tRNA-Related Sequences Trigger Systemic mRNA Transport in Plants

Wenna Zhang, Christoph J. Thieme, Gregor Kollwig, Federico Apelt, Lei Yang, Nikola Winter, Nadine Andresen, Dirk Walther, and Friedrich Kragler

INTRODUCTION

In plants, protein-coding mRNAs can move via the phloem vasculature to distant tissues, where they may act as non-cell-autonomous signals. Emerging work has identified many phloem-mobile mRNAs, but little is known regarding RNA motifs triggering mobility, the extent of transported mRNAs, and potential functions of transported mRNAs to translate into functional proteins after transport. To address these aspects, we produced reporter transcripts harboring tRNA-like structures (TLSs) that were found to be enriched in the phloem stream and in mRNAs moving over chimeric graft junctions. Phenotypic and enzymatic assays on grafted plants indicated that mRNAs harboring a distinctive TLS can move from transgenic roots into wild-type leaves and from transgenic leaves into wild-type flowers or roots; these mRNAs can also be translated into proteins after transport. In addition, we provide evidence that dicistronic mRNA:tRNA transcripts are frequently produced in Arabidopsis thaliana and are enriched in the population of graft-mobile mRNAs. Our results suggest that tRNA-derived sequences with predicted stem-bulge-stem-loop structures are sufficient to mediate mRNA transport and seem to be necessary for the mobility of a large number of endogenous transcripts that can move through graft junctions.

BREAKTHROUGH REPORT

The molecular mechanisms enabling intercellular mRNA transport and the fate of transported mRNAs in target tissues remain poorly understood. On the one hand, conserved and, thus, predictive mRNA motifs have not been described for known graft-mobile mRNA populations (Guo et al., 2013; Thieme et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015). On the other hand, recent work in potato showed that the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of the phloem-mobile transcript BEL5 supports mRNA stability and trafficking into roots, where BEL5 protein initiates tuber formation (Banerjee et al., 2009; Cho et al., 2015).

Viral RNAs can move via the phloem stream in the absence of viral proteins, suggesting that endogenous cellular factors recognize a structural RNA motif and mediate long-distance transport through the phloem (Gopinath and Kao, 2007). Among many positive-strand RNA viruses harbor conserved stem-loop structures in the 3' UTR resembling those of canonical tRNAs. Such viral tRNA-like structures (TLSs) seem to play a crucial role in viral replication and infectivity (Dreher et al., 1989; Fechter et al., 2001; Barends et al., 2004). The TLSs are aminoacylated; therefore, the viral clover-like tRNA structures are likely recognized by plant tRNA binding and modifying proteins (Dreher, 2010). Also, viral TLSs recognized by the ribosomal elongation factor eEF1A_GTP form a stable RNA-protein complex repressing viral RNA minus-strand synthesis (Matsuda et al., 2004).

Viral TLS-mediated intercellular or long-distance transport of viral RNAs remains to be shown, but support for the notion that tRNA-related structures might be bona fide RNA mobility motifs for endogenous transcripts was found in the noncoding RNA population of phloem exudate from pumpkin (Cucurbita pepo) (Zhang et al., 2009). In pumpkin phloem exudate, specific subsets...
Figure 1. Dominant-Negative DMC1 as a Reporter Construct Causes Male-Sterile Flowers.
of tRNAs are enriched suggesting selective tRNA import from surrounding tissues into conducting phloem vessels. Taken together, the presence of specific tRNAs in the phloem stream, the role of viral TLS, and frequent occurrences of dicistronic poly(A)-mRNA:tRNA transcripts point toward a potential function of tRNA-related sequences in triggering mobility of transcripts. Here, we present evidence that the TLS can trigger mobility of otherwise nonmobile mRNAs and that TLSs are significantly enriched in the mobile mRNA populations found in Arabidopsis thaliana.

RESULTS

\textbf{tRNA}^\text{Met} Fusion Transcripts Move into Flowers

To establish a simple phenotypic scoring system for mRNAs harboring predicted mobility motifs such as TLSs, we used a dominant-negative variant of Arabidopsis \textit{DISRUPTION OF MEIOtic CONTROL1} (\textit{dmc1}) that lacks the N-terminal 92 amino acid residues (Figure 1A) and therefore interferes with the progression of meiosis (Huber et al., 1996). DMC1 is a specific meiotic cell cycle factor and a member of the highly conserved RecA-type recombinase family of DNA-dependent ATPases active during meiosis in sporogenic cells (Dourtiaux et al., 1998). Lack of a functional DMC1/RAD51 complex induces achiasmatic meiosis resulting in the formation of abnormally shaped pollen containing an aberrant number of chromosomes and, consequently, is necessary for proper pollen development (Bishop et al., 1992; Zhang et al., 2014). Thus, production of misshaped pollen in anthers and decreased fertility indicate the presence of either DMC1 siRNA (Zhang et al., 2014) (Figures 1B and 1C) or the product of translation of the dominant-negative \textit{dmc1} mRNA.

To implement a reporter system for mRNA mobility, we produced transgenic tobacco (\textit{Nicotiana tabacum}) lines expressing YFP-DNDMC1 fusion proteins as a negative control, we made lines expressing \textit{dmc1} tobacco plants (4.0% ± 3.0%; Figure 2C; Supplemental Figure 1 and Supplemental Table 1). Confirming previous reports that \textit{bel5} mRNA fusion transcripts are mobile (Banerjee et al., 2006), wild-type plants grafted onto \textit{dmc1} wild-type stocks showed a significantly higher percentage of aberrant pollen formation in their flowers (30.9% ± 7.6% and 51.9% ± 7.8%, respectively) than grafted wild-type plants (4.0% ± 3.0%; Supplemental Figure 1). Finally, since tRNA^{Met} was detected in the phloem sap of pumpkin (Zhang et al., 2009), we made lines expressing \textit{dmc1} mRNA fused to full-length tRNA^{Met} (AT5G57885; \textit{dmc1:DNDMC1: tRNA}^\text{Met}, tRNA^{Met};\textit{dmc1:DNDMC1}) (Figure 1A).

Independent transgenic plants expressing \textit{dmc1} mRNA fusion constructs were verified to show a pollen sterility phenotype (Figures 1D and 1E) and used in grafting experiments (Figures 1F and 1G) to evaluate transcript mobility from transgenic source tissue to wild-type flowers. Transgenic lines expressing the YFP-\textit{dmc1} fusion did not exhibit a dominant-negative effect on endogenous tobacco DMC1 (Figure 1B); also, the fusion transcript was not graft-mobile (Supplemental Figure 1). Thus, these plants could be used to evaluate grafted \textit{dmc1} transgenic plants for their potential production of mobile siRNAs targeting endogenous DMC1 in wild-type tobacco flowers, triggering sterility (Zhang et al., 2009).

We first confirmed by RT-PCR that \textit{dmc1} mRNA does not contain the sequences triggering mobility (Supplemental Figure 1) and thus is suitable as a reporter for transcript mobility, producing a pollen phenotype (Figure 2A; Supplemental Figure 1). We next addressed the mobility of the fusion transcripts by grafting \textit{dmc1:bel5}, \textit{dmc1:DNDMC1:tRNA}^\text{Met}, \textit{tRNA}^\text{Met};\textit{dmc1:DNDMC1}, or tobacco \textit{CET2};\textit{dmc1} transgenic plants with wild-type plants and examined pollen sterility and presence of the fusion transcript in the wild-type flowers (Figures 2B to 2G; Supplemental Figure 1). As expected, after induction with estradiol, the \textit{dmc1:bel5} and \textit{CET2};\textit{dmc1} scions grafted onto wild-type stocks showed a significantly higher percentage of aberrant pollen formation in their flowers (30.9% ± 7.6% and 51.9% ± 7.8%, respectively) than grafted wild-type plants (4.0% ± 3.0%; Figure 2C; Supplemental Figure 1 and Supplemental Table 1). Confirming previous reports that \textit{bel5} mRNA does not trigger mobility and that \textit{dmc1} mRNA itself is not mobile grafted, \textit{CET2};\textit{dmc1} stock plants did not significantly induce aberrant pollen formation in wild-type flowers (7.8 ± 4.9; Supplemental Figure 1).

Thus, \textit{dmc1} mRNA fusion constructs can be employed as a RNA mobility reporter system by producing a quantifiable pollen phenotype.
Figure 2. DNDMC1 Fusion Transcript Transport Induces Aberrant Pollen Formation.

(A) Flowers of grafted wild-type stock plants supported by 35Spro:YFP-DNDMC1 transgenic scions and reciprocal grafts are fertile.

(B) Upper panel: Grafted wild-type/wild-type or wild-type/DNDMC1:tRNAMet plants showed normal pollen production when mock treated. Lower panel: Estradiol-induced expression of DNDMC1:tRNAMet in scion or stock plant parts resulted in partially sterile anthers in both transgenic and wild-type flowers. The latter suggests DNDMC1:tRNAMet mRNA transport and expression of the truncated DMC1 protein in wild-type male meiocytes.

(C) Flowers of grafted DNDMC1:BEL5 transgenic plants. Upper panel: Mock-treated wild-type/estradiol>DNDMC1:BEL5 grafts showed weak male sterility. Lower panel: Flowers of grafted plants treated with estradiol exhibit partial male sterility.

(D) RT-PCR assays on RNA samples from grafted wild-type tissues revealed that the YFP-DNDMC1 control transcript is not allocated over graft junctions into wild-type stock leaves (n = 6) or scion flowers (n = 8). ACTIN2 (ACT2) specific RT-PCR was used as a positive control.

(E) RT-PCR assays on RNA samples from grafted plants, DNDMC1:tRNAMet and DNDMC1:BEL5 is detected in transgenic and in wild-type scion flowers. Appearance of a specific PCR product in samples from grafted wild-type stock leaves and wild-type flowers (red asterisks) suggests mobility of the DNDMC1:tRNAMet fusion transcript. Number of tested grafted plants is shown on the right.
Next, to learn whether a phloem-allocated tRNA contains the necessary structural information mediating mRNA movement over long distances, we grafted transgenic plants expressing the 3’ UTR,\textsubscript{cy} DMC1:tRNA\textsubscript{Met} (Figure 2B) or the 5’ UTR,\textsubscript{ir} DMC1:\textsubscript{cy} DMC1 (Supplemental Figure 1) fusion construct. Expression was induced by applying estradiol to the transgenic source leaves (stock) or transgenic stem (scion) ~1.5 weeks after grafting and prior to flower induction. Estradiol-treated grafts formed a significantly higher number of misshapen pollen (14.2% ± 7.6%; Supplemental Table 1) compared with control grafts and wild-type plants (Figures 2A and 2B), and RT-PCR assays confirmed the presence of \textsubscript{cy} DMC1::tRNA\textsubscript{Met} and tRNA\textsubscript{Met}:\textsubscript{cy} DMC1 poly(A) transcripts in wild-type flowers formed on transgenic stock plants (Figure 2E; Supplemental Figure 1).

To exclude the possibility that the grafted chimeric plants produce a mobile DMC1 siRNA that moves into wild-type flower tissues and silences the endogenous DMC1, triggering a pollen sterility phenotype (Zhang et al., 2014), we grafted the \textsubscript{cy} DMC1::tRNA\textsubscript{Met} plants with the YFP::\textsubscript{cy} DMC1 fusion line, which expresses a reporter protein that can be easily detected by fluorescence microscopy. In contrast to the DMC1 siRNA control lines, no systemic siRNA-mediated silencing of the YFP::\textsubscript{cy} DMC1 reporter construct could be detected in sepalas (Figure 2F). Thus, the \textsubscript{cy} DMC1::tRNA\textsubscript{Met} fusion transcript does not induce systemic silencing, and the observed defects in pollen formation in grafted plants (Figure 2G) can be attributed to the systemic delivery of the \textsubscript{cy} DMC1 fusion transcripts. In summary, the presence of the full-length tRNA\textsubscript{Met} sequence in the 5’ or 3’ UTR triggers transport of the \textsubscript{cy} DMC1 poly(A) transcript from stock to source leaves and into sporogenic tissues, where it is apparently translated, as it interferes with meiosis in male tissues.

### tRNAs Harboring a Signal for Systemic mRNA Movement

To evaluate whether particular tRNA sequences related to the viral TLS mediate systemic mRNA movement, we used the core sequences of the two phloem-imported tRNAs, tRNA\textsubscript{Met}\textsuperscript{ant} (anticodon CAT; 72 bases; TAIR No. AT5G57885) and tRNA\textsubscript{Gly}\textsuperscript{ant} (anticodon CGG; 74 bases; TAIR No. AT1G71700), and the non-phloem-imported tRNA\textsubscript{Gly}\textsuperscript{pos} (73 bases; TAIR No. AT3G05835) (Zhang et al., 2009). tRNA\textsubscript{Gly}\textsuperscript{pos} is also present in the 3’ UTR of the graft-mobile Arabidopsis CHOLINE KINASE1 (CK1) transcript (TAIR No. AT1G71697; Thieme et al., 2015). We fused these three tRNA sequences to the 3’ UTR of the cell-autonomous β-GUS mRNA sequence (Figure 3A; Supplemental Figure 2). To evaluate the mobility of the fusion transcripts, Arabidopsis Col-0 lines expressing 35S\textsubscript{pro}:GUS or 35S\textsubscript{pro}:GUS:tRNA fusion constructs were produced and hypocotyl-grafted with Col-0 wild type (shoot or root). Two weeks after grafting, GUS enzyme activity was visualized in situ (Figures 3B and 3C; Supplemental Figure 3). Control grafts with transgenic 35S\textsubscript{pro}:GUS plants lacking the tRNA sequences in the 3’ UTR showed no GUS activity and GUS mRNA presence in distal wild-type root (n = 0/55 grafts) or leaf (n = 0/43 grafts) tissues, indicating that neither the GUS mRNA nor the GUS protein moves over graft junctions (Figures 3C and 3D). However, GUS activity was detected in phloem-associated cells in wild-type roots after hypocotyl grafting with transgenic scion plants expressing GUS:tRNA\textsubscript{Met} (n = 9/44 grafts) or GUS:tRNA\textsubscript{Gly} (n = 6/25 grafts). No GUS activity was observed in wild-type roots grafted with plants expressing GUS:tRNA\textsubscript{pos} (n = 0/57 grafts). RT-PCR assays confirmed the presence of GUS:tRNA\textsubscript{Met} and GUS:tRNA\textsubscript{Gly} and the absence of GUS:tRNA\textsubscript{pos} transcripts in wild-type roots after grafting (Figure 3D). Notably, the reverse grafts with transgenic roots and wild-type scions indicate that the shoot-to-root mobile GUS:tRNA\textsubscript{Met} fusion transcript does not move from root to shoot (n = 0/36 grafts) and that GUS:tRNA\textsubscript{Gly} barely moves from root to shoot (n = 3/26 grafts).

To learn whether the whole tRNA sequence or a subsequence is sufficient to mediate mRNA mobility, we used tRNA\textsubscript{Met} deletion constructs lacking the assigned dihydrodihydronic (D), anticodon (A), or T/U C (T) arm/loop structures and combinations thereof (Figure 3C). Again, plants expressing these GUS mRNA fusion constructs were grafted with the wild type and then tested for GUS activity and presence of the fusion transcript. As indicated by GUS and RT-PCR assays, the ΔD, ΔDT, and ΔDΔA constructs, but not the ΔAT tRNA\textsubscript{Met} deletion construct, were sufficient to mediate GUS transport into wild-type roots and, with a very low frequency, to scion leaves (Figures 3C and 3D). Presence of the GUS:ΔT tRNA\textsubscript{Met} transcript and translation in phloem-associated cells of wild-type roots and leaves suggests that only part of the tRNA\textsubscript{Met} sequence is required to trigger mobility. This also indicates that A and T/U C hairpin-loop sequences have redundant roles in triggering mRNA transport as only deletions of both the A and T/U C hairpin-loop sequences eliminated mobility of the GUS fusion transcript.

To elucidate whether tRNA sequences or sequences related to viral TLS motifs confer mRNA mobility, we first evaluated whether the endogenous mobile mRNA population found in Arabidopsis is enriched for TLS motifs. We screened the Arabidopsis graft-mobile transcriptome database (n = 3606) (Thieme et al., 2015) for the presence of TLS motifs in the mRNA UTRs and coding
Figure 3. GUS:tRNA Fusion Transcripts and Mobility in Grafted Arabidopsis.

(A) Schematic drawing of used 35Spro:GUS:tRNA fusion constructs (for sequences of tRNA Met, tRNA Gly, tRNA Ile, and tRNA Met deletions, see Supplemental Figure 2).

(B) Example of a hypocotyl grafted GUS:tRNA Met/wild-type (Col-0) plant. Blue color indicates presence of GUS activity in the hypocotyl above the graft junction (arrow) and in the wild-type root tip.

(C) GUS activity in leaves and primary root tips detected in GUS:tRNA wild-type grafts. The numbers indicate the fraction of GUS staining detected in the wild-type root tips or wild-type leaf vasculature (arrows) of plants grafted with the indicated transgenic line. At least three independent transgenic lines were used for each graft combination (for details, see Supplemental Data Set 3, and for additional images of grafted plants, see Supplemental Figure 3).
sequences (CDSs) (Figure 4A). We performed scans for sequence-independent structure motifs using the established consensus tRNA descriptor (Macke et al., 2001) recognizing the stem-loop arrangements found in most tRNAs (Supplemental Figure 4). The analysis revealed that a significant number of mobile Arabidopsis transcripts (Thieme et al., 2015) (11.4%; n = 411 of 3606) or grapevine (Vitis spp) transcripts (Yang et al., 2015) (7.5%; n = 249 of 3333) harbor a TLS motif in the CDS or 3’ UTR (Figure 4A; Supplemental Data Set 1). Furthermore, annotated tRNA genes were found in closer proximity to genes encoding mobile transcripts than to genes encoding nonmobile transcripts (P < 0.0003; Cohen’s D d = 0.313; see Methods). Independent of DNA strand assignment, of all 1125 genes flanked by a tRNA gene, 158 produced mobile RNAs, and of these, 113 are located within 1000 bp of the tRNA gene (34.7% enrichment for mobile transcripts, P = 0.005, Fisher’s exact test; Figure 4B).

To determine whether these neighboring tRNAs are actually cotranscribed to form dicistronic mRNAs-tRNA molecules, we analyzed paired-end RNA-seq data from Arabidopsis (Thieme et al., 2015; Ito et al., 2015) for the presence of poly(A) RNA:tRNA matching sequences and performed RT-PCR assays on selected transcripts (Figure 4C; Supplemental Figure 5 and Supplemental Data Set 2). Both analyses revealed that dicistronic mRNA-tRNA transcripts are produced relatively frequently. In total, 132 dicistronic transcripts spanning protein-coding genes (120 unique loci) and tRNAs (118 unique loci) were supported by RNA-seq data (Supplemental Data Set 2). Of the 120 genes, 27 genes (22.5%) are annotated to produce mobile mRNAs, and of the 118 tRNA genes, 24 tRNA genes were found as dicistronic transcripts in conjunction with a mobile transcript (Figure 4C). Of all mRNA-tRNA tandem sequences within 1000 bp of the respective gene loci, evidence for a dicistronic nature was found 1.6 times more often when the mRNA was annotated as mobile than for nonmobile mRNAs, albeit statistical significance could not be established as the numbers were low (P = 0.1, Fisher’s exact test; Supplemental Data Set 2).

To confirm these findings and to substantiate the notion that tRNAs play a role in transcript mobility, we analyzed insertion mutants of the CK1 gene (TAIR No. AT1G71697), which produces a mobile transcript (Thieme et al., 2015) and expresses an enzyme catalyzing the reaction of choline to phosphatidylcholine (Tasseva et al., 2004). According to the paired-end sequencing data, the tRNA Gly core sequence (TAIR No. AT1G71700) is present in the CK1 3’ UTR region forming a dicistronic CK1:tRNA Gly transcript (Supplemental Figure 5 and Supplemental Data Set 2). tRNA Gly fused to GUS mRNA mediated mobility of the otherwise non-mobile GUS sequence (Figure 3).

To test whether CK1 mRNA mobility depends on the presence of the tRNA Gly in the 3’ UTR, we first confirmed and then used two SALK T-DNA insertion lines for grafting experiments: ck1.1 (SALK_070759) and ck1.2 (SALK_023420) (Figure 4D). In ck1.1 mutants, the T-DNA is located within the first intron and the ck1.2 mutants have an insertion between the CK1 stop codon and the annotated tRNA Gly sequence. We performed Arabidopsis stem grafting experiments with ck1.2 and the wild type (Col-0) and assayed the presence of wild-type CK1:tRNA Gly and truncated ck1.2 mRNA in stock and scion samples via RT-PCR (Figure 4E). Although ck1.2 mutants produce a full-length CK1 poly(A) transcript containing all protein-coding sequences, the truncated transcript lacking the tRNA Gly sequence could not be detected in wild-type samples. By contrast, wild-type CK1:tRNA Gly transcript was present in both ck1.2 scion and ck1.2 stock tissue samples. This suggests that the CK1:tRNA Gly transcript was bidirectionally mobile from stock to scion (Figure 4E), whereas the mutant ck1.2 transcript lacking the tRNA Gly sequence was not transported over graft junctions. Thus, the endogenously produced dicistronic CK1:tRNA Gly transcript seems to be graft-mobile due to the presence of the 3’ UTR tRNA Gly sequence.

As lack of detectable ck1.2 transcript mobility could be a result of low expression levels, we performed quantitative RT-PCR assays to evaluate CK1 expression levels in the two ck1.1 and ck2.2 mutants and wild-type plants. Here, only marginal expression could be detected in the ck1.1 mutant, whereas CK1 poly(A)-RNA transcript levels in the ck1.2 mutant were similar to that found in the wild type (Figure 4F). Despite comparably high CK1 transcript levels in wild-type and ck1.2 mutant plants, both the ck1.2 line and the ck1.1 line showed a significant decrease in rosette leaf size compared with the wild type (Figure 4F). This implies that either ck1.2 plants produce less functional CK1 enzyme due to the lack of the 3’ tRNA sequence or that CK1 mRNA presence in expressing cells as well as CK1 mRNA mobility is equivalently essential for normal growth behavior of Arabidopsis.

DISCUSSION

RNAs are arguably the most functionally diverse biological macromolecules found in cells. Their diverse roles are determined by both their complex three-dimensional structure and by their primary sequence. Our study reveals an additional biological role of tRNA sequences in plants. They harbor a motif mediating mRNA transport to distant plant cells. Interestingly, transcript mobility was induced by tRNA Met and tRNA Gly, but not by tRNA Thr, consistent with the absence of tRNA Thr in the pumpkin phloem sap (Zhang et al., 2009). As our results indicate that transcript mobility is mediated by a particular RNA structure, a tRNA motif-scanning algorithm did indeed reveal a significantly high number of identified mobile mRNAs that harbor a TLS motif or are transcribed from genes in close proximity to annotated tRNA genes (Figure 4A; Supplemental Data Set 1), which seem to frequently produce dicistronic poly(A)-RNA:tRNAs (Figure 4C; Supplemental Figure 5 and Supplemental Data Set 2). While the functional role of many mobile mRNAs in distant tissues remains to be elucidated, evidence supports the notion that trafficking of small si/miRNAs and

Figure 3. (continued).

(D) RT-PCR on poly(A)-RNA samples harvested from grafted plants. Three samples from three to five grafted plants were pooled and tested for presence of GUS transcripts in wild-type tissue (asterisks). Numbers indicate occurrence of GUS poly(A) RNA in the tested wild-type root or wild-type leaves RNA samples. ACT2-specific RT-PCR was used as a positive control confirming mRNA presence in the samples.
Figure 4. Mobile Arabidopsis mRNAs and Occurrence of tRNA-Like Motifs.
large mRNAs via the phloem plays an important role in regulating plant development (Lucas et al., 2001). A surprisingly high number of mRNAs can be found in phloem exudates (Guo et al., 2013) and move across graft junctions (Thieme et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015), but no general and easily predictable RNA motif or conserved sequence mediating mobility could be identified in the graft-mobile transcript populations (Calderwood et al., 2016). However, our data suggest that a significant fraction of mobile mRNAs carries a TLS motif potentially mediating mobility across graft junctions.

Highlighting the complexity of the translocation system, mRNA transfer does not strictly follow the source to sink phloem flow as GUS:tRNA fusions not only moved from shoot (source) to root (sink), but also vice versa (Figures 3B and 3C; Supplemental Figure 3). Hence, it is likely that two transport pathways exist for delivering mRNA molecules. One could be based on passive, nonselective delivery from source to sink via the phloem vessels. Obviously, passive delivery such as diffusion depends on transcript stability and abundance. However, another pathway in the form of a targeted and active transport system seems to be in place mediating the delivery of mRNAs from root to shoot. This notion finds support in the observed directional and tissue-specific distribution of graft-mobile mRNAs in Arabidopsis (Thieme et al., 2015). Here, two aspects suggest that a number of mRNAs move in an active and regulated fashion: (1) transport against the phloem flow from root to shoot (sink to source) followed by (2) transfer of distinct mobile mRNAs to specific aboveground tissues such as leaves or flowers. Furthermore, the presence of an active mRNA delivery mechanism is supported by two additional findings presented here: (1) Specific sequences derived from TLSs are sufficient to confer mobility to heterologous mRNAs, and (2) deletion of a TLS in the plant endogenous CK1:tRNA dicistronic transcript makes it immobile. This suggests that TLSs or closely related RNA structures mediate transport of a number of graft-mobile transcripts. Here, TLS motifs could provide an evolutionary link between RNA virus transport and mRNA transport. In both systems, TLSs appear to support RNA transport along the phloem vasculature to distant cells. Also, as numerous tRNA genes are dispersed over the genome, it is conceivable that dicistronic gene products are relatively often created by genomic rearrangements. Such randomly created mobile transcripts could complement distant mutant cells harboring nonsense mutations, which seem to occur frequently in higher eukaryotes (McConnell et al., 2013).

In any case, specific RNA transport motifs should interact with plant endogenous RNA binding proteins. This finds support in studies on viroid RNA structures that are specifically necessary for entering specific vascular tissues (Takeda et al., 2011) and in the observed interaction of phloem-delivered mRNAs such as GAI and BEL5 with a phloem-expressed polypyrrolidine-tract binding protein (PTB) recognizing poly-cysteine (C)-uracil (U) nucleotide stretches present in the 3′ UTRs of some phloem mobile transcripts (Ham et al., 2009; Cho et al., 2015). However, a predicted RNA sequence related to PTB binding motifs was not found in the tRNA fusion constructs used in this study and thus is unlikely to play the primary role in triggering their movement. A structural analysis revealed that the level of overall base pairings in the 3′ end of Arabidopsis transcripts assigned to be mobile is similar to nonmobile transcripts (two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, P = 0.011), while the 3′-terminal sequence regions of mobile transcripts form energetically less stable folds (two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, P < 2.2E-16). This is caused by a significantly lower GC content in the respective region compared with transcripts not found to be mobile (Wilcoxon rank sum test, P < 2.518e-10) (Supplemental Figure 4). Hence, the mobile mRNA population

**Figure 4.** (continued).

(A) Number of all identified mobile transcripts (n = 3606) with predicted tRNA-like structures found by the default RNAMotif tRNA descriptor, which does not capture the tRNA9th (TAT) (Supplemental Figure 4). Absolute counts and enrichment in relation to transcripts not found in the mobile database are shown. Asterisks indicate significant counts (P < 0.05) according to Fisher’s exact test.

(B) Normalized frequency (estimated density) and cumulative relative frequency (ecdf) of inter-gene distances of tRNA-mRNA tandem gene pairs with the tRNA being located within 1000 nucleotides up- or downstream of genes coding for mobile transcripts (blue) or nonmobile predicted transcripts (gray). Vertical dashed lines indicate medians of shown distributions. Mobile transcript encoding loci in comparison to loci not producing mobile transcript show a significantly closer proximity to tRNA genes (two-sample, two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, P < 0.0003; Cohen’s D = 0.313).

(C) Number of tRNA genes according to their anticodon, which were detected as poly(A)-RNA:tRNA dicistronic transcripts in RNA-seq data. Orange, distribution of the 94 tRNA genes observed dicistricnally; blue, tRNA genes (n = 24) associated with mobile transcripts; gray, TAIR10-annotated tRNA genes; asterisks, tRNA genes with dicistronic transcripts confirmed by RT-PCR; arrows, experimentally tested tRNA fusions.

(D) Schematic diagram of Arabidopsis CK1 gene (AT1G71697) and analyzed insertion mutants. CK1 mRNA exists as a dicistronic poly(A)-tRNA transcript. The CK1.2 mutant harbors a T-DNA insertion between the CK1 stop codon and the annotated tRNA sequence (AT1G71700) in the 3′ UTR, resulting in a truncated poly(A) transcript lacking the tRNA12v sequences. RT-PCR primers are indicated as follows: P1 binding to exon 7 of CK1 CDS and P3 binding to tRNA12v sequences were used for wild-type CK1:tRNA12v identification. P1 together with P2, which is stretching the T-DNA left border, and CK1 3′ UTR were used for specific ck1.2 detection.

(E) RT-PCR with the indicated primers revealed that the CK1 poly(A)-tRNA transcript is present in ck1.2 mutant samples (asterisks) originating from stem-grafted wild-type Col-0 tissue. In the reciprocal wild-type samples, a mutant CK1 poly(A) transcript produced in ck1.2 and lacking the 3′ UTR tRNA12v sequence was not detected.

(F) Phenotype of ck1.1 and ck1.2 and reverse transcription quantitative PCR of transcripts. Wild-type and ck1.2 plants show similarly high levels of CK1 transcript, whereas ck1.1 mutants show very low levels. Rosette area size measurements on adult plants revealed that both ck1.1 and ck1.2 mutants are significantly smaller than the wild type (Student’s t test, mutant versus wild type; P value ck1.1 = 0.006; P value ck1.2 = 0.002; n = 16 plants/line). Error bars indicate so.

(G) Schematic folding structure of the GUS TLS 3′ UTR motifs predicted according to their minimal free energy.
seems to be generally less stable and less likely to bind to PTB proteins.

In general, predicted folds of mobile tRNA variants (Figure 4G) point toward an RNA hairpin motif triggering transport. All tRNA sequences and variants thereof eliciting bidirectional GUS mRNA transfer over graft junctions seem to form a predicted long hairpin motif with a stem (8 to 12 nucleotides)–variable bulge(s)–stem (4 to 7 nucleotides)–variable loop (Figure 4G), which is surprisingly similar to structures formed by precursor miRNAs having predicted stem–short bulge–stem–extensive loop core folds. Interaction of a D stem-loop with a T stem-loop present in mature L-shaped tRNAs seems not to be essential as the D loop deletion actually enhanced the transport activity of GUS fusions. Requirements for TLS-mediated transport seem to be either within the A- or the T-loop and the acceptor stem sequences present in all mobile GUS-tRNA fusion transcripts. Here, it is important to note that not all tRNAs seem to confer mobility to transcripts. The $tRNA^{Ile}$ core sequence fused to GUS did not trigger GUS transcript mobility over graft junctions. This observation is in line with previous studies in which $tRNA^{Ile}$ (TAT) is not or rarely detected in the phloem exudate of pumpkin (Zhang et al., 2009). Also, we did not identify $tRNA^{Ile}$ (TAT) dicistronic transcripts in RNA-seq data (Figure 4C; Supplemental Data Set 2) and found evidence that $tRNA^{Ile}$ (TAT) has a predicted A- and T-loop folding structure distinct from $tRNA^{Glu}$, $tRNA^{Met}$, and variants thereof, triggering mobility (Figure 4G).

Another important aspect is that GUS enzyme activity assays and sterility phenotypes detected in distant wild-type tissues corroborate the notion that mobile mRNAs are translated into functional proteins after transport. Interestingly, the observed plant size phenotype in mutants producing a nonmobile CK1 transcript (ck1.2) lacking the dicistronic $tRNA^{Glu}$ sequence is equivalent to that observed with the ck1.1 null mutant (Figure 4F). Here, although it seems implausible, CK1 transcript mobility appears to have a similar crucial function as the gene product itself. In any case, we could show that specific tRNA sequences such as $tRNA^{Glu}$, $tRNA^{Met}$, and $tRNA^{Met}$–derived sequences trigger transport of otherwise nonmobile transcripts and that a significant number of mobile mRNAs harbor a TLS motif.

METHODS

Plant Material and Growth Conditions

Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum cv Petite Havana) plants were grown under aseptic conditions on agar-solidified medium containing 30 g L$^{-1}$ sucrose. Rooted tobacco plants were transferred to soil and grown to maturity under standard greenhouse conditions: relative humidity, 55%; day temperature, 25°C; night temperature, 20°C; diurnal cycle, 16 h light/8 h dark; light intensity, 190 to 600 µM m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$; mixed light (ratio 1:1) from metal-halide light (HPI-T) and sodium-vapor light (AgroSonT) sources plus sunlight. Arabidopsis thaliana seeds of wild-type (Col-0) and transgenic 35S$_{pGUS}$, ck1.1 (SALK_070759), and ck1.2 (SALK_023420) plants of ecotype Col-0 were used and grown in controlled environmental chambers (light source: mixed fluorescent tubes 50% cold white, 50% warm white) for growth assays or on soil in the greenhouse: relative humidity, 60%; day temperature, 22°C; night temperature, 19°C; diurnal cycle, 16 h light/8 h dark; light intensity, 170 to 200 µM m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$; mixed light (ratio 1:1) from metal-halide light (HPI-T) and sodium-vapor light (AgroSonT) sources plus sunlight. The SALK lines were obtained from the Salk Institute Genomic Analysis Laboratory (Alonso et al., 2003).

Grafting and Estradiol Treatment

Tobacco plants used for grafting experiments were grown 2 to 3 months on soil in the greenhouse. A standard splice grafting procedure was used as previously described (Zhang et al., 2014). In short, plants with the same stem diameter carrying five fully expanded leaves were used as stock and scion material; rootstocks were prepared by removing the apical leaves from the top of the plant and keeping two to three source leaves. Scions were prepared by cutting the stem 3 to 4 cm below the apex and removing the source leaves. A long slanting cut was made on the rootstock stem (~30 degrees from vertical) with a matching cut at the scion base. The surfaces of both cuts were immediately pressed together and the junction was tightly wrapped with Parafilm. The first week after grafting, the scion was covered with a plastic bag and kept under high humidity. After the graft junction was established, auxiliary branches and leaves emerging at the stock were removed to enforce apical dominance of the scion. Before flower induction, 5 µM 17β-estradiol mixed with Lanolin (Sigma-Aldrich) (1000× stock solution: 5 mM 17β-estradiol in DMSO, stored at ~20°C) was applied with soaked tissue paper onto the adaxial side of stock plant leaf surfaces to induce gene expression. The tissue paper was left on the surface to mark the side of induction. After flowers appeared on the scion, part of the induced leaf and emerging first closed flowers were sampled for fusion transcript presence by RT-PCR.

Arabidopsis hypocotyl grafting was performed as described (Thieme et al., 2015). In short, plants were grown vertically on solid 0.5 Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (1% sucrose) at 22°C with a photoperiod of 8 h light (fluence rate of 100 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). The temperature was increased to 26°C 4 d after germination to reduce adventitious root formation. Six to seven days after germination, seedlings were used for grafting under sterile conditions as described (Thieme et al., 2015). In short, seedlings were cut transversely in the middle of the hypocotyl with a razor blade (Dumont; No. 5), and a silicon collar (NeoTecha; diameter 0.30 × 0.60 mm) was slid over the stock in which the scion was inserted. Grafted plantlets were placed on solid 0.5 MS medium (supplemented with 1% agar and 1% sucrose) and grown at 22°C (8 h light). Appearimg adventitious roots were cut every 2 d, and after 2 weeks successfully grafted plants were submitted to histochemical GUS stain assays, or root and shoot material were harvested separately for RT-PCR detection of GUS transcripts. The detailed procedure of Arabidopsis inflorescence stem grafting used for CK1 mobility assays was performed as described (Nisar et al., 2012), and samples were harvested for RNA extraction and RT-PCR detection 1 week after grafting.

Expression Constructs

To produce a dominant-negative Arabidopsis DMC1 with a N-terminal 92-amino acid deletion in Arabidopsis DMC1 (pDMC1; provided by Rijk Zwaan) transcripts with 3' UTR and 5' UTR fusions an expression binary constructs named pRD1 and pRD4 were created based on a pMD7 backbone (Curts and Grossniklaus, 2003). The pDMC1 fragment was introduced 5' or 3' of the pMDC7 Gateway cloning cassette, which resulted in a template binary vector used to clone the RNA sequences of BEL5 or $tRNA^{Ame}$ between the pMDC1 open reading frame and promoter or terminator via a Gateway reaction (Figure 1A). Synthetic oligonucleotides were used to produce Gateway Entry clones with the according sequence for Gateway recombination with the binary vector (Supplemental Table 2). The binary vector constructs based on pMDC7 allow estradiol-induced pDMC1:RNA or RNA:pDMC1 expression. pEarlyGate104 used for 35S$_{pGUS}$:35S$_{pGUS}$ expression and the DMC1 siRNA tobacco line (35S$_{pGUS}$:BcDMC1 hpRNA) and its function were previously described (Zhang et al., 2014).
GUS fusion constructs harboring tRNA\textsuperscript{Aad} (AUG), tRNA\textsuperscript{Giv} (GGC), or tRNA\textsuperscript{Aal} (AUA) and tRNA\textsuperscript{Agt} (AUG) variants in the 3’ UTR were created by PCR amplification using an Ncol GUS forward primer covering the GUS start codon and by a BstEII GUS reverse primer covering the GUS stop codon and the mRNA sequence. The resulting PCR fragment was amplified again with an unspecific XbaI reverse primer harboring an XbaI site for identification of the cloned fragment. The resulting Ncol-BstEII-digested fragments were cloned into the accordingly digested pCAMbia1305.1 (Chen et al., 1998), allowing expression of the GUS:tRNA constructs driven by a 3SS promoter. All synthetic oligonucleotides used in the PCR reactions are listed in Supplemental Table 2.

RNA Isolation and Reverse Transcription Reactions

Samples were prepared in 1 mL Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) (0.5 mL/100 mg tissue) as described previously (Zhang et al., 2009). After centrifugation (10,000 g, 10 min at 4°C), the supernatant (−1 mL) was transferred to a new RNase-free tube and extracted once with 200 μL and once with 50 μL chloroform. To precipitate the RNA, the supernatant was supplemented with two volumes of 95% isopropanol, 0.1 volumes of 3 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2), and 1 μL of linear acrylamide (Invitrogen) and incubated ≥1 h at −20°C. After centrifugation (16,000 g, 30 min at 4°C), the resulting pellet was washed twice with 80% ethanol, once with 99% ethanol, air dried, and resuspended in 20 μL RNase-free water. To determine RNA quality and concentration, 1 μL of each RNA sample was submitted to agarose gel electrophoresis (2%, agarose, 1× TBE) and quantified using a NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo Scientific).

Reverse transcription was performed with 1 unit/μL AMV reverse transcriptase (Promega) with the following modifications: total RNA (−4 μg) was denatured at 70°C for 10 min in the presence of oligo(dT) primer followed by a 5-min annealing incubation at 37°C prior to the RT reaction, then incubated at 42°C for 1 h, and 72°C for 10 min for deactivation. RT-PCR was conducted under standard PCR conditions with 40 to 45 cycles (Zhang et al., 2014). Oligonucleotides used for RT-PCR are listed in Supplemental Table 2.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Quantitative real-time PCR was performed according to the SYBR Green method in a 0.5 μL volume using 4 μg total RNA, 2.5 μL SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), and 0.2 μM forward and reverse primers. For each genomic confirmed ckt1 mutant, RNA from three to five individual plants was isolated and used. At least three technical replicates were performed. An ABI system sequence detector (Applied Biosystems 7900HT fast real-time PCR) was used with the following regimen of thermal cycling: stage 1, 1 cycle, 2 min at 50°C; stage 2, 1 cycle, 10 min at 95°C; stage 3, 40 cycles, 15 s at 95°C, 1 min 60°C. Dissociation stage was as follows: 15 s at 95°C, 15 s at 60°C, and 15 s at 95°C. Oligonucleotides used for RT-PCR are listed in Supplemental Table 2.

Microscopy and Pollen Shape Analysis

The statistical pollen shape analysis indicating sterility was performed as described previously (Zhang et al., 2014). Tobacco pollen was collected and stained with propidium iodide (0.01 mg/mL; Molecular Probes). To image the shape and size of the pollen, a confocal laser scanning microscope (TCS SPS; Leica Microsystems) was used. The system had the following settings: detection channel 2 (red), 570 to 650 nm; the channel 2 gain (PMT) was set between 500 and 600 V; pinhole, 1.2 airy units; five Z-stacks with 5 to 6 μm were merged and used for the shape recognition algorithm as described (Zhang et al., 2014).

YFP fluorescence was detected as described (Zhang et al., 2014) with the following settings: sequential channel scan mode with a maximum aerial pinhole of 1.2 airy units. To compare the YFP fluorescence intensity between plants, the same settings, such as laser power, gain voltage, pinhole, objective, magnification, and channel/filter wavelengths, were used. Z-stack images were assembled and processed using the Image J software package (NIH): detection channel 1 (green), 535 to 617 nm; detection channel 2 (red), not used; detection channel 3 (blue; chlorophyll/plastid autofluorescence), 695 to 765 nm. Channel 1 gain (PMT) was set between 500 and 600 V.

GUS Detection

Histochemical reactions with substrate X-Gluc were performed with plant material incubated in 80% acetonitrile for 20 min at −20°C, washed two times with 50 mM NaPO\textsubscript{4} buffer, pH 7.0. The staining solution (1 mM X-Gluc diluted to 25 mg/mL, in 50 mM NaPO\textsubscript{4} pH 7.0 buffer, supplemented with 2 mM potassium ferricyanide, 2 mM potassium ferrocyanide, and 0.1% Triton X-100) was vacuum infiltrated for 15 min. The staining reaction was performed at 37°C overnight and stopped by rinsing the tissues three times in 70% ethanol for 1 h. The stained plant material was examined by stereomicroscopy (Leica DFC300). For thin sections, GUS-stained samples were dehydrated in an ethanol series including a fixation step with 20% ethanol, 35% ethanol, 50% ethanol, FAA prepared fresh (50% ethanol, 3.7% formaldehyde, and 5% acetic acid), and 70% ethanol for 30 min each at room temperature. The samples were then embedded in paraffin using the enclosed Leica ASK300S tissue processor and the Leica EG1160 embedding center. The 10- and 20-μm longitudinal and traverse sections were placed on poly-l-lysine-coated slides. After drying the samples overnight at 42°C, the slides were dewaxed twice in Histoclear for 10 min and then incubated twice in 99.8% ethanol for 10 min under constant movement at room temperature. After drying overnight, the cover slips were mounted with Entellan new (Merck Millipore) and examined by an epifluorescence microscope (Olympus BX61).

Bioinformatic Analysis

tRNA Motif Scans

Reference sequences of all protein-encoding genes (available cDNA sequence data associated with all protein-coding Arabidopsis genes, TAIR10 [Lamesch et al., 2012], excluding organellar genomes) were partitioned into distinct sets based on their annotation as mobile or nonmobile as detected in heterografted Arabidopsis accessions or Cuscuta-parasite Arabidopsis-host interactions (Thieme et al., 2015). Subsets were generated for genes common to both mobile sets (n = 486), present in at least one of them (n = 3606), as well as according to the observed movement direction (root-to-shoot, shoot-to-root, and bidirectional). All genes and associated transcripts assigned as nonmobile were used as controls. All sets were filtered for duplicate sequences, and annotated tRNA genes were removed. tRNA sequence data were obtained from the tRNAdb (Jühling et al., 2009). Prior to structure motif scans, each sequence was padded with 50 “N” leading and trailing characters to facilitate the detection of terminally located tRNA structures without asymmetric ends at the tRNA acceptor arm which are required by the default tRNA descriptor. All sets were analyzed by RNA-Motif version 3.1.1 (Macke et al., 2001) using the provided tRNA structure descriptor and default parameter settings. Motif enrichment associated with genes encoding mobile transcripts compared with background data was assessed by Fisher’s exact test. Specificity of the searched tRNA-like structure was assessed by permutation scans of the default tRNA descriptor. A total of 20,000 different tRNA descriptors were produced by randomly altering the accepted minimum and maximum lengths limits for the stems and the single-stranded loops in the model (normal distribution using μ = 0, sigma = 5; minimum stem length set to 3 nucleotides). Each descriptor was evaluated against the mobile/nonmobile data by RNA-Motif with default settings. Structuredness, i.e., the percentage of base-paired nucleotides and associated energetics, within the 3’ UTR was addressed.
by excising the 150-nucleotide 3’-terminal sequence portion and subsequent analysis of its predicted secondary structure (RNAfold, default settings).

**tRNA-mRNA Tandem Scans**

Genes adjacent to tRNA loci were identified according to TAIR10 gene models including protein-coding, noncoding genes, and pseudogenes. Statistical significance of the difference of gene proximity distributions (distances between tRNA genes and mobile versus nonmobile gene neighbors) was estimated by the nonparametric Kolmogorov-Smirnov test; relevance was assessed by the effect size (Cohen’s D) based on the mean observed differences and associated standard deviations.

**Dicistronic tRNA Analysis**

Arabidopsis reference genome (TAIR10) sequence information obtained from TAIR-associated gene model descriptions (gtf version 10.30) was taken from http://plantsensembl.org. Paired-end RNA-seq data (100-nucleotide reads from both ends) were retrieved from the Sequence Read Archive (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra): accession numbers SRX853394 (14.1G bases, root sample) and SRX853395 (15.3G bases, shoot sample) (Thieme et al., 2015) as well as DRX014481 (19G bases, root sample) and DRX014482 (32.7G bases, root sample) (Ito et al. 2015). Read data were quality trimmed and Illumina adapter sequences were clipped using Trimmomatic (Loelse et al., 2012) standard settings (ILLUMINACLIP: <adapterfile>:2:40:15, LEADING:3, TRAILING:3, SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15, and MINLEN:36).

Mapping of sequence mate pairs to the Arabidopsis reference genome (TAIR10) was done by STAR v2.5.1 (Dobin et al. 2013) based on Ensembl gene model descriptions. Considering the high number of tRNA genes in the Arabidopsis genome and their similar sequences, reads with multiple alignments were excluded, minimum overhang for gene junctions was set to 10 nucleotides for annotated junctions and 20 nucleotides for unannotated junctions, and maximum number of allowed mismatches per pair was 10 nucleotides (outFilterMultimapNmax 1, alignSJOverhangMin 10, alignSoverhangMin 20, outFilterMismatchNmax 10). Subsequently, all read pairs mapping to chromosomes 1 to 5 with a minimum alignment quality Q = 10 were checked to be intersecting with both tRNA and mRNA gene annotations. Finally, identified 132 dicistronic poly(A)-:tRNA::tRNA transcripts were grouped by their tRNA gene identity (118 unique tRNA genes; Figure 4C) as well as by the protein-coding gene (120 unique transcripts were grouped by their tRNA gene identity (118 unique tRNA genes)).

**Dicistronic Poly(A)-tRNA Analysis**

Dicistronic poly(A)-tRNA transcripts were aligned to the Arabidopsis reference genome (TAIR10) using SAMtools (Li et al. 2009). Number of independent transgenic lines used to perform graft experiments.

**Supplemental Data**

- **Supplemental Figure 1.** tRNA\textsubscript{Medica}::tRNA\textsubscript{DMC1} movement into flowers and pollen phenotype.
- **Supplemental Figure 2.** tRNA sequences fused to the 3’UTR of GUS.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Dana Schindelbach and Marina Stratmann (MPI-MPP) for their outstanding technical support. This work was partially funded by MPI-MPP and Rijk Zwaan to F.K.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

W.Z. performed grafting experiments, evaluated pollen phenotypes, constructed GUS fusions, analyzed GUS transgenic and CK1 mutants, and performed RT-PCR experiments. G.K. constructed DMC1 fusions and made transgenic tobacco lines. F.A. and W.Z. performed the pollen shape analysis. N.A. supervised and, supported by W.Z., analyzed grafted plants. C.J.T. and D.W. performed the bioinformatic analysis of graft-mobile Arabidopsis and grapevine transcripts. L.Y. performed some Arabidopsis grafts and harvested pollen from grafted tobacco plants. N.W. embedded and analyzed GUS-stained tissue from grafted plants. C.J.T. and D.W. performed the bioinformatic analysis of graft-mobile mRNA sequences data. F.K. outlined the project, suggested experiments, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript with W.Z., supported by C.J.T., D.W., and N.W.

Received December 23, 2015; revised May 25, 2016; accepted June 7, 2016; published June 7, 2016.

**REFERENCES**


tRNA-Related Sequences Trigger Systemic mRNA Transport in Plants
Wenna Zhang, Christoph J. Thieme, Gregor Kollwig, Federico Apelt, Lei Yang, Nikola Winter, Nadine Andresen, Dirk Walther and Friedrich Kragler

Plant Cell 2016;28;1237-1249; originally published online June 7, 2016;
DOI 10.1105/tpc.15.01056

This information is current as of October 28, 2017