Trans-Golgi Network-Located AP1 Gamma Adaptins Mediate Dileucine Motif-Directed Vacuolar Targeting in Arabidopsis
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Membrane proteins on the tonoplast are indispensable for vacuolar functions in plants. However, how these proteins are transported to the vacuole and how they become separated from plasma membrane proteins remain largely unknown. In this study, we used Arabidopsis thaliana vacuolar ion transporter1 (VIT1) as a reporter to study the mechanisms of tonoplast targeting. We showed that VIT1 reached the tonoplast through a pathway involving the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), Golgi, trans-Golgi network (TGN), prevacuolar compartment, and tonoplast. VIT1 contains a putative N-terminal dihydrophobic type ER export signal, and its N terminus has a conserved dileucine motif (EKQTL), which is responsible for tonoplast targeting. In vitro peptide binding assays with synthetic VIT1 N terminus identified adaptor protein complex-1 (AP1) subunits that interacted with the dileucine motif. A deficiency of AP1 gamma adaptins in Arabidopsis cells caused relocation of tonoplast proteins containing the dileucine motif, such as VIT1 and inositol transporter1, to the plasma membrane. The dileucine motif also effectively rerouted the plasma membrane protein SCAMP1 to the tonoplast. Together with subcellular localization studies showing that AP1 gamma adaptins localize to the TGN, we propose that the AP1 complex on the TGN mediates tonoplast targeting of membrane proteins with the dileucine motif.

INTRODUCTION

In eukaryotic cells, protein delivery to their destinations in the endomembrane system relies on various sorting signals (Trowbridge et al., 1993; Bonifacino and Traub, 2003; Bassham et al., 2008; Robinson et al., 2008; Gao et al., 2012). Numerous tonoplast-localized transporters and channels are vital to fulfill the functions of the vacuole, such as maintaining the optimal turgor of the cells, storing nutrients for metabolism, maintaining cellular pH homeostasis, and serving as a location of protein turnover (Martinov et al., 2007; Isayenkov et al., 2010). Thus, a large amount of research has been performed to illuminate the proper regulation of vacuolar membrane transport systems, especially in yeast and mammalian cells (Jiang and Rogers, 1998a; Bonifacino and Traub, 2003; Cui et al., 2014).

As a first step in transport to the vacuole, membrane proteins are synthesized at the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), sequestered into coat protein complex II (COPII) transport vesicles, via the interaction between coat proteins and specific ER export signals, and delivered to the Golgi apparatus (Bi et al., 2002; Mancias and Goldberg, 2008). Many kinds of ER export signal have been documented in yeast and mammalian cells, including the diacidic motif, dihydrophobic motif, and diaromatic motif (Barlowe, 2003; Mikosch and Homann, 2009).

Beyond the Golgi, several endosomes have been identified, such as the trans-Golgi network (TGN; early endosome) and the prevacuolar compartment (PVC; late endosome) (Jiang et al., 2002; Tse et al., 2004; Lam et al., 2007). In post-Golgi traffic, adaptor protein (AP) complexes select cargo proteins into transport vesicles (Cowles et al., 1997; Bonifacino and Traub, 2003; Robinson, 2004; Robinson and Pimpl, 2014). In the past decade, five types of adaptor protein complexes (AP1 to AP5) have been identified with conserved heterotetramer structural composition, containing two large subunits (γ/δ/θ/ε/z- and β-adaptin), one medium subunit (μ-adaptin), and one small subunit (σ-adaptin), but with distinct subcellular localizations and functions (Boehm and Bonifacino, 2001; Nakatsu and Ohno, 2003). AP1 localizes to the TGN and functions in multiple trafficking pathways to endosome, lysosome/vacuole, and basolateral domain in epithelial cells (Icking et al., 2007; Kametaka et al., 2010; Robinson et al., 2010; Kang and Bölchi, 2011; Guo et al., 2013b). AP2 mediates clathrin-dependent endocytosis at the plasma membrane (PM) (Jackson et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011). AP3 functions in the trafficking pathway from TGN to lysosome and lysosome-related organelles (Cowles et al., 1997; Dell’Angelica, 2009). AP4 has been poorly studied, but appears to be involved in trafficking of amyloid precursor protein from the TGN to endosomes (Burgos et al., 2010). Recently, a fifth AP complex, AP5, was discovered and localized to the late endosome in HeLa cells (Hirst et al., 2011). Studies have been extensively performed to identify...
specific sorting signals of these AP complexes and their recognition mechanisms, among which a tyrosine-based sorting signal (YXXØ; Y is tyrosine; X is any amino acid; Ø is an amino acid with a bulky hydrophobic group) and a dileucine sorting signal (D/E XXXLL[Ø]; D is aspartic acid; E is glutamic acid; L is leucine) are the best characterized (Bonifacino and Traub, 2003). YXXØ signals are widely involved in protein sorting in both the endocytic and secretory pathways and are recognized by the μ-adaptins of AP1, AP2, AP3, and AP4 (Boil et al., 1996; Bonifacino and Dell’Angelica, 1999; Theos et al., 2005; Chapuy et al., 2008; Guo et al., 2013a). Similarly, dileucine signals also interact with multiple AP complexes (AP1, AP2, and AP3), whereas they show specific affinity with a distinct set of cargoes (Doray et al., 2007; Mattera et al., 2011; Sitaram et al., 2012). Other signals have also been recorded as NPXY-type signals and acidic cluster dileucine signals (Canfield et al., 1991; Misra et al., 2002; Shibahara et al., 2002). In plants, studies designed to uncover the sorting mechanism of membrane proteins are relatively few in number. ER export signals similar to those in mammals have been identified in different proteins (Hanton et al., 2005; Schoberer et al., 2009; Zelazny et al., 2009). Most recently a study with EMP12 showed that its C-terminal ER export signal is composed of a dihydrophobic motif (FV) and a tyrosine residue (Y) (Gao et al., 2012). In the last several years, studies on tonoplast targeting mechanisms have started to emerge (Pedrazzini et al., 2013; Rojas-Pierce, 2013). The C terminus of tonoplast two-pore K+ channels has been proved to contain targeting information for different types of vacuoles both in rice (Oryza sativa) and Arabidopsis thaliana (Isayenkov et al., 2011; Maitrejean et al., 2011). Another study with Arabidopsis β-Fructosidase 4 has identified EEE and LCPYTRL sequence motifs as sorting signals on the way from the TGN to the central vacuole (Jung et al., 2011). Similar to cases in mammalian cells and yeast, a conserved dileucine motif is also involved in the targeting of tonoplast membrane proteins in plant cells, as recorded for several proteins like the monosaccharide transporter ERD SIX-LIKE1 (ESL1), the molybdate transporter2 (MOT2), two pore channel1 (TPC1), peptide transporter1 (PTR1), and inositol transporter1 (INT1) (Yamada et al., 2010; Gasber et al., 2011; Komarova et al., 2012; Larisch et al., 2012; Wolfenstetter et al., 2012). However, more precise information on the underlying mechanism is lacking. AP3 was shown to mediate vacuole biogenesis and vacuolar sorting in Arabidopsis but appears to have no relation to the trafficking of INT1, indicating a yet unknown transport mechanism (Lee et al., 2007; Nihama et al., 2009; Feraru et al., 2010; Zwiewka et al., 2011). Very recently, AP1 and AP2 were identified in Arabidopsis. AP1 is essential for growth and multiple trafficking pathways, while AP2 mediates endocytosis and is involved in floral organ development (Di Rubbo et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013; Park et al., 2013; Teh et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013b; Yamaoka et al., 2013).

Arabidopsis VIT1, a homolog of the yeast iron transporter Ccc1 (Ca²⁺-sensitive Cross Complementer 1), has been reported to localize to the tonoplast and be important for vacuolar iron storage in seed (Li et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2006). However, little is known about its trafficking pathway and targeting mechanism. Here, we used VIT1 as a marker to study the sorting mechanism of a tonoplast protein with multiple transmembrane domains (TMDs). Using transient expression, we showed that VIT1 exited the ER via a putative dihydrophobic ER export signal and reached the tonoplast through an ER-Golgi-TGN-PVC-tonoplast pathway. Mutagenesis analysis uncovered a conserved dileucine motif (EKQTL) at the N terminus of VIT1 for its tonoplast targeting. In addition, we demonstrated that this dileucine tonoplast targeting signal was recognized via interaction with AP1 complex. Finally, deprivation of AP-1 gamma adaptins resulted in mis-targeting of vacuole proteins containing the dileucine motif from the tonoplast to the plasma membrane. We believe that this article makes a significant contribution to the current controversy concerning the role(s) of clathrin-coated vesicles in vacuolar protein transport and uncovers the transport mechanism of membrane proteins to the vacuole, which is likely to be very different with that of soluble cargoes, especially in terms of transport vectors (Bottanelli et al., 2011; De Marcos Lousa et al., 2012; Robinson and Piiml, 2014).

RESULTS

Arabidopsis VIT1 Traffics through the Secretory Pathway to the Tonoplast

VIT1 has been reported to be a tonoplast transporter in Arabidopsis (Kim et al., 2006). From the predicted topology using the online tool TMHMM server 2.0, it was shown to be a membrane protein containing a large cytosolic N terminus, five transmembrane domains (TMDs), and a very short luminal C terminus (Figure 1A). To study the targeting mechanism of VIT1, we first tested its localization and trafficking route in Arabidopsis protoplasts, a well-established transient expression system (Miao and Jiang, 2007). We used an XFP fusion approach to generate a green fluorescent protein (GFP)/red fluorescent protein (RFP)-VIT1 construct. As shown in Figure 1B, the signals of GFP-VIT1 localized with the tonoplast SNARE protein VAMP711, which is consistent with a previous report (Kim et al., 2006). To dissect the trafficking pathway of VIT1, we used the GDP-fixed mutant form of ADP-ribosylation factor1 (ARF1), which blocks ER-Golgi transport and induces the relocation of Golgi proteins to the ER (Takeuchi et al., 2002; Robinson et al., 2011). As shown in Figure 1C, GFP-VIT1 was redistributed into the ER together with the Golgi marker Man1-RFP, indicating that GFP-VIT1 was transported from ER to Golgi. To further test the post-Golgi trafficking route of VIT1, we treated the protoplasts with Concentramycin A (ConCA), an inhibitor of vacuolar (H⁺)-ATPase that disrupts the TGN and causes accumulation of the marker molecule SCAMP1 (Dettmer et al., 2006; Lam et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2010). Upon ConCA treatment, GFP-VIT1 formed aggregations and colocalized with the TGN marker RFP-SCAMP1 (Figure 1D), indicating that TGN lies on the route of VIT1 trafficking. Notably, GFP-VIT1 also showed punctate fluorescent signals in addition to the tonoplast. To verify the identity of these punctae, we coexpressed GFP-VIT1 with known organelle markers in Arabidopsis protoplasts, such as the Golgi marker Man1-RFP, the TGN marker RFP-SYP61, and the PVC marker RFP-VSR2 (Shen et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2014). The punctate signal of GFP-VIT1 was clearly separate from Man1-RFP (Figure 1E), while it showed...
Figure 1. Predicted Topology and Subcellular Localization of GFP-VIT1 in Arabidopsis Protoplasts.
partially colocalization with the TGN marker RFP-SYP61 (Figure 1F) and with the PVC marker RFP-VSR2 (Figure 1G). In addition, GFP-VIT1 has been shown to colocalize with RFP-ARA7(Q69L) on the membrane of enlarged PVC in a previous study (Cai et al., 2014). Taken together, these results showed that GFP-VIT1 was delivered from the ER to the tonoplast along the secretory pathway, passing through the Golgi, TGN, and PVC.

The N Terminus of Arabidopsis VIT1 Contains a Putative ER Export Signal

In transmembrane spanning proteins, most sorting signals are found in the cytosolic region. Thus, we first tested whether the large cytosolic N terminus of VIT1 contributed to its trafficking. We generated the N-terminal deletion mutant construct GFP-VIT1ΔNT and transiently expressed it in Arabidopsis protoplasts. As shown in Supplemental Figure 1A, GFP-VIT1ΔNT was retained in the ER and fully colocalized with the ER marker RFP-HDEL, indicating that N-terminal of VIT1 was essential for exiting from the ER and a potential ER export signal might exist in the N-terminal.

Up to now, several kinds of ER export signals have been well documented, such as diacidic and dihydrophobic motif (Barlowe, 2003). The amino acid sequence of the VIT1 N terminus revealed two potential diacidic motifs (EED and EPE). To verify whether they were functional signals, we next deleted the first six residues after the first diacidic motif (VIT1ΔN6) and the following seven residues just before the second motif (VIT1ΔN13). Surprisingly, GFP-VIT1ΔN6 was separated from the ER, but showed a clear tonoplast pattern and punctate signals that colocalized with RFP-VSR2 (Supplemental Figure 1B). The pattern was similar with the full-length construct, indicating that the first six residues containing one diacidic motif was not necessary for the trafficking of VIT1. By contrast, the deletion of the following seven amino acids led to the retention in the ER, suggesting that these seven residues (KITRISI) functioned as the putative ER export signal of VIT1, but not the second diacidic motif. After checking the sequence carefully, we found that one hydrophobic amino acid isoleucine (I) appeared frequently, indicating that this hydrophobic motif acted as the sorting signal of VIT1. To confirm this hypothesis, we further performed a site-directed mutagenesis analysis (Figure 2A).

Mutation of the three isoleucines (KITRISI) functioned as the putative ER export signal of VIT1. To test whether the dileucine motif of VIT1 functions similarly for other membrane proteins, we generated a fusion construct by adding the N terminus of VIT1 to one terminus of another membrane protein, GFP-SCAMP1 (Figures 4A and 4B), which also has four transmembrane domains with both its N terminus and C terminus facing to the cytosol (Lam et al., 2007; Cai et al., 2012; Larisch et al., 2012; Wolfenstetter et al., 2012). To test whether this putative motif is functional, we further mutated the conserved amino acids (glutamic acid and leucine) to alanine in this motif (Figure 3A). As shown in Figures 3B and 3C, the construct with three point mutations colocalized with the PM marker RFP-SCAMP1 and was different from the original pattern of GFP-VIT1, indicating that mutation of this motif resulted in protein relocation from the tonoplast to the PM. This result proved that EKQTLL in the N terminus was the tonoplast sorting signal of VIT1. The PM pattern was also consistent with previous reports, indicating that the PM might be a default destination for proteins without specific signals (Wolfenstetter et al., 2012). We also noticed that some punctate signals could be seen, which might represent leakage from this checkpoint, or internalized signals from the PM. In the following study, single mutation of glutamic acid (E) did not cause a dominant effect on the expression pattern, which still showed a clear tonoplast pattern (Figure 3D), while double mutation of the dileucine (LL) resulted in PM localization, thereby efficiently blocking the tonoplast trafficking of VIT1 (Figure 3E). These results together demonstrate that the dileucine motif at the N-terminal is a tonoplast sorting signal for VIT1.

The N Terminus of VIT1 Can Reroute PM Proteins to the Tonoplast

To test whether the dileucine motif of VIT1 functions similarly for other membrane proteins, we generated a fusion construct by adding the N terminus of VIT1 to one terminus of another membrane protein, GFP-SCAMP1 (Figures 4A and 4B), which also has four transmembrane domains with both its N terminus and C terminus facing to the cytosol (Lam et al., 2007; Cai et al., 2011). The fusion protein GFP-SCAMP1-LL localized to the tonoplast (Figure 4C), clearly different from its original PM localization.

Figure 1. (continued).

(A) Predicted topology of Arabidopsis VIT1 as determined using the TMHMM server 2.0 and diagram of construct GFP-VIT1. (B) to (G) GFP-VIT1 was coexpressed with known organelle markers into Arabidopsis protoplasts as indicated (B) and (E) to (G). Images were collected by confocal microscopy after 12 to 14 h incubation. Vesicle trafficking from Golgi and TGN was inhibited by overexpression of Arf1 mutant (C) and ConA treatment (D). The right column shows the scatterplot images obtained from ImageJ with the PSC colocalization plug-in. The linear Pearson correlation coefficient (rp) and the nonlinear Spearman correlation coefficient (rs) indicate the extent of colocalization with the value of +1.0 for complete colocalization. Bars = 10 μm.
localization, indicating that the VIT1 N terminus acted as a functional tonoplast sorting signal in the unrelated protein SCAMP1 and changed its trafficking route. Since a total 20 amino acids were added to the fusion construct, to rule out the possible effect of residues other than the dileucine motif, triple point mutants based on the fusion construct were produced and expressed in Arabidopsis protoplasts (Figure 4B). When the three conserved amino acids were mutated to alanine (E6A/L10A/L11A), the N terminus of VIT1 lost its ability to relocate the GFP-SCAMP1 protein, which returned to the PM (Figure 4D). By contrast, all the mutations on the other amino acids had no effect on the location of the fusion protein (Figure 4E; Supplemental Figure 2). Taken together, our results show that addition of the dileucine motif resulted in the relocation of

![Figure 2. The N Terminus of Arabidopsis VIT1 Contains an ER Export Signal.](image)

(A) Scheme of VIT1 N terminus mutation constructs and their subcellular localization in Arabidopsis protoplasts. NT, N terminus; T, TMD; CT, C terminus.
(B) to (E) Confocal images showing the subcellular localizations of VIT1 N terminus point mutation constructs. The right column shows the scatterplot images indicating the extent of colocalization with the linear Pearson correlation coefficient (rP) and the nonlinear Spearman correlation coefficient (rS). Bars = 10 μm.
SCAMP1 from the PM to the tonoplast, confirming its function as tonoplast sorting signal.

**The Dileucine Motif Interacts with the AP1 Complex**

In mammalian and yeast cells, the dileucine motif is recognized by multiple AP complexes, including AP1, AP2, and AP3 (Bonifacino and Traub, 2003). However, in plants, such a recognition mechanism is still obscure. Recently, studies with other tonoplast proteins showed that the AP3 complex was not involved in this process (Wolfenstetter et al., 2012). In order to better understand the molecular mechanism of dileucine motif-mediated tonoplast sorting, we used the synthetic peptides as bait to pull down potential interacting molecules (Gao et al., 2012). The peptides used in this study were exactly the same with the sequence that could reroute SCAMP1 from the PM to the tonoplast, while the dileucine amino acids were mutated to alanine as a control. Sepharose beads...
conjugated with peptides were incubated with total proteins extracted from wild-type Arabidopsis PSBD suspension cell cultures. Eluted proteins were further subjected to SDS-PAGE and silver staining. In duplicate experiments, one band was clearly labeled around 100 kD only in the lane with the wild-type VIT1 N terminus (Figure 5A, lane WT), but not in the lane with the mutated peptide (lane AA). Using tandem mass spectrometry analysis, the protein was identified as gamma adaptin 1, which is one of the large subunits of the AP1 complex (Figure 5B).

Figure 4. Dileucine Motif of Arabidopsis VIT1 Targets SCAMP1 to the Tonoplast.

(A) Topology of GFP-SCAMP1 and fusion protein with N terminus of VIT1.
(B) Scheme of SCAMP1 fusion constructs with wild-type or mutated N terminus of VIT1 and their subcellular localization in Arabidopsis protoplasts.
(C) to (E) Confocal images showing the subcellular localizations of three selective fusion constructs in Arabidopsis protoplasts. The right column shows the scatterplot images indicating the extent of colocalization with the linear Pearson correlation coefficient (rp) and the nonlinear Spearman correlation coefficient (rs). Bars = 10 μm.
To confirm the relation between AP1 gamma adaptin and the dileucine motif, we further performed the in vitro peptide binding experiment as described previously (Contreras et al., 2004; Gao et al., 2012). For the two homologs identified as AP1 gamma adaptins (AP1γ1 and AP1γ2) (Bassham et al., 2008; Teh et al., 2013), we prepared the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP)-tagged constructs and transiently expressed them in Arabidopsis protoplasts. Proteins were extracted and incubated with peptides conjugated to Sepharose. Isolated proteins were examined by immunoblot analysis using a GFP antibody. As shown in Figure 5C, AP1γ1 with the YFP tag could be efficiently pulled out by the peptide with the dileucine motif (WT), whereas the binding ability...
was significantly reduced by the mutations from leucine to alanine, verifying the importance of the dileucine motif in the interaction with AP1 gamma adaptin. Another AP1γ2 showed similar binding ability with the peptides, indicating that the two homologs of AP1 gamma adaptin might function redundantly in the sorting of VIT1. As a control, we also included the equivalent delta subunit of AP3 adaptor complex, AP3δ. Interestingly, AP3δ showed no binding ability with the peptides, indicating the specificity of the interaction between AP-1 complex and the dileucine motif of VIT1. AP complexes consist of four different subunits. To make it clear that the gamma adaptin represented the functional subunit of the AP1 complex, we performed similar experiments with the sigma adaptin of both AP1 and AP3 (AP1σ1, AP1σ2, and AP3σ) (Bassham et al., 2008). Essentially, as shown in Figure 5D, both AP1σ1 and AP1σ2 could be detected in the eluted sample, while AP3σ was not, and the binding was blocked by the mutation of dileucine. These results further demonstrated that the AP-1 complex had the ability to interact with dileucine motif of VIT1.

**AP-1 Gamma Adaptins Localize to the TGN**

To learn more about the AP1 gamma adaptins, we expressed the YFP-tagged fusion proteins together with known organelle markers in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts. As shown in Figure 6, both YFP-tagged AP1γ1 and AP1γ2 showed cytosolic and punctate fluorescent signals. These punctae partially colocalized with the TGN marker RFP-SYP61 (Figures 6A and 6D) but were clearly separate from the Golgi marker ManI-RFP (Figures 6B and 6E) and the PVC marker RFP-SCAMP1 (Figures 6C and 6F). This result showed that AP1 gamma adaptins preferentially localized to the TGN in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts, consistent with previous reports about the AP1 mu subunit (AP1μ) as a TGN-localized adaptin in plant (Park et al., 2013; Teh et al., 2013).

**Tonoplast Targeting of Dileucine Motif-Containing Proteins Is Impaired in AP1γ Deficient Cells**

The biochemical interaction between the AP1 complex and the dileucine motif of VIT1 gave rise to the hypothesis that the AP1 complex participates in the tonoplast sorting of VIT1. To test this hypothesis, we ordered T-DNA insertion mutants of AP1 gamma adaptins. As shown in Figures 7A to 7C, a single mutant of AP1γ2 showed a normal growth phenotype, verifying the hypothesis that the AP1 gamma adaptin might function redundantly in the sorting of VIT1. As a control, we also included the equivalent delta subunit of AP3 adaptor complex, AP3δ. Arabidopsis (Park et al., 2013; Teh et al., 2013). Thus, it is possible that the case is similar for the double mutant of AP1 gamma adaptins. Alternatively, we used the hairpin RNA interference (RNAi) method to generate a loss-of-function effect. An RNAi construct was prepared against AP1γ1 under the control of a 3SS promoter and tested transiently in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts (Figure 7D). It was further delivered to the homogenous mutant plant of AP1γ2 with a transient expression system using particle bombardment (Wang et al., 2013a). The GFP-VIT1 signal was clearly separated from the PM marker RFP-SCAMP1 and showed a nice tonoplast pattern in *Arabidopsis* root cells that were successfully targeted by the gold particles (Figure 8A). However, when the RNAi construct was added, the signal of GFP-VIT1 was partially redirected to the PM, showing colocalization with RFP-SCAMP1, indicating that deficiency of AP1 gamma adaptins partially blocked the tonoplast sorting of VIT1 and resulted in relocation of VIT1 to the PM (Figures 8B and 8C). The diagrams in the right column show green and red fluorescence densities along the white arrow as indicated on the merged channel, with the overlapping of the peaks indicating colocalization (Figure 8). As a control, we also compared the localization of GFP-VIT1 in AP3-defective cell. The localization of GFP-VIT1 was not affected in the isolated mesophyll cell of the ap3δ mutant compared with the wild type, further showing that AP3 is not involved in dileucine containing tonoplast protein sorting (Supplemental Figure 3).

INT1 was reported to be a tonoplast transporter with a dileucine motif at its C terminus (Komarova et al., 2012; Larisch et al., 2012; Wolfenstetter et al., 2012). Indeed, GFP-INT1 labeled the tonoplast in the wild-type plant (Figure 8D). However, similar to the case with VIT1, it was partially colocalized with RFP-SCAMP1 when expressed together with the RNAi construct, showing that sorting of GFP-INT1 was also affected in the AP1γ-deficient cells (Figures 8E and 8F). Notably, besides the tonoplast and PM pattern, abnormal punctate and aggregated fluorescent signals were clearly seen in the recorded cells (Figures 8B, 8C, 8E, and 8F), indicating the delayed delivery and accumulation of VIT1 and INT1. Taking all these results together, the AP1 gamma adaptins were essentially involved in the sorting of dileucine motif-containing tonoplast proteins like VIT1 and INT1. In addition, we also noticed that only a part of the expressed cells showed relocation of GFP-VIT1 and GFP-INT1, which might be due to variation in the expression level and resulting knockdown effect of RNAi construct in different cells. Thus, it would be helpful to generate stable materials expressing different tonoplast proteins in the AP1γ-deficient plant for further studies.

**DISCUSSION**

**The N Terminus of VIT1 Contains Both a Putative ER Export Signal and a Tonoplast Sorting Signal**

The targeting mechanism of integral membrane proteins has been studied extensively in plant cells. For the type I integral membrane protein binding protein 80 kD (BP-80), its TMD and C terminus are essential and sufficient to target the protein to the PVC (Jiang and Rogers, 1998a). Another study showed that the length of the TMIs played important roles in determining the subcellular localization of single transmembrane proteins, with shorter TMIs resulting in localization to the ER and longer TMIs resulting in localization to the PM (Brandizzi et al., 2002). A recent study demonstrated that VSR exits the ER via bulk flow without any specific export signal (Gershlick et al., 2014). However, it remains elusive if the roles of TMD length in targeting would be applied to multiple spanning membrane proteins. The demonstration of various sorting signals in multiple TMD proteins such as SCAMP1 further...
indicated the complexity of the targeting mechanism of proteins with multiple TMDs in plant cells (Cai et al., 2011; Jung et al., 2011). In this study, we used a tonoplast-localized ion transporter VIT1, trafficking along the secretory pathway, to study the sorting mechanism of a multiple membrane-spanning protein (Kim et al., 2006). Our results demonstrated that the N terminus of VIT1 contained a putative ER export signal of dihydrophobic type (SI), as well as a dileucine motif (EKQTLL) as a tonoplast-sorting signal (Figure 9).

As the first step of protein quality control, ER export of transmembrane proteins usually depends on the interaction
between sorting signals at the cytosolic regions and the coat proteins of COPII vesicle (Contreras et al., 2004). So far, various ER export signals have been identified in yeast, mammalian, and plant cells, such as diacidic motif ([D/E]X[E/D]) and dihydrophobic motif (FF, FY) (Otte and Barlowe, 2002; Hanton et al., 2005). In this study, truncation of the N terminus of Arabidopsis VIT1 resulted in the ER retention, indicating the existence of a putative ER export signal in this domain. The amino acid sequence revealed several diacid motifs at the N terminus (MSEEDKITRISIEPE), which is most widely found in plant transporters. However, further mutagenesis analysis showed that it was not these two putative diacidic motifs, but the fragment between these two motifs (KITRISI) that had an effect on VIT1 localization, indicating that the protein background provides important information for valid sorting signals. Subsequent studies identified the dihydrophobic tripeptide [SI] motif as the putative ER export signal. In addition, several studies have reported the involvement of COPII coat protein Sec24 in the process of ER export, which was not included in our study (Gao et al., 2012). In our case, EKQTL is a strictly defined dileucine motif, but the glutamic acid could be replaced by alanine without abrogating the activity of the motif, proving that it is less important in comparison to the leucine pair (Figure 3C). This dileucine motif showed a specific interaction with the AP1 but not AP3 complex. However, in mammalian cells, both AP1 and AP3 complexes can bind to the dileucine motif, but from distinct sets of cargoes (Bonifacino and Traub, 2003). The preferential binding specificity might be affected by the X residues and other factors as reported (Bonifacino and Traub, 2003). Thus, we cannot exclude the possibility that the dileucine motif of the other proteins might interact with other AP complexes. It would be of great interest to systematically document more tonoplast proteins with dileucine motifs to verify their interaction specificity with distinct AP complexes.

Mutation of Tonoplast Sorting Signal Results in PM Localization

The delivery of newly synthesized proteins diverges at the TGN, followed by further transport to the PM or to the PVC and vacuole (Viotti et al., 2010). The TGN has been shown to be a cluster of tubular and vesicular structures, composed of different

**Figure 7.** T-DNA Insertion Mutant of AP1γ2 Displays Normal Growth.

(A) Diagram of the T-DNA insertion and primers used in RT-PCR.
(B) RT-PCR characterization of ap1γ2. The transcript of AP1γ2 was not detected in the mutant. ACTIN was used as loading control.
(C) ap1γ2 single mutant showed normal growth compared with wild-type Arabidopsis, ecotype Columbia-0 (Col-0). Bar = 1 cm.
(D) Characterization of hairpin RNAi construct against AP1γ1 in Arabidopsis protoplasts. cFBPase was used as loading control. [See online article for color version of this figure.]
Figure 8. Dileucine Mediated Tonoplast Trafficking Is Affected When Both AP1 Gamma Adaptins were Knocked Down. Tonoplast proteins containing dileucine motif were coexpressed with PM marker RFP-SCAMP1 through particle bombardment. GFP-VIT1 (A) and GFP-INT1 (D) showed tonoplast pattern which separated from RFP-SCAMP1 in wild-type Arabidopsis plants. When RNAi construct against AP1 γ1 was coexpressed, GFP-VIT1 (B and C) and GFP-INT1 (E and F) were partially targeted to PM showing colocalization with RFP-SCAMP1. Fluorescence intensity profiles along the direction of white arrows were obtained using ImageJ. Bars = 10 μm.
functional subdomains with attachment of secretory vesicles and clathrin coated vesicles, but how the cargoes are sorted into different vesicles or domains remains largely unknown (Kang et al., 2011).

In our study, VIT1 was sorted to the tonoplast via an interaction with the AP1 complex. Interestingly, mutation from LL to AA not only blocked the tonoplast trafficking of VIT1, but also diverted the mutated protein to the PM. This result is consistent with previous reports on INT1 and ESL1, providing further evidence that the PM is a default destination for those proteins without specific sorting signals (Yamada et al., 2010; Wolfenstetter et al., 2012). On the other hand, our current studies cannot exclude the possible roles of conformational and structural information. Further exploration will be required to confirm whether the interaction between the dileucine motif and AP1 complex might induce a conformation change in tonoplast proteins, thereby masking the PM targeting information. Consequently, mutation of the dileucine signal will block the interaction further exposing the PM targeting signal to the surface. Actually, a study with the PM protein H+-ATPase in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) indicated that one large loop between TMDs is essential for its PM localization, similar to the case of the PM proteins PTR1 and PTR5, whereas the first TMD of SCAMP1 has been shown to be essential for its TGN-PM targeting (Lefebvre et al., 2004; Cai et al., 2011; Komarova et al., 2012). These studies then question whether the default pathway exists for all proteins.

Multiple Routes and Differential Regulation for Tonoplast Protein Targeting, Including AP1- and AP3-Mediated Pathways

The AP3 complex in Arabidopsis targets SUC4 protein directly from Golgi to the tonoplast; SUC4 contains no conserved sorting signals, and its targeting appears to be unrelated to that of another protein INT1 that contains a dileucine motif suggesting a yet unknown machinery (Wolfenstetter et al., 2012). In addition, the tonoplast SNARE protein VAMP713 is mislocalized in an ap3 mutant (Ebine et al., 2014). A recent study of mu subunits of the AP1 complex in Arabidopsis showed that the trafficking of vacuolar and secretory proteins was affected in an ap1m2 mutant (Park et al., 2013). However, the detailed mechanism of how the AP1 complex selects specific cargoes remains to be elucidated.

Our study advances the understanding of tonoplast targeting in plants by providing evidence for an interaction between the dileucine motif and the AP1 complex. In cells with AP1 gamma adaptin deficiency, tonoplast proteins containing the dileucine signal relocated to the PM, further indicating the essential role of the AP1 complex in sorting. Since the AP1 complex functions in multiple pathways and severe defects were observed in ap1 mutant, we cannot exclude the possibility that the observed mistargeting of tonoplast proteins could be due to a secondary effect. It would thus be interesting in future study to directly disrupt the dileucine recognition of AP1 via mutagenesis analysis and test the subsequent effects in transgenic plants.
As shown in Figure 9, both AP1-mediated tonoplast targeting of dileucine motif-containing proteins and AP3-mediated tonoplast targeting of SUC4 pass through the Golgi apparatus. An additional pathway might exist for storage proteins like TPKb, SRC2, and α-TIP directly from the ER, bypassing the Golgi (Oufattole et al., 2005). These studies together prove that multiple pathways exist to mediate the sorting of specific cargoes to the vacuole.

Multiple steps of vesicular trafficking increase the complexity of tonoplast targeting, including cargo sorting at the donor membrane as mentioned above, as well as the docking and fusion step at the targeting membrane, which is usually mediated by the Rab family of small GTPases. Recently, studies with Rab5 and Rab7 have revealed that these two molecules and related machinery are involved in different vacuolar trafficking pathways and are responsible for distinct set of cargoes, such as the tonoplast protein SYP22, which is affected by the dysfunction of Rab5 but not Rab7 (Ebine et al., 2014). Another study in tobacco leaf epidermis cells also revealed multiple pathways for membrane proteins involving different Rab GTPases, showing, by contrast, that Rab7 interferes with the trafficking of SYP22 (Bottanelli et al., 2011). The reason for such a difference is still not clear, further indicating the complication of the vacuolar trafficking mechanism in plants. To get a better picture of this process, more studies need to be done considering different pathways and distinct regulation at multiple levels like cargo sorting, vesicle scission, vesicle docking, and vesicle fusion.

METHODS

Plasmid Construction

For GFP/RFP/YFP/HA fusion constructs, full-length cDNAs encoding Arabidopsis thaliana VIT1 and subunits of AP1 (AP1α, AP1β, and AP1γ), and AP1αβγ complexes were ampliﬁed and cloned into pBI221 backbone containing an 3SS promoter and the non-palindromic synthsase terminator. All the truncation mutants of VIT1 were ampliﬁed from GFP-VIT1 and cloned into the pBI221 vector. All the point mutants of VIT1 were generated using the QuickChange Lightning kit (Agilent Technologies). For the construct of SCAMP1 fused with dileucine motif, reverse primers of SCAMP1 were designed to include the N terminus of VIT1, followed by the normal cloning procedure. All the triple point mutants were further generated from GFP-SCAMP1-LL. For the RNAi construct against AP1αβ driven by 3SS promoter, a 600-bp fragment of AP1αβ designed using MatchPoint was ampliﬁed as two fragments and inserted into the hairpin RNAi vector pHANNIBAL (Helliwell and Waterhouse, 2005). All the constructs were further conﬁrmed by restriction mapping and DNA sequencing. All the primers used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

Transient Expression in Protoplasts and Confocal Imaging

Transient expression in Arabidopsis PSBD cultures was performed essentially as described previously (Miao and Jiang, 2007). Confocal ﬂuorescence images were taken after 12 to 14 h using an Olympus FV1000 system. For the treatment experiment, 2 mM ConA (Sigma-Aldrich) in DMSO was applied to the protoplasts for 2 h after overnight incubation (Wang et al., 2010). Images were further processed using Adobe Photoshop software as previously described (Jiang and Rogers, 1998b). Fluorescence density proﬁles were obtained using the ImageJ program. The colocalization of two ﬂuorescent signals was quantiﬁed using the PSC colocalization plug-in in the ImageJ program. Results are presented by scatterplot images. The values of the linear Pearson correlation coefﬁcient (rp) and nonlinear Spearman correlation coefﬁcient (rs) range from +1 for complete colocalization to −1 for negative correlation (French et al., 2008). More than 10 independent images were used for each colocalization study.

In Vitro Peptide Binding Assay

In vitro pull-down analysis and peptide binding assays were performed as described previously (Contreras et al., 2004; Gao et al., 2012). Synthetic peptides were ordered from GeneScript with the sequences corresponding to the C terminus of VIT1. They were conjugated with cyanogen bromide-activated Sepharose 4B according to the standard procedure. The proteins were extracted from the wild-type protoplasts or the protoplasts transfected with YFP/HA-tagged fusion proteins of the AP complexes. The protoplasts were lysed with 2× lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 0.4% Nonidet P-40, and Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail [Roche]) and then were incubated with Sepharose beads coupled with the peptides for 6 h at 4°C in a top to end rotator. After incubation, the beads were washed ﬁve times with 1× lysis buffer and then eluted by boiling in SDS sample buffer. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, stained by silver staining, and ﬁnally subjected to liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis or immunoblot analysis using appropriate antibodies.

Plant Material and Growth Conditions

T-DNA insertion lines of Arabidopsis were obtained from The European Arabidopsis Stock Centre (N665697 for AP1γ, N659881 for AP1αβ). The genotype of these lines was conﬁrmed by PCR ampliﬁcation using the gene-speciﬁc primers and the T-DNA-speciﬁc primer. Sequences of the primers are listed in Supplemental Table 1. Seeds were surface sterilized and sown on plates containing Murashige and Skoog salts with 0.8% agar. The plates were kept at 4°C for 2 d before being moved to the growth chamber. The plates were incubated at 22°C under a long-day (16 h light/8 h dark) photoperiod. Plants were transferred to soil after 2 weeks. Five-day-old plants were transferred to new plates and used for bombardment experiments.

RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from 5-d-old seedlings using RNA extraction kit (Qiagen). The ﬁrst strand of cDNA was synthesized using Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). RT-PCR was then performed using this template, GoTaq polymerase (Bio-Rad), and the reverse primers in combination with forward primers (Supplemental Table 1). The ACTIN gene was used as a RT-PCR internal control.

Antibodies

GFP antibodies were generated using recombinant GFP purchased from Roche Applied Science as antigen to inject rabbits at the Chinese University of Hong Kong. Antibodies were afﬁnity-puriﬁed using CNBr-activated Sepharose (Sigma-Aldrich) conjugated with recombinant GFP. For immuno blot analysis, GFP antibodies were used at a concentration of 4 µg/mL. HA antibody was purchased from Abcam (catalog number ab18181). cFBPase antibody was purchased from Agrisera (catalog number AS04043).

Protein Extraction and Immunoblot Analysis

To prepare proteins from protoplasts, transfected protoplasts were diluted 3-fold with 250 mM NaCl. After that, protoplasts were harvested by centrifugation at 100g for 5 min and resuspended in lysis buffer containing 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, and Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche). The total extracts were centrifuged at 20,000g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and further subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis.
Particle Bombardment of Arabidopsis Seedlings

Five-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings were carefully transferred to a new Murashige and Skoog plate in an ordered arrangement. Gold particles coated with plasmid DNA were prepared and bombarded into the plants as described previously (Wang and Jiang, 2011; Wang et al., 2013a). Plants were kept in dark in the plant growth chamber for 12 to 24 h before observation for fluorescent signals.

Transient Expression in Arabidopsis Mesophyll Protoplasts

Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts were isolated and transformed using polyethylene glycol as described previously (Wolfenstetter et al., 2012). Transformed protoplasts were incubated for 12 to 14 h before confocal imaging.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under the following accession numbers: Arabidopsis VIT1 (At2g01770), INT1 (At2g43330), AP1 (At1g48760), AP2 (At1g23900), AP3β (At1g48760), AP1α1 (At2g17380), AP1α2 (At1g35410), AP3α (At3g05860), and SCAMP1 (Os07g0564600).

Supplemental Data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

**Supplemental Figure 1.** The N terminus of VIT1 is Essential for Its Trafficking.

**Supplemental Figure 2.** Subcellular Localization of GFP-SCAMP1 Fused with the Mutated N Terminus of VIT1.

**Supplemental Figure 3.** Localization of VIT1 Was Not Affected in the ap3β Mutant.

**Supplemental Table 1.** Primers Used in This Study.
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