Horsetails Are Ancient Polyploids: Evidence from Equisetum giganteum
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Horsetails represent an enigmatic clade within the land plants. Despite consisting only of one genus (Equisetum) that contains 15 species, they are thought to represent the oldest extant genus within the vascular plants dating back possibly as far as the Triassic. Horsetails have retained several ancient features and are also characterized by a particularly high chromosome count (n = 108). Whole-genome duplications (WGDs) have been uncovered in many angiosperm clades and have been associated with the success of angiosperms, both in terms of species richness and biomass dominance, but remain understudied in nonangiosperm clades. Here, we report unambiguous evidence of an ancient WGD in the fern lineage, based on sequencing and de novo assembly of an expressed gene catalog (transcriptome) from the giant horsetail (Equisetum giganteum). We demonstrate that horsetails underwent an independent paleopolyploidy during the Late Cretaceous prior to the diversification of the genus but did not experience any recent polyploidizations that could account for their high chromosome number. We also discuss the specific retention of genes following the WGD and how this may be linked to their long-term survival.

INTRODUCTION

Although ferns (monilophytes) represent the most diverse plant lineage on Earth second only to angiosperms, almost all of these species are contained within the monophyletic (leptosporangiate) polypod fern lineage that contains 267 genera and ~9000 species. The remaining 20% of species lie outside this derived group and represent earlier diverging lineages (Schuettpelz and Pryer, 2007). Ferns were the dominant plant clade on Earth both in terms of species richness and biomass during the Paleozoic but had to compete with the more derived angiosperms during the Mesozoic. Originally it was thought that ferns experienced a drastic decline (Crane, 1987), although latter work readjusted this view by demonstrating that polypod ferns, in particular, diversified during the Cretaceous in the wake of novel ecological opportunities that opened up by the rise to dominance of angiosperms (Schneider et al., 2004).

Over half a century ago, the high chromosome counts of homosporous fern genomes caught the attention of researchers (Manton, 1950). Ferns have especially high chromosome numbers, with Ophioglossum reticulatum possessing the highest known chromosome count among extant eukaryotes (n > 600; Khandelwal, 1990). However, a distinction should be made between heterosporous ferns that have chromosome counts similar to angiosperms (n = 15.99 on average) and homosporous ferns where the chromosome count is more than 3 times higher (n = 57.05 on average; Klekowski and Baker, 1966). This early work investigated a limited number of homosporous ferns and concluded that selfing was a common mechanism of reproduction (Klekowski and Baker, 1966). Combined with later observations of unusually high numbers of chromosomes in homosporous ferns (and the resultant assumption that this was due to polyploidy), the hypothesis emerged that polyploidy would present a plausible mechanism allowing the generation of novel genetic material to avoid homozygous inbreeding depression by pairing of homologous rather than homologous chromosomes during meiosis (Klekowski, 1973). This view was later challenged and revised through findings that species having the lowest chromosome number within each genus exhibit diploid genetic expression (Haufler and Soltis, 1986) and that these genetically diploid species experience selfing rates near zero (Soltis and Soltis, 1990) through breeding systems such as temporal separation between sperm and egg release (Soltis and Soltis, 1992). It was therefore proposed that a few ancient cycles of polyploidy followed by gene silencing and maintenance of chromosomes could explain the high chromosome counts of homosporous ferns (Haufler, 1987) or alternatively that the ancestor of ferns and seed plants had a high chromosome number that was retained only in ferns (Soltis and Soltis, 1987). Hence, the paradox between the high chromosome number of ferns and their diploid state remains an intriguing open question (Haufler, 2002, 2014).

Among the monilophytes, horsetails in particular have received much attention. They consist of a single extant genus (Equisetum), which is the only remaining representative from the more ancient Sphenophyta that once were a very abundant and diverse clade (Husby, 2013). The Equisetopsida are estimated to have diverged from other monilophytes somewhere around ~354 million
years ago (mya) (Schneider et al., 2004). This extreme isolation of *Equisetum*, combined with fossil findings that show that horsetails have retained multiple ancient features dating back to the Jurassic (Channing et al., 2011) and possibly even the Triassic (Hauke, 1963), indicate that *Equisetum* could possibly be the oldest extant genus within the vascular plants (Husby, 2013).

*Equisetum* contains only 15 species, all of which (with the exception of a single reported triploidy event) have a chromosome number of $n = 108$ and are diploid (Soltis, 1986; Leitch and Leitch, 2013). Nevertheless, the genus has a subcosmopolitan distribution with most species found between 40° and 60° northern latitude. They typically thrive under a very wide range of conditions due to diverse adaptations that enable efficient nutrient uptake and nitrogen fixation mechanisms and make them tolerant to disturbance, soil anoxia, high metals, and salinity (Husby, 2013). Rhizomatous clonal growth is a universal feature of the genus and is extremely important for its ecology in moist conditions such as woods, ditches, and wetlands (Hauke, 1963). Despite its limited number of species, its plasticity and survival through multiple geological time scales and extinction events render *Equisetum* a very successful lineage (Rothwell, 1996). The relationships between the different horsetail species is now well resolved with *Equisetum bogotense* basal to both the subgenera *Hippochaete* and *Equisetum* that each contain seven species (Guillon, 2004, 2007), while the Equisetopsida are most likely sister to both the whisk ferns (Psilotales) and ophioglossoid ferns (Ophioglossales; Grewe et al., 2013). Both molecular dating studies (Des Marais et al., 2003; Pryer et al., 2004) and fossil evidence (Stewart and Rothwell, 1993) indicate that extant horsetails diverged in the Early Cenozoic, not long after the Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) boundary ~66 mya.

Recently, we demonstrated that multiple whole-genome duplication (WGD) events in the angiosperms occurred in association with the K-Pg boundary, especially within the more derived eudicots and monocots (Vanneste et al., 2014a). Several WGDs have also been found in earlier diverging angiosperm lineages such as the magnolids, although dating of these events remains uncertain due to their more isolated position and lack of sequence data (Cui et al., 2006; Soltis et al., 2009). Moreover, the ancestor of all angiosperms most likely underwent a WGD entailing that all extant angiosperms are in fact paleopolyploids (Jiao et al., 2011). Although the prevalence of WGDs in the angiosperms has become firmly established, their attributed importance remains controversial, ranging between a road toward evolutionary success and an evolutionary dead end (Van de Peer et al., 2009, 2010; Abbasi, 2010; Mayrose et al., 2011, 2015; Arrigo and Barker, 2012; Soltis et al., 2014). Recent advances suggest that the success of WGDs should be viewed in the context of environmental and ecological conditions at the time of their establishment and their subsequent evolutionary routes taken and that this might perhaps help to reconcile both fates (Scharzn et al., 2012; Vanneste et al., 2014b). Contrary to angiosperms, much less is known about the prevalence of WGDs in nonangiosperm clades, mostly due to a lack of genomic data that allows exploring such events (Soltis and Soltis, 2013). The ancestor of gymnosperms was most likely also a paleopolyploid species, although no recent polyploids have been described yet (Jiao et al., 2011; Nystedt et al., 2013). The bryophyte *Physcomitrella patens* appears to have undergone a WGD (Rensing et al., 2008), coinciding again with the K-Pg boundary.

In this study, we performed transcriptome sequencing of the giant horsetail (*Equisetum giganteum*) to gain more insight into the evolution of this enigmatic genus. We found that horsetails underwent a paleopolyploidy during their evolutionary history that occurred somewhere in the Late Cretaceous. We interpret these results in the light of current knowledge concerning the importance of WGDs in plant species and argue that the WGD might have contributed to the evolutionary success of *Equisetum*.

## RESULTS

### Assessment of the Transcriptome Assembly

The Oases assembly based on the Velvet k-mer 41 output resulted in 34,282 transcripts with an average sequence length of 1251.19 bases and an average GC and GC3 content of 47.12 and 43.81%, respectively. The completeness of our transcriptome assembly was assessed by estimating the coverage of the gene space based on the core eukaryotic gene mapping approach (Parra et al., 2007) that assesses how many genes out of a set of 248 genes shared by all eukaryotic species are present in our assembly. In total, 239 partial or complete genes (96.37%), of which 224 were complete genes (90.32%) (based on a minimum protein alignment length of 70% against the Hidden Markov Model), could be identified, which is comparable to similar transcriptome studies in other plants (Nakasugi et al., 2013) and suggests a relatively high level of gene completeness. Additionally, we found on average 2.37 and 2.66 orthologs for the complete and partial set, respectively, while in total 140 of detected core proteins had more than one ortholog in the complete and partial set, respectively. These levels are comparable with *Arabidopsis thaliana*, which has on average two orthologs per core protein (Parra et al., 2007).

### The *E. giganteum* Transcriptome Contains the Remnants of a Paleopolyploidy Event

The $K_o$-based age distribution was constructed and is presented in Figure 1 for all duplicated gene pairs in the *E. giganteum* transcriptome. Synonymous substitutions do not change the amino acid and are therefore considered to behave putatively neutral (Kimura, 1977), so that they accumulate at an approximately constant rate and hence serve as a proxy for the time since duplication of paralogous genes (Li, 1997). However, genes are most frequently lost after duplication, resulting in an L-shaped pattern corresponding to many recently duplicated genes that exponentially decay over time with only few genes surviving across large evolutionary time spans (Lynch and Conery, 2000, 2003). Since a large-scale duplication event such as a WGD results in the birth of a large set of genes that are created contemporarily, they are recognizable as peaks superimposed upon this L-shaped small-scale duplication (SSD) distribution (Blanc and Wolfe, 2004). To provide evidence that the large peak present in the *E. giganteum* age distribution corresponds to a bona fide WGD feature rather than stochastic variation on the background SSD distribution, we employed mixture modeling to separate the contribution of
log-transformed SSD background exponential and WGD Gaussian functions to the overall age distribution (Schlueter et al., 2004; Cui et al., 2006). We limited this approach to a maximum $K_S$ of 2, as after this boundary evolutionary models used in $K_S$ estimation are prone to $K_S$ saturation and stochasticity effects that misguide mixture modeling (Vanneste et al., 2013). Results are presented in Figure 1 and Table 1. A Gaussian function is fitted to a putative WGD feature with its peak located at a $K_S$ of 0.81, but several other smaller Gaussian functions are also fitted to the age distribution.

Stop criteria such as BIC (Schwarz, 1978) used in identifying the optimal number of components in the mixture are prone to overfitting (Naik et al., 2007), so that small stochastic deviations in the background SSD distribution are also often fitted (Vekemans et al., 2012). We therefore used the SiZer package (Chaudhuri and Marron, 1999) that searches for significant changes in the first derivative of a distribution in conjunction with our mixture modeling approach, as this technique allows a more robust evaluation of whether a fitted Gaussian component truly corresponds to a WGD signature (Barker et al., 2008). SiZer identified an unambiguous change in the age distribution at the location of the Gaussian function that was fitted to the large peak located at a $K_S$ of 0.81 and therefore confirmed this to be a bona fide WGD signature.

Regulatory and Developmental Genes Are Overrepresented in the Set of Homoeologs

We performed functional annotation of the *E. giganteum* transcriptome by employing Blast2GO to assign Gene Ontology (GO) terms to genes (Conesa et al., 2005) and then employed BINGO (Maere et al., 2005b) to investigate whether particular GO terms were significantly overrepresented in homoeologs compared with the remainder of the gene space. Results for GO-slim terms (that provide a higher level overview of individual GO terms and therefore allow a broad overview of all of the top GO categories) are presented on Figure 2, while full results are presented in Supplemental Data Set 1. In total, 15 GO-slim labels showed enrichment. These included many genes in categories such as regulation of biological process, growth, and ribosome and signal transduction, typical for regulatory and developmental genes that are often highly connected and present in macromolecular complexes and/or stoichiometric pathways, which have frequently been observed to be overrepresented and retained long after the WGD event itself in several angiosperm and metazoan genomes (Hakes et al., 2007; Freeling, 2009; Bekaert et al., 2011; Rodgers-Melnick et al., 2012). This is confirmed by the more extensive list of full GO terms that are found to be overrepresented in the homoeologs, where again many genes with roles that can be associated with plant regulation and development are found (Supplemental Data Set 1). This pattern of biased gene retention for certain functional classes of genes following WGD in horsetails therefore appears to be similar to what is found in angiosperms.

However, we also observed that a large proportion of the enriched categories included those related to abiotic stress response and nutrient metabolism, including detection of (endogenous and abiotic) stimulus, response to abiotic stimulus, response to (osmotic) stress, response to (organic and inorganic) substance, hyperosmotic response, response to metal ions, chemicals, and nutrients, regulation of phosphorus and phosphate metabolism, and cellular cation homeostasis. Characteristic traits of horsetails include physiological adaptations to nutrient- and oxygen-poor waterlogged soils, where they accumulate disproportionately high amounts of calcium, phosphorus, and potassium, thus playing a keystone role by contributing to the productivity of the total
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**Figure 1.** The $K_S$-Based Age Distribution of the *E. giganteum* Transcriptome Provides Support for a Paleopolyploidy Event.

(A) The full $K_S$-based age distribution of the paranome is presented. The $x$ axis shows the synonymous distance until a $K_S$ cutoff of 5 in bins of 0.1, while the $y$ axis shows the number of retained duplicated paralogous gene pairs. Note that the $y$ axis is broken because the first bin contains a very high number of recent duplicates.

(B) A subset of (A) is shown with $K_S$ values < 2 in bins of 0.04, containing the $K_S$ values that were used for mixture modeling (excluding those with a $K_S$ < 0.1). Note that the $y$ axis has a different scale compared with (A) because of the different bin size. The component of the Gaussian mixture model as identified by EMMIX (McLachlan et al., 1999), which corresponds to a significant WGD feature based on SiZer analysis (Chaudhuri and Marron, 1999), is plotted on the age distribution in red, while other components are colored in black. An ancient WGD is identified with its peak centered around a $K_S$ of 0.81 (see Table 1).

(C) SiZer output. The transition from the blue to the red color at a $K_S$ of 0.81 indicates a significant bump in the distribution.
Phylogenomic Dating of the Paleopolyploidy in *E. giganteum*

We used a phylogenomics dating pipeline to obtain an absolute estimate for the age of the WGD in *E. giganteum*. Our approach is based on a broad taxonomic sampling that includes sequence information from in total 36 angiosperm genomes (Supplemental Figure 1), uses a relaxed clock model that assumes a lognormal distribution on evolutionary rates that should better deal with rate shifts between different branches when taxon sampling is limited (Smith et al., 2010), and allows the implementation of calibrations as lognormal distributions (Supplemental Methods) that represent the error associated with fossil calibration in a more intuitive manner (Forest, 2009). We obtained an age estimate of 92.42 mya for the paleopolyploidy with a lower and higher 90% confidence interval of 75.16 and 112.53 mya, respectively (Figure 3). This is a particularly large confidence interval due to the diffuseness of the resulting absolute age distribution, especially compared with similar WGD age estimates within the angiosperms where such confidence intervals are typically much smaller (Vanneste et al., 2014a).

This large error on the WGD age estimate is most likely due to the isolated long branch leading to the node joining the two *E. giganteum* paralogs representing the WGD in each orthogroup. Because we were not able to obtain a very reliable WGD age estimate for the *E. giganteum* paleopolyploidy through phylogenomic dating, we revisited the use of its *K*<sub>S</sub> distribution. There are a number of caveats in using *K*<sub>S</sub> values to obtain age estimates for WGDs (see Discussion). Nevertheless, careful absolute dating of WGDs has revealed that unambiguous WGD signature peaks in *K*<sub>S</sub>-based age distributions between *K*<sub>S</sub> values of 0.6 and 1.1 usually correspond with a WGD that has occurred somewhere between 50 and 70 mya (Vanneste et al., 2014a). This large error on the WGD age estimate is most likely due to the isolated long branch leading to the node joining the two *E. giganteum* paralogs representing the WGD in each orthogroup. Because we were not able to obtain a very reliable WGD age estimate for the *E. giganteum* paleopolyploidy through phylogenomic dating, we revisited the use of its *K*<sub>S</sub> distribution. There are a number of caveats in using *K*<sub>S</sub> values to obtain age estimates for WGDs (see Discussion). Nevertheless, careful absolute dating of WGDs has revealed that unambiguous WGD signature peaks in *K*<sub>S</sub>-based age distributions between *K*<sub>S</sub> values of 0.6 and 1.1 usually correspond with a WGD that has occurred somewhere between 50 and 70 mya (Vanneste et al., 2014a). This large error on the WGD age estimate is most likely due to the isolated long branch leading to the node joining the two *E. giganteum* paralogs representing the WGD in each orthogroup. Because we were not able to obtain a very reliable WGD age estimate for the *E. giganteum* paleopolyploidy through phylogenomic dating, we revisited the use of its *K*<sub>S</sub> distribution. There are a number of caveats in using *K*<sub>S</sub> values to obtain age estimates for WGDs (see Discussion). Nevertheless, careful absolute dating of WGDs has revealed that unambiguous WGD signature peaks in *K*<sub>S</sub>-based age distributions between *K*<sub>S</sub> values of 0.6 and 1.1 usually correspond with a WGD that has occurred somewhere between 50 and 70 mya (Vanneste et al., 2014a). This large error on the WGD age estimate is most likely due to the isolated long branch leading to the node joining the two *E. giganteum* paralogs representing the WGD in each orthogroup. Because we were not able to obtain a very reliable WGD age estimate for the *E. giganteum* paleopolyploidy through phylogenomic dating, we revisited the use of its *K*<sub>S</sub> distribution. There are a number of caveats in using *K*<sub>S</sub> values to obtain age estimates for WGDs (see Discussion). Nevertheless, careful absolute dating of WGDs has revealed that unambiguous WGD signature peaks in *K*<sub>S</sub>-based age distributions between *K*<sub>S</sub> values of 0.6 and 1.1 usually correspond with a WGD that has occurred somewhere between 50 and 70 mya (Vanneste et al., 2014a). This large error on the WGD age estimate is most likely due to the isolated long branch leading to the node joining the two *E. giganteum* paralogs representing the WGD in each orthogroup. Because we were not able to obtain a very reliable WGD age estimate for the *E. giganteum* paleopolyploidy through phylogenomic dating, we revisited the use of its *K*<sub>S</sub> distribution. There are a number of caveats in using *K*<sub>S</sub> values to obtain age estimates for WGDs (see Discussion). Nevertheless, careful absolute dating of WGDs has revealed that unambiguous WGD signature peaks in *K*<sub>S</sub>-based age distributions between *K*<sub>S</sub> values of 0.6 and 1.1 usually correspond with a WGD that has occurred somewhere between 50 and 70 mya (Vanneste et al., 2014a). This large error on the WGD age estimate is most likely due to the isolated long branch leading to the node joining the two *E. giganteum* paralogs representing the WGD in each orthogroup. Because we were not able to obtain a very reliable WGD age estimate for the *E. giganteum* paleopolyploidy through phylogenomic dating, we revisited the use of its *K*<sub>S</sub> distribution. There are a number of caveats in using *K*<sub>S</sub> values to obtain age estimates for WGDs (see Discussion). Nevertheless, careful absolute dating of WGDs has revealed that unambiguous WGD signature peaks in *K*<sub>S</sub>-based age distributions between *K*<sub>S</sub> values of 0.6 and 1.1 usually correspond with a WGD that has occurred somewhere between 50 and 70 mya (Vanneste et al., 2014a). This large error on the WGD age estimate is most likely due to the isolated long branch leading to the node joining the two *E. giganteum* paralogs representing the WGD in each orthogroup. Because we were not able to obtain a very reliable WGD age estimate for the *E. giganteum* paleopolyploidy through phylogenomic dating, we revisited the use of its *K*<sub>S</sub> distribution. There are a number of caveats in using *K*<sub>S</sub> values to obtain age estimates for WGDs (see Discussion). Nevertheless, careful absolute dating of WGDs has revealed that unambiguous WGD signature peaks in *K*<sub>S</sub>-based age distributions between *K*<sub>S</sub> values of 0.6 and 1.1 usually correspond with a WGD that has occurred somewhere between 50 and 70 mya (Vanneste et al., 2014a). This large error on the WGD age estimate is most likely due to the isolated long branch leading to the node joining the two *E. giganteum* paralogs representing the WGD in each orthogroup.

"Figure 3. Genes Generated by the Paleopolyploidy Are Enriched for GO Terms with Roles in Plant Regulation and Development.

The bar plot shows the number of genes on the x-axis (note the logarithmic scale) and the GO-slim categories that were found to be over-represented in homoeologs on the y-axis. Many GO-slim categories are related to plant regulation and development, indicating that such genes have preferentially been retained after the WGD. See also Supplemental Data Set 1."
DISCUSSION

The *E. giganteum* Transcriptome Confirms That High Chromosome Counts Did Not Evolve through Repeated Rounds of (Recent) Polyploidy

Here, we present unambiguous evidence for a paleopolyploidy event within the horsetails based on a large-scale transcriptome assembly generated by next-generation sequencing of *E. giganteum*. Although transcriptome data cover only genes that are actively being transcribed, these are ideally suited for exploratory analysis of the gene space (Matasci et al., 2014), and (partial) EST-based gene catalogs have been used extensively in the past for the discovery of WGD features in Ks-based age distributions (Blanc and Wolfe, 2004; Schlueter et al., 2004; Sterck et al., 2005; Cui et al., 2006). Our assembly contained more than 90% of core eukaryotic genes (Parra et al., 2007), which suggests it is complete enough to rely on the use of mixture modeling techniques to identify WGD signature peaks before a Ks threshold of 2.0 where Ks saturation and stochasticity can become problematic (Vanneste et al., 2013).

Despite the high chromosome count of *E. giganteum* (*n* = 108; Leitch and Leitch, 2013), recent polyploidizations were not detected and therefore could not have contributed to this high number. This affirms what had been observed already before based on the analysis of gel electrophoresis banding patterns (Haufler and Soltis, 1986; Haufler, 1987), namely, that high chromosome counts in homosporous ferns did not evolve by repeated rounds of recent polyploidy, at least not within the horsetails. However, this does leave open the question whether the observed chromosome count is the result of a high chromosome number in the ancestor of both ferns and seed plants (Soltis and Soltis, 1987), or rather the result of the paleopolyploidy in *E. giganteum* coupled with a slow loss of genetic material (Haufler, 1987).

It is important to note that a putative paleopolyploidy has also been reported in the ancestor of the polypod fern lineage, estimated to be ~180 million years old, based on a WGD signature peak found in a Ks-based age distribution of duplicate pairs in partial next-generation sequencing data sets of *Ceratopteris richardii* and *Adiantum capillus-veneris* (Barker, 2009; Barker and Wolf, 2010). The latter was interpreted in favor of ferns having undergone a limited set of paleopolyploidies that resulted in high chromosome counts because fern genomes have retained more genetic material from these rare events compared with angiosperms (Barker, 2013). The low gene density of active genes in some fern genomes (Rabinowicz et al., 2005) and their strong correlation between genome size and chromosome number...
(Nakazato et al., 2008) support that gene silencing is not accompanied with gene loss in ferns (Haufler, 2014). Our results for horsetails mirror these from the polypods in so far that both fern clades underwent a separate paleopolyploidy and are characterized by high chromosome counts. However, it remains to be determined whether the high chromosome count in horsetails is the result of slow loss of genetic material generated by the paleopolyploidy or whether the ancestor of horsetails already possessed a high chromosome number. It appears unlikely that a single paleopolyploidy could have resulted in a chromosome count of \( n = 108 \) if the ancestor of ferns and seed plants had a low chromosome number, requiring alternative explanations to resolve this phenomenon. Older paleopolyploidyies could be hidden in the tail of the age distribution, masked by \( K_S \) saturation and stochasticity effects coupled with ongoing gene loss (Vanneste et al., 2013). The high chromosome count of horsetails could then indeed be caused by a few paleopolyploidyies of which a large fraction of genetic material has been retained (Haufler, 1987). Alternatively, the ancestor of all vascular plants could have exhibited a relatively high chromosome number (Soltis and Soltis, 1987) so that a single paleopolyploidy could have resulted in the very high chromosome number in horsetails. We emphasize that both theories are not mutually exclusive.

**Figure 4.** Pruned Tree Topology and \( K_S \) Age Distributions for the Green Land Plants.

The left panel presents the topology of a few representative key plant species: *C. arietinum* (chickpea), *Arabidopsis* (thale cress), *Solanum lycopersicum* (tomato), *L. sativa* (lettuce), *B. distachyon* (purple false brome), *O. sativa* (rice), *S. bicolor* (sorghum), *M. acuminata* (banana), *P. equestris* (orchid), *E. giganteum* (giant horsetail), and *P. patens* (moss). Genome duplications are indicated by colored boxes. Ages for the WGDs are taken from Vanneste et al. (2014a). Red boxes indicate ages of WGDs obtained through absolute dating. Black boxes indicate ages of WGDs obtained from literature. The orange box represents the WGD for *E. giganteum*, as inferred in this study, while the triangle denotes the divergence of the different horsetail crown group species in the Early Cenozoic (Des Marais et al., 2003). The right panel presents \( K_S \)-based age distributions for all species included in the tree, with the exception of the distribution for *E. giganteum*, which is presented in more detail on Figure 1. Age distributions were constructed as described in Methods, while sequence data for these species were taken from Vanneste et al. (2014a). The \( x \) axis always shows the synonymous distance until a \( K_S \) cutoff of 3 in bins of 0.1, while the \( y \) axis shows the number of retained duplicated paralogous gene pairs. The red dotted lines indicate \( K_S \) boundaries of 0.6 and 1.1 on all individual age distributions.
Untangling these events will most likely remain very challenging, even as full genome sequence information becomes available in the future for other horsetails and their nearest relatives. A powerful integrated synteny and phylogenomic approach has recently been used to demonstrate the occurrence of a shared WGD among the monocots that was too old to be detected within any single monocot genome (Jiao et al., 2014). However, because all extant horsetails diverged relatively recently in the Early Cenozoic and their nearest extant neighbors diverged ~354 mya ago, close to the origin of the vascular plants (Schneider et al., 2004), almost the entire history of the horsetails is contained within one long branch spanning ~300 million years for which no other species are available that could facilitate either syntenic or phylogenomic approaches.

Phylogenomic Dating Places the Paleopolyploidy Somewhere in the Late Cretaceous

Using a powerful phylogenomic approach (Vanneste et al., 2014a), we dated the paleopolyploidy event at ~92 mya, in the Late Cretaceous. However, this date should be handled with caution for several reasons. Dating of long isolated branches has generally proven difficult for other species such as eucalyptus (Eucalyptus grandis; Myburg et al., 2014) and orchid (Phalaenopsis equestris; Cai et al., 2015), where their more isolated position typically results in a larger uncertainty of the eventual estimate. This effect appears particularly pronounced here as evidenced by the almost 50% of orthogroups that failed to converge (see Methods) and the relatively large 90% confidence interval between 75.16 and 112.53 mya (Figure 3). As mentioned before, this is most likely due to the fact that the entire branch carrying the node joining the homoeologs is extremely long so that it most likely encompasses a vast number of combinations of rate and time that are notoriously difficult to disentangle (Magallon, 2010).

Although some future advances still can be attained by the development of novel uncorrelated relaxed clock models that are better equipped to deal with rate shifts and long isolated branches (Li and Drummond, 2012; Baele et al., 2013), due to the lack of any near outgroup species, dating the paleopolyploidy will also remain very difficult to resolve even when full genome sequence information for other horsetail species and their nearest neighbors becomes available. We therefore revisited the use of $K_S$-based age distributions for inferring absolute dates. Converting $K_S$ values to absolute ages can be problematic because it is heavily dependent on the assumption of a strict molecular clock and the employed rate of synonymous substitutions per give time period, which can lead to drastically different estimates (Fawcett et al., 2009). However, Figure 4 demonstrates that there is now sufficient evidence through absolute dating that, in the absence of any severe rate accelerations or decelerations, a WGD signature peak $K_S$ value between 0.6 and 1.1 corresponds to a WGD that took place somewhere between 50 and 70 mya (Vanneste et al., 2014a). Whether this also applies to Equisetum depends on whether this genus experienced any strong rate shifts in the last ~100 million years that could displace its WGD signature peak at lower or higher $K_S$ values. Unfortunately, to the best of our knowledge, no such information is available. However, evolutionary rates seem to be correlated strongly with some life history traits such as generation time. Faster and slower generating species generally exhibit faster and slower evolutionary rates, both in flowering plants (Smith and Donoghue, 2008) and in leptosporangiate ferns (Korall et al., 2010; Zhong et al., 2014). Since E. giganteum is neither a small, fast-growing, and reproducing weed nor possesses any arborescence, which typically is associated with rate accelerations and decelerations, respectively, its WGD signature peak location at a $K_S$ of 0.81 would suggest an alternative estimate for the paleopolyploidy somewhere between 50 and 70 mya. Because fossil evidence indicates that horsetails have an extended history dating back to the Late Jurassic, in which they experienced little evolutionary change, their associated evolutionary rate in the last ~100 million years most likely also has been relatively stable. The alternative date of 50 to 70 mya for the WGD in E. giganteum is critically dependent on the assumption that horsetails experienced average substitution rates similar to angiosperms, to which it is worth mentioning that at least some clades of polypod ferns do not seem to conform, as they experienced an elevated rate of mutation compared with angiosperms (Rothfels and Schuettpelz, 2014).

In conclusion, the paleopolyploidy that horsetails experienced most likely took place somewhere in the Late Cretaceous between 100 and 66 mya. Although we acknowledge that this presents a broad distribution, we stress that resolving this will remain a particularly challenging task even if additional sequence data is obtained, due to the long and isolated branch of this lineage. Absolute molecular dating places the paleopolyploidy at ~92 mya, but has great difficulty in separating the contribution of rate and time in this single branch than spans almost ~300 million years. Interpolating $K_S$-based age distributions places the paleopolyploidy in association with the K-Pg boundary, as for many other angiosperms and the moss P. patens, but hinges heavily on the assumption that horsetails share similar evolutionary rates with angiosperms.

The Paleopolyploidy May Have Contributed to the Long-Term Survival and Evolutionary Success of Horsetails

The discovery of a WGD within the horsetails helps to shed some light on the debate concerning the evolutionary importance of polyploidy. Although the prevalence of WGD within the angiosperms is well established and the discussion has now shifted toward which degree of importance can be attributed to WGDs in the light of evolutionary success (Van de Peer et al., 2009; Bekker et al., 2011; Mayrose et al., 2011, 2015; Amigo and Barker, 2012; Soltis et al., 2014), both the prevalence and evolutionary consequences of WGDs remain largely unexplored in nonangiosperm plant clades (Soltis and Soltis, 2013). Here, we demonstrated that a paleopolyploidy event has also occurred within the horsetails. In combination with suggested evidence for a WGD in the polypod ferns (Barker, 2009; Barker and Wolf, 2010) and the moss P. patens (Rensing et al., 2008), this would indicate that (paleo)polyploidy, despite not being responsible for the high chromosome counts in some homosporous fern lineages, might be a widespread phenomenon within the land plants that is not limited to just the angiosperms.

However, elucidating the importance of WGD for evolutionary success in the horsetails and ferns in general remains problematic. Although estimates of polyploid speciation within ferns range from 7% (Otto and Whitton, 2000) to 31.37% (Wood et al., 2009), no link
between polyploidy and species richness has been found (Wood et al., 2009). Consisting of only 15 species, the horsetails are not a particularly successful example in terms of species richness. However, there are more ways to measure evolutionary success than just species numbers. The evolutionary history of horsetails as perhaps the oldest extant vascular plant lineage that has retained multiple ancestral features despite having survived past multiple geological times scales and extinction events (Husby, 2013) is of particular interest in light of the paleopolyploidy they experienced. Although the exact timing of this event remains to be determined (see previous section), its position toward the end of the Mesozoic in the Late Cretaceous coincides with a very turbulent period for ferns as a transition phase between the Paleozoic and Cenozoic, during which they had to surrender their title of most dominant plant life form to the angiosperms (DiMichele and Phillips, 2002). In response, many fern lineages underwent radiations during the Cretaceous as an ecological opportunistic reaction to the rise of angiosperms by exploiting and diversifying in novel generated niches such as forest floors and canopies (Schneider et al., 2004; Schuettpelz and Pryer, 2009), which indicates that the survival and success of ferns at that time most likely was determined by their capacity to adapt quickly to changing ecological and environmental conditions. Similarly, the K-Pg mass extinction event at the end of the Mesozoic represented a very drastic challenge for ferns and angiosperms alike (Rothe and Muller, 2005; Vajda and McLoughlin, 2007).

The ancestors of horsetails, the Sphenophyta, which included both herbaceous and arborescent forms, were successful and dominant components of fern vegetation during the Paleozoic, but they became less diverse and increasingly limited to their herbaceous forms during the Mesozoic by specializing in colonizing disturbed and moist habitats (Husby, 2013). The placement of the paleopolyploidy during the Late Cretaceous, where a quick response to both the rise of the angiosperms and the K-Pg extinction event was required to survive, therefore opens up the intriguing possibility that WGD contributed toward the survival of the horsetails during this period. Although fossil data indicate that the morphology of horsetails has remained remarkably stable since the Jurassic (Channing et al., 2011) and possibly even the Triassic (Hauke, 1963), essential changes in plant physiology that allowed survival during this turbulent period are not necessarily captured in the fossil record. Functional enrichment analysis of homoeologs generated by the WGD unveiled that in particular many genes with roles in plant regulation and development, as well as abiotic stress response and nutrient uptake, have been retained (Figure 2; Supplemental Data Set 1). We speculate that duplicated genes with functions that aid in adaptation to moist and disturbed habitats may have been generated by this event, allowing horsetails to specialize their physiology despite their overall remarkably stable morphology.

METHODS

Next-Generation Sequencing and de Novo Assembly of the *Equisetum giganteum* Transcriptome

Tissues were collected from the stem, leaf, and strobili (the sporangium-bearing organs) of *E. giganteum*. Biological material was obtained with permission from the botanical collections of the University of Pretoria. RNA was extracted using a modified CTAB protocol as described previously (Chang et al., 1993). Illumina library preparation and RNA-sequencing were performed as described by Mizrachi et al. (2010). Approximately 220 million reads (paired-end, 40–40 bases) were assembled using Velvet (Zerbino and Birney, 2008), followed by Oases using a k-mer of 41 (Schulz et al., 2012), which resulted in 34,282 transcripts. TransDecoder was subsequently employed (Haas et al., 2013), using the Pfam search option and a minimal length of 99 amino acids, resulting in a set of 25,763 open reading frames that were used as our gene catalog.

We used CEGMA (v2.5) (Parra et al., 2007) to assess the completeness of our transcriptome assembly. This software identifies the presence of a set of core genes that are highly conserved and expected to be present in all eukaryotic species by comparing the assembly with a set of 248 precomputed core eukaryotic genes. Since the latter are housekeeping genes expected to be basally expressed, they can be used to assess the completeness of the gene space in our transcriptome assembly.

Construction of the *E. giganteum* $K_s$ Age Distribution

The $K_s$-based age distribution of *E. giganteum* was constructed as described previously (Vanneste et al., 2013). In summary, the paramore was built by running an all-against-all BLASTP search of all genes with an E-value cutoff of $10^{-10}$, after which gene families were built with the mclblastline pipeline (v3.8.31) (Edgar, 2004), and $K_s$ estimates for all pairwise comparisons within a gene family were obtained through maximum likelihood estimation using the CODEML program (Goldman and Yang, 1994) of the PAML package (v4.4c) (Yang, 2007). Gene families were then divided into subfamilies for which $K_s$ estimates between members did not exceed a value of 5. To correct for the redundancy of $K_s$ values (a gene family of $n$ members produces $n(n-1)/2$ pairwise $K_s$ estimates for $n-1$ retained duplication events), each subfamily an amino acid phylogenetic tree was constructed using PhyML (Guindon et al., 2010) under default settings. For each duplication node in the resulting phylogenetic tree, all $m$ $K_s$ estimates between the two child clades were added to the $K_s$ distribution with a weight $1/m$, so that the weights of all $K_s$ estimates for a single duplication event sum up to one.

Mixture Modeling

The *E. giganteum* transcriptome displays a prominent peak in its age distribution between a $K_s$ of 0.6 and 1.2. This feature most likely corresponds to a WGD in the evolutionary past of this species because WGDs result in peaks of contemporarily created genes that are recognizable as a peak superimposed on an exponential L-shaped decay distribution of paralogous genes created by SSDs (Blanc and Wolfe, 2004), so that the compounded distribution consists of both log-transformed SSD exponential functions and WGD Gaussian functions (Schlueter et al., 2004). We employed mixture modeling to confirm this WGD signature using the EMMIX software (McLachlan et al., 1999) to fit a mixture model of Gaussian distributions to the log-transformed $K_s$ distribution of the *E. giganteum* transcriptome. All observations $0.1 K_s$ were excluded for analysis to avoid the incorporation of allelic and/or splice variants and to prevent the fitting of a component to infinity (Schlueter et al., 2004), while all observations $0.2 K_s$ were removed because $K_s$ saturation and stochasticity can mislead mixture modeling above this range (Vanneste et al., 2013). One to five components per mixture model were fitted increasingly to the data, using 1000 random and 100 k-mean starts. The Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC; Schwarz, 1978), which strongly penalizes increases in the number of model parameters to avoid overfitting, was used to select the best number of components. The mean and variance of each component were back-transformed afterwards. BIC selected the maximum number of allowed components, hinting that the usage of the BIC model selection criterion still...
results in overfitting of components (Naik et al., 2007), as also observed before (Vekemans et al., 2012). We therefore employed the SiZer software (Chaudhuri and Marron, 1999) to help identify significant features ($\alpha = 0.05$) in the age distribution. This software searches for changes in the first derivative of a range of kernel density estimates with different smoothing bandwidths to distinguish peaks in the distribution that represent true features from those that represent noise. Components of the mixture model corresponding to significant features as identified by SiZer were then considered as bona fide WGD signature peaks.

**Functional Enrichment**

We performed functional enrichment analysis to gain insight into the functionality of novel genes generated by the WGD (i.e., homoeologs). To assign homoeologs, we extracted duplicate pairs lying under the WGD signature peak in the age distribution between a $K_g$ of 0.6 and 1.2. Although duplicate pairs lying under this peak can also have been generated by SSDs that occurred during the same time frame, mechanistic modeling approaches have demonstrated previously that their majority consists of homoeologs (Maere et al., 2005a). $K_g$ saturation effects are expected to be absent in this $K_g$ range, but $K_g$ variation can already be problematic for individual duplicate pairs (Vanneste et al., 2013). To increase the robustness of our results, rather than accepting all duplicate pairs lying under the WGD peak, we therefore scored each duplication event separately based on the phylogenetic trees built previously for each (sub)family. The median $K_g$ was calculated for each individual node in all trees based on all possible $K_g$ comparisons between its terminals. Nodes with less than three possible $K_g$ comparisons were not considered. Nodes with a median $K_g$ between 0.6 and 1.2 were considered as WGD nodes, and their terminals were assigned as homoeologs. In total, 975 homoeologs were collected. The node joining the two plant clades. In total, 145 orthogroups based on peak-based duplicates passed (Vanneste et al., 2014a). We therefore manually evaluated the trace files of all orthogroups with Tracer (v1.5) (Drummond et al., 2012) that allows visual exploration of the behavior of the Markov chain Monte Carlo. This inspection indicated that a substantial fraction of orthogroups did not pass our stringent criterion of ESS > 200 for all statistics because the trace for the WGD node did not converge properly and resulted in a very diffuse and skewed distribution with in particular very long upper tails (Supplemental Figure 2), most likely due to the lack of a proper upper bound on this very long and isolated branch. Visual inspection indicated that this was also an issue in orthogroups that passed our stringent criterion, although to a much lesser extent. To cope with this issue and to ensure that the best WGD age estimate was always taken for each accepted orthogroup, rather than taking the LogAnalyzer estimate that is based on the mean of the resulting distribution for orthogroups where all statistics had an ESS > 200, we used the peak of this distribution as the best estimate for the age of the WGD node using the lognfit function in Matlab (MATLAB R2011a; The MathWorks), since unbounded distributions of such estimates have been demonstrated to follow a log-normal distribution (Morrison, 2008). The WGD age estimates obtained from all these orthogroups were then grouped into one absolute age distribution, for which we employed the KDE toolbox for Matlab (available at http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/17204-kernel-density-estimation; retrieved March 21, 2013) to find the density estimate that best described the overall absolute age distribution (Botet et al., 2010) by taking its mode as the best WGD age estimate for the E. giganteum WGD and using a bootstrapping procedure (Hall and Kang, 2001) to obtain 90% confidence intervals on the WGD age estimate.
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