SCFTIR1/AFB-Based Auxin Perception: Mechanism and Role in Plant Growth and Development
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Auxin regulates a vast array of growth and developmental processes throughout the life cycle of plants. Auxin responses are highly context dependent and can involve changes in cell division, cell expansion, and cell fate. The complexity of the auxin response is illustrated by the recent finding that the auxin-responsive gene set differs significantly between different cell types in the root. Auxin regulation of transcription involves a core pathway consisting of the TIR1/AFB F-box proteins, the Aux/IAA transcriptional repressors, and the ARF transcription factors. Auxin is perceived by a transient coreceptor complex consisting of a TIR1/AFB protein and an Aux/IAA protein. Auxin binding to the coreceptor results in degradation of the Aux/IAAs and derepression of ARF-based transcription. Although the basic outlines of this pathway are now well established, it remains unclear how specificity of the pathway is conferred. However, recent results, focusing on the ways that these three families of proteins interact, are starting to provide important clues.

INTRODUCTION

The term auxin is derived from the Greek word “auxein,” which means to grow. Darwin observed the effects of auxin in plants as early as 1880. In his book “The Power of Movement in Plants,” he described how the effects of light on movement of canary grass coleoptiles were mediated by a chemical signal (Darwin and Darwin, 1880). It took another 60 years of research to show that this chemical signal is indole-3-acetic acid, the major naturally occurring auxin in plants (Haagen-Smit et al., 1946; Mauseth, 1991; Raven et al., 1992; Salisbury and Ross, 1992; Arteca, 1996). After this discovery, auxin research advanced rapidly along multiple trajectories. Numerous auxinic compounds were identified, some of which were developed as herbicides and growth regulators (Sterling et al., 1997; Cobb and Reade, 2010). Based on the chemical structures of these compounds, the spatial features of a hypothetical auxin receptor were predicted (Thimman, 1977). This marked the beginning of what turned out to be an extended search for the auxin receptor.

Auxin has been associated with embryogenesis (reviewed in Jürgens, 1995), tropic responses (Firn and Digby, 1980), organogenesis (Li et al., 2005; De Smet et al., 2010), root development (reviewed in Benjamins and Scheres, 2008), shoot development (Vernoux et al., 2011), and plant defense (reviewed in Kazan and Leyser, 2005). Understanding how auxin can regulate so many diverse physiological and developmental processes is an active and exciting area of current research.

There are three known classes of auxin receptors: AUXIN BINDING PROTEIN1 (ABP1) (Hertel et al., 1972; Jones et al., 1998; Tomas et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2014), S-PHASE KINASE-ASSOCIATED PROTEIN 2A (SKP2A) (Jurado et al., 2010), and the nuclear SCFTIR1/AFB-Aux/IAA (SKP-Cullin-F box [SCF], TIR1/AFB [TRANSPORT INHIBITOR RESISTANT1/AUXIN SIGNALING F-BOX], AUXIN/INDOLE ACETIC ACID) auxin coreceptors (Dharmasiri et al., 2005a; Kepinski and Leyser, 2005; Calderón-Villalobos et al., 2012). Although there have been some recent advances in our understanding of ABP1, this review focuses on the SCFTIR1/AFB complexes and their function in auxin perception and the regulation of transcription in Arabidopsis thaliana.

SCFTIR1/AFB AND AUXIN PERCEPTION

Auxin regulates transcription of auxin-responsive genes through the action of the TIR1/AFB F-box proteins, the Aux/IAA transcriptional repressors, and the auxin response factors (ARFs). The Arabidopsis genome encodes 6 TIR1/AFBs, 29 Aux/IAA proteins, and 23 ARFs. In general, the Aux/IAAs act by directly binding to the ARFs and recruiting the corepressor protein TOPLESS (TPL) to the chromatin (Figure 1; Szemenyei et al., 2008; reviewed in Guilfoyle and Hagen, 2007, 2012; Mockaitis and Estelle, 2008; Chapman and Estelle, 2009; Wang and Estelle, 2014; Guilfoyle, 2015). Degradation of the Aux/IAA repressors is a critical event in auxin signaling and requires a ubiquitin protein ligase E3 called SCFTIR1/AFB (Gray et al., 1999, 2001; Ramos et al., 2001). The substrate recognition subunit of this E3, the F-box protein TIR1 (or related AFB protein), was first identified in a genetic screen for auxin transport inhibitor-response mutants (Ruegger et al., 1998). Since then, a number of elegant studies have shown that auxin promotes degradation of the Aux/IAA proteins through the SCFTIR1/AFB, in an auxin-dependent manner (Gray et al., 2001; Dharmasiri et al., 2005a; Kepinski and Leyser, 2005; Tan et al., 2007). The Aux/IAA degron is located in a conserved domain called Domain II (dII). Instead of causing a substrate modification, commonly required for substrate recognition by
many other cullin-based E3 ligases, auxin enhances the interaction between SCFTIR1/AFB and the dll by directly binding to TIR1, demonstrating that TIR1 is the long-sought auxin receptor (Dharmasiri et al., 2005a, Kepinski and Leyser 2005; reviewed in Skaar et al., 2013).

Single mutants in members of the TIR1/AFB gene family have, at most, a mild auxin-related phenotype. The tir1 mutant is auxin resistant and is slightly shorter than wild-type plants (Ruegger et al., 1998). However, higher order mutants with combinations of afb1, afb2, and afb3 in the tir1 mutant background exhibit

Figure 1. SCFTIR1/AFB-Based Auxin Perception and Response.

(A) Domain structure of the Aux/IAA and ARF proteins. EAR is the ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR-associated amphiphilic repression motif that interacts with the TPL corepressor. The dll domain facilitates interaction with the TIR1/AFB protein in response to auxin. The PB1 domain has both positive and negative electrostatic interfaces for directional protein interaction. DBD is the B3 DNA binding domain, and MR is the middle region that determines the activity of the ARF.

(B) Activating ARFs can form dimers through their DBDs and bind inverted repeat AuxREs (Boer et al., 2014). At low auxin levels, the Aux/IAA proteins form multimers with ARFs and recruit TPL to the chromatin. Note that most AuxREs are not found as inverted repeats in plant genomes, indicating that ARFs bind to DNA in configurations other than shown here.

(C) High levels of auxin promote ubiquitination and degradation of Aux/IAAs through SCFTIR1/AFB and the proteasome. ARFs are free to activate transcription of target genes. The site of Aux/IAA ubiquitination is arbitrary. The actual sites are unknown. Auxin is represented by the red oval.
severe growth defects and increased auxin resistance. Most of the quadruple tir1 afb1 afb2 afb3 mutants arrest after germination. Occasionally, tir1afb1afb2afb3 plants are able to grow beyond this stage but show defects in multiple auxin responses (Dharmasiri et al., 2005a, 2005b; Parry et al., 2009). In addition, mutations in other SCF subunits like CUL1, ASK1, and RBX1 cause auxin resistance and stabilize the Aux/IAA proteins (Gray et al., 1999, 2001, 2002; Hellmann et al., 2003; Moon et al., 2007; Gilkerson et al., 2009). Recently, two new tir1 mutants were identified in a yeast two-hybrid-based screen. The tir1D170E and tir1M473L mutations increase the affinity of TIR1 for the Aux/IAA proteins, whereas plants expressing tir1D170E and tir1M473L transgenes show an auxin hypersensitive phenotype and developmental defects (Yu et al., 2013).

STRUCTURAL INSIGHT INTO AUXIN PERCEPTION BY SCFTIR1/AFBs

All six members of the TIR1/AFB family have been shown to function as auxin receptors (Dharmasiri et al., 2005a, 2005b; Parry et al., 2009; Greenham et al., 2011). Besides the F-box domain, these proteins also contain a leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain with 18 LRRs. AFB4 and AFB5 proteins are distinct from the other members of this family in that they have an N-terminal extension that is not present in TIR1 and AFB1 to AFB3.

When the TIR1/AFB proteins were first shown to function as auxin receptors, the mechanism of auxin perception was unknown. Later, structural studies revealed the elegant way that auxin acts to facilitate the interaction between TIR1 and the Aux/IAA substrate. The structure of TIR1 was solved in a complex with ASK1, the dII peptide from the Aux/IAA IAA7, and auxin (Tan et al., 2007; reviewed in Calderón-Villalobos et al., 2010) (Figure 2). The TIR1-ASK1 complex is mushroom shaped. The cap of the mushroom, including the auxin binding pocket, is formed by the LRR domain of TIR1. The F-box domain together with ASK1 forms the stem of the mushroom. The LRRs form a slightly twisted, incomplete ring-like solenoid structure of alternating solvent-facing α-helices and core-line β-strands. The top surface of the LRR domain has a single InsP6 molecule (pale orange) is bound to TIR1 beneath the auxin binding pocket.

The six TIR1/AFB proteins are part of small subclade of F-box proteins with seven members. The seventh protein in the family is CORONATINE INSENSITIVE1 (COI1), known to be essential for the response to jasmonic acid (JA), a hormone that is structurally unrelated to auxin and has a very different role in the plant. Nevertheless, there is a striking similarity between the auxin and JA signaling pathways (Chini et al., 2007; Thines et al., 2007; Yan et al., 2007; reviewed in Katsir et al., 2008; Pérez and Goossens, 2013). In the case of JA, degradation of a family of repressors called the JASMONATE ZIM (JAZ) proteins is mediated by an E3 ligase called SCFCOI1. The interaction between the JAZ proteins and COI1 is mediated by direct binding to the JA derivative JA-isoleucine (Thines et al., 2007; Sheard et al., 2010). Thus, plants have evolved a similar mechanism to respond to very different regulatory molecules.

THE AUXIN CORECEPTOR MODEL: A NEW WAY TO THINK ABOUT AUXIN ACTION

Recently, it was shown that efficient binding of auxin to TIR1 requires the assembly of a coreceptor complex consisting of TIR1 and an Aux/IAA protein (Calderón-Villalobos et al., 2012). This may
be significant because there are six TIR1/AFB proteins and 29 Aux/IAA proteins in Arabidopsis. Thus, it is possible that different combinations of TIR1/AFB and Aux/IAA will have different biochemical properties (Figure 3). Indeed, auxin binding assays with purified TIR1 and Aux/IAA proteins showed that different corepressor complexes have different affinities for auxin (Calderón-Villalobos et al., 2012). For example, the TIR1-IAA7 pair has a $K_d$ of 10 to 15 nM for IAA, while TIR1-IAA12 has a $K_d$ of between 250 and 300 nM for IAA. Differences in $K_d$ appear to be determined primarily by the dII sequence of the Aux/IAA proteins, although other sequences may also contribute (Calderón-Villalobos et al., 2012).

Localized regulation of auxin levels has a key role in a number of processes including positioning of organ primordia, maintenance of stem cell niches, patterning of the fruit, and ability of auxin to direct cell division, expansion, and differentiation (Jones et al., 1998; Sabatini et al., 1999; Reinhardt et al., 2000; Benková et al., 2003; Li et al., 2005; Sorefan et al., 2009; Jurado et al., 2010; Mähönen et al., 2014). In the root, direct measurement of auxin levels in different cell types, as well as the behavior of auxin reporters, indicate that auxin levels range widely with an auxin maximum around the quiescent center and decreasing auxin levels moving proximally from the quiescent center as well as distally toward the root tip (Petersson et al., 2009; Vernoux et al., 2011; Brunoud et al., 2012; Band et al., 2014). Recently, cell type-specific genome-wide analysis of auxin responses in four different root cell types was reported. One of the highlights of this study was that different cell types have both divergent and parallel transcriptomic response to auxin (Bargmann et al., 2013). These studies highlight the presence of an auxin gradient in the root and the transcriptional complexity of auxin action. It is possible that diverse auxin corepressors may be necessary to interpret the wide range of auxin levels that exist in the plant. Thus, the corepressor mechanism could dramatically expand the dynamic range of auxin perception, potentially providing a partial explanation for how auxin controls so many different aspects of plant development (Calderón-Villalobos et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2014).

**Figure 3.** Different TIR1/AFB-AUX/1AA-ARF Modules May Regulate Different Developmental Processes.

Six TIR1/AFB can interact with the 23 different Aux/IAAs containing the dII to form numerous coreceptor complexes. Each of the Aux/IAA may interact with up to 19 ARFs containing Domains III/IV to regulate distinct sets of target genes that control different physiological processes in the plant.

### Aux/IAA AND ARF GENES ACT DOWNSTREAM OF SCFTIR1/AFBs

The Aux/IAA genes were discovered because some members are rapidly induced by auxin. In pea (*Pisum sativum*) and soybean (*Glycine max*), the level of several Aux/IAA transcripts increased within a few minutes of auxin treatment (Abel and Theologis, 1996; reviewed in Hagen and Guilfoyle, 2002). It is important to note, however, that some Aux/IAAs, like IAA28 in Arabidopsis, are not auxin induced (Rogg et al., 2001).

Most of the Aux/IAA proteins have four conserved domains. Domain I has an ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR ASSOCIATED AMPHIPHILIC REPRESSION (EAR) motif where the TPL/TOPLESS RELATED corepressor binds (Long et al., 2006; Szemereyi et al., 2008; Causier et al., 2012). Domain II contains the degron sequence, which interacts directly with the TIR1/AFB protein and auxin. Domain III and Domain IV are responsible for dimerization with other Aux/IAA proteins and heterodimerization with ARF proteins (Ulmasov et al., 1997a).

Important insights into the roles of the Aux/IAA genes came from genetic studies. Gain-of-function mutations in several of these genes, including IAA1/AXR5, IAA3/SHY2, IAA7/AXR2, IAA12/BDL, IAA14/SLR, IAA17/ARX3, IAA18/CRANE, IAA19/MSG, and IAA28, lead to stabilization of the respective protein because they are not degraded by SCFTIR1/AFBs (Rouse et al., 1998; Tian and Reed, 1999; Nagpal et al., 2000; Rogg et al., 2001; Fukaki et al., 2002; Tatematsu et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2004; Uehara et al., 2008; Polessne et al., 2009). The gain-of-function mutations are all within a stretch of five conserved amino acids in the dII. The mutations prevent SCFTIR1/AFBs binding resulting in stabilization of the protein (Ramos et al., 2001; Dreher et al., 2006). On the other hand, the analysis of loss-of-function mutants has so far failed to reveal robust mutant phenotypes in Arabidopsis, suggesting extensive genetic redundancy among members of the family (Remington et al., 2004; Overvoorde et al., 2005; reviewed in Reed, 2001). This is in contrast to the situation in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) where several loss-of-function alleles or antisense constructs produce a robust phenotype suggesting that there is less redundancy in this species (Wang et al., 2005; Chaabouni et al., 2009; Bassa et al., 2012; Deng et al., 2012; Su et al., 2014).

The ARF proteins are B3-type transcription factors. Each of the 23 ARFs in Arabidopsis have an N-terminal DNA binding domain (DBD) similar to that found in the transcription factor FUSCA3 (Ulmasov et al., 1995, 1997b; Luerssen et al., 1998; reviewed in Liscum and Reed, 2002). The ARFs bind to auxin response elements (AuxREs), each with the canonical 6-bp TGTCTC sequence in the promoters of auxin-responsive genes. The first four bases in the TGTCTC sequence are absolutely required for ARF binding, while more variation is tolerated in the last two bases (Ulmasov et al., 1997b, 1999a; Boer et al., 2014; reviewed in Guilfoyle and Hagen, 2007).

Based on activity in a protoplast assay the ARFs are divided into activators and repressors (reviewed in Guilfoyle and Hagen, 2012). ARF5, 6, 7, 8, and 19 proteins have a middle region that is Gln (Q) rich and function as activators. All the rest, except for ARF23, have a middle region rich in serine, proline, or leucine/glycine and are thought to act as repressors, although this has not been experimentally tested for every member of this group.
In addition, ARF3, 13, and 17 lack Domains III/IV. ARF23 consists of a truncated DBD only. Although the ARFs have been classified as either activators or repressors, it is important to note that their behavior in the plant may be much more complex.

For the activating ARFs, a working model for ARF regulation is now well established (Figure 1; reviewed in Guilfoyle and Hagen, 2007, 2012). At low auxin levels, these ARFs are bound to the Aux/IAA proteins, which recruit the TPL corepressor and other associated chromatin modifying proteins via the EAR motif in Domain I, resulting in the repression of auxin-responsive genes (Tiwari et al., 2001; Szemenyi et al., 2008). At higher auxin levels (Figure 1), Aux/IAAs are ubiquitinated and degraded via the 26S proteasome machinery, thus freeing ARFs to activate expression of auxin responsive genes (Figure 1). Since the phenotype of gain-of-function aux/iaa mutants is caused by stabilization of the respective Aux/IAA proteins and constitutive repression of ARF proteins, loss-of-function ARF activator mutants should have a similar phenotype to Aux/IAA gain-of-function mutants. This is the case for several mutants, such as iaa12/bdi and arf5/mp, both of which have a rootless phenotype (Hardtke and Berleth, 1998; Hamann et al., 1999; Weijs et al., 2006).

Recently, a large-scale analysis of Aux/IAA and ARFs interactions was done using systemic large-scale yeast two-hybrid assays and bimolecular fluorescence complementation assays. The major conclusion of this study was that Aux/IAA-Aux/IAA and Aux/IAA-activator ARF interactions are common, whereas interactions between ARFs or between Aux/IAAs and repressor ARFs were less common (Vernoux et al., 2011). However, a recent study provides genetic evidence for an interaction between ARF9, characterized as a repressor, and IAA10, suggesting either the function of the ARFs is more complex or that the Aux/IAAs can interact with repressor ARFs in vivo (Rademacher et al., 2012).

Recent structural studies of ARFs have led to exciting new insight into the molecular function of the ARF and Aux/IAA proteins (Boer et al., 2014; Korasick et al., 2014; Nanao et al., 2014). Because the ARF proteins readily form homodimers through Domains III/IV, this became the focus of studies on ARF interaction. However Domain III/IV-independent ARF dimerization was reported as long ago as 1999 (Ulmasov et al., 1999b). More recently, Boer et al. (2014) solved the structure of the DNA binding domains from ARF5 and its distant paralog ARF1 in complex with a generic AuxRE element and showed that the DNA binding domains homodimerize to generate cooperative DNA binding (Boer et al., 2014). Furthermore, this study proposed that ARF1 and ARF5 differ in the spacing between adjacent binding sites, potentially contributing to ARF specificity.

Further insight was gained by structural studies of the C-terminal domain of ARF5 (Nanao et al., 2014) and ARF7 (Korasick et al., 2014). This work revealed that Domains III and IV, present in most of the Aux/IAA and ARFs, form a Phox and Bem1p (PB1) domain as first proposed by Guilfoyle and Hagen (2012). The PB1 domains provide both positive and negative electrostatic surfaces for directional protein interaction (reviewed in Guilfoyle, 2015). Biochemical analysis confirmed that a mutation that affects one or the other of the surfaces in the ARF protein still permits dimerization with itself or an Aux/IAA protein, whereas an ARF protein with substitutions in both faces is unable to form a dimer (Korasick et al., 2014; Nanao et al., 2014). Additional insight was gained by studies of the Aux/IAA proteins. Expression of stabilized forms of these proteins results in a strong auxin response defect. However, if one of the two PB1 faces is mutated, this defect is strongly ameliorated, implying that the formation of Aux/IAA trimers is required for efficient repression. So far, this effect has only been demonstrated for IAA16, but seems likely to be general. These discoveries constitute a major refinement of the auxin-signaling model (Figure 1; Korasick et al., 2014; Nanao et al., 2014).

In addition to interactions between themselves, the Aux/IAAs and ARFs have also been reported to regulate and be regulated by other transcription factors. A MYB transcription factor, MYB77, was shown to interact with the ARF7 protein and contribute to auxin-regulated transcription (Shin et al., 2007). In sunflower (Helianthus annuus), HaIAA27 was shown to bind to the heat shock transcription factor HaHSF9 and repress its activity during seed development. As in the case of the ARFs, auxin acted to relieve repression of the HaHSF9 protein (Carranco et al., 2010). In another recent report, phosphorylation of ARF7 and ARF19 by BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE2 (BIN2) was shown to suppress their interaction with Aux/IAAs and this in turn enhanced transcription of LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES DOMAIN16 (LBD16) and LBD29 during lateral root initiation, independent of auxin perception (Cho et al., 2014).

Despite these recent advances, our understanding of how the ARFs work remains quite superficial compared with fungal and animal systems. For example, we are just beginning to learn about the coactivators and corepressors that collaborate with the ARFs to regulate transcription. Similarly, the chromatin states associated with ARF function are unknown. Finally, the function and activity of the repressor ARFs is poorly understood.

DEGRADATION OF Aux/IAA IS CRUCIAL FOR AUXIN ACTION

Understanding how Aux/IAA proteins are degraded is a crucial step in unraveling how auxin triggers diverse developmental responses. Domain II of the Aux/IAAs is thought to be the primary determinant for degradation by SCFTIR1/AFB1 (Gray et al., 2001; Ramos et al., 2001; Dreher et al., 2006). However, in addition to the Domain II degron motif, a conserved lysine between Domain I and Domain II contributes to Aux/IAA degradation (Ouellet et al., 2001; Dreher et al., 2006). It is interesting to note that the half-life of the Aux/IAAs varies widely. The half-life of IAA7 is ~10 min, while the half-life of IAA28 is 80 min, despite the fact that these two proteins have an identical degron sequence. These results indicate that determinants outside of Domain II also contribute to degradation rate. On the other hand, IAA31, which has a degenerate Domain II, without the conserved lysine, has a half-life of ~20 h, although this drops to ~4 h after auxin treatment. A small group of Aux/IAAs, namely, IAA20, IAA30, and IAA32-34, do not have the classical Domain II, but overexpression of IAA20 and IAA30 show strong auxin-related defects implying that these proteins repress auxin regulated transcription (Sato and Yamamoto, 2008).

Recently, a synthetic biology approach has been applied to the study of auxin signaling (Havens et al., 2012; Pierre-Jerome et al., 2014). By engineering the core auxin-signaling pathway into budding yeast, these workers developed a novel and powerful platform for studies of the pathway. Using this system, they
confirmed that the Aux/IAA proteins are degraded at very different rates, but in addition, the rate is dependent on the TIR1/AFB protein (Havens et al., 2012). More importantly, the system enabled them to define a minimal auxin response circuit sufficient to recapitulate auxin-induced transcription in yeast. By building and testing circuits composed of different Aux/IAA and ARF proteins, they were able to show that the behavior of the circuit varied significantly depending on the circuit components. Furthermore, circuits with multiple coexpressed Aux/IAAs displayed unique behaviors that may be relevant during plant development. This work provides a new approach for dissecting auxin signaling and demonstrates the key role of Aux/IAAs in tuning the dynamic pattern of auxin response (Pierre-Jerome et al., 2014).

In a related study, Shimizu-Mitao and Kakimoto (2014) tested the auxin-dependent degradation of all Arabidopsis Aux/IAAs in combination with TIR1 or AFB in yeast. They found that TIR1 and AFB2, but not AFB1, or AFB3-5 were effective in Aux/IAA degradation in the yeast system. All Aux/IAAs, except those lacking Domain II (IAA20, IAA30, IAA32, and IAA34), were degraded in an auxin-dependent manner. As in earlier studies (Calderón-Villalobos et al., 2012), the effective auxin concentration for Aux/IAA degradation depended on the identity of both the Aux/IAA and TIR1/AFB2 protein (Shimizu-Mitao and Kakimoto, 2014).

REGULATORY LOOPS IN AUXIN SIGNALING

Regulatory complexity is a recurring theme in plant development, so it is not surprising that feedback and regulatory loops exist in the auxin-signaling pathway (Figure 4). The most striking of these is the negative feedback loop generated by auxin-induced transcription of the Aux/IAA genes. Clearly this feedback loop will result in rapid dampening of auxin response upon auxin treatment. However, given that the kinetics of auxin regulation of Aux/IAAs is complex, a complete understanding of this regulatory system will require additional experiments in conjunction with a modeling approach.

Apart from the negative regulatory loop involving the Aux/IAAs, members of the auxin efflux carrier PIN-FORMED (PIN) family were also shown to be under control of the Aux/IAAs and ARFs (Vieten et al., 2005). As cellular auxin levels rise, PIN gene expression increases, resulting in more auxin efflux and a reduction in auxin levels (reviewed in Adamowski and Friml, 2015). Thus, this regulatory circuit contributes to auxin homeostasis. Among the features of this regulation is a striking compensatory mechanism that may act to stabilize auxin gradients. In this system, the loss of a PIN protein results in an increase in cellular auxin levels. This in turn causes the ectopic expression of other PIN proteins, thus compensating for the original PIN deficiency (Vieten et al., 2005). In addition, accumulation of auxin during de novo organ formation leads to rearrangements in the subcellular localization of PIN auxin transporters. This effect is cell specific, independent of PIN transcription, and involves the Aux/IAA-ARF signaling pathway (Sauer et al., 2006).

The PINs also factor into another auxin-dependent regulatory loop that affects behavior of cells in the root meristem. Dello Ioio et al. (2008) showed that the cytokinin response factor ARR1 activates transcription of the Aux/IAA gene SHY2/IAA3. The IAA3 protein in turn represses transcription of PIN1 resulting in a change in auxin distribution that promotes cell differentiation.

It is likely that many additional regulatory nodes that involve the Aux/IAAs and ARF will be identified going forward (Figure 4).

THE EVOLUTIONARY HISTORY OF AUXIN SIGNALING

Colonization of land by plants was a major event in evolution. However, the time at which auxin signaling emerged is not clear (reviewed in De Smet and Beeckman, 2011). The auxin-signaling pathway is conserved in land plants. Genes encoding Aux/IAA, ARF, and TIR1 homologs are present within the genomes of the moss Physcomitrella patens and the lycophyte Selaginella moellendorfii (Lau et al., 2009; Paponov et al., 2009; reviewed in De Smet and Beeckman, 2011; Finet and Jaillais, 2012). In the case of P. patens, genetic studies have shown that the mechanism of auxin signaling is very similar to that of angiosperms (Prigge et al., 2010; Lavy et al., 2012). The presence of auxin in algal species has been reported, but the physiological significance of this is not clear. In the case of Chlorophyta, a division of the green algae, no orthologs of TIR1/AFB, Aux/IAA, and ARFs were found (Paponov et al., 2009; reviewed in Lau et al., 2009; De Smet and Beeckman, 2011; Finet and Jaillais, 2012). A recent report of a draft genome sequence of the filamentous terrestrial alga Klebsormidium flaccidum indicates that this species lacks...
a TIR1-like auxin receptor but does have other auxin-related proteins such as ABP1, AUXIN RESISTANT1, and PIN (Hori et al., 2014). It is also interesting to note that most of the SCF-dependent plant hormone signaling components, such as TIR1, COI1, and GA INSENSITIVE DWARF1, are missing in K. flaccidum genome (Hori et al., 2014).

USE OF AUXIN-INDUCIBLE DEGRONS AS A TOOL IN ANIMAL SYSTEMS

In the last several years, SCFTIR1/AFB and the Aux/IAA proteins have provided the basis for a novel method of regulating protein levels in non-plant species. This system is called the auxin-inducible degron system (Nishimura et al., 2009; Holland et al., 2012; Kanke et al., 2012; Farr et al., 2014; Nishimura and Kanemaki, 2014; Samejima et al., 2014). All eukaryotes possess SCF ubiquitin ligases, and the architecture of Arabidopsis TIR1, including the F-box domain, is sufficiently conserved to allow assembly into an SCFTIR1 complex in yeast and animals. When a protein of interest is fused to the Aux/IAA degron, called the auxin-induced degron in this context, and introduced into yeast cells expressing TIR1, the tagged protein will be degraded in an auxin-dependent manner (Nishimura et al., 2009). The system provides a rapid and, more importantly, reversible way to regulate protein levels. The auxin-inducible degron system has been adapted for a number of vertebrate cell types and is proving to be a useful tool for a wide range of studies.

NEW TECHNOLOGIES TO DISSECT THE AUXIN-SIGNALING PATHWAY

As mentioned above, there appears to be extensive redundancy in both the ARF and Aux/IAA families of proteins. Consequently, the role of each Aux/IAA and ARF protein has not been defined (Okushima et al., 2005; Overvoorde et al., 2005). Because the creation of higher order mutants by genetic crossing is a time-consuming process, the emergence of precise genome editing tools like CLUSTERED REGULARLY INTERSPACED SHORT PALINDROMIC REPEAT (CRISPR-CRISPR ASSOCIATED SYSTEM (Cas9) is a welcome development (Cong et al., 2013; Mali et al., 2013). The CRISPR-Cas9 system has been successfully used to create multiple mutants in a mouse model in a short time (Wang et al., 2013). Several reports of successful precise genome editing in Arabidopsis and other plants using CRISPR-Cas9 are very promising (Li et al., 2013; Feng et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2014; Schiml et al., 2014; reviewed in Lozano-Juste and Cutler, 2014; Hyun et al., 2015). The CRISPR-Cas9 system should decrease the amount of time it takes to generate the higher order mutants required for analysis of Aux/IAA and ARF gene families.

Over the last three decades genetics, biochemistry and molecular approaches have provided an explanation for how auxin controls many aspects of plant growth. However, partly because of the complexity of auxin biology, our view of this regulatory system remains incomplete. A more complete understanding will certainly require the application of systems level and computational approaches. Several groups have developed instructive mathematical models that help to explain several auxin-related events like phyllotaxy, lateral branching, and root growth (Reinhardt et al., 2003; Jönsson et al., 2006; Shinohara et al., 2013; Band et al., 2014; Mähönen et al., 2014). This insightful approach will become even more powerful as the models become increasingly parameterized by experimental data.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Auxin plays a role almost every aspect of plant development. Although the general framework of auxin action has been established, the specific elements involved in each developmental signal remain to be discovered. Because the Aux/IAA proteins are central and dynamic regulators of auxin signaling, further studies of their role in auxin perception, their interactions with the ARF proteins, and their ultimate effect on the transcriptional output will be an important way forward. The ability of the Aux/IAAs to form auxin coreceptors with TIR1/AFBs further expands the dynamic range of auxin perception. In addition, recent exciting studies show that ABP1 functions as a cell surface-based auxin receptor (Chen et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2014). How auxin perception at the cell surface and in the nucleus are coordinated is an important outstanding question (Thomas et al., 2013; Paque et al., 2014). Finally, the effects of auxin on cell cycle regulation may be mediated in part by SCFSKP2A, which binds to auxin in a cell-free assay (Jurado et al., 2010). Discovering how information from these different perception mechanisms is integrated during plant development will be an exciting challenge for the future.
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