potent Than ADF1 in Promoting Actin Turnover

Our study verified that ADF7 is a typical ADF but found that it is less potent than ADF1 (Figure 3E; see Supplemental Figure 4 online). Side-by-side comparison of the activities of ADF7 and ADF1 showed that ADF7 has lower severing and monomer binding activities than ADF1 (Figures 3B, 3C, and 3H; see Supplemental Table 1 online), providing a detailed molecular explanation underlying their observed difference in activity. Our study, along with a previous report on pollen ADF1 in daffodil (Smerenko et al., 2001), suggests that weak depolymerizing activity might be a common phenomenon for pollen ADFs and implies that the biochemical nature of pollen ADFs might be well suited for pollen-specific functions. On the other hand, this observation also implies that pollen ADFs may be structurally different from vegetative ADFs. In support of this idea, polyclonal antiserum raised against vegetative Zm-ADF3 cannot cross-react with pollen ADFs (Jiang et al., 1997), and amino acid sequence identity has been shown to be higher among pollen ADFs from different species than among ADFs from different tissues in the same plant (Lopez et al., 1996).

In addition to ADFs, a difference in activity between pollen profilin and vegetative profilin has also been reported (Kovar et al., 2000a). Furthermore, Arabidopsis actsins were demonstrated to be functionally nonequivalent, as ectopic expression of a pollen actin in vegetative tissues resulted in dramatic phenotypes (Gilliland et al., 2002; Kandasamy et al., 2002) that were partially suppressed by coexpression of either the pollen ADF or profilin (Kandasamy et al., 2007). These data suggest that actin and its associated proteins coevolve to fulfill specific functions in distinct cell types, tissues, and organs, supporting the isovariant dynamics model (Meagher et al., 1999). An increase in the number and functional diversity of plant ADFs would be expected to be a part of this model. Within this framework, ADF7 evolved to fulfill pollen-specific requirements for actin dynamics. ADF7 mainly decorates longitudinal actin cables (Figure 4; Bou Daher et al., 2011), which have been demonstrated to be relatively stable in pollen tubes (Gibbon et al., 1999; Vidali et al., 2001). Thus, we propose that the biochemical activity of ADF7 is well suited for regulation of actin cable dynamics. Profilin and ADF have been demonstrated to act synergistically to increase actin turnover in vitro (Blanchon and Pollard, 1998; Didry et al., 1998; Chaudhry et al., 2007; Michelot et al., 2007). As profilin is a uniformly distributed cytosolic protein (Vidali and Hepler, 1997), the low monomer sequestering activity of pollen profilin (Kovar et al., 2000a) along with the low actin depolymerizing activity of pollen ADFs (Smerenko et al., 2001; this study) may at least partially explain the relative stability and long lifespan of longitudinal actin cables.

METHODS

Arabidopsis thaliana Growth Conditions and Complementation

Arabidopsis plants (Col-0) were grown in a culture room at 22°C under a 16-h-light/8-h-dark cycle. To complement the phenotypes associated
with adf7 (Salk_024576), a 4.6-kb genomic DNA fragment harboring the ADF7 coding sequence (CDS) was amplified from Arabidopsis wild-type Col-0 genomic DNA using the primer pair G01/G02 (see Supplemental Table 2 online). The sequence of the cloned fragment was confirmed by DNA sequencing, and the fragment was subcloned into pCAMBIA1301 vector cut with SmaI/SalI to yield the complementation construct. The resulting construct was subsequently transformed into adf7 to generate the transgenic plants designated as gADF7:adf7.

RT-PCR Analysis

To investigate ADF7 transcript levels, open flowers with mature pollens were collected. Total RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen), and cDNA was synthesized using M-MLV (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. ADF7 transcript levels were compared between wild-type, adf7, and gADF7:adf7 plants by amplifying a fragment using the primer pair R03/R05 and R06/R04 (see Supplemental Table 2 online). To determine the levels of ADF7 transcripts in transgenic plants harboring different ADF7-EGFP fusion constructs, two rounds of RT-PCR were performed. Initially, the relative amounts of ADF7 transcripts between the transgenic plants were assessed by amplifying EGFP using the primer pair E01/E02 (see Supplemental Table 2 online). Subsequently, ADF7 transcripts were amplified from transgenic plants harboring N-terminal and C-terminal EGFP fusion constructs using primer pairs R03/R05 and R06/R04 (see Supplemental Table 2 online). These levels were compared with the relative amount of ADF7 transcripts in wild-type plants amplified using the primer pair R03/R04 (see Supplemental Table 2 online). eIF4A was amplified using primer pair R01/R02 (see Supplemental Table 2 online) as the internal control for normalization. The bands on agarose gel were stained with ethidium bromide, photographed, and compared by densitometry using ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/; version 1.38).

Pollen Germination and Observation of Pollen Tube Growth

Pollen grains were cultured at 28°C on solid pollen GM [0.01% (w/v) H3BO3, 1 mM CaCl2, 1 mM Ca(NO3)2, 1 mM MgSO4, 18% (w/v) Suc, and 0.5% (w/v) agar, pH 7.0] as previously described (Wu et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010). To determine the pollen germination rate, pollen grains were treated with 200 nM LatB for 30 min, and the actin cytoskeleton was visualized by staining with Alexa-488 phalloidin. To observe the actin cytoskeleton in pollen tubes, pollen grains were germinated for 75 min at 28°C on solid GM and were subsequently subjected to fixation and staining with Alexa-488 phalloidin. Actin filaments were observed with a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica TCS SP5 equipped with a ×100 oil objective [1.46–numerical aperture HC PLAN]). The fluorescent phalloidin was excited with the 488-nm line of an argon laser, and optical sections were collected with a step size of 0.5 μm for both pollen grains and tubes. The images shown are projections from all optical sections. The architecture of actin cytoskeleton was analyzed within different regions of pollen tubes. The regions 0 to 3 μm, 3 to 10 μm, and more than 10 μm away from the tip were defined as apex, subapex, and shank, respectively. The relative amount of actin filaments in pollen grains or tubes was quantified by measuring the fluorescence pixel intensity using ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/; version 1.38). Skewness of actin filament staining was analyzed as described by Higaki et al. (2010). The width of filamentous structures was determined roughly according to the method described by Wu et al. (2010). For a particular filamentous structure, three vertical lines were drawn across it to obtain three values of width of fluorescence pixel intensity at the half height, and the final value of width for the particular filament was yielded by averaging them. To avoid repetitive counting, three or four optical sections were excluded between sections used for analysis in each pollen tube.

Live-Cell Imaging and Quantification of Actin Filaments in Pollen Tubes

Actin filaments were labeled with EGFP-Lifeact expressed under control of the Lat52 promoter in pollen tubes as previously described by Vidal et al. (2009). Pollen grains were cultured at 28°C on solid pollen GM for 2 h until the average length of the pollen tubes was ~200 μm and were observed under an Olympus IX71 microscope equipped with a ×100 objective, and images were acquired using a Retiga Exi Fast 1394 charge-coupled device (CCD) camera with Image-Pro Express 6.3 software. More than 500 pollen grains were counted for germination rate analysis per experiment, and each experiment was repeated five times for each genotype. To determine the effect of LatB on pollen germination, various concentrations of LatB were included in the solid GM. The average lengths of the pollen tubes at 60 min was subtracted from that at 90 min to obtain the average increase in length, which was then divided by the time interval to yield the average tube growth rate. More than 30 pollen tubes were chosen for tube elongation rate analysis for each experiment, and five and three independent experiments were performed for each genotype in the absence and presence of LatB, respectively.

Plasmid Construction for Analyzing the Subcellular Localization of ADF7

Several GFP fusion constructs were generated to assess the subcellular localization of ADF7. Initially, a 1.8-kb genomic DNA fragment containing the promoter, 5'-untranslated region, and coding region of ADF7 was amplified using the primer pair G03/G04 (see Supplemental Table 2 online) as described by Bou Daher et al. (2011). This fragment was subsequently used as the template to amplify two consecutive upstream and downstream fragments, allowing for the fusion of EGFP (amplified with primer pair E01/E02; see Supplemental Table 2 online) between them. The construct with EGFP fused after Val10 (V10) of ADF7 (designated as gADF7-EGFP(V10)) was made exactly as described by Bou Daher et al. (2011). Selection of the location of the EGFP insertion for several other ADF7 intramolecular fusion constructs was performed based on studies by Okreglak and Drubin (2007). Briefly, the structure of ADF7 was first generated using the structure of yeast coflin as the template (PDB code 1CFY). We performed a structural alignment with yeast coflin in order to select amino acids of ADF7 corresponding to the previously described GFP fusion with yeast coflin (Okreglak and Drubin, 2007). The selected amino acids were Ile-73, Thr-74, Asp-75, Glu-76, Asn-77, and Cys-78 in ADF7. The primers used to generate the constructs are shown in Supplemental Table 2 online.
more than 300 filamentous actin structures from 15 wild-type pollen tubes to measure their fluorescence pixel intensity and width. The fluorescence pixel intensity of each filamentous structure was determined by drawing a line along it using the “measure” tool in ImageJ, and the average fluorescence pixel intensity was calculated in Kaleidagraph (Synergy Software; version 4.03). The kernel density of fluorescence pixel intensity distribution was processed using R programming language (http://www.R-project.org; version 2.15.1) as previously described (Qu et al., 2013). The width of filamentous structures was determined as described above. The filamentous structures with smaller intensity values form a population and are assumed to be single filaments. The filamentous structures with intensity values fall within this population from wild-type and adf7 pollen tubes were selected for further analysis. For analyzing the stochastic dynamic parameters related to single filament turnover, only filaments longer than 2 μm and existing for more than 10 s were selected. Severe filtering (defined as the number of breaks per unit length per unit time; breaks/μm/s), maximum filament length, maximum filament lifetime, and elongation and depolymerization rate were determined and calculated roughly as previously described (Staiger et al., 2009; Henty et al., 2011). Briefly, the filtering severity was determined by counting all severe events from the filament reaching its maximum length to its disappearance. If a filament regrew after breaking, the length before breaking was taken as its maximum length and the time before breaking was taken as the valid time. The longest length of a tracked filament during its growth and shrinking was taken as the maximum filament length. Maximum filament life time was defined as the elapsed time for a filament from its appearance to its disappearance. Filament elongation and depolymerization rates were calculated as the changes of length versus the time intervals (Δlength/Δtime) in Excel. Only filaments continuously growing or shrinking for at least 10 s were taken into account.

To analyze the bundling and debundling frequency in shanks of pollen tubes, we selected a 10 × 6-μm² region that was 20 μm away from the tip to perform the analysis. The dynamics of actin bundles in this region was tracked in a consecutive 60 s and analyzed. The bundling and debundling frequencies were defined as the number of these events per unit area per unit time (events/μm²/s).

### Protein Production

Total RNA was isolated from flowers of wild-type, gADF7-EGFPV10, and gADF7-EGFPV10, adf7 plants using Trizol reagent, and the corresponding cDNA was subsequently synthesized using M-MLV according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Since the CDSs of ADF7 and ADF10 share 91.6% nucleotide identity, one primer pair P03/P04 (see Supplemental Table 2 online) derived from the ADF7 untranslated region was used to specifically amplify ADF7 cDNA using wild-type cDNA as the template. The resulting product was subsequently used as the template to amplify the CDS of ADF7 using the primer pair P01/P02 (see Supplemental Table 2 online). After verification of the correct sequence by DNA sequencing analysis, the ADF7 CDS was subcloned into the pGEX-KG vector to generate the pGEX-KG-ADF7 construct. Similarly, the CDSs for ADF7 and ADF10 share 81% nucleotide identity, one primer pair P03/P04 (see Supplemental Table 2 online) and cDNA derived from gADF7-EGFPV10 and gADF7-EGFPV10 as templates. The resulting products were verified by sequencing and subcloned into pGEX-KG cut with EcoRI-NcoI to produce the pGEX-KG-ADF7-EGFPV10 and pGEX-KG-ADF7-EGFPV10 constructs. The ADF7-EGFPV10 CDS and the ADF7-EGFPV10 CDS were also amplified with primer pair P03/P04 (see Supplemental Table 2 online), verified by DNA sequencing, and subcloned into the pET28a vector cut with NdeI-HindIII to generate the pET28a-ADF7-EGFPV10 and pET28a-ADF7-EGFPV10 constructs. Because EGFP interferes with the NBD-actin binding assay due to overlap of the wavelengths associated with absorption and emission of EGFP and NBD, we eliminated this effect by deleting three key amino acids (65T/66Y/67G) within the fluorophore center of EGFP. Thus, the plasmids, pET28a-ADF7-EGFPV10 and pET28a-ADF7-EGFPV10, were used as templates to generate the mutant constructs, pET28a-ADF7-muEGFPV10 and pET28a-ADF7-muEGFPV10, respectively, using primer pair P05/P06 (see Supplemental Table 2 online). To generate recombinant proteins, these expression constructs were transformed into the Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) strain. Expression of these recombinant proteins was induced by the addition of 0.4 mM isoproply β-D-thiogalactopyranoside, followed by incubation at 37°C for 4 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4000g for 10 min and lysed by sonication. GST fusion proteins were purified using glutathione-sepharose resin according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. To produce ADF7 lacking a tag, GST-ADF7 was digested with thrombin (Sigma-Aldrich) overnight at 4°C to cleave GST. ADF7 was further purified by Q-sepharose chromatography (Amersham Biosciences). After loading onto a Q-sepharose column, ADF7 was eluted with elution buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM KCl, and 1 mM DTT) after extensive washing with wash buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and 1 mM DTT). The 6His-ADF7-EGFPV10, 6His-ADF7-EGFPV10, 6His-ADF7-muEGFPV10, and 6His-ADF7-muEGFPV10 fusion proteins were purified with nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid resin (Novagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All purified proteins were dialyzed against 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C. ADF1 was purified as described by Carlier et al. (1997). ADF1 was purified from rabbit skeletal muscle acetone powder as previously described (Spudich and Watt, 1971), and monomeric Cal-A-ATP-actin was purified using Sephacryl S-300 chromatography in buffer G (5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.2 mM ATP, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 0.5 mM DTT, and 0.1 mM NaN₃) at 4°C (Pollard, 1984).

### F-Actin High-Speed Cosedimentation Assay

A high speed cosedimentation assay was adapted from previously published methods (Kovar et al., 2000b; Huang et al., 2005). All proteins, including G-actin, were preclarified at 160,000g for 30 min at 4°C. Actin filaments (3 μM) were incubated with increasing concentrations (1 to 30 μM) of ADF7 or ADF1 or a constant concentration (5 and 10 μM) of GST-ADF7-EGFPV10 or GST-ADF7-EGFPV10 for 30 min at 25°C, the reaction mixtures were centrifuged at 100,000g for 30 min at 4°C. Fractions containing the supernatants and pellets were resolved by SDS-PAGE, and the gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250 (Sigma-Aldrich). The amount of actin in the supernatant or pellet was quantified by densitometry using ImageJ (http://rsweb.nih.gov/ij/; version 1.38).

### Fluorescence Microscopy of Actin Filaments

Actin (4 μM) was incubated at 25°C for 30 min in polymerization buffer (10 mM imidazole, pH 7.0, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM ATP, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM EGTA, 0.2 mM CaCl₂, 0.5 mM DTT, and 3 mM NaN₃). The resulting actin filaments (4 μM) were then incubated with varying concentrations of ADF7 or ADF1 for 3 min. An equimolar amount of Rhodamine-phalloidin (Sigma-Aldrich) was then added to label actin filaments and stop the reaction as described by Shi et al. (2013). Finally, actin filaments were diluted to 10 nM with fluorescence buffer (10 mM imidazole-HCl, pH 7.0, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM DTT, 100 μM/μL Glc oxidase, 15 μg/mL Glc, 20 μg/mL catalase, and 0.5% methlycellulose). A sample of 2.5 μl of the actin filament mixture was then placed on a 22 × 22-mm cover slip coated with 0.01% poly-L-Lys. Actin filaments were visualized by epifluorescence illumination under an Olympus IX71 microscope equipped with a ×100 oil objective (1.42 numerical aperture). Images were captured using a Retiga EXI Fast 1394 CCD camera (QImaging) with Image-Pro Express 6.3 software. The length of individual
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Light Scattering

All proteins and buffers were clarified by centrifugation at 200,000g for 60 min at 4°C prior to the assay. Actin (5 μM) was polymerized at 25°C for 2 h in polymerization buffer (described above). The resulting actin filaments (0.5 μM) were then incubated with varying concentrations of ADF7 or ADF1 in 1× KMEI (10 mMimidazole-HCl, pH 7.0, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 1 mM EGTA). The absorbance associated with light scattering was monitored for 30 min using a QuantaMaster Luminescence QM 3 PH fluorometer (Photon Technology International) with both emission and excitation wavelengths set at 450 nm.

Direct Visualization of Actin Filament Severing and Depolymerization by TIRF Microscopy

Actin was labeled with 5-(and-6)-carboxytetramethylrhodamine-succinimidyldiester, and the flow cell was prepared as previously described (Amann and Pollard, 2001). The flow cell was incubated with 25 mM N-ethylmaleimide-myosin for 2 min, followed by washing with 1% BSA for 3 min. It was next injected with 1× TIRF microscopy buffer (10 mM imidazole, pH 7.0, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 50 mM DTT, 0.2 mM ATP, 50 μM CaCl₂, 15 mM Gli, 20 μg/mL catalase, 100 μg/mL Gli oxidase, and 0.5% methylcellulose). Actin filaments (100 nM; 50% rhodamine-labeled) were injected into the chamber, and the mixture was incubated for 3 min in the dark. Finally, the flow cell was washed with 1× TIRF microscopy buffer to remove free actin filaments that were not attached to NEM-myosin. Single actin filaments were observed by TIRF illumination with an Olympus IX81 microscope equipped with a ×100 oil objective (1.49 numerical aperture). Images were collected for 5 min with an interval of 3 or 1 s using a Photometrics cascade II 512 CCD camera (Major Instruments) with microManager software. To determine the filament severing activity of ADF7, ADF1, 6His-ADF7-EGFP V10, and 6His-ADF7-EGFP D75, varying concentrations of these proteins were injected into the chamber in 1× TIRF microscopy buffer. The filament severing frequency was defined as breaks/μm/s as previously reported (Andrianantoandro and Pollard, 2006). More than 20 actin filaments with lengths >10 μm were selected for quantification of actin filament severing frequency. The monomer dissociation rate (subunits/s) was determined assuming 1-μm-length filament equals 334 subunits. The definition for the pointed end and barbed end of each measured filament in the absence or presence of ADF proteins was according to the description by Shi et al. (2013).

Binding of ADF to G-Actin

G-actin binding activity of ADF7 or ADF1 was determined by measuring the decrease in fluorescence of NBD-labeled actin. NBD-G-actin was prepared as previously described (Detmers et al., 1981). NBD-ATP-actin or NBD-ADP-actin was prepared as described by Chaudhry et al. (2007). For the preparation of NBD-ATP-G-actin, 20 μM NBD-actin was incubated with 1 mM ATP for 70 min at 4°C in the dark. For the preparation of NBD-ADP-G-actin, 20 μM NBD-actin was incubated with 20 units/mL of hexokinase (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1 mM Gli for 3 h at 4°C in the dark. NBD-ATP-G-actin or NBD-ADP-G-actin (0.2 μM) was then incubated with increasing concentrations of ADF7, ADF1, 6His-ADF7-muEGFP D75, or 6His-ADF7-muEGFP V10 in 1 mM MgCl₂ and 100 mM KCl. The change in NBD fluorescence was analyzed for 20 s using a QuantaMaster Luminescence QM 3 PH fluorometer with the excitation and emission wavelengths set to 475 and 530 nm, respectively. The resulting data were fitted using Kaleidagraph (Synergy Software; version 3.6) with the following equations (Carlier et al., 1997):

\[ Q = \frac{F_0 - F_{(ADF)}}{F_0} \]  

\[ \frac{1}{\alpha} = 1 + \frac{Kd}{\text{[ADF]}} \]  

\[ \text{[ADF]} = \frac{[\text{ADF}]_0 - [G]_0 - K_d}{2} + \sqrt{\left([\text{ADF}]_0 - [G]_0 - K_d\right)^2 + 4K_d [\text{ADF}]_0^2} \]  

where \( \alpha = Q/Q_{\text{max}} \), \( Q_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum fluorescence quenching caused by the saturation of G-actin bound to ADF, \([\text{ADF}]_0\) is the total concentration of ADF, \([G]_0\) is the total concentration of G-actin, and \(K_d\) is the equilibrium dissociation constant of the G-actin-ADF complex.

Nucleotide Exchange Analysis

The rate of G-actin nucleotide exchange was determined by measuring the increase in fluorescence upon binding of 1,Na'-ethenoadenosine 5'-triphosphate (α-ATP; Sigma-Aldrich). The experiment was performed as described by Chaudhry et al. (2010). Briefly, 2 μM GDP-actin in buffer G lacking ATP was incubated with Tris/NaCl buffer (20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, and 50 mM NaCl) alone or containing ADF7 or ADF1. Next, 50 μM α-ATP (Molecular Probes) was added to the solution. The reaction was monitored using a QuantaMaster Luminescence QM 3 PH fluorometer for a minimum of 10 min with the excitation and emission wavelengths set at 350 and 410 nm, respectively.

Determination of Actin Filament Turnover Rate

The actin filament turnover rate was quantified as previously described (Didry et al., 1998; Chaudhry et al., 2007). Fluorescently labeled ε-ADP-actin filaments were preassembled from ε-ATP-actin subunits, and the turnover rate was determined by measuring the change in fluorescence caused by exchange of ε-ADP for nonfluorescent ATP. εATP-G-actin was prepared as previously described (Valentin-Ranc and Carlier, 1989), and 15 μM εATP-G-actin was assembled in the presence of 30 μM εATP in 1× KMEI (50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, and 10 mM imidazole-HCl, pH 7.0). Subsequently, 4 μM εADP-actin filaments were incubated with 5 μM ADF7 or 5 μM ADF1 for 20 min in 1× KMEI. The change in the fluorescence at 25°C was analyzed after the addition of 1 mM ATP using a QuantaMaster Luminescence QM 3 PH fluorometer. The excitation and emission wavelengths were 350 and 410 nm, respectively.

Sequence Alignment and Three-Dimensional Homology Modeling

Sequence alignment was performed using the online software program ClustalW (http://www.genome.jp/tools/clustalw/). The resulting data were saved as an .aln file and submitted to the online server ESPript2.2 (http://esprit.ibcp.fr/ESPrirt/cgi-bin/ESPrirt.cgi) to predict the secondary structures and calculate the amino acid similarity based on the Risler matrix method with the global score set to 0.7. The crystal structure of yeast coflin (PDB ID: 1COF) and a predicted three-dimensional structure of ADF7 that was generated by homology modeling using the crystal structure of ADF1 (PDB ID:1F7S) as a template were used to improve the alignment results. The structure of the ADF7 (pink)/Actin1 (green) complex was generated by SWISS-MODEL (http://swissmodel.expasy.org/ SWISS-MODEL.html) using the known crystal structure of rabbit alpha skeletal muscle actin/mouse twifilin 1-C-terminal ADF-H domain (Twf-C).
complex as the template (PDB ID: 3DAW). The structure was displayed with PyMOL (version 1.4.1; DeLano Scientific). A simulation model of an actin filament decorated with ADF7 was generated based on the PDB ID 3JOS template, in which each human coflin-2 was replaced with ADF7.

Accession Numbers
Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative and GenBank/UniProtKB under accession numbers At4g25590 (ADF7), Q91YR1 (Mus musculus Lin-2), Q39250 (ADF1), P60706 (Gallus gallus cytoplasmic actin1), and P23528 (Homo sapiens coflin2).
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