A PXY-Mediated Transcriptional Network Integrates Signaling Mechanisms to Control Vascular Development in Arabidopsis
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The cambium and procambium generate the majority of biomass in vascular plants. These meristems constitute a bifacial stem cell population from which xylem and phloem are specified on opposing sides by positional signals. The PHLOEM INTERCALATED WITH XYLEM (PXY) receptor kinase promotes vascular cell division and organization. However, how these functions are specified and integrated is unknown. Here, we mapped a putative PXY-mediated transcriptional regulatory network comprising 690 transcription factor-promoter interactions in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana). Among these interactions was a feedforward loop containing transcription factors WUSCHEL HOMEOBOX RELATED14 (WOX14) and TARGET OF MONOPTEROS6 (TMO6), each of which regulates the expression of the gene encoding a third transcription factor, LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES DOMAIN4 (LBD4). PXY signaling in turn regulates the WOX14, TMO6, and LBD4 interactions that in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) mp mutants are characterized by patterning defects in the embryo vascular cylinder (Berleth and Jurgens, 1993). MP is thought to act as an activator of vascular proliferation in seedlings (Vera-Sirera et al., 2015) or as a repressor of vascular proliferation in mature plant tissues (Mattsson et al., 2003; Brackmann et al., 2018). With additional signals, MP controls two pathways that stimulate vascular
IN A NUTSHELL

**Background:** Vascular tissues transport water, salts, and photosynthates within the plant body. The xylem moves water and salts from the roots up, and the phloem distributes water and sugars throughout the plant. As the plant grows, the stem grows thicker in part because the woody vascular tissues expand. Between the xylem and phloem are stem cells that divide and develop into xylem and phloem. These divisions increase vascular tissue size and provide structural support to the plant. Due to the importance of vascular tissues, both the divisions and fate of stem cells must be tightly controlled. Several proteins have been found to control cell division rate or xylem/phloem fate in vascular stem cells.

**Question:** PXY controls vascular stem cell division rate but is also needed to organize xylem and phloem into two separate domains. However, we don't know how PXY can control these two separate processes. Our goal was to identify genetic interactions that help explain the role of PXY.

**Findings:** We looked at the transcriptional regulation of vascular genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. We identified interactions between DNA-binding proteins and regulatory DNA sequences using enhanced Yeast One Hybrid assays. We constructed a potential transcriptional regulatory network from the 690 interactions. The network contains an interesting feed-forward loop controlled by PXY. In our network, LBD4 is regulated by both WOX14 and TMO6, but WOX14 also regulates TMO6. As a result, WOX14 influences LBD4 in two ways: directly and indirectly via TMO6. We studied the genetic interactions between these components and found that PXY regulates the components of this feed-forward loop to control vascular stem cell divisions. In addition, PXY regulation of LBD4 marks the boundary between stem cells and phloem cells. Thus, we identified genetic mechanisms used by PXY to regulate both division rate and fate separation.

**Next steps:** The challenges are to understand how network interactions change in a cell-type specific manner through development and to identify interactions that have been modified through evolution. Wood is an important biomaterial and carbon sink. Our network provides a basis for experiments aimed at modifying plants to maximize wood formation.

proliferation. The first pathway is characterized by **TARGET OF MONOPTEROS5** (**TMO5**), encoding a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor (Schlereth et al., 2010) that with its homologs promotes cell divisions in the vascular cylinder. These transcription factor genes are upregulated by MP in the embryo. TMO5-like proteins perform this function in heterodimers with a second class of bHLH transcription factors including LONESOME HIGHWAY and its relatives (Ohashi-Ito and Bergmann, 2007; De Rybel et al., 2013, 2014; Ohashi-Ito et al., 2014; Vera-Sirera et al., 2015). The second pathway targeted by MP involves auxin-responsive **TMO6** (Schlereth et al., 2010), which encodes a member of the DOF family of transcription factors. Multiple members of the DOF family have been shown to promote vascular cell divisions (Guo et al., 2009; Waki et al., 2013; Konishi et al., 2015; Miyashima et al., 2019; Konishi et al., 2015; Miyashima et al., 2019; Smet et al., 2019). The expression of a subset of **DOF** genes, including **TMO6**, is also controlled by cytokinin (Miyashima et al., 2019). Thus, **TMO6** responds to both cytokinin and auxin.

**TRACHEARY ELEMENT DIFFERENTIATION INHIBITORY FACTOR** (**TDIF**) and PHLOEM INTERCALATED WITH XYLEM/ **TDIF RECEPTOR** (PX/TDR; referred to hereafter as PXY) are a ligand-receptor pair (Hirakawa et al., 2008; Morita et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016) that also promote cell division in vascular meristems. The TDIF peptide is derived from **CLE41**, **CLE42**, and **CLE44**. These genes are expressed in the phloem while PXY is expressed in the procambium (Ito et al., 2006; Fisher and Turner, 2007; Hirakawa et al., 2008; Etchells and Turner, 2010). Upon TDIF binding to the PXY receptor, the transcription factor genes **WUSCHEL HOMEOBOX RELATED4** (**WOX4**), **WOX14**, and **ATHB8** are upregulated (Hirakawa et al., 2010; Etchells et al., 2013). Another transcription factor, **BES1**, is also regulated by TDIF-PXY.

When TDIF binds to PXY, an interaction between PXY and GSK3 kinases results in the phosphorylation and degradation of BES1. BES1 is thought to promote xylem differentiation, so its degradation preserves cambium pluripotency (Kondo et al., 2014). Interactions between TDIF-PXY and auxin signaling contribute to vascular tissue development (Suer et al., 2011; Smetana et al., 2019). Both auxin and PXY responses are mediated by interactions with GSK3 signaling proteins. The GSK3, BIN2-LIKE 1 regulates the auxin response via phosphorylation of MP, and during vascular development, this requires the absence of active TDIF-PXY complexes (Cho et al., 2014; Kondo et al., 2014; Han et al., 2018). Auxin also induces the expression of the TDIF-PXY targets **ATHB8** and **WOX4** (Baima et al., 1995; Mattsson et al., 2003; Suer et al., 2011). The induction of **TMOS5-like1** (**T5L1**) and **LHW** also increases **ATHB8** expression (Vera-Sirera et al., 2015). **ATHB8** encodes an HD-Zip III transcription factor (Baima et al., 2001) whose paralogues modulate the expression of auxin biosynthesis and auxin perception genes (Müller et al., 2016). **HD-Zip III** genes have wide-ranging roles in vascular patterning and proliferation (Zhong and Ye, 1999; Emery et al., 2003; Prigge et al., 2005; Carlsbecker et al., 2010; Baima et al., 2014; Ramachandran et al., 2016).

In addition to PXY, a second family of receptor kinases, members of the ERECTA (ER) family, control vascular expansion in Arabidopsis (Ragni et al., 2011; Uchida et al., 2012; Uchida and Tasaka, 2013; Ikematsu et al., 2017). PXY and its paralogues genetically interact with **ER** family members to control cell proliferation, cell size, and cell type organization in vascular tissues (Etchells et al., 2013; Uchida and Tasaka, 2013; Wang et al., 2019). **ER** also interacts with auxin signaling components and members of the **HD-Zip III** family in...
developmental contexts that include meristem maintenance, stem architecture, and leaf development (Woodward et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2013). Thus, interactions between PXY, auxin, cytokinin, HD-Zip Ills, ER, and GSK3s constitute a significant proportion of the regulatory mechanisms that define how vascular tissue develops.

How do these and other factors combine to coordinate vascular development at the level of transcription? Here, to provide a framework for answering this question, we generated a transcriptional regulatory network (TRN) incorporating a significant proportion of known regulators of vascular development in Arabidopsis. We used high-throughput enhanced yeast one-hybrid (eY1H) assays (Gaudinier et al., 2011, 2017; Reece-Hoyes et al., 2011) to identify transcription factors that bind to the promoters of vascular regulators. Our vascular development TRN comprises 690 transcription factor-promoter interactions. To demonstrate the power of our network to identify novel regulators and interactions, we characterized a feed-forward loop incorporating three transcription factors that link auxin and PXY-mediated signaling: WOX14, TMO6, and LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES DOMAIN4 (LBD4). Feed-forward loops are often involved in dynamic gene regulation (Mangan and Alon, 2003), and our results demonstrate that, in response to auxin and TDIF-PXY signaling, the genes within this circuit define a zone of procambial activity to maintain the arrangement of vascular tissue of the stem.

RESULTS

Identification of Putative TDIF Target Genes

To generate a TRN downstream of TDIF, we first identified putative TDIF target genes. The TDIF peptide ligand is derived from CLE41, CLE42, and CLE44 proteins, so we compared the transcriptomes of mature (5-week-old) stem bases of 35S:CLE41 lines (i.e., increased PXY signaling) with that of the wild type. Genes were considered differentially expressed where the P value, adjusted for multiple hypothesis testing, was ≤0.05 (Supplemental Data Set 1). 35S:CLE41 plants had on average 100.7 ± 9.1 undifferentiated cells per vascular bundle compared with 59.5 ± 5.5 in the wild type (Supplemental Figures 1A and 1B). Consistent with the vascular overproliferation phenotype, genes shown to be predominantly expressed in the procambium, including BRI-LIKE1, PINFORMED1, and MP (Gälweiler et al., 1998; Hardtke and Berleth, 1998; Caño-Delgado et al., 2004), demonstrated significant increases in expression in 35S:CLE41 plants relative to the wild type (Supplemental Table 1). The expression levels of previously described targets of PXY signaling, ATHB8 and WOX14 (Hirakawa et al., 2010; Etchells et al., 2013), increased 2.78-fold (P < 0.001) and 4.76-fold, respectively, in 35S:CLE41 versus the wild type (P < 0.001). Our microarray data were further validated using qRT-PCR of a select number of genes involved in xylem cell differentiation or transcriptional regulation, including an ASPARTIC PEPTIDASE gene, GMC OXIDOREDUCTASE, MAP70-5, IAA30, and MYB38 (Supplemental Figure 1C), and were consequently used to guide promoter selection for eY1H experiments.

A PXY-Mediated Transcriptional Network for Vascular Development

To understand how factors that control PXY-mediated vascular development interact, and to identify novel vascular regulators, we identified transcription factor-promoter interactions using eY1H assays (Gaudinier et al., 2011; Reece-Hoyes et al., 2011). Bait were selected as promoters from five groups of genes representing factors that regulate PXY-mediated or xylem cell development in the inflorescence stem (Supplemental Table 2). Group I included PXY and PXL receptors (Fisher and Turner, 2007), ligands (Ito et al., 2006), and their target transcription factor gene, WOX14 (Etchells et al., 2013). Group II comprised GSK3 family members, which interact with the PXY kinase domain (Kondo et al., 2014) and their target transcription factor genes BES1 and BZR1 (He et al., 2002). The ER family of receptors were included in group II, as ER family receptors act in part through GSK3 signaling (Kim et al., 2012), and they genetically interact with PXY family receptors (Wang et al., 2019). Genes involved in auxin or cytokinin perception and auxin responses that also demonstrated differential expression in 35S:CLE41 constituted groups III and IV. These included TMO6, a transcriptional target of MP (Scherelth et al., 2010), and its parologue DOF1.8 (Supplemental Table 2; Le Hir and Bellini, 2013). Promoters of HD-Zip III transcription factor genes that were differentially expressed in 35S:CLE41 lines and have been shown elsewhere to control vascular development (Zhong and Ye, 1999; Baima et al., 2001; McConnell et al., 2001; Emery et al., 2003; Carlsbecker et al., 2010; Müller et al., 2016) were used as bait for group V. Finally, based on very high expression in 35S:CLE41, LBD4/ASL6, and its homolog, LBD3/ASL9, genes of unknown function were identified (Supplemental Table 2).

We screened these promoters against a collection of 812 root-expressed transcription factors that represent more than 95% of the transcription factors with enriched expression in the Arabidopsis stele (Gaudinier et al., 2011; Taylor-Teeples et al., 2015). The resulting interactions comprise a network consisting of 312 nodes (Figure 1A). Each node represents a gene either as a promoter, as a transcription factor, or as both. The nodes were connected by 690 edges, each representing a transcription factor binding to a promoter, as identified in the eY1H assays (Figure 1A; Supplemental Data Set 2). To visualize the nodes and edges, we designed a custom layout in Cytoscape (Shannon et al., 2003). Promoter nodes were colored and arranged in the five association groups described in the previous paragraph: PXY signaling (group I; blue), ER/BRI1/GSK3 signaling (group II; mint), auxin/cytokinin perception (group III; green/red), targets of MP and affiliates (group IV; orange/purple), and HD-Zip Ills (group V; olive; Figure 1A). Transcription factors were colored white and positioned in the network based on their target profiles. Those targeting similar sets of genes/groups were placed together. Transcription factors interacting with promoters in more than two groups were placed at the center of the network. Those interacting with one or two promoter groups were placed on the periphery. In total, 287 transcription factors targeted at least one promoter in the network. The transcription factor families with the greatest representation were AP2/EREBP transcription factors, of which 46 members interacted with the screened promoters, followed by MYB (40...
interactors), and C2H2 transcription factors (31 interactors). A list of all interacting transcription factors and their respective classes is shown in Supplemental Data Set 3.

We predicted that the network would be enriched for genes differentially expressed in 35S:CLE41 (Supplemental Figure 2A). A significant enrichment \( (P = 2.2e^{-6}) \) was observed using Fisher’s exact test. Furthermore, using previously described loss-of-function gene expression data from pxy mutants (Etchells et al., 2012), a more dramatic enrichment \( (P = 1.57e^{-62}) \) was observed. Thus, the network represents a PXY-mediated TRN.

A WOX14-Mediated Feed-Forward Loop

We used our predicted vascular development network (Figure 1A) combined with our 35S:CLE41 transcriptome data (Supplemental Data Set 1) to identify a regulatory circuit for further analysis. Promoters were ranked by the number of transcription factors that bound to them (in-degree binding). PHB, PHV, LBD4, and TSL1 demonstrated the highest levels of in-degree connectivity in our TRN (Supplemental Data Set 4). In addition to its high in-degree value of 68 (ranked third), LBD4 also demonstrated an 11-fold increase in expression in 35S:CLE41 versus the wild type (Supplemental Table 1; Supplemental Data Set 1), higher than that of any other transcription factor. Furthermore, its function had not previously been described, making it a strong candidate for further investigation.

TMO6 and WOX14 were predicted to bind to and regulate LBD4 (Figure 1B; Supplemental Data Set 2). Both were also expressed to a high degree in 35S:CLE41 lines, each demonstrating a 4.8-fold increase in expression (Supplemental Table 2; Supplemental Data Set 1). WOX14 was also predicted to bind to and regulate both TMO6 and LBD4 (Figure 1B; Supplemental Data Set 2); thus, these three transcription factors were present in a feedforward loop (Figure 1B). Feed-forward loops are enriched within xylem regulatory networks (Taylor-Teeples et al., 2015) and ensure robust regulation of their target genes (Shen-Orr et al., 2002; Mangan and Alon, 2003; Kalir et al., 2005; Kaplan et al., 2008). We hypothesized that the WOX14-TMO6-LBD4 feed-forward loop plays a key role in regulating vascular development due to its potential to integrate auxin, cytokinin, and TDIF-PXY signaling (Figures 1A and 1B). Specifically, TMO6 is transcriptionally regulated by both auxin (Schlereth et al., 2010) and cytokinin (Miyashima et al., 2019; Smet et al., 2019). WOX14 is regulated by TDIF-PXY (Etchells et al., 2013). Consequently, based on high network connectivity and high expression in 35S:CLE41 relative to the wild type, their likelihood of integrating PXY, auxin, and cytokinin signaling, and their arrangement in a feedforward loop, we selected the regulatory circuit involving TMO6, WOX14, and LBD4 for further study.
Genetic Elimination of the TMO6-WOX14-LBD4 Feedforward Loop

To determine the significance of the TMO6-WOX14-LBD4 feedforward loop in vascular development, we genetically perturbed each of these genes singly and in combination. We combined wox14 (Etchells et al., 2013) and lbd4 Arabidopsis T-DNA lines with tmo6 mutants generated by genome editing. The single mutants demonstrated no changes in the number of cells per vascular bundle or vascular morphology compared with the wild type (Figures 2A, 2F; Supplemental Data Set 5). Consistent with TMO6 and WOX14 acting as upstream regulators of LBD4, as predicted from the eY1H data (Figure 1A), the tmo6 wox14 and tmo6 wox14 lbd4 lines were indistinguishable (P = 0.37; Figures 2D to 2F).

In the wild type, vascular bundles expand to a greater degree along the radial axis of the stem than the tangential, and thus vascular bundle shape can be measured by comparing tangential:radial ratios. In the tmo6 wox14 lbd4 lines, this ratio was higher than in the wild type (Figure 2G), and as such, the triple mutant demonstrated reduced expansion along the radial axis of the stem. This genetic interaction supports the idea that the feedforward loop transcription factors are components of the same pathway and that they are critical for controlling vascular proliferation and shape.

WOX14 and TMO6 Are Sufficient to Regulate Gene Expression within the Feedforward Loop in Plant Cells

A prerequisite for in planta transcriptional regulation within the feedforward loop is the expression of TMO6, WOX14, and LBD4 in the same place and time. Using in situ hybridization, TMO6 and LBD4 mRNA antisense probes hybridized to cells in the vascular tissue of the inflorescence stem, with expression maxima at the phloem-procambium boundary (Figures 3A and 3B; Supplemental Figure 4A for sense controls). WOX14:GUS expression (Figure 3C) was also present in phloem-procambium boundary cells, in addition to other vascular cell types, as described previously by Etchells et al. (2013).

Given the genetic interaction and overlapping expression of TMO6, WOX14, and LBD4, we sought more direct evidence for the feedforward loop interactions identified in the eY1H in planta. We transformed wild tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana) leaf protoplasts with a construct that harbored LBD4pro:LUC (LUCIFERASE) and 35S::REN (RENIALLA) cassettes and determined LBD4pro activity as LUC activity normalized to that of REN. LUC activity was higher in cells co-transformed with both LBD4pro::LUC reporter and a 35S::TMO6 construct than in cells transformed with the LBD4pro::LUC reporter and a control (empty vector) construct (P < 0.001; Supplemental Figure 5A). LBD4 promoter activity further increased (P = 0.005) when cells were co-transformed with LBD4pro::LUC, 35S::TMO6, and 35S::WOX14. The LUC activity in cells containing both LBD4pro::LUC and 35S::WOX14 was similar to that in cells harboring LBD4pro:LUC and an empty vector control.

We used a similar strategy to verify WOX14-mediated regulation of transcripts under the control of the TMO6 promoter. Here, LUC activity was significantly higher (P < 0.001) when TMO6pro:LUC was co-transformed with a 35S::WOX14 construct than when transformed with a control construct (Supplemental Figure 5B). In summary, these multiple pieces of data provide evidence that the WOX14-TMO6-LBD4 transcription factor-promoter interactions are sufficient to regulate transcription in plant cells (Supplemental Figure 5).

Interconnected Transcriptional Regulation in the Feedforward Loop

We obtained in planta genetic evidence for these regulatory relationships by performing qRT-PCR and examining loss-of-function mutant alleles. Our network suggested that LBD4 and TMO6 act downstream of WOX14 (Figure 1B), so we tested the expression levels of these genes in wox14 mutants in the basal one-third of 15-cm inflorescence stems, where WOX14 expression had previously been shown to be the highest. Because WOX14 acts redundantly with WOX4 (Etchells et al., 2013), wox4 and wox4 wox14 lines were also included in our analysis. Consistent with the notion that WOX14 regulates TMO6 and LBD4 expression, wox4 stems exhibited lower levels of TMO6 and LBD4 expression than the wild type (Figures 3D and 3E). Thus, wild-type levels of TMO6 and LBD4 expression are dependent on the expression of WOX14. Further reductions in TMO6 and LBD4 expression were not observed in wox4 wox14 lines relative to single wox4 or wox14 mutant alleles.

To determine if LBD4 expression is also dependent on TMO6 expression (Figure 1B), we tested tmo6 mutant lines. In the basal one-half of 15-cm inflorescence stems, LBD4 expression was unchanged in tmo6 relative to the wild type (Figure 3F; Supplemental Table 3). We reasoned that the dependency of LBD4 on TMO6 might be revealed in a sensitized genetic background. To test this hypothesis, we generated wox4 wox14 tmo6 and pxy px1 px12 tmo6 (px tmo6) lines. tmo6 dramatically enhanced the cell division defect observed in the px tmo6 triple mutants (Figures 3G–3J; Supplemental Data Set 5), although the shapes of the bundles (based on the tangential:radial ratio) did not differ from those of the px tmo6 lines (Figure 3K). We measured LBD4 expression in the lower halves of inflorescence stems. The reductions in LBD4 expression in both the px and wox4 wox14 lines proved not to be significant (P = 0.167 and P = 0.102; Figure 3F; Supplemental Table 3). By contrast, LBD4 expression was significantly lower in the px tmo6 and wox4 wox14 tmo6 mutants relative to the wild type (P = 0.031 and P = 0.027). Thus, while LBD4 expression did not depend on the presence of TMO6 in the lower halves of 15-cm inflorescence stems, the reduced expression was exacerbated in tmo6 px and tmo6 wox4 wox14 relative to the parental lines (Figure 3F). Therefore, TMO6, redundantly with TDIF/PXY signaling, regulates LBD4 expression.

While these results supported the idea that TMO6 and WOX14 regulate LBD4 expression, they also raised the question of why
LBD4 expression was reduced in wox4 wox14 lines when the lower one-third of 15-cm inflorescence stems was sampled (Figure 3E) but not when the lower one-half was sampled (Figure 3F). We reasoned that LBD4 expression may vary along the apical-basal axis of the stem and tested this hypothesis using qRT-PCR. LBD4 expression was significantly higher in the basal one-third of the inflorescence stem relative to the middle or apical sections (Supplemental Figure 4B), which is consistent with the LBD4 expression levels observed in Figures 3E and 3F.
TDIF-PXY Dynamically Regulates the Feedforward Loop

As LBD4 expression was reduced in the pxftmo6 background and tmo6 genetically enhanced the px phenotype (Figures 3I–3K), we further explored the expression of genes in this feedforward loop in response to perturbations in TDIF-PXY signaling. We measured LBD4 and TMO6 expression in px (Fisher and Turner, 2007; Wang et al., 2019) and in cle41 cle42 cle43 cle44 mutants (referred to hereafter as tdif; Supplemental Figure 6), which were generated by genome editing. Here, we measured gene expression in the lower one-third of 10-cm stems. A significant reduction in LBD4 expression was not observed, but reduced TMO6 expression was observed (Figures 4A and 4B). These results demonstrate that TMO6 is responsive to genetic perturbation of TDIF-PXY signaling.

To determine the temporal dynamics of gene regulation within the feedforward loop, we applied TDIF or control peptide to 5-d-old wild type, px, and wox4 wox14 seedlings. WOX14 expression increases upon TDIF application (Etchells et al., 2013). Similarly, a 2-h treatment with 5 μM TDIF in wild-type plants resulted in increased LBD4 and TMO6 expression relative to plants treated with a P9A negative control (Figures 4C and 4D). This induction of TMO6 and LBD4 was absent, and their expression was even further reduced, in px and wox4 wox14 mutants, suggesting that PXY/TDIF activate the expression of all genes within the feedforward loop (Figures 4A to 4D).

The WOX14-TMO6-LBD4 Feedforward Loop Is Auxin Responsive

MP is a transcriptional regulator of TMO6 (Schlereth et al., 2010), and crosstalk between auxin and TDIF-PXY signaling has been described (Suer et al., 2011; Han et al., 2018). We therefore tested the expression of all three transcription factors in the feedforward loop upon exposure to 10 μM IAA. TMO6 and LBD4 expression increased in response to a 6-h auxin treatment in both the wild type and wox14 mutants, demonstrating that auxin regulates LBD4 and TMO6 expression in a WOX14-independent manner (Figures 4E and 4F). WOX14 was also upregulated in response to auxin treatment (Figure 4G).

LBD4 Regulates Vascular Cell Number and Organization

To determine the function of this feedforward loop in vascular development, we characterized vascular development in inflorescence stems upon genetic perturbation of the final gene within the feedforward loop, LBD4. The phenotype of the lbd4

Figure 3. Gene Expression Studies Supporting a Regulatory Relationship between WOX14, TMO6, and LBD4.

(A) to (C) WOX14, TMO6, and LBD4 demonstrate overlapping expression in inflorescence stem vascular bundles. Antisense probes against LBD4 mRNA (A) or TMO6 mRNA (B) localize to the phloem-procambium boundary. A WOX14:GUS transcriptional fusion (C) shows the presence of broad WOX14 expression in vascular bundles including at the phloem-procambium boundary. pc, cambium; ph, phloem; x, xylem. Bars = 30 μm.

(D) and (E) qRT-PCR on inflorescence stem tissue from the lower third of the stem showing that TMO6 (D) and LBD4 (E) expression is dependent on WOX14.

(F) qRT-PCR showing that TMO6 and PXf are required to maintain LBD4 expression in the lower one-half of 15-cm inflorescence stems. Expression differences were determined in technical triplicate for each of three biological replicates. Tissue for each biological replicate was taken from a different pot. Statistical differences were determined with ANOVA and an LSD posthoc test (n = 3 biological replicates; error bars are SE).

(G) to (I) Vascular bundles from the inflorescence stems of tmo6 (G), px (H), and px tmo6 (I) plants. Transverse sections were stained with toluidine blue. Bars = 30 μm.

(J) Graph showing the mean number of cells per vascular bundle. P values were determined with ANOVA and an LSD posthoc test.

(K) Histogram showing vascular bundle shape determined by measuring the ratio of tangential to radial axis. (n = 6; error bars are SE).
A single mutant was similar to that of the wild-type controls (Figures 2F and 2G; Supplemental Figures 3A and 3B; Supplemental Data Set 5). To eliminate functional redundancy, we crossed lbd4 to a T-DNA insertion line of LBD3, the gene most similar to LBD4 (Shuai et al., 2002). A reduction in vascular cell number was observed in lbd3 lbd4 double mutants (Supplemental Figures 3D and 3E).

LBD4 is expressed at the procambium-phloem boundary (Figure 3A). Thus, we determined phloem cell number in the lbd3 lbd4 double mutants and controls, but no differences were observed (Supplemental Figure 3E; Supplemental Data Set 5). We also measured the distribution of phloem along the radial axis in these lines. The lbd3 lbd4 double mutants had a thinner band of phloem in vascular bundles than the control lines (Supplemental Figure 3F), although this did not influence overall vascular bundle shape, as judged by measuring the tangential:radial ratio (Supplemental Figure 3G). Other members of the LBD gene family define boundaries at the edges of the apical meristem and the lateral root (Okushima et al., 2007; Bell et al., 2012). LBD4 is expressed at the phloem-procambium boundary and influences phloem distribution redundantly with LBD3. Thus, we reasoned that LBD4 might influence boundaries within vascular tissue. To explore this idea, we manipulated the LBD4 expression domain. LBD4 expression was restored ectopically in companion cells of the phloem using a SUC2:LBD4 construct or in the xylem using an IRX3:LBD4 construct, both within the lbd4 mutant background (Figure 5). In lbd4 SUC2:LBD4 lines, an increase in the total number of cells per vascular bundle was observed. While xylem cell number did not differ between genotypes, both phloem and procambium cell numbers were higher in lbd4 SUC2:LBD4 than in the other lines (Figure 5D).

We observed reduced secondary cell wall deposition in the fiber cells of lbd4 IRX3:LBD4 lines (Figures 5A and 5C), indicating that xylem differentiation was disrupted, although the total number of cells in the xylem did not change (Figure 5D). In terms of overall vascular bundle shape within these different backgrounds, the ratio of the length of the tangential to the radial axes of vascular bundles was 0.65 in the wild type, which is similar to that observed in lbd4 and lbd4 SUC2:LBD4 lines (Figure 5E). By contrast, the ratio increased to 0.96 in lbd4 IRX3:LBD4 vascular bundles, demonstrating a reduction in vascular expansion along the radial axis relative to the tangential axis. Furthermore, phloem distribution was dramatically different in the LBD4 misexpression lines. The lbd4 SUC2:LBD4 lines exhibited a wider band of phloem along the radial axis compared with the other lines tested (Figure 5E). While this can be explained in part by changes to phloem cell number, the

---

Figure 4. PXY and Auxin Signaling Regulate the Feedforward Loop.

(A) and (B) qRT-PCR showing TMO6 (A) and LBD4 (B) expression in px1 and tdif lines.

(C) and (D) LBD4 (C) and TMO6 (D) expression in seedlings treated with TDIF or P9A for 2 h.

(E) to (G) qRT-PCR showing LBD4 (E), TMO6 (F), and WOX14 (G) expression in seedlings treated with IAA for 3 or 6 h. Expression differences were determined in technical triplicate for each of three biological replicates. Tissue for each biological replicate was taken from a different plate. P values marked on critical comparisons were determined using ANOVA and an LSD posthoc test (n = 3 biological replicates; error bars are se).
same cannot be said of changes to phloem distribution in lbd4 IRX3 lines. Here, despite similar numbers of phloem cells relative to the wild type or lbd4 single mutants (Figure 5D), these phloem cells were distributed in a much narrower band (Figure 5E). The redistribution of phloem cells accompanied by changes to vascular bundle shape could be caused by a failure to correctly mark the phloem-procambium boundary.

The Vascular Function of LBD4 is PXY/TDIF-Dependent

pxy and tdif mutants demonstrate intercalation of vascular cell types (i.e., a loss of clearly defined boundaries; Figure 6; Supplemental Figure 6; Fisher and Turner, 2007; Etchells and Turner, 2010; Wang et al., 2018). These mutants are also characterized by reductions in vascular cell number (Hirakawa et al., 2008). To investigate genetic interactions between pxy and lbd4,
we generated pxy lbd4 double mutants. The gross morphology of these plants did not differ from that of the pxy single mutants, but lbd4 enhanced the cell division phenotype of pxy, as pxy lbd4 bundles had fewer cells per vascular bundle than the parental lines (Figure 6A; Supplemental Data Set 5). We counted the number of differentiated phloem cells to assess the recruitment of phloem precursors into the phloem. These numbers were similar in pxy lbd4 lines compared with pxy and lbd4 single mutants but were reduced compared with the wild type (Figure 6B; Supplemental Data Set 5). lbd4 also enhanced the defect in phloem distribution along the vascular radial axis of pxy (Figure 6C and red arrowheads in Figure 6E). Finally, the tangential:radial axis ratio of vascular bundles was higher in the lbd4 pxy lines relative to the controls (Figure 6D), demonstrating a change to overall vascular bundle shape.

Vascular organization requires that CLE41/42/44 generate a TDIF maximum in the phloem. Ectopic CLE41 expression leads to intercalated xylem and phloem, presumably due to a change in the distribution of active TDIF-PXY complexes (Etchells and Turner, 2010). LBD4 expression is elevated in response to TDIF-PXY (Figure 4C; Supplemental Table 1). Thus, we predicted that the defects of IRX3:CLE41 would be attenuated in the absence of LBD4. Cell number within vascular bundles was unchanged in lbd4 but significantly increased in IRX3:CLE41 lbd4 lines compared with the wild type and lbd4 (Figure 6H). Thus, the changes to vascular bundle shape caused by the IRX3:CLE41 construct were dependent on...
**LBD4.** Intercalation of xylem and phloem was also reduced in IRX3::CLE41 lbd4 compared with IRX3::CLE41. Finally, lbd4 attenuated the gross morphological defects of IRX3::CLE41 (Supplemental Figure 7). Thus, lbd4 suppresses the IRX3::CLE41 phenotype.

**DISCUSSION**

**Integration of Transcriptional Regulators of Vascular Development**

The study of vascular tissue development in plants has a long history. In addition to characterization by early plant anatomists, auxin in particular was found to influence vascular formation and connectivity in the 1950s and 1960s (Torrey, 1953; Sun, 1955; Sachs, 1969). In the 1990s, with the emergence of Arabidopsis as a genetic model, multiple genes were characterized as regulating vascular tissue formation (Lincoln et al., 1990; Berleth and Jurgens, 1993; Baima et al., 1995; Zhong et al., 1997; Gälweiler et al., 1998), and such discoveries have been accelerating in the postgenomic era (Ruanala et al., 2017; Fischer et al., 2019). Recently, those taking genetic, biochemical, and mathematical approaches to studying vascular development have elegantly described how a subset of these components interact (De Rybel et al., 2014; Kondo et al., 2014; Muraro et al., 2014; Vera-Sirera et al., 2015; Mellor et al., 2017; Han et al., 2018; Miyashima et al., 2019; Smet et al., 2019). Here, we used an eY1H approach to map a network with 312 nodes and 690 interactions that describes how numerous components may come together to control the patterning and proliferation of vascular tissue (Figure 1A). Because we screened the promoters of components involved in auxin perception, cytokinin perception, PXY receptors, ER receptors, and GSK3 kinases, the network can be used to identify transcription factors that integrate these signals. This set of transcription factor-promoter interactions represents PXY-mediated transcriptional regulation, as perturbations in the TDF-PXY signaling pathway (genes differentially expressed in pxy mutants and in 35S::CLE41 lines) are significantly enriched within our network (Supplemental Figure 2).

The TMO6-WOX14-LBD4 Feedforward Loop Is Essential for Vascular Development

The power of our network as a resource for identifying novel interactions was demonstrated by characterizing the TMO6-WOX14-LBD4 feedforward loop. We investigated the nature of this regulatory circuit using eY1H, LUC reporter assays, qRT-PCR, and genetic interaction analysis. The regulatory circuit appears to be central to vascular cell proliferation, as evidenced by the loss of 41% of vascular bundle cells in tmo6 wox14 lbd4 lines relative to the wild type (Figure 2F). We demonstrated that the feedforward loop is regulated by auxin and TDF-PXY signaling (Figures 3F–3K, 4A–4D–4D, and 6; Supplemental Table 1; Etchells et al., 2013). Given that TMO6 has also been shown to be an integrator of cytokinin signaling (Schlereth et al., 2010; Miyashima et al., 2019; Smet et al., 2019), this circuit likely acts as an integration point for many critical developmental regulators.

The transcription of HD-Zip III genes is thought to be activated by the TMO6 parologue PEAR1 during primary patterning of the root vascular cylinder (Miyashima et al., 2019). In our eY1H assays, both PEAR1 and TMO6 bound to the promoters of the HD-Zip III genes PHB, PHV, and REV (Figure 1A; Supplemental Data Set 2). HD-Zip III expression is thought to repress PEAR1 transcription in a negative feedback loop (Miyashima et al., 2019), and PHV bound the TMO6 promoter in our eY1H assay (Figure 1A; Supplemental Data Set 2). Therefore, it would be interesting to further study interactions between HD-Zip III genes, PEAR1, and members of the feed-forward loop.

Members of the Feed-Forward Loop May Function Redundantly with Paralogues

Genetic redundancy, such as that uncovered by Miyashima et al. (2019), is a possible explanation for the finding that the tmo6 mutants demonstrated no changes in LBD4 expression (Figure 3F). Genetic redundancy might also explain the lack of mutant phenotypes for individual LBD family members. A recent genetic analysis aimed at characterizing regulators of the vascular cambium in Arabidopsis roots also identified LBD4 as a putative vascular regulator (Zhang et al., 2019). lbd1 lbd4 lines exhibited reduced vascular tissue area in roots. Since we demonstrated that lbd4 acts redundantly with lbd3 (Supplemental Figure 3), it is tempting to speculate that there may be genetic redundancy between these three paralogues.

Control of Vascular Bundle Size and Shape

TMO6, WOX14, and LBD4 are jointly expressed at the phloem-procambium boundary in the vascular tissue of inflorescence stems (Figures 3A to 3C). These genes also act within a coherent type I feedforward loop (Mangan and Alon, 2003), as all are positive transcriptional activators. WOX14 was sufficient to activate TMO6 expression in wild tobacco protoplasts (Supplemental Figure 5) and was also required for normal expression of TMO6 in Arabidopsis stems (Figure 3D). WOX14 activated LBD4 reporter expression in wild tobacco protoplasts when coexpressed with TMO6 (Supplemental Figure 5A). Both WOX14 and TMO6 were required for the very highest levels of LBD4 expression in wild tobacco (Supplemental Figure 5A). Such synergism may also explain why tmo6 mutants alone did not demonstrate changes to LBD4 expression but pxf tmo6 (where WOX14 expression is reduced) and wox4 wox14 tmo6 lines did (Figure 3F).

WOX genes and their targets are crucial for regulating stem cell fate in plant meristems (Laux et al., 1996; Sarkar et al., 2007; Ji et al., 2010; Etchells et al., 2013), but the roles of direct WOX targets in the vascular stem cell niche have been unclear. Modeling of transcriptome data by Zhang et al. (2019) also placed WOX14 upstream of LBD4. The data presented here provide additional support for this interaction (Figures 1B and 3D to 3F; Supplemental Figure 5).
Organ Boundaries Are Marked by Members of the LBD Family

Members of the LBD/AS2 gene family (Iwakawa et al., 2002; Shuai et al., 2002) regulate the formation of organ boundaries during lateral root formation (Okushima et al., 2007) and at the shoot apex (Bell et al., 2012) in Arabidopsis. In hybrid poplar (Populus tremula × Populus alba), the overexpression of PtaLBD1 increases secondary phloem production (Figure 3A). An increase in vascular bundle cell number was observed in lbd4 SUC2:LBD4 lines, where LBD4 expression was shifted to the phloem. Increases in cell number were restricted to the procambium and phloem. Strikingly, no change in the number of xylem cells was observed (Figure 5D). These data suggest that LBD4 controls phloem cell recruitment in a spatially restricted manner (Figures 5A, 5B, and 5E). The loss of normal number of xylem cells was observed (Figure 5D). These data demonstrate reductions in vascular cell division (Figures 6A and 6B). PXY-regulated vascular organization is dependent on LBD4 expression in the root (Okushima et al., 2007). For such phenotypes to occur, the positions of xylem, phloem, and procambium complexes is altered (Etchells and Turner, 2010). In turn, this leads to changes in the positions of tissues because phloem was disrupted. The transcription factor library contained 812 unique cDNAs fused to promoter fragments (1.2–3.5 kb) were amplified using LA taq (Takara) and ed boundary tissue observed in lbd4 IRX3:LBD4 lines, where LBD4 expression was shifted to the phloem. Increases in cell number were restricted to the procambium and phloem. Strikingly, no change in the number of xylem cells was observed (Figure 5D). These data suggest that LBD4 controls phloem cell recruitment in a spatially restricted manner (Figures 5A, 5B, and 5E). The loss of normal number of xylem cells was observed (Figure 5D). These data demonstrate reductions in vascular cell division (Figures 6A and 6B). PXY-regulated vascular organization is dependent on LBD4 expression in the root (Okushima et al., 2007). For such phenotypes to occur, the positions of xylem, phloem, and procambium complexes is altered (Etchells and Turner, 2010). In turn, this leads to changes in the positions of tissues because phloem was disrupted. The transcription factor library contained 812 unique cDNAs fused to promoter fragments (1.2–3.5 kb) were amplified using LA taq (Takara) and

TDIF-PXY and LBD4

pxy mutants are characterized by intercalation of xylem and phloem (Fisher and Turner, 2007). For such phenotypes to occur, boundary specification must be disrupted. In pxy lbd4 mutants, the positions of tissues were altered because phloem was distributed differently along the radial axis of the stem (Figure 6C) and bundle shape was altered (Figure 6D). lbd4 pxy plants also demonstrated reductions in vascular cell division (Figures 6A and 6B). PXY-regulated vascular organization is dependent on CLE41 acting as a phloem-derived positional cue. Dramatic vascular reorganization occurs when CLE41 is expressed from the xylem in IRX3::CLE41 lines because the position of active TDIF-PXY complexes is altered (Etchells and Turner, 2010). In turn, this leads to changes in the positions of xylem, phloem, and procambium (Figure 6F), and as such, these tissues are found in ectopic positions relative to the wild type. Consequently, boundaries between the phloem and procambium must also be present in ectopic positions in IRX3::CLE41. Our observation that the IRX3::CLE41 phenotype was strongly suppressed by lbd4 suggests the hypothesis that LBD4 marks the phloem–procambium boundary, since in lbd4 IRX3::CLE41 plants, phloem was restored to the position it occupied in the wild type (Figure 6F). Therefore, the putative ectopic LBD4–specified boundary tissue observed in IRX3::CLE41 lines was removed in these plants.

In conclusion, a genetic interaction between LBD4 and PXY regulates vascular bundle shape. LBD4 also determines stem cell number in the vascular meristem via regulation by TMO6 and WOX14 and redundantly with LBD3. Our PXY-mediated transcriptional network provides a framework for exploring other interacting regulators at the transcriptional level.

METHODS

Gene Expression Analysis

Microarray analysis was used to compare the transcriptomes of Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) ecotype Columbia 0 (Col-0) and 35S:CLE41; the experimental setup, preparation of total RNA, synthesis of biotinylated cDNA, subsequent hybridization to ATH1 Affymetrix GeneChip oligonucleotide arrays, and detection were previously described by Etchells et al. (2012). Briefly, following germination on Murashige and Skoog (MS) agar plates, plants were transferred to soil and grown under long-day conditions (see below) for 5 weeks. Inflorescence stems were harvested, stripped of side branches, and divided into four sections of equal size. RNA was isolated from the third section from the top using TRIzol (Invitrogen). Samples were prepared in triplicate for each genotype, and following RNA extraction, processing was performed at the University of Manchester Genomic Technologies Facility (http://www.ls.manchester.ac.uk/research/facilities/microarray/). Technical quality control was performed as described by Li and Wong (2001), and background correction, normalization, and gene expression analysis were performed using RNA in BioConductor (Bolstad et al., 2003). Differential expression analysis was performed using Limma (Smyth, 2004). No probe is present for the WOX4 gene on this microarray chip.

Gene expression in inflorescence stems was compared by qRT-PCR using RNA isolated with TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies). Samples were measured in technical triplicates (reactions per sample) on biological triplicates (independent samples per genotype and/or treatment). The RNA was DNase treated with RQ1 (Promega) prior to cDNA synthesis using a poly-T primer and BiScript reverse transcriptase (Bioline), qPCR BIO SYGreen Mix (PCR Biosystems) and primers described in Supplemental Data Set 6 were used with a CFX Connect machine (Bio-Rad). Relative expression was determined using a comparative Ct method using average amplification efficiency for each primer pair, as determined using LinReg (Ramakers et al., 2003). Samples were normalized to 18S RNA (not shown) and ACT2 (shown). Results were similar regardless of the control used.

To characterize changes in gene expression in response to TDIF and P9A peptides, or IAA application, seeds were stratified prior to incubation in a Sanyo MLR-351H plant growth chamber set to 23°C and constant light on half strength MS medium with 1% (w/v) agar. At 5 d, seedlings were transferred to liquid half strength MS medium containing either 5 μM TDIF (His-Glu-Val-Hyp-Ser-Gly-Hyp-Asn-Pro-Ile-Ser-Asn) or negative control P9A (His-Glu-Val-Hyp-Ser-Gly-Hyp-Asn-Ala-Ile-Ser-Asn; Bachem), or 10 μM IAA. Plants were maintained on a rocking platform for 1 h, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and subjected to RNA extraction and qRT-PCR analysis as described above.

eY1H Assays

Yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) cells were grown using standard methods (Brady et al., 2011; Gaudinier et al., 2011; Reece-Hoyes et al., 2011; Taylor-Teeples et al., 2015). Briefly, YPDA medium was used for unrestricted growth. –Trp, –His–Ura, or –His–Ura–Trp (containing 3-aminotriazole [3AT] when necessary) medium was used to apply selection. The transcription factor library contained 812 unique cDNAs fused to the GAL4 activation domain in pDEST-AD-2 μ, which are maintained as plasmids in yeast and enable growth on –Trp medium. For promoter clones, promoter fragments (1.2–3.5 kb) were amplified using LA taq (Takara) and cloned using S TOPO (Life Technologies). These entry clones were used to create reporter constructs via Gateway recombination. The use of pMW2
clones enabled selection on –His medium and detection of interactions via growth on plates supplemented with 3AT. pMW3 (selection on –Ura medium) contained a LacZ reporter. Both vectors were transformed into yeast strain YM4271, integrated into the yeast genome via homologous recombination, and selected on –His–Ura plates. Colonies with no autoactivation in X-Gal that grew on moderate 3AT concentrations (10–100 mM) were selected. The presence of both reporters was confirmed by PCR.

eY1H was performed as described (Gaudinier et al., 2011; Reece-Hoyes et al., 2011) using a ROTOR HDA robot (Singer). Briefly, mating was performed by combining yeast cells containing the transcription factor and promoter constructs on a YPDA plate. After diploid selection using –His–Ura–Trp plates, the diploids were plated onto plates supplemented with 3AT and onto YPDA plates containing a nitrocellulose filter. Following 2 d of growth at room temperature, the nitrocellulose filters were subjected to an X-Gal assay. For 3AT plates, the plates were checked daily for colonies with increased growth. A network was subsequently constructed by importing the directional interactions into Cytoscape.

Testing Transcription Factor–Promoter Interactions

To test transcription factor-promoter interactions using a dual luciferase assay system, target promoters were cloned upstream of the LUC reporter gene in pGreen0800-LUC, which also contained a 35S:REN control cassette. Transcription factor sequences were cloned behind the 35S promoter in pGreen062-SK (Hellens et al., 2005). A CloneExpress II One Step Cloning Kit (Vazyme) and primers listed in Supplemental Data Set 6 were used for vector construction. Reporter detection was performed using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega). Boxplots in Supplemental Figure 5 show data from four biological replicates.

Wild tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana) leaf protoplasts were generated by immersing leaf material in a solution containing 1.5% (w/v) Cellulase R10 (Yakult), 0.2 to 0.4% (w/v) Macerozyme R10 (Yakult), 1% (w/v) Hemipcellulase (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.4 M mannitol, 20 mM KCl, 20 mM MES (pH 5.7), 10 mM CaCl2, and 0.1% (w/v) BSA for 12 h. An equal volume of WS solution (150 mM NaCl, 125 mM CaCl2, 5 mM KCl, and 2 mM MES [pH 5.7]) was added prior to passing the mixture through a 200-mesh sieve. Protoplasts were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in ice-cold WS (Duarte et al., 2016). Purified plasmids were transferred into these cells using the polyethylene glycol-calcium method with minor modifications (Yoo et al., 2007).

Generation of Plant Stocks

Seeds were stratified for 2 d at 4°C in 0.1% (w/v) agar prior to sowing on F2 compost (Levington) or on MS medium and 1% (w/v) agar on vertical plates. Plants were grown at 22°C under long-day conditions (16 h of light/8 h of dark), 300 μmol m−2 s−1, provided by cool-white fluorescent bulbs, supplemented with incandescent lighting.

Seed lines were all in the Col-0 background. 3SS::CLE41, pxy-3, wox4, wox14, IRX3::CLE41, IRX3::CLE41 wox4, and pxr (pxy pxl1 pxl3) were identified in F2 and F3 populations. The CRISPR construct used to generate the tdif (cle41,2,43,44) mutant was built using the pCUT7 vector system (Peterson et al., 2016). For each of the four targeted CLE genes, a 20-bp guide RNA (gRNA) target site was selected upstream of the dodecapeptide coding region in the genomic sequence. A gRNA gene array was synthesized by GeneArt (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as a group of four AtU6gRNA tandem constructs, which was subsequently cloned into the pCUT4 binary vector via restriction enzyme digestion methods as previously described by Peterson et al. (2016). Col-0 plants were transformed with the CRISPR binary construct via the floral dip method, and T1 transgenic seed derived was selected on B5 medium without sucrose and containing 100 mg/L hygromycin. The T1 generation was screened for editing efficiency by sequencing the CLE gene PCR products amplified from leaf DNA. Plants confirmed to have efficient editing had overlapping sequence traces originating at the –3 position from the protospacer adjacent motif. T2 seed derived from plants with efficient editing was grown on selective B5 medium, DNA was collected, and each of the four CLE target genes was amplified via PCR. The products were sequenced directly via Sanger sequencing using primers listed in Supplemental Data Set 6. These plants demonstrated a pxy-like phenotype, which was partially recoverable by transformation with a SUC2::CLE41 construct (Supplemental Figure 6) that was described previously (Etchells and Turner, 2010).

The wox14 lbd4 tm6 lines and respective double mutants were identified in F2 and F3 populations. IRX3::LB4D and SUC2::LB4D lines were generated by PCR amplification of a genomic fragment incorporating the LB4D coding region, which was cloned into pENTR-D-TOPO prior to transfer into plasmid p3KC (Anatasov et al., 2009). For SUC2::LB4D, the IRX3 promoter in p3KC was replaced with that of SUC2. The resulting overexpression clone was introduced into Arabidopsis using the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998).

Histology

Plant vascular tissue visualization was performed in 4-μm resin sections stained with 0.05% (w/v) aqueous toluidine blue, following fixation of plant material in formaldehyde - acetic acid - alcohol, dehydration through an ethanol series, and embedding in JB4 resin. Alternatively, hand sections were stained with 500 mM aniline blue dissolved in 100 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, and viewed under a UV light lamp.

Accession Numbers

The accession numbers of the factors central to this article are CLE41 (AT3G24770), CLE42 (AT2G34925), CLE44 (AT4G13195), LBD3 (AT1G16530), LBD4 (AT1G31320), PX1 (AT1G05950), PX2 (AT4G28650), PX5 (AT5G61480), TM06 (AT5G60200), WOX4 (AT1G46480), and WOX14 (AT1G20700). For a comprehensive list of accession numbers represented in the eY1H data, see Supplemental Data Set 2. Microarray data have been submitted in a MIAME compliant standard to the Gene Expression Omnibus (accession number GSE123162).
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