Identification and Characterization of a Golgi-Localized UDP-Xylose Transporter Family from Arabidopsis
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INTRODUCTION

Most glycosylation reactions require activated glycosyl donors in the form of nucleotide sugars to drive processes such as posttranslational modifications and polysaccharide biosynthesis. Most plant cell wall polysaccharides are biosynthesized in the Golgi apparatus from cytosolic-derived nucleotide sugars, which are actively transferred into the Golgi lumen by nucleotide sugar transporters (NSTs). An exception is UDP-xylose, which is biosynthesized in both the cytosol and the Golgi lumen by a family of UDP-xylose synthases. The NST-based transport of UDP-xylose into the Golgi lumen would appear to be redundant. However, employing a recently developed approach, we identified three UDP-xylose transporters in the Arabidopsis thaliana NST family and designated them UDP-XYLOSE TRANSPORTER1 (UXT1) to UXT3. All three transporters localize to the Golgi apparatus, and UXT1 also localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum. Mutants in UXT1 exhibit ~30% reduction in xylose in stem cell walls. These findings support the importance of the cytosolic UDP-xylose pool and UDP-xylose transporters in cell wall biosynthesis.
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Furthermore, UDP-Xyl can also be biosynthesized in the cytosol by a related gene family that also produces UDP-apiose, namely, UDP-AP1 SYTHASE/UDP-XYL SYNTHESE (Mølhøj et al., 2003). Thus, in plants there seem to be three distinct pathways for UDP-Xyl biosynthesis (Bar-Peled and O'Neill, 2011).

The biosynthesis of UDP-Xyl in the Golgi lumen would argue against the requirement for a UDP-Xyl-specific transporter residing in the Golgi membrane for the biosynthesis of Xyl-containing polysaccharides and glycoproteins. Nevertheless, we obtained evidence that Golgi-localized UDP-Xyl specific transporters exist in Arabidopsis and that at least one Golgi-localized UDP-Xyl transporter is necessary for proper biosynthesis of Xyl-containing cell wall polysaccharides. We designated the three members of this gene family as UDP-XYL TRANSPORTER1 (UXT1) to UXT3.

RESULTS

The NST-KT Clade of the Arabidopsis NST/TPT Family

The previously classified NST-KT subfamily is characterized by a highly conserved lysine/threonine (KT) motif (Knappe et al., 2003) and forms clade I of the NST/TPT family (Rautengarten et al., 2014) encompassing 11 putative NSTs (Figure 1). Collectively, they share 25 to 93% identity in their amino acid sequences and include the recently identified URGTs that form subclades (A) and (B) of the NST-KT subfamily (Rautengarten et al., 2014). Another subclade (C) contains three additional members, namely, UXT1 (AT2G28315), UXT2 (AT2G30460), and UXT3 (AT1G06890). UXT1 shares 66% and 67% identity with UXT2 and UXT3, respectively. UXT2 and UXT3 share 92% identity in their amino acid sequences. Members of the uncharacterized subclade (D) share 25 to 28% identity in their amino acid sequences when compared with all other members of the NST-KT family (Rautengarten et al., 2014).

UXTs Are Ubiquitously Expressed and Localized to the Golgi Apparatus

Publicly available microarray expression data comprising the AtGenExpress Developmental Data Set (Schmid et al., 2005) have shown ubiquitous expression for UXT2 and UXT3 throughout plant development, with UXT3 showing highest expression in pollen and flowers. Since UXT1 is not present on the Affymetrix ATH1 array, we assessed the relative expression levels using quantitative RT-PCR (Figure 2A). Expression data obtained by quantitative RT-PCR for UXT2 and UXT3 are consistent with the microarray expression data, confirming ubiquitous but relatively low expression for both genes. UXT1 is more highly expressed in most tissues analyzed, with some variation in expression, especially in the stem tissue. To determine the subcellular localization
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of the UXTs, we generated C-terminal yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) fusions of the coding sequences and expressed them transiently in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves. All three UXTs localized to Golgi-like punctate structures and colocalized with the Golgi-marker α-mannosidase I, supporting their function as Golgi NSTs (Figure 2B). In contrast to UXT2 and UXT3, UXT1 also appeared to be localized to the ER and colocalized with the ER marker ER-ck (Nelson et al., 2007) (Supplemental Figure 1).

**Determining the in Vitro Functions of the Arabidopsis UXTs**

To assess the function of the UXTs, each was heterologously expressed in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (yeast) and microsomal proteins were prepared. Immunoblot analysis confirmed the presence of the specific UXT proteins in yeast microsomal extracts (Figure 3A). Subsequently, microsomal proteins were reconstituted into liposomes for transport assays. Proteoliposomes preloaded with either UMP, GMP, CMP, or AMP were incubated with a mixture of 16 nucleotides/nucleotide sugars (Figure 3B). Non-transported substrates were removed by gel filtration, and the content of the liposomes was analyzed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). The LC-MS/MS analysis of nucleotide sugars after transport by UXTs could be readily assessed when compared with the empty vector control (Figures 3C and 3D). All three UXTs had the capacity to transport UDP-Xyl as well as minor amounts of UDP-arabinopyranose (UDP-Arap) in vitro when the proteoliposomes were preloaded with UMP (Figure 3E). By contrast, when proteoliposomes were preloaded with GMP, only transport of GDP-sugars was observed (Figure 3F), resulting from endogenous activity present in yeast microsomal preparations, since the incorporation levels were similar to those observed in control reactions (yeast transformed with the empty vector). No significant transport activities were observed when proteoliposomes were preloaded with AMP or CMP.

The UXT-mediated transport of UDP-Xyl was saturable in a concentration and time-dependent manner (Figures 4A and 4B). To determine $K_{cat}$, we measured the amount of UXT protein in the proteoliposomes using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mass spectrometry as explained in Methods and Supplemental Table 1. The analysis of the UXTs revealed apparent $K_m$ values for UDP-Xyl in the range of 40 to 60 μM with turnover rates of 3 to 12 s$^{-1}$ (Table 1). As previously determined, the UDP-Xyl content in various Arabidopsis organs is in the range of 40 to 120 pmol mg$^{-1}$ dry weight (Rautengarten et al., 2014). Considering the volume of the central vacuole in plants, these measurements indicate that the cellular levels of UDP-Xyl are in the micromolar range. Thus, we estimate that the affinity constants ($K_m$) for all three UXTs are within physiological range. By contrast, estimations of the $K_m$ for UDP-Arap, which was transported by all three UXTs to a lower extent, revealed values of ≥200 μM, which would be inconsistent with endogenous concentrations. UDP-Arap concentrations in Arabidopsis organs are very similar to UDP-Xyl concentrations.
(Rautengarten et al., 2014); hence, the high affinity constants or $K_m$ values indicate that the UXTs are most likely not significantly involved in UDP-Arap transport in vivo. The temperature optimaums for transport of UDP-Xyl for the three UXTs ranged from 37 to 55°C (Figure 4C).

The Role of the UXTs in Planta

To evaluate the in vivo function of the UXTs, we obtained homozygous T-DNA lines. Two independent lines were acquired for UXT1, and single insertion lines were identified for UXT2 and UXT3. Finally, a double knockout was generated between uxt2 and uxt3, and the absence of respective full-length transcripts was confirmed by PCR (Supplemental Figure 2).

To assess if mutations in the UXTs affect the biosynthesis of specific polysaccharides, we prepared alcohol insoluble residue (AIR) from leaves, flowers, and young (upper) and mature (lower) inflorescence stem tissue from 6- to 8-week-old plants and analyzed the monosaccharide composition (Supplemental Table 2). Flowers and leaves showed no significant differences in the monosaccharide composition between any of the mutants and Columbia-0 (Col-0; $P > 0.05$, ANOVA and Duncan’s test for multiple comparisons). Stem data showed a significant difference in the monosaccharide composition of uxt1-1 and uxt1-2 compared with Col-0 ($P < 0.05$), whereas the other mutants did not show a difference from Col-0. In uxt1-1 and uxt1-2, only Xyl and glucuronic acid (GlcA) were significantly decreased in stems compared with Col-0 (Figures 5A and 5B). The Xyl content in mature inflorescence stems from uxt1 mutants was decreased by 16% (Figure 5B), whereas a reduction of 34% was observed in young stem tissue (Figure 5A). These data confirm the importance of UXT1 for the biosynthesis of Xyl-containing cell wall polymers. In addition, a significant reduction in cell wall GlcA content of ~25 to 37% was observed in mature and young parts of the inflorescence stems from uxt1 mutants. Since the monosaccharide compositions are relative measurements, the decrease in Xyl and GlcA was accompanied by an apparent increase in other monosaccharides. However, the ratio between the other sugars was not significantly changed in any of the samples, indicating that a loss of function of UXT1 had no direct effect on any sugars besides Xyl and GlcA. Notably, while GlcA content was decreased in the mutant, there was no change in the 4-O-methyl ether (MeGlcA) content, i.e., the ratio between the methylated and nonmethylated form of GlcA was much higher in the mutant than in the wild type (Supplemental Figure 3). However, even though there was a significant reduction of Xyl and GlcA in the uxt1 mutants, the plants did not exhibit a morphological phenotype compared with wild-type plants.

Cell Wall Profiling of the uxt1 Mutants

To analyze the changes in the cell wall composition of uxt1 mutants in more detail, we performed comprehensive microarray polymer profiling (CoMPP) analysis on mature (lower) stem material. Cell wall matrix polymers were extracted, spotted onto nitrocellulose membranes to generate microarrays, and probed with a number of different antibodies with specificity for epitopes borne on cell wall polymers (Figure 5C). Since we observed a significant decrease in cell wall xylose in the uxt1 mutants, we focused specifically on antibodies recognizing xylan structures, including LM10/LM11 (which bind unsubstituted xylans), UX1 (which recognizes GlcA or MeGlcA substitutions on xylan), and AX1 [which was produced against arabinose-substituted β-(1-4)-xylan from wheat (Triticum aestivum)]. Clear differences were observed between the uxt1-1 and uxt1-2 mutants and the wild type (Figure 5C). Collectively, these probes revealed an apparent reduction in the xylan content and, more specifically, as indicated in the results for the UX1 antibody, in the glucuronoxylan content in UXT1 mutants when compared with the wild type (Figure 5C). Concomitant with the reduction in xylan content, the results from the CoMPP analysis indicate that other polymers, such as cellulose (recognized by the carbohydrate bonding module CBM3a) and xyloglucan (recognized by antibody LM25), were only slightly affected in UXT1 mutants. By contrast, results obtained with the LM3 antibody, which recognizes glycan moieties on extensins, indicated that these proteoglycans are enriched in UXT1 mutants. In addition, oligosaccharide mass profiling (OLIMP) revealed no significant differences in the xyloglucan structure in uxt1 cell wall preparations compared with the wild type (Table 2).

Table 1. Kinetic Parameters of UDP-Xyl Transport into Proteoliposomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>UXT1</th>
<th>UXT2</th>
<th>UXT3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_m$ (μM)</td>
<td>39 (3)</td>
<td>40 (4)</td>
<td>58 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{max}$ (nM s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>16 (0)</td>
<td>13 (0)</td>
<td>4 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each UXT, 20 data points with varying substrate concentrations (0.5 to 400 μM) were acquired. Standard errors are in parentheses.
In Arabidopsis, xylan is comprised of domains that differ in their pattern of MeGlcA substitution and is generated by two glucuronosyltransferases, GUX1 and GUX2. The GUX1 enzyme is responsible for the addition of GlcA only to evenly spaced Xyl residues, whereas GUX2 decorates both even and odd spaced Xyl residues, whereas determined K_m values for UDP-Arap indicate that these UXTs are unlikely to transport UDP-Arap in vivo, whereas determined K_m values for UDP-Xyl transport are within the physiological range (Rautengarten et al., 2014).

Analysis of the subcellular localization of the UXTs showed that all three are located in the Golgi apparatus and that UXT1 also localizes to both the Golgi apparatus and the ER, it is possible that UXT1 could also play a role in protein glycosylation.

To investigate this in more detail, we analyzed total protein from UXT mutants with antibodies against plant N-glycan xylosyl and fucosyl epitopes. However, no obvious differences in the N-glycan xylosylation pattern of the UXT mutants compared with the wild type could be observed. The same was true for the fucosylation pattern, which we analyzed as a control (Supplemental Figure 5). Hence, our results indicate that the UXTs do not have an obvious effect on protein N-glycosylation.

**DISCUSSION**

The majority of nucleotide sugars are actively transported into the Golgi and ER lumen by NSTs (Bar-Peled and O’Neill, 2011). Here, we present evidence for the existence of a Golgi UDP-Xyl transporter family in plants. We identified three previously uncharacterized Arabidopsis NSTs comprising the NST-KT family subclade C. All three members are capable of transporting UDP-Xyl and to a lower extent UDP-Arap in vitro (Figure 4). However, estimations of the K_m values for UDP-Arap indicate that these UXTs are unlikely to transport UDP-Arap in vivo, whereas determined K_m values for UDP-Xyl transport are within the physiological range (Rautengarten et al., 2014).

Analysis of the subcellular localization of the UXTs showed that all three are located in the Golgi apparatus and that UXT1 also localizes to both the Golgi apparatus and the ER, it is possible that UXT1 could also play a role in protein glycosylation.
localized to the ER, which could indicate a distinct functional role for UXT1. However, we are not aware of any xylosyltransferase reaction that is known to take place in the ER. Although protein N-glycosylation is initiated in the ER, the xylosylation has been shown to occur in the Golgi apparatus (Fitchette-lainé et al., 1994; Egelund et al., 2006). Therefore, the possible biological significance of the partial localization of UXT1 to the ER remains unclear. By contrast, the localization to the Golgi apparatus of all three transporters is consistent with the biosynthesis of Xyl-containing glycans in this compartment. The three UXTs are expressed throughout plant development. Compared with UXT1, UXT2 and UXT3 have lower overall expression levels in the tissues analyzed. The UXT1 transcript varies more substantially, especially in mature stem material where relative expression was lowest but still detectable.

To determine the functions of the UXTs in planta, we identified and analyzed loss-of-function mutants in all three transporters. Neither the uxt2 nor the uxt3 mutants exhibited any changes in cell wall monosaccharide composition. This could be due to the lower relative levels of expression for these two genes when compared with UXT1. Similarly, the uxt2 uxt3 double mutants did not show any discernable phenotype, indicating possible minor roles for these genes. Due to the genetic linkage between UXT1 and UXT2, we have not been able to generate a triple homozygous mutant line.

Only UXT1 mutants showed a significant decrease in cell wall-derived Xyl. This decrease was exclusive to stem material and was more pronounced in material isolated from younger parts of inflorescence stems. This difference based on stem maturity can be explained by the fact that in the developing stem, active cell wall biosynthesis and xylan production is occurring, whereas in mature stem tissue the function of the UDP-Xyl transporter may no longer be needed. Together with the decrease in Xyl in stems, we also observed a proportional reduction in GlcA content. Most of the GlcA in stem cell walls originates from glucuronoxylan; therefore, the proportional reduction in GlcA content is consistent with the suggestion that UXT1 functions predominantly in the biosynthesis of glucuronoxylans. However, the methylated GlcA content remained unchanged in uxt1 mutant plants when compared with the wild type (Supplemental Figure 3). This observation is consistent with previously published data on xylan mutants, such as inx8, inx9, and fra8, in which the ratio of GlcA to MeGlcA is lower and methylated GlcA predominates (Liepmann et al., 2010). Xylooligosaccharide profiling indicated that UXT1 affects both GUX1-dependent and GUX2-dependent xylan domains.

A more detailed characterization of uxt1 mutants using CoMPP and OLMIP techniques also indicated the importance of UXT1 in glucuronoxylan biosynthesis and revealed that it has little effect on xyloglucan biosynthesis. The latter could be explained by a lower requirement for UDP-Xyl for cell wall biosynthesis. For example, xyloglucan and xylogalacturonan, or could hint at a role for the endogenously biosynthesized luminal pool of UDP-Xyl. These findings suggest that UXT1 may be involved in protein interactions with specific glycosyltransferases and associated enzymes involved in biosynthesis of xylan.

The lack of an obvious mutant phenotype for UXT2 and UXT3 could indicate that they are not specifically involved in a functional protein complex and may play a generic role in the delivery of cytosolic-derived UDP-Xyl into the Golgi lumen. Interestingly, the UXT1 transporter of UDP-Rha/UDP-Gal also showed a differential role in biosynthesis of different polysaccharides in vivo (Rautengarten et al., 2014). Loss-of-function mutants and over-expressors of UXT1 showed large changes in content of pectic galactan but no change in the galactose substitutions of xyloglucan. While these observations could suggest substrate channeling in the case of UXT1 and UXT1, it is also possible that differences in Km values for different glycosyltransferases or different sub-Golgi localizations can explain the apparent specificity.

Both β-(1,4)-xylan synthase and β-(1,4)-galactan synthase are enzymes that make a homopolymer and are nonprocessive enzymes in vitro, i.e., the product profiles indicate that the product is released from the enzyme after each round of catalysis (York and O’Neill, 2008; Liwanag et al., 2012; Jensen et al., 2014; Urbanowicz et al., 2014). This is in contrast with processive enzymes, such as cellulose synthase, where the product remains associated with the enzyme. Biosynthesis of β-(1,4)-xylan (this study) and β-(1,4)-galactan (Rautengarten et al., 2014) have been found to be affected by specific nucleotide transporters, and we speculate that the functional association with a transporter is a mechanism that allows the synthases to maintain a degree of processivity and operate efficiently in vivo.

In mammalian cells, UXS enzymes are located only in the Golgi lumen and UDP-Xyl transport is therefore apparently not required (Ashikov et al., 2005). However, a mutant in the UXS enzyme in Chinese hamster ovary cells could be complemented by a cytoplasmic isoform of UXS from Arabidopsis, showing that in these cells the route for delivery of UDP-Xyl is not important for the function of the xylosyltransferases (Bakker et al., 2009). Since UDP-Xyl in plants is biosynthesized both in the cytoplasm and in the Golgi lumen by UXS enzymes, it seemed highly likely that plant UDP-Xyl transport into the Golgi would be a redundant process. However, our results show that, at least for xylan biosynthesis, the transport of UDP-Xyl is important and the Golgi-localized UXS enzymes cannot deliver sufficient UDP-Xyl for proper xylan biosynthesis.

Conclusions

We identified three Golgi-localized nucleotide sugar transporters that are able to transport UDP-Xyl in vivo. This demonstrates the existence of NSTs with specificity for UDP-Xyl in plants. uxt1 mutant plants showed a significant decrease in total cell wall Xyl content in stems, thus confirming a role for UXT1 in providing UDP-Xyl for cell wall biosynthesis.

METHODS

Nucleotide and Nucleotide Sugar Standards

Nucleotide and nucleotide sugar standards were obtained from the following sources: UDP-α-D-xylose, UDP-β-L-arabinopyranose, and UDP-α-D-galacturonic acid (Carbosource Services, Complex Carbohydrate Research Center, Athens, GA); UMP, GMP, CMP, AMP, UDP-α-D-glucuronic acid, UDP-α-D-glucose, UDP-α-D-galactose, UDP-N-acetyl-α-D-glucosamine, UDP-N-acetyl-α-D-galactosamine, GDP-α-D-mannose, GDP-β-D-fructose, GDP-β-D-glucose, adenosine 3′-phosphate 5′-phosphosulfate, CMP-N-acetylneuraminic acid, and ADP-α-D-glucose (Sigma-Aldrich) and UDP-β-L-arabinofuranose (Peptides International). GDP-α-L-galactose
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was enzymatically synthesized according to Major et al. (2005) and HPLC purified using a linear ammonium formate gradient (Rautengarten et al., 2011). UDP-β-L-rhamnose was enzymatically synthesized by a two-step reaction using UDP-Glc as substrate as previously described (Rautengarten et al., 2014).

**Sequence Analysis**

Amino acid sequences were retrieved from The Arabidopsis Information Resource (Lamesch et al., 2012). Deduced amino acid sequences were aligned using the Clustal Omega program (Sievers et al., 2011) using default parameters (Supplemental Data Set 1). Phylogenetic trees were created using the neighbor-joining statistical method and applying the bootstrap method with 1000 replications and visualized using the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) version 6.0 application (Tamura et al., 2013).

**Heterologous Expression, Reconstitution, and in Vitro Assay of Transport Activities**

Heterologous expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (strain INVSc1: MATα his3Δ1 leu2 trp1-289 ura3-52 MAT his3Δ1 leu2 trp1-289 ura3-52; Life Technologies), reconstitution of microsomal proteins, and subsequent transport activity assay were performed as previously described (Rautengarten et al., 2014). Kinetic parameters were calculated by nonlinear regression using the Prism® application (GraphPad Software). PAGE and immunoblot analyses were done as previously described (Rautengarten et al., 2011) using 2.5 μg yeast microsomal protein. Filters were probed using the anti-V5 antibody (Life Technologies).

**Chromatographic Separation and Detection of Nucleotide Sugars by Mass Spectrometry**

LC-MS/MS was performed using porous graphitic carbon as the stationary phase on an 1100 series HPLC system (Agilent Technologies) and a 4000 QTRAP LC-MS/MS system (AB Sciex) equipped with a TurboIonSpray ion source using methods previously described (Ito et al., 2014; Rautengarten et al., 2014).

**Absolute Quantification of Reconstituted NSTs by MRM Mass Spectrometry**

The yeast expression vector pYES-DEST52 contains an in-frame V5-tag and 6xHis-tag epitope at the 3’ end of the cloning site. The expressed UXT proteins all yield a common tryptic peptide, namely, R.SRGPFEGK-PIPnPLLGLDSTR.T, as previously described (Rautengarten et al., 2014). A synthesized peptide was used to determine optimal parameters for MRM analysis with the following parameters: dwell (25 ms), fragmentor (130 V), collision energy (11.1 V), and cell accelerator voltage (7 V). Analysis of samples and standard curves were conducted on a 6460 Triple Quad LC-MS/MS system equipped with a Jet Stream ESI source (Abilent Technologies). The system was operated in positive ion mode using the MRM scan type with both MS1 and MS2 resolutions set to unit. The following mass spectrometer parameters were applied: gas temperature (350°C), gas flow (10 L/min), nebulizer (45 p.s.i.), sheath gas temperature (400°C), sheath gas flow (11 L/min), capillary (5000 V), and MS1/MS2 heater (100°C). A total of 5 μg of trypsin-digested (1:10 [w/w]) proteoliposome was loaded onto an Ascentis Express Peptide ES-C18 (5 cm×2.1 mm, 2.7 μm) column (Sigma-Aldrich) using a 1290 series HPLC (Agilent Technologies) at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min as follows: 95% Buffer A (99.9% water and 0.1% formic acid) and 5% Buffer B (99.9% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid) for 0.2 min, followed by an increase to 35% Buffer B over 5.5 min, then 90% Buffer B in 0.3 min, where it was held for 2 min. The buffer composition was ramped back to 5% Buffer B over 5 min, giving a total runtime of 13 min. The column temperature was maintained at 60°C. Data were acquired using MassHunter Workstation Software Version B.06.00 Build 6.0.6025.4 SP4 (Agilent Technologies). The raw data were imported into Skyline (v2.5.0.6157) (MacLean et al., 2010) and transition peaks manually inspected for retention time and adjusted accordingly. The abundance of the expressed UXTs in a sample was calculated by integrating the total signal peak area (total area) from Skyline for the two transitions on the predominant 563.560 [M+4H]+ precursor ion, namely, L [y7] 761.452 [M+4H]+ and G [y6] 648.3311 [M+4H]+, and calculating total moles in the sample against a standard curve for the synthesized peptide. The standard curve was created by linear regression using a range of abundances (0.5 to 10 pmol), which were interspersed as separate runs during sample analysis. The UXTs represent from 0.01 to 0.1% of total protein of the reconstituted proteoliposomes with errors representing the sd of two technical replicates (Supplemental Table 1). Values were used for enzyme kinetic calculations.

**Plant Material and Growth Conditions**

*Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 was obtained from the ABRC (http://abrc.osu.edu). T-DNA insertion mutants for UXT1 (uxt1-1, SAIL_147_F11; uxt1-2, SALK_086773), UXT2 (uxt2-1, SALK_078576), and UXT3 (uxt3-1, SALK_013372) were obtained from the SIGnAL Salk collection (http://signal.salk.edu/). Plants were germinated and grown on soil (PRO-MIX; Premier Horticulture) in an Arabidopsis growth chamber (Percival-Lab) with 15 h of fluorescent light at 22°C and 60% RH/14 h of dark at 22°C and 60% RH. After 4 weeks, plants were transferred to long-day conditions (16 h of fluorescent light at 120 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) at 22°C and 60% RH/8 h of dark at 22°C and 60% RH).

**Cloning Procedures**

Coding sequences for Arabidopsis UXTs without native stop codon were PCR amplified using the primer pairs listed in Supplemental Table 3. PCR products were introduced into the pENTR/SD/D-TOPO cloning vector (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and confirmed by sequencing. To obtain C-terminal YFP fusions, the constructs were introduced into the 35S promoter carrying pEarryGat101 plant transformation vector (Earley et al., 2006) using the LR Clonase II reaction (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer’s protocol. For yeast expression, the constructs were introduced into the yeast expression vector pYES-DEST52 (Life Technologies) using the LR Clonase II reaction (Life Technologies).

**Subcellular Localization and Microscopy**

*Nicotiana benthamiana* plants were grown on soil (PRO-MIX) in a growth chamber (Percival-Scientific) using the following conditions: 24°C day/night temperature, 60% humidity, and 16-h-light/8-h-dark cycles. Four-week-old leaves were co-infiltrated with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 pmp90 carrying the C-terminal YFP fusion constructs (OD600 = 0.15) and the α-mannosidase-mCherry marker (OD600 = 0.01) (Nelson et al., 2007) using the previously described method (Jensen et al., 2008). Visualization by confocal laser scanning microscopy was performed as previously described (Rautengarten et al., 2012).

**Determination of Monosaccharide Composition**

AIR was prepared as described earlier (Harholt et al., 2006). Samples were hydrolyzed in 2 N trifluoroacetic acid for 1 h at 120°C. HPAEC with pulsed amperometric detection was performed as described (ØBro et al., 2004) on an ICS 3000 (Dionex) using a CarboPac PA20 anion exchange column (3 × 150 mm; Dionex).
PCR Characterization of Mutants

Homozygous T-DNA insertion lines were verified by PCR to confirm the presence of the insert using the primers listed in Supplemental Table 3. Subsequently, absence of the transcript was verified by RT-PCR using the primers listed in Supplemental Table 3. Arabidopsis ACTIN-2 (At3g18780) was used as a control for equal loading.

RT-PCR

Plant RNA was extracted using the RNEasy RNA Plant Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, and 0.5 to 1 μg was reverse transcribed using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase and d(T)15 oligomers (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. UXT1-3 expression in different organs was analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR using SYBR Select Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) on a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) according to the conditions described earlier (Czechowski et al., 2005) using StepOne 2.0 software (Applied Biosystems). The UXT genes were amplified using the primers listed in Supplemental Table 3. As references, primers for UBO10 (At4g05320), PP2A (At1g13320), and a SAND family member (MON1, At2g28390) were used (Supplemental Table 3). Expression levels were calculated using the comparative CT method, which involves normalizing against the geometric mean of the three housekeeping genes (UBI10, PP2A and SAND family) for each tissue type (Schnittgen and Livak, 2008).

Xylan Oligosaccharide Profiling

Xylan was digested with endoglucuronoxylanase GH30 (XynC) from Bacillus subtilis (St John et al., 2006) as previously described (Bromley et al., 2013). Profiling of the released oligosaccharides by HPAEC was performed using the conditions previously described (Chiniqy et al., 2012). Cell wall preparations from the gux1 and gux2 mutants (Oikawa et al., 2010; Bromley et al., 2013) were analyzed for comparison.

Protein Extraction and Immunoblotting

Inflorescence stems from 6-week-old plants were ground in extraction buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 2% Triton, 1 mM PMSF, protease inhibitor, and 10 mM CaCl2) incubated for 1 h at 4°C under constant shaking, and centrifuged for 30 min at 20,800 g at 4°C to remove cell debris. Subsequently, protein was precipitated with 20% trichloroacetic acid, incubated on ice, and spun down. After removal of the supernatant, the remaining pellet was reconstituted proteoliposomes used for transport assays.

OLIMP

Mass profiling of xyloglucan oligosaccharides derived from various stem tissues was performed as previously described (Lerouxel et al., 2002). The cell wall material was digested with a xyloglucans-specific endoglucanase (Pauly et al., 1999), and the resulting solubilized xyloglucan oligosaccharides were detected using an Axima matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight system (Shimadzu) set in linear positive mode with an acceleration voltage of 20,000 V.

CoMPP

CoMPP was undertaken essentially according to previously described work (Moller et al., 2007). AIR samples were extracted from mature stems of pooled material (approximately eight individuals) from 8-week-old uxt1-1, uxt1-2, and wild-type plants. A total of 4 mg AIR was subsequently extracted sequentially with CDTA and then NaOH solutions to obtain pectin-rich and hemicellulose-rich extracts, respectively. These extracts were spotted onto membranes and probed with monoclonal antibodies and carbohydrate binding modules (CBMs) that recognize specific cell wall epitopes, namely, LM3, extensins; LM25, xyloglucan; LM10, β-(1-4)-o-xylan; LM1, (1-4)-β-D-xylan; UX1, glucuronoxylan; AX1, arabinoxylan substituted β-(1-4)-o-xylan; and CBM3a, cellulose (Guillon et al., 2004; McCartney et al., 2005; Blake et al., 2006; Koutaniemi et al., 2012; Pedersen et al., 2012).

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative or GenBank/EMBL databases under the following accession numbers: UXT1 (AT2G28315), UXT2 (AT2G30460), UXT3 (AT1G06890), ACTIN-2 (AT3g18780), UBO10 (At4g05320), PP2A (At1g13320), and MON1 (At2g28390).
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Supplemental Figure 2. Assessment of UXT transcripts by RT-PCR in the of uxt mutant backgrounds.

Supplemental Figure 3. The 4-O-Methyl-α-glucuronic acid content of pooled stem material.

Supplemental Figure 4. Xylan profiling of the uxt1 mutants.

Supplemental Figure 5. Immunoblot analysis of N-glycosylation in the uxt mutants.
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