Phosphorylation of the Plant Immune Regulator RPM1-INTERACTING PROTEIN4 Enhances Plant Plasma Membrane H+-ATPase Activity and Inhibits Flagellin-Triggered Immune Responses in Arabidopsis
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The Pseudomonas syringae effector AvrB targets multiple host proteins during infection, including the plant immune regulator RPM1-INTERACTING PROTEIN4 (RIN4) and RPM1-INDUCED PROTEIN KINASE (RIPK). In the presence of AvrB, RIPK phosphorylates RIN4 at Thr-21, Ser-160, and Thr-166, leading to activation of the immune receptor RPM1. Here, we investigated the role of RIN4 phosphorylation in susceptible Arabidopsis thaliana genotypes. Using circular dichroism spectroscopy, we show that RIN4 is a disordered protein and phosphorylation affects protein flexibility. RIN4 T21D/S160D/T166D phosphomimetic mutants exhibited enhanced disease susceptibility upon surface inoculation with P. syringae, wider stomatal apertures, and enhanced plasma membrane H+-ATPase activity. The plasma membrane H+-ATPase AHA1 is highly expressed in guard cells, and its activation can induce stomatal opening. The ripk knockout also exhibited a strong defect in pathogen-induced stomatal opening. The basal level of RIN4 Thr-166 phosphorylation decreased in response to immune perception of bacterial flagellin. RIN4 Thr166D lines exhibited reduced flagellin-triggered immune responses. Flagellin perception did not lower RIN4 Thr-166 phosphorylation in the presence of strong ectopic expression of AvrB. Taken together, these results indicate that the AvrB effector targets RIN4 in order to enhance pathogen entry on the leaf surface as well as dampen responses to conserved microbial features.

INTRODUCTION

Plant cells have the ability to recognize pathogen-derived molecules or proteins and mount a successful defense response through their innate immune system (Spoel and Dong, 2012). Germ-line-encoded plant immune receptors, often with extracellular domains, recognize conserved microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs or PAMPs) and activate pattern-triggered immunity (PTI) (Spoel and Dong, 2012). Activation of PTI leads to effective defense responses, including mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and calcium-dependent protein kinase signaling, leading to transcriptional reprogramming, production of extracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS), and cell wall fortification in the form of callose deposition (Henry et al., 2013). Activation of PTI is thought to inhibit most potential pathogens, but specialized pathogens can deliver apoplastic or intracellular effectors to disable PTI in susceptible plant genotypes. The second layer of the plant immune system relies primarily on intracellular receptors recognizing pathogen effectors delivered into host cells during infection, resulting in effector-triggered immunity (ETI). Most plant ETI receptors possess central nucleotide binding and C-terminal leucine-rich repeat (NLR) domains. Recent research has highlighted differences in perception and localization across NLRs. Certain NLRs require dynamic nuclear relocalization for function (Slootweg et al., 2010), some function outside of the nucleus (Gao et al., 2011), some function as pairs to recognize diverse pathogens (Narusaka et al., 2009), and some require downstream “helper” NLRs for full immunity (Bonardi et al., 2011). Despite these differences, there are commonalities in classical ETI-related phenotypes. Common cellular changes during ETI also include sustained ROS production, Ca2+ signaling, transcriptional reprogramming of the host cell toward defense, and a form of programmed cell death at the site of infection, called the hypersensitive response (Henry et al., 2013).

The bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv tomato (Pto) and the interaction with its hosts tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) and Arabidopsis thaliana have been intensely studied, and this has significantly enhanced our understanding of plant immunity and microbial pathogenesis (Xin and He, 2013). Plant pathogenic bacteria can deliver 20 to 40 effector proteins into host cells using the type III secretion system. Pto strain DC3000 delivers ~28 effectors into host cells during infection, many of which are capable of suppressing PTI (Lindeberg et al., 2012). Despite the number of different pathogen effectors from diverse microorganisms, large-scale analyses of effector targets have revealed that effectors do not randomly target host proteins, but rather converge upon a limited set of host targets representing key nodes in immune signaling (Mukhtar et al., 2011). For...
example, multiple effectors target PTI receptors, downstream MAPKs, and vesicle trafficking of antimicrobial compounds to the point of pathogen attack (Lindeberg et al., 2012).

The Arabidopsis protein RIN4 (RPM1-INTERACTING PROTEIN4) is targeted by multiple bacterial effectors, including HopF2, AvrPto, AvrRpt2, AvrB, and AvrRpm1 (Mackey et al., 2002, 2003; Axtell and Staskawicz, 2003; Luo et al., 2009; Wilton et al., 2010). RIN4 is widely conserved across land plants and has been demonstrated to be an important regulator of NLR signaling in Arabidopsis, tomato, soybean (Glycine max), and lettuce (Lactuca sativa) (Axtell and Staskawicz, 2003; Mackey et al., 2003; Jeuken et al., 2009; Luo et al., 2009; Selote and Kachroo, 2010). RIN4 is a key accessory protein that associates with the plasma membrane localized NLRs, RPM1 (RESISTANCE TO PSEUDOMONAS SYRINGAE PV MACULICOLA1), and RPS2 (RESISTANCE TO PSEUDOMONAS SYRINGAE PV TOMATO2) (Mackey et al., 2002, 2003; Axtell and Staskawicz, 2003). The RPS2 immune receptor recognizes the absence of RIN4 induced by the Pto effector AvrRpt2, a protease (Axtell and Staskawicz, 2003; Mackey et al., 2003). Therefore, the rin4 knockout (KO) is only viable in the rps2-101c mutant background (Axtell and Staskawicz, 2003). The RPM1 immune receptor recognizes the presence of the P. syringae effectors AvrB and AvrRpm1 (Mackey et al., 2002). Although both effectors induce RIN4 phosphorylation in planta, they are unable to directly phosphorylate RIN4. RPM1-INDUCED PROTEIN KINASE (RIPK), a receptor-like cytoplasmic kinase, phosphorylates RIN4 at three residues: Thr-21, Ser-160, and Thr-166 (Liu et al., 2011). RIN4 phosphorylation mimics exhibit constitutive activation of RPM1-mediated defense responses, Thr-166 phosphorylation is induced by AvrB/AvrRpm1, and RIN4 Thr-166 phosphorylation mimics are sufficient to activate RPM1 in the absence of pathogen effectors (Chung et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011). Recently, the cyclolinophilin ROC1 has been demonstrated to isomerize RIN4 Pro-149 and has been implicated in the activation of RPM1 (Li et al., 2014b). RIN4 Thr-166 phosphorylation inhibits the ROC1-RIN4 association, and RIN4 ΔP149 is also sufficient to activate RPM1-mediated responses (Li et al., 2014b).

The Arabidopsis rin4 knockout also exhibits enhanced PTI responses, with lower bacterial growth after spray inoculation and enhanced callose deposition upon flagellin treatment (Kim et al., 2005). The AvrB and AvrRpm1 effectors, which induce RIN4 phosphorylation, suppress multiple PTI based responses in susceptible genotypes (Kim et al., 2005; Chung et al., 2014). Recently, Chung et al. (2014) found that mimicking RIN4 Thr-166 phosphorylation suppressed PTI responses. RIN4 can be phosphorylated at multiple residues, including Ser-141, whose phosphorylation is induced during flagellin perception, enhances PTI responses, and is epistatic to Thr-166 phosphorylation (Nühse et al., 2007; Chung et al., 2014). Collectively, these experiments indicate that RIN4 is differentially phosphorylated, with distinct residues playing important roles in defense activation or suppression depending on the Arabidopsis genotype.

In addition to RIPK and the NLRs RPM1 and RPS2, purification of native RIN4 complexes has identified additional RIN4 associated proteins (Liu et al., 2009a, 2009b, 2011). RIN4 can directly interact with the C-terminal regulatory domain of AHA1 and AHA2, two closely related plasma membrane H^+-ATPases, and acts as a positive regulator of the proton pump. AHA activation initiates an electrochemical gradient driving the import of charged solutes, leading to water import and stomatal opening (Elmore and Coaker, 2011). Guard cells are active immune signaling cells and rapidly close upon sensing microbial patterns, such as bacterial flagellin, effectively blocking pathogen entry into the leaf interior (Melotto et al., 2006). Virulent pathogens are able to initiate stomatal reopening through a variety of different mechanisms (Elmore and Coaker, 2011). Pto uses coronatine, a jasmonic acid (JA) mimic, to induce stomatal opening (Melotto et al., 2006). The Pto effector HopM1 can also suppress stomatal immunity (Lozano-Durán et al., 2014). RIN4, AHA1/2, and multiple innate immune receptors are expressed in guard cells (Ueno et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2009b). The rin4 knockout line exhibits reduced PM H^+-ATPase activity and its stomata cannot be reopened by virulent P. syringae (Liu et al., 2009b).

In this study, we focus on the role of RIN4 phosphorylation in susceptible Arabidopsis genotypes. We demonstrate that RIN4 phosphorylation mimics exhibit enhanced flexibility, can interact more strongly with AHA1, enhance AHA activity, and exhibit wider basal stomatal apertures. The basal level of RIN4 Thr-166 phosphorylation decreases during PTI, and phosphorylation mimics exhibit reduced flagellin-triggered immune responses. Thus, RIN4 phosphorylation acts to promote P. syringae virulence in susceptible plant genotypes.

**RESULTS**

**RIN4 Is an Intrinsically Disordered Protein**

Despite the conservation of RIN4 in land plants and its importance in regulating plant innate immunity, RIN4 has no similarity to known enzymes and its specific biochemical function remains unknown. In order to gain insight into the predicted structure of RIN4, in silico predictions of secondary structure were determined. Using the Protein Disorder Prediction System (PrDOS) server, RIN4 was predicted to exhibit a high level of intrinsic disorder (Figures 1A and 1B) (Ishida and Kinoshita, 2007). Intrinsically disordered regions or proteins are flexible segments lacking secondary or tertiary structure (Dyson and Wright, 2005). Proteins exhibiting high levels of intrinsic disorder can transition to a more folded state upon client binding and can associate with multiple protein clients with both high specificity and low affinity (Dyson and Wright, 2005). The regions of RIN4 that are predicted to be ordered flank RIPK phosphorylation sites, sites targeted by effectors, and also include the C-terminal membrane targeting region (amino acids 14 to 28, 147 to 174, and 201 to 209), highlighting the importance of phosphorylation for RIN4 function (Figures 1A and 1B). In order to validate the intrinsic disorder prediction, recombinant His6-RIN4 was purified from *Escherichia coli* and subjected to circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy (Figure 1C). Consistent with the in silico prediction, full-length RIN4 circular dichroism spectra displayed a characteristic shape, including low ellipticity at 190 nm and strong negative ellipticity at 200 nm, consistent with an intrinsically disordered protein (Figure 1E).
Mimicking RIN4 phosphorylation by substituting the phosphorylated threonine (T) residue 166 to glutamic (E) or aspartic acid (D) is sufficient to activate RPM1-triggered immunity in planta (Chung et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011). These data indicate that phosphorylated RIN4 and phosphorylation mimics impart similar folds. To investigate the role of RIN4 phosphorylation in changing secondary structure, RIN4 T21/S160/T166 residues were substituted with aspartic acid (D) to generate a RIN4 phosphorylation mimic (RIN4 3D). Recombinant His6-RIN4 3D was purified from E. coli and subjected to CD spectroscopy (Figure 1C). Interestingly, RIN4 3D CD spectra exhibited a shifted shape and stronger magnitude around 200 nm compared with wild-type RIN4 (Figure 1E). A stronger magnitude at 200 nm is indicative of a more flexible structure (Greenfield, 2006). To determine if phosphorylated RIN4 residues are generally important for protein flexibility, we mutated all three residues to alanine (A) to generate a RIN4 dephosphorylation mimic (RIN4 3A). Recombinant His6-RIN4 3A protein exhibited a slightly stronger magnitude than wild type RIN4, but not to the same extent as RIN4 3D (Figures 1C and 1E). Next, the importance of individual residues was examined for altering RIN4 secondary structure using CD spectroscopy (Supplemental Figure 1). The RIN4 T21D mutant displayed a similar CD spectra compared with RIN4 3D protein, while the RIN4 T166D mutant displayed decreased magnitude at 200 nm (Supplemental Figure 1). These data indicate that the RIN3 3D phosphorylation mimic is more flexible than wild-type RIN4 and the primary residue contributing to increased flexibility is Thr-21.

To determine the general secondary structure of phosphorylated RIN4, RIN4 was phosphorylated in vitro in the presence of the RIPK kinase (Supplemental Figure 2). As a negative control, RIN4 was incubated with RIPK in the absence of ATP. RIPK was subsequently removed from the sample based on its molecular mass using a centrifugal filter unit (Supplemental Figure 2). Protein gel blotting with antibody recognizing RIN4 pThr166 confirmed RIN4 phosphorylation in the presence of RIPK and

Figure 1. RIN4 Is an Intrinsically Disordered Protein, and Phosphorylation Affects Flexibility.

(A) PrDOS prediction of disorder in RIN4. Red and black amino acid sequences indicate predicted disordered and ordered regions, respectively. Asterisks indicate phosphorylated residues on RIN4 by RIPK (Thr-21, Ser-160 and Thr-166).
(B) PrDOS plot of disorder probability of each residue along the sequence of RIN4. Residues above the red threshold line in this plot are predicted to be disordered.
(C) SDS-PAGE gel stained with Coomassie blue demonstrating the purity of recombinant wild-type RIN4 (WT RIN4), phosphorylation mimic (RIN4 3D), or phosphorylation null (RIN4 3A). The residues Thr-21, Ser-160, and Thr-166 were mutated to D or A in the RIN4 phosphorylation mimic and phosphorylation null, respectively.
(D) In vitro phosphorylation of RIN4 by RIPK. Recombinant RIPK (MBP-RIPK) was incubated with recombinant wild-type RIN4 (WT RIN4) in the presence and absence of ATP. RIPK was subsequently removed based on its molecular mass using a centrifugal filter unit. Top panel: RIN4 pThr166 (pRIN4) proteins detected by anti-pRIN4 Thr-166 antibody. Bottom panel: SDS-PAGE gel stained with Coomassie blue confirmed equal amounts of RIN4.
(E) Far-UV CD spectroscopy of purified RIN4 proteins from (C). Wild-type RIN4’s trace is shown in black, the RIN4 phosphorylation mimic (3D) trace is shown in red, and the RIN4 phosphorylation null (3A) trace is shown in blue.
(F) Far-UV CD spectroscopy of phosphorylated RIN4 proteins from (D). Unphosphorylated RIN4’s trace is shown in black and phosphorylated RIN4’s trace is shown in red.
ATP (Figure 1D). The samples were subsequently subjected to CD spectroscopy and phosphorylated RIN4 exhibited a shifted shape and stronger magnitude around 200 nm compared with unphosphorylated RIN4 (Figure 1F). Therefore, these data demonstrate that phosphorylated RIN4 as well as the 3D phosphorylation mimic are more flexible than wild-type RIN4, and phosphorylation can affect RIN4 folding.

Gel filtration chromatography was used to determine the mobility of RIN4 and RIN4 phosphorylation mutants. Wild-type recombinant RIN4, RIN4 3D, and RIN4 3A exhibited similar elution profiles (Supplemental Figure 3). The major elution peak corresponded to a 55-kD globular protein. The only visible protein in the major peak was full-length RIN4, which is predicted to have a molecular mass of 26 kD (Supplemental Figure 3D). The elution from the gel filtration column is consistent with dimerization or an extended conformation due to intrinsic disorder. All three proteins exhibited a minor elution peak corresponding to a 13-kD globular protein (Supplemental Figure 3). The visible proteins in the minor peaks included a small amount of full-length RIN4 and a higher amount of cleaved or partially degraded RIN4 (Supplemental Figure 3D). The elution pro-...
in altering MAMP-induced stomatal closure and coronatine-induced stomatal opening. Confocal laser scanning microscopy was employed to measure stomatal apertures of individual KO lines and transgenic seedlings. In order to assess MAMP-induced stomatal closure, 2-week-old seedlings were treated with 10 µM flg22 (a 22-amino acid epitope of bacterial flagellin). To assess coronatine-induced stomatal opening, seedlings were co-incubated with 10 µM flg22 and 300 ng/mL coronatine for 2 h prior to imaging. The ripk KO possessed a strong defect in coronatine-induced stomatal opening in either the Landsberg erecta or Col-0 background (Figure 5A; Supplemental Figure 5).

Figure 2. Transgenic Lines Expressing the RIN4 Phosphorylation Mimic Exhibit Enhanced Disease Susceptibility to P. syringae DC3000 in a Susceptible Genetic Background.

The Arabidopsis rpm1/rps2/rin4 mutant was complemented with T7-tagged with genomic RIN4 (WT), gRIN4 3D (3D), or gRIN4 3A (3A) under the control of RIN4’s native promoter. RIN4 Thr-21/Ser-160/Thr-166 residues are mutated to D or A in 3D or 3A lines, respectively. (A) Anti-RIN4 protein gel blot illustrating RIN4 expression in T4 homozygous lines expressing wild type (WT 5-1 and WT 7-4), phosphorylation mimics (3D 1-7, 3D 2-8, and 3D 9-10), and phosphor-null mutants (3A 4-1 and 3A 10-7) in the rpm1/rps2/rin4 genetic background. Total proteins were extracted from 10-d-old seedlings and subjected to immunoblot with anti-RIN4. SDS-PAGE gel stained with Coomassie blue (CBB) was used as a loading control. r1r2r4 = rpm1/rps2/rin4; r1r2 = rpm1/rps2. The white line indicates that samples were run on two separate protein gel blots but extracted and processed at the same time. (B) Complementation analysis with npro:T7-gRIN4 in the rpm1/rps2/rin4 mutant. Four-week-old r1r2, r1r2r4, or wild-type RIN4 complementation lines (WT Rin4 5-1 and WT 7-4) were spray-inoculated with 1 × 108 cfu/mL of Pto DC3000. Bacterial population sizes were quantified 4 d post-inoculation. Results represent means ± se, n = 6. Different letters above bars indicate statistical differences in means, detected by a Fisher’s LSD (a = 0.05).

Figure 3. Purified Recombinant RIN4 Phosphorylation Mimics Enhance H+-ATPase Activity.

Inside-out plasma membrane vesicles from the Arabidopsis rpm1/rps2/rin4 mutant were incubated with different recombinant RIN4 proteins (RIN4 WT, 3D, 3A, T21D, S160D, or T166D) in the assay medium to measure H+-ATPase activity. In this assay, the plasma membrane H+-ATPase hydrolyzes ATP and pumps H+ into vesicles, creating a pH gradient across the membrane. The pumping activity was measured by the pH probe acridine orange (Δ495 nm/mg protein/min). (A) SDS-PAGE gel stained with Coomassie blue showing the purity of recombinant RIN4 wild type (WT), triple (3D), or single (T21D, S160D, or T166D) phosphorylation mimics and phosphorylation null (3A). Proteins were extracted at the same time but run on two separate SDS-PAGE gels. (B) The RIN4 triple phosphorylation mimic (3D) enhances H+-ATPase activity in vitro. Results represent means ± sd, n = 3. Different letters above bars indicate statistical differences in means, detected by a Fisher’s LSD (a = 0.05). (C) RIN4 single phosphorylation mimics are unable to enhance H+-ATPase activity in vitro. Results represent mean ± sd, n = 3. Statistical differences were detected as described in (B). All assays used 50 µg of plasma membrane protein and 5 µg of recombinant RIN4.
Although fig22 was able to induce stomatal closure in ripk, coronatine was unable to counter this effect (Supplemental Figure 5). Previously, we showed that virulent Pto DC3000 is unable to induce stomatal opening 3 h postinoculation in ripk or cfa6 (a gene essential for coronatine biosynthesis), and exhibits reduced virulence upon surface inoculation (Ma et al., 1991). Col-0 and ripk KO lines were spray inoculated with either Pto DC3000 or Pto DC3118 (Figure 5B). As previously reported, ripk lines exhibit enhanced disease resistance, and Pto DC3000 grew to 5-fold lower levels on this line after surface inoculation (Liu et al., 2011). Pto DC3118 grew to equal levels on Col-0 and ripk (Figure 5B). Taken together, these results indicate that the enhanced disease resistance phenotype observed in the ripk KO line primarily occurs at the level of pathogen entry.

Both ripk and ripk KO lines exhibit similar stomatal phenotypes, indicating that RIN4 phosphorylation may play a role in coronatine-induced stomatal opening. However, we were unable to detect a major defect in coronatine-induced stomatal opening or fig22-induced closure in the complemented T7-RIN4 3D line 9-10 (Supplemental Figure 5A). For the confocal microscopy measurements, all data were combined for statistical analyses, making it difficult to detect differences in basal stomatal apertures between genotypes. Therefore, we decided to carefully analyze the basal stomatal apertures in complemented T7-RIN4 lines using epidermal peels and light microscopy. We examined basal stomatal apertures of rpm1/rps2, wild-type RIN4, and phosphorylation RIN4 mimics at the same time. Stomata from wild-type T7-RIN4 and rpm1/rps2 did not exhibit a significant difference from one another with respect to their basal stomatal apertures (Figure 5C). The basal stomatal aperture for T7-RIN4 3A was not significantly wider than that of the T7-RIN4 complemented line (Figure 5D). In contrast, the basal stomatal aperture for T7-RIN4 3A was significantly wider compared with rpm1/rps2 (Figure 5C). This is consistent with the promotion of AHA activity after incubation with His6-RIN4 3D and a stronger interaction with AHA1 and RIN4 3D in planta (Figures 3 and 4). Although RIN4 3A exhibits enhanced association with AHA1, its ability to associate with and promote AHA activity is not as strong as RIN4 3D, potentially explaining the lack of a stomatal phenotype (Figures 3B and 4A). Next, Pto DC3118 was spray inoculated on rpm1/rps2 and T7-RIN4 complemented lines. Controls and wild-type T7-RIN4 did not exhibit significant differences in Pto DC3118 bacterial growth 4 d postinoculation (Figure 5E). However, T7-RIN4 3D complemented lines exhibited significantly higher Pto DC3118 growth compared with rpm1/rps2 4 d after spray inoculation (Figure 5E). This is consistent with the wider basal stomatal apertures in T7-RIN4 3D, which could enable more bacteria to enter into the leaf interior and bypass the need for coronatine-induced stomatal opening.
AvrB induces JA signaling and the expression of JA marker genes (He et al., 2004; Cui et al., 2010). Given RIN4’s stomatal phenotype and the role of the JA mimic coronatine in inducing stomatal opening, we examined the ability of AvrB to promote growth of Pto DC3118 after spray inoculation. Pto DC3118 carrying AvrB-3xFLAG was able to enhance bacterial growth after spray inoculation compared with empty vector on the wild-type T7-RIN4, but was not able to enhance bacterial growth on T7-RIN4 3D (Figure 5F). The T7-RIN4 3A exhibited an intermediate phenotype (Figure 5F). Protein gel blotting with FLAG antiserum verified AvrB expression in Pto DC3118 (Supplemental Figure 6).

**Basal Phosphorylation of RIN4 Thr-166 Is Decreased upon flg22 Treatment**

RIN4 not only plays an important role in NLR immune receptor activation, but it also acts to regulate basal plant defense against conserved MAMPs, such as bacterial flagellin (Kim et al.,...
The Thr-21 and Thr-166 residues are conserved between RIN4 proteins present in land plants, but the Ser-160 residue is not (Afzal et al., 2013). Therefore, we focused on the role of Thr-21 and Thr-166 in suppressing PTI. In order to investigate the role of individual phosphorylated residues in RIN4-mediated PTI suppression, rpm1/rps2/rin4 was complemented with T7-RIN4 T21D, T7-RIN4 T166D and T7-RIN4 T21D/T166D (Figure 6; Supplemental Figure 7). The T7-RIN4 T166D line exhibited significantly reduced ROS burst compared with controls after treatment with fig22 (Figure 6C; Supplemental Figure 7). The T7-RIN4 T21D line exhibited an intermediate reduction in ROS burst compared with the controls (Figure 6D; Supplemental Figure 7).

Interestingly, the single T166D phosphomimetic line did not exhibit significantly more reduction in fig22-induced ROS compared with the 3D line. Thus, phosphorylation of Thr-166 is the most critical residue for suppressing PTI responses in the apo-plast (Figure 6C; Supplemental Figure 7). These results are consistent with a recent study demonstrating that the RIN4 T166D phosphomimetic mimic can suppress fig22-induced callose deposition, PTI-induced inhibition of virulent bacterial growth, and the fig22-induced ROS burst (Chung et al., 2014).

RIN4 Thr-166 phosphorylation is strongly induced in the presence of AvrB, but there is still a basal level of RIN4 Thr-166 phosphorylation in the absence of bacterial infection (Supplemental Figure 4). Visualization of basal pThr166 levels can be facilitated using a Femto maximum sensitivity enhanced chemiluminescent substrate to generate a signal for protein gel blotting. Therefore, we extracted protein from Col-0 10 min after infiltrating leaves with 1 µM fig22 peptide or water containing the surfactant Silwet L-77. Protein gel blotting with anti-phospho MAPK antibody verified activation of MAPK3/6 and PTI (Figure 7A). Proteins also were subjected to protein gel blot to probe for total levels of RIN4 as well as phosphorylation in the absence of bacterial infection (Supplemental Figure 7). The Thr-21 and Thr-166 residues are conserved between RIN4 proteins present in land plants, but the Ser-160 residue is not (Afzal et al., 2013). Therefore, we focused on the role of Thr-21 and Thr-166 in suppressing PTI. In order to investigate the role of individual phosphorylated residues in RIN4-mediated PTI suppression, rpm1/rps2/rin4 was complemented with T7-RIN4 T21D, T7-RIN4 T166D and T7-RIN4 T21D/T166D (Figure 6; Supplemental Figure 7). The T7-RIN4 T166D line exhibited significantly reduced ROS burst compared with controls after treatment with fig22 (Figure 6C; Supplemental Figure 7). The T7-RIN4 T21D line exhibited an intermediate reduction in ROS burst compared with the controls (Figure 6D; Supplemental Figure 7). The T7-RIN4 T21D/T166D was slightly lower than that of T7-RIN4 T166D, but again the difference was not statistically significant (Supplemental Figure 7C). Interestingly, the single T166D phosphomimetic line did not exhibit significantly more reduction in fig22-induced ROS compared with the 3D line. Thus, phosphorylation of Thr-166 is the most critical residue for suppressing PTI responses in the apo-plast (Figure 6C; Supplemental Figure 7). These results are consistent with a recent study demonstrating that the RIN4 T166D phosphomimetic mimic can suppress fig22-induced callose deposition, PTI-induced inhibition of virulent bacterial growth, and the fig22-induced ROS burst (Chung et al., 2014).

RIN4 Thr-166 phosphorylation is strongly induced in the presence of AvrB, but there is still a basal level of RIN4 Thr-166 phosphorylation in the absence of bacterial infection (Supplemental Figure 4). Visualization of basal pThr166 levels can be facilitated using a Femto maximum sensitivity enhanced chemiluminescent substrate to generate a signal for protein gel blotting. Therefore, we extracted protein from Col-0 10 min after infiltrating leaves with 1 µM fig22 peptide or water containing the surfactant Silwet L-77. Protein gel blotting with anti-phospho MAPK antibody verified activation of MAPK3/6 and PTI (Figure 7A). Proteins also were subjected to protein gel blot to probe for total levels of RIN4 as well as phosphorylation in the absence of bacterial infection (Supplemental Figure 7).
of RIN4 pThr166 decreased in the rpm1-3 background following flag22 treatment, but the basal level of RIN4 pThr166 remained elevated in the presence of strong ectopic AvrB expression even after treatment with flag22 (Figure 7B). In order to determine if this observation is dose dependent, we examined flag22-induced decrease of pThr166 during no and low (3 μM) Dex treatment (Figures 7C and 7D). AvrB expression was detectable at a low level in transgenic lines in the absence of Dex treatment (Figure 7D). We observed that flag22 perception was able to induce a decrease of pThr166 only in the absence of Dex treatment, indicating that this phenotype is AvrB dose dependent (Figure 7C).

Next, we examined RIN4 phosphorylation after delivery of AvrB from Pseudomonas fluorescens strain 0-1 using the Effector-to-Host Analyzer (EtHAn) system. The P. fluorescens EtHAn system has the P. syringae pv syringae type III secretion cluster integrated into its genome, enabling single effector delivery (Thomas et al., 2009). P. fluorescens EtHAn expressing either empty vector or AvrB-3xFLAG was infiltrated into rpm1-3 leaves and the level of RIN4 as well as RIN4 pThr166 was examined 6 h postinfiltration. AvrB-3xFLAG expression was verified by protein gel blotting with antisera recognizing the FLAG epitope (Figure 7F). rpm1-3 treated with MgCl2 or P. fluorescens

Figure 7. RIN4 Thr-166 Phosphorylation Is Suppressed during Flagellin Perception.

(A) The phosphorylation of RIN4 Thr-166 is decreased after flag22 treatment. Proteins were extracted 10 min after vacuum infiltration with 1 μM flag22 or water. Numbers indicate three biological repeats. RIN4 and RIN4 pThr166 (pRIN4) proteins were detected by anti-RIN4 and anti-pRIN4 Thr166 antibodies, respectively. In order to visualize basal RIN4 phosphorylation, a Femto enhanced chemiluminescence substrate was used to enable detection of a weak signal. Phosphorylated MAPK3/6 were detected by anti-p44/42 ERK antibody. The membrane was stained with Coomassie blue (CBB) to detect protein loading.

(B) Flg22 perception is unable to decrease pThr166 in the presence of strong ectopic AvrB expression. rpm1-3 or rpm1-3 carrying Dex-inducible GVG:AvrB-HA was treated with 30 μM Dex, then vacuum infiltrated with water or 1 μM flag22 and total proteins were extracted 10 min later. RIN4 and RIN4 pThr166 (pRIN4) proteins were detected by anti-RIN4 and anti-pRIN4 Thr166 antibodies, respectively. Short and long indicate exposure time of the anti-pRIN4 blot. Protein samples were run on the same gel, but cropped to remove additional lanes. The membrane was stained with Coomassie blue to verify protein loading.

(C) Flg22 perception can decrease pThr166 in the presence of weak ectopic AvrB expression. Plant genotypes were treated with water or 3 μM Dex prior to infiltration with water or flag22 and immunoblotting as described in (B).

(D) Detecting AvrB expression by RT-PCR. Four-week-old plants were not treated or treated with 3 μM Dex and total RNA was extracted for RT-PCR 16 h later. ELONGATION FACTORY-1α was used as a control for gene expression. RT, reverse transcriptase.

(E) P. fluorescens (EtHAn) expressing AvrB can induce RIN4 Thr-166 phosphorylation. rpm1-3 plants were syringe-inoculated with 5 × 107 cfu/mL of P. fluorescens expressing a broad host range vector pBRR1 MCS5 (EV) or npro:AvrB-3xFLAG. MgCl2 (10 mM) was used as the mock treatment. Total proteins were extracted 6 h postinfiltration. RIN4 and RIN4 pThr166 (pRIN4) proteins were detected by anti-RIN4 and anti-pRIN4 Thr166 antibodies, respectively. The membrane was stained with Coomassie blue to verify protein loading.

(F) AvrB is expressed in the rpm1-3 genotype after infection with P. fluorescens (EtHAn). Arabidopsis leaves were syringe-infiltrated as described in (E). Total proteins were extracted from leaves at 6 and 12 h postinfiltration and AvrB was detected by immunoblotting using anti-FLAG antibody (top). The membrane stained with Coomassie blue verified protein loading (bottom).
expressing empty vector possessed low levels of RIN4 pThr166, while leaves treated with P. fluorescens expressing AvrB-3XFLAG exhibited high levels of RIN4 pThr166 (Figure 7E). These results indicate that type III delivered AvrB can effectively induce RIN4 pThr166 in the EtHAn system.

**DISCUSSION**

RIN4 is a fairly abundant plasma membrane-localized protein that is targeted by multiple pathogen effectors and guarded by the RPM1 and RPS2 immune receptors (Henry et al., 2013). Here, we focused on the role of effector-induced RIN4 phosphorylation in susceptible plant genotypes lacking the RPM1 and RPS2 immune receptors. There are 15 Arabidopsis proteins possessing homology to RIN4 and all share a common nitrate induced domain (NOI, Pfam: PF05627) (Afzal et al., 2013). The NOI domain is also present across land plants (Afzal et al., 2013). Importantly, NOI domains within RIN4 are targeted by AvrRpm2 for cleavage and AvrB to induce phosphorylation (Chisholm et al., 2005; Chung et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011). Using CD spectroscopy, RIN4 was demonstrated to be primarily an intrinsically disordered protein whose flexibility is enhanced by phosphorylation (Figure 1) (Sun et al., 2014). Furthermore, Sun et al. (2014) demonstrated that RIN4, along with other NOI family members, exhibit intrinsic disorder, possessing molecular recognition features as well as ANCHOR-identified long binding regions, likely facilitating a transition to a more ordered structure upon protein complex formation. Intrinsic disorder has been shown to play an important role in the occurrence of some posttranslational modifications, including protein phosphorylation (Gao and Xu, 2012). Multiple phosphorylated RIN4 residues have been mapped in large-scale proteomic investigations, indicating that RIN4 is an important kinase target (Nühse et al., 2007). Given the shared NOI domain and intrinsic disorder among other NOI proteins, it is possible that their phosphorylation status is also altered in the presence of AvrB and AvrRpm1 for pathogen benefit.

Intrinsically disordered proteins frequently have multiple binding partners and can interact with proteins with high specificity and low affinity (Dyson and Wright, 2005). Therefore, we examined the effect of mimicking RIN4 phosphorylation on its association with a known binding partner, the plasma membrane H^+--ATPase (Liu et al., 2009b). RIN4 3D lines exhibit wider basal stomatal apertures, enhanced association with AHA1, and enhanced AHA enzymatic activity (Figures 3 and 4). Mimicking phosphorylation of all three residues was required for this phenotype. AHA activation leads to hyperpolarization of the guard cell membrane, activation of inward rectifying K^+ channels, and ultimately drives the uptake of solutes into guard cells, inducing stomatal opening (Elmore and Coaker, 2011). AHA1 and AHA2 constitutively active mutants or transgenic lines exhibit enhanced susceptibility to surface inoculation with Pto DC3000, have constitutively open stomata, and their stomata do not close in response to flg22 or other PTI elicitors (Merlot et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2009b). AHAs are regulated posttranslationally, particularly by phosphorylation of their C-terminal regulatory domain (Elmore and Coaker, 2011). Phosphorylated RIN4 could associate with a different set of client proteins, possibly bringing one or more kinases in proximity to AHA’s C terminus, leading to pump activation (Liu et al., 2011). The nirn and ripk KOs are insensitive to coronatine-induced stomatal opening and exhibit reduced growth of Pto DC3118 after surface inoculation, indicating that RIN4 and specifically RIN4 phosphorylation is a critical component of the guard cell immune response (Liu et al., 2009b) (Figure 5).

Bacteria can use wounds or natural openings, such as stomata, to gain entry into the plant interior and cause disease. Not all P. syringae or other pathogenic bacterial strains possess coronatine, which mimics JA. Syringolin A, a nonribosomal peptide and polyketide synthase present in some P. syringae pv syringae strains, is able to counteract stomatal immunity (Schellenberg et al., 2010). Furthermore, some P. syringe effectors enhance growth on the surface of plant leaves (Lee et al., 2012). The P. syringae effector HopM1 can suppress stomatal immunity (Lozano-Durán et al., 2014). Recently, the AvrB, HopX1, and HopZ1a effectors have been demonstrated to activate JA signaling (Cui et al., 2010; Jiang et al., 2013; Gimenez-Ibanez et al., 2014). HopZ1a and HopX1 directly target JAZ proteins, which are transcriptional repressors of JA responsive genes (Jiang et al., 2013; Gimenez-Ibanez et al., 2014). AvrB activates JA signaling in a MAPK4-dependent manner (Cui et al., 2010). RIN4 can also interact with and is phosphorylated by MAPK4 (Cui et al., 2010). Type III delivered AvrB can also partially complement the Pto DC3118 coronatine mutant, resulting in enhanced bacterial growth upon spray inoculation (Figure 5).

RIN4 is present in significant quantities in guard cells as well as mesophyll cells and can regulate mesophyll-mediated PTI responses (Kim et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2009b). The basal level of RIN4 Thr-166 phosphorylation was strongly reduced after application of flg22, suggesting that FLS2 signaling suppresses phosphorylation of Thr-166 (Figure 7). In support of this, the T7-RIN4 3D and T166D phosphomimetic lines exhibited compromised PTI responses. These findings are also consistent with a recent study demonstrating that RIN4 T166D lines are compromised in PTI based responses (Chung et al., 2014). Chung et al. (2014) also found that RIN4 pThr166 is epistatic to pSer141. RIN4 pSer141 levels increase upon flg22 signaling, and mimicking Ser141 phosphorylation resulted in enhanced PTI responses (Chung et al., 2014). We examined the ability of flg22 perception to decrease pThr166 levels during AvrB expression (Figure 7). When strongly expressed in transgenic plants, AvrB is able to robustly induce phosphorylation of pThr166. In contrast, treatment with flg22 decreases levels of RIN4 pThr166 when little or no AvrB is present. However, flg22 treatment after strong AvrB expression did not decrease levels of RIN4 pThr166. Type III delivered AvrB from nonpathogenic P. fluorescens, which elicits robust PTI, is also able to induce phosphorylation of pThr166. These data are consistent with the notion that dose dependent delivery of AvrB is needed to inhibit flg22-mediated suppression of pThr166. During a natural infection, different cells could undergo a range of responses, with some cells perceiving MAMPs and undergoing PTI while other cells are subjected to effector-triggered susceptibility.

Previously, RIN4, RPM1, and RPS2 were demonstrated to associate with FLS2 by communoprecipitation (Qi et al., 2011). RIPK is a member of the receptor-like cytoplasmic kinase
subfamily Vila, whose family members also include BIK1. BIK1 is a critical regulator of PTI signaling, can phosphorylate FLS2 and RBOHD, facilitating the ROS burst induced by flg22 (Henry et al., 2013; Kadota et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014a). Given that the ripk KO is only partially compromised in RIN4 Thr-166 phosphorylation and activation of RPM1-mediated responses, it is possible that RIN4 is targeted by other kinases. Future experiments analyzing the association between RIN4 and other kinases will shed light onto how this important plant immune regulator affects PTI responses in the leaf interior.

**METHODS**

**Purification of Recombinant RIN4, Secondary Structure Prediction, and Far-UV CD Spectroscopy**

RIN4 intrinsic disorder was predicted by ProDOS, with a prediction false positive rate of 5% (Ishida and Kinoshita, 2007). RIN4 cDNA was first PCR amplified and cloned into pDONR207 using Gateway technology (In-vitrogen). PCR-based site directed mutagenesis was conducted to mutate RIN4 Thr-21, Ser-160, and Thr-166 residues to aspartic acid (D) or alanine (A) individually and in concert. The pETDuet-1 (Novagen) Escherichia coli expression vector was modified to be Gateway compatible for MCS1 and RIN4, and corresponding phosphorylation mimics were cloned into MCS1 as in frame fusions to the 6×His tag using Gateway technology. RIN4 was expressed in E. coli and purified by Ni²⁺ affinity chromatography as described previously (Coaker et al., 2006).

For CD spectroscopy, RIN4 proteins were dialyzed for 16 h in 50 mM NaH₂PO₄, pH 8.0, and diluted to a concentration of 0.25 mg/mL. The protein concentration was quantified by Bradford assay and the purity was confirmed by an SDS-PAGE gel stained with Coomassie blue. CD spectra in the far-UV region (190 to 260 nm) were detected using a J-810 spectropolarimeter (Jasco) with a 0.1-mm path length cell. Each spectrum was scanned with a 1-nm bandwidth. Spectra were visualized with spectra manager software (Jasco). CD experiments were repeated twice using different batches of recombinant protein with similar results.

**In Vitro Kinase Assay and Protein Partitioning under Native Conditions**

Recombinant MBP-RIPK protein was purified as described previously and recombinant 6×His RIN4 protein was purified as described above (Liu et al., 2011). In vitro assays were performed as described previously with slight modifications as described below (Liu et al., 2011). The kinase reaction was performed in kinase buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM CaCl₂, 100 μM ATP, and 1 mM DTT). The protein ratio of RIKP:RIN4 was 2:1 (400 μg of RIPK and 200 μg of RIN4) in a total volume of 500 μL. Protein samples were incubated in kinase buffer for 30 min at 30°C. The kinase reaction in the absence of ATP was used as a negative control.

Following the kinase assay, RIPK protein was subsequently removed using an Amicon centrifugal filter unit (50 kD) based on molecular mass differences between MBP-RIPK (~95 kD) and 6×His RIN4 (~28 kD). Protein samples were filtered through the Amicon unit at 14,000 g for 15 min at 4°C. RIN4 and pRIN4 were subsequently subjected to CD spectroscopy following dialysis as described above. RIN4 purity was verified by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis. RIN4 phosphorylation was confirmed by immunoblotting using the anti-pRIN4 Thr-166 antibody at a concentration of 1:3000.

**Gel Filtration Chromatography**

6×His-RIN4 (wild type, 3A, and 3D) proteins were induced as previously described (Coaker et al., 2006). Protein was purified on a 5-mL HisTrap-HP column (GE Healthcare) coupled to an AKTA FPLC purification system. The column was washed with 50 column volumes of wash buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, and 40 mM imidazole. The protein was eluted with wash buffer supplemented with 250 mM imidazole. The proteins were concentrated to 0.7 mg/mL before applying to gel filtration chromatography (Superdex-200 10/300; GE Healthcare), which was equilibrated in buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DTT. The flow rate was 0.5 mL/min, and the peak protein fractions were collected and visualized by SDS-PAGE coupled with Coomassie staining. All recombinant protein assays were repeated at least two times with independently purified batches of recombinant proteins. The gel filtration column was mapped using gel filtration markers for protein molecular mass (Sigma-Aldrich; MGWF 200).

**Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

Arabidopsis thaliana seeds including Col-0, Landsberg erecta, individual knockout lines, and transgenic lines indicated in this study were stratified at 4°C for 2 d and sown in soil. Arabidopsis plants were grown in a controlled environmental chamber under the following conditions: 23°C, 70% relative humidity, a 10-h-light/14-h-dark photoperiod, and a light intensity of 85 μE/m²/s. Nicotiana benthamiana plants were grown in the greenhouse under the following conditions: 25°C, 50% relative humidity, a 14-h-light/10-h-dark photoperiod, and a light intensity of 180 μE/m²/s. The Arabidopsis rps2/ripk1, rps2/ripk1, ripk1 (in the Landsberg erecta background), and ripk-1 (in the Col-0 background) genotypes were previously described (Mindrinos et al., 1994; Boyes et al., 1998; Mackey et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2011). In this article, rps2 refers to the rps2-101c and ripk1 refers to the rps2-1 mutation (Mindrinos et al., 1994; Boyes et al., 1998).

**Transgenic Lines**

To generate constructs for RIN4 complementation, 2136 bp upstream from RIN4’s start codon were PCR amplified and cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO (Invitrogen). The N terminus of genomic RIN4 (gRIN4) was fused to the T7 epitope tag by PCR and cloned behind the pENTR/D-TOPO native promoter RIN4 construct using Ncol, resulting in T7-RIN4. PCR-based site directed mutagenesis was conducted to generate constructs for RIN4 phosphorylation or dephosphorylation mimics. Thr-21, Ser-160, and Thr-166 residues were mutated to aspartic acid (D) or alanine (A) to generate dephosphorylation mimics (RIN4 3A). T7-RIN4 clones were recombined into the PGWb1 binary vector using Gateway technology (Nakagawa et al., 2007). The rpm1/rips2/rin4 mutant was transformed with npro:T7-gRIN4 constructs using the floral dip method and transformants selected on 50 μg/mL hygromycin (Bent, 2006). To determine RIN4 expression in transgenic Arabidopsis lines, total protein was extracted from 10-d-old seedlings using 2× Laemmli buffer (Laemmli, 1970). Total proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE and protein gel blotting with affinity-purified rabbit polyclonal anti-RIN4 at a concentration of 1:3000. Secondary goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP conjugate (Bio-Rad) was used at a concentration of 1:3000 for detection via enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce). Individual T3 homozygous lines were used for all experiments.

**Bacterial Strains and Disease Assays**

Pto DC3000, the coronatine-deficient mutant Pto DC3118 Cor-, and the Type III secretion system deficient mutant Pto DC3000 ΔhrcC were grown on nutrient yeast-glycerol medium for 2 d at 28°C. Pto DC3000 expressing the AvrB effector or the pVSP61 empty vector alone was used to analyze RIN4 Thr-166 phosphorylation in rpm1/rips2 5 and 10 h after syringe infiltration with 5 × 10⁷ colony-forming units (cfu)/mL of bacteria (Ashfield et al., 1995). To generate the coronatine-deficient mutant Pto DC3118 Cor- and Pseudomonas fluorescens (EHAn) (Thomas et al., 2009) expressing the AvrB effector, the broad host range vector pBRR1-MCSS
empty vector alone or npro:AvrB:3xFLAG were transferred into the respective strains by electroporation. AvrB effector expression was detected in Arabidopsis plants by immunoblotting using anti-FLAG antisera at a concentration of 1:1000. Antibiotics were used for bacterial selection at the following concentrations: 25 µg/mL kanamycin and 100 µg/mL rifampicin for Pto DC3000, Pto DC3118 Cor-, and Pto DC3000 ΔhrcC; 25 µg/mL kanamycin, 100 µg/mL rifampicin, and 10 µg/mL gentamycin for Pto DC3118 carrying pBRR1-MCS5; 50 µg/mL chloramphenicol, 50 µg/mL tetracyclin, and 50 µg/mL gentamycin for P. fluorescens (EtHAn) carrying pBRR1-MCS. For spray inoculation, 4-week-old Arabidopsis leaves were inoculated at a concentration of 1 × 10^8 cfu/mL bacteria. For syringe infiltration, 4-week-old Arabidopsis leaves were inoculated at a concentration of 1 × 10^9 cfu/mL bacteria. For syringe infiltration, growth curves were conducted as previously described (Liu et al., 2009b). All experiments were repeated at least two times, with a minimum of six biological replicates per time point. Statistical differences were detected by Fisher’s LSD following a significant F-statistic, α = 0.05.

**RIN4 Phosphorylation in Plants**

Four-week-old Arabidopsis rmp1/rps2 genotypes were syringe infiltrated with Pto DC3000 carrying the empty pVSP61 vector or pVSP61 expressing AvrB at a concentration of 10^8 cfu/mL to examine RIN4 phosphorylation induced by AvrB. Samples were harvested at 5 and 10 h postinfiltration and ground in protein extraction buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.2% Triton X-100, 1× complete protease inhibitors [Roche], and 1× Halt Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail [Thermo Scientific]). Protein concentrations were quantified using the 660-nm protein assay (Pierce) and equal amounts of protein per sample were subjected to SDS-PAGE, anti-RIN4 immunoblotting, and anti-phosphorylated RIN4 immunoblotting as previously described (Liu et al., 2011).

Four-week-old rmp1 plants were syringe infiltrated with P. fluorescens (EtHAn) carrying the empty pBRR1 vector or pBRR1 expressing npro:AvrB:3xFLAG at a concentration of 5 × 10^7 cfu/mL to examine RIN4 phosphorylation induced by AvrB. Samples were harvested at 5 h postinfiltration. Protein samples were prepared and RIN4 phosphorylation was examined as described above.

To test basal RIN4 phosphorylation upon fig22 perception, total protein was extracted from 4-week-old Col-0 leaves 10 min after vacuum infiltration of 1 µg fig22 or water with 0.025% Silwett L-77. Samples were ground in protein extraction buffer and quantified as described above. The level of RIN4 phosphorylation was detected by anti-RIN4 and anti-phosphorylated RIN4 immunoblotting as previously described (Liu et al., 2011). To detect activated MAPKs in response to fig22, pMAPK immunoblots were performed with anti-p42/44 ERK1/2 antibody (Cell Signaling; 4370S) at a concentration of 5×10^9 M. Protein concentrations were quantified using 660-nm protein assay (Pierce), and equal amounts of protein per sample were subjected to SDS-PAGE and anti-RIN4 immunoblotting as previously described (Liu et al., 2011). All experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

**H⁺-ATPase Activity Assay**

For in vitro H⁺-ATPase activity assays, individual recombinant RIN4 and RIN4 phosphorylation mimics were expressed and purified from E. coli as described above. Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford assay and their purity was analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Plasma membrane vesicles were purified from the rmp1/rps2/rin4 genotype by an aqueous polymer two-phase system, and H⁺-ATPase activity assays were measured by quenching of the pH sensitive probe acridine orange as described previously (Liu et al., 2009b). Fifty micrograms of plasma membrane proteins were incubated with 5 µg of recombinant RIN4 protein to measure H⁺-ATPase activity. Statistical differences were detected by Fisher’s LSD following a significant F-statistic, α = 0.05. Each experiment was repeated at least two times with independent plasma membrane and recombinant protein isolations.

**Stomatal Aperture Measurements**

In order to measure basal stomatal apertures, Arabidopsis rps2/rmp1, npro:T7-gRIN4 wild type, npro:T7-gRIN4 3D, and npro:T7-gRIN4 3A lines were grown on soil in a controlled environmental chamber for 2.5 weeks as described above. The first and second true leaves were detached and floated on sterile water for 16 h and subsequently placed under white light for 3 h to induce to stomatal opening. In order to visualize stomatal apertures, epidermal peels were taken immediately before imaging. Medical adhesive (Hollister) was sprayed onto a microscope slide and the abaxial side of each leaf was placed in contact with the adhesive followed by gentle scraping with a razor blade to remove mesophyll cells. Stomatal images were taken by light microscopy (40× objective) coupled with a digital camera (Zeiss). Stomatal apertures were measured by calculating width-to-length ratios using Image J software (Liu et al., 2009b). A minimum of 90 individual apertures were measured per genotype. Measurement of stomatal apertures in the ripk knockout in response to Pto DC3000 was conducted as previously described (Liu et al., 2009b).

Thirty individual stomata were measured per treatment. All experiments
were repeated at least three times with a minimum of three biological replicates for each experiment. Statistical differences were detected by Fisher’s LSD following a significant F-statistic, $\alpha = 0.05$. Flg22-induced stomatal closure and coronatine-induced stomatal reopening were imaged using confocal microscopy as previously described (Spallek et al., 2013). Cotyledons from 2-week-old seedlings were detached and immersed overnight in 500 $\mu$L of stomata opening buffer (SOB) (5 mM KCl, 50$\mu$M CaCl$_2$, and 10 mM MES-Tris, pH 6.15). For imaging, cotyledons were incubated in bright light for 2 h before treating with SOB (control), 10 $\mu$L Flg22 in SOB, or 10 $\mu$L flg22 and 300 ng/mL coronatine in SOB for 2 h. Cotyledons were then mounted and placed in the bottom of a glass 96-well plate with 100 $\mu$L of water per well. Stomata were imaged using the Evotec Opera confocal laser scanning microscope (Perkin-Elmer), with a 405-nm laser and detection of 540/75 nm. Five fields and 25 z planes were taken per sample with 125 images taken per cotyledon. An average of 230 stomata were imaged per genotype, and image analyses was conducted on all data combined in R. Statistical differences were detected by Fisher’s LSD following a significant F-statistic, $\alpha = 0.05$.

**ROS Burst Assay**

Leaf disks from 2- to 3-week-old Arabidopsis plants were sampled using a cork borer (4-mm diameter) and floated on sterile water for 16 h. The following day, the water was replaced with a solution of 17 mg/mL luminol (Sigma-Aldrich) and 10 mg/mL horseradish peroxidase (Sigma-Aldrich) for 24 h followed by a secondary solution of 100 $\mu$L water per well. Stomata were imaged using the Evotec Opera confocal laser scanning microscope (Perkin-Elmer), with a 405-nm laser and detection of 540/75 nm. Five fields and 25 z planes were taken per sample with 125 images taken per cotyledon. An average of 230 stomata were imaged per genotype, and image analyses was conducted on all data combined in R. Statistical differences were detected by Fisher’s LSD following a significant F-statistic, $\alpha = 0.05$.
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