Endogenous and Silencing-Associated Small RNAs in Plants
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A large set of endogenous small RNAs of predominantly 21 to 24 nucleotides was identified in Arabidopsis. These small RNAs resembled micro-RNAs from animals and were similar in size to small interfering RNAs that accumulated during RNA silencing triggered by multiple types of inducers. Among the 125 sequences identified, the vast majority (90%) arose from intergenic regions, although small RNAs corresponding to predicted protein-coding genes, transposon-like sequences, and a structural RNA gene also were identified. Evidence consistent with the derivation of small RNAs of both polarities, and from highly base-paired precursors, was obtained through the identification and analysis of clusters of small RNA loci. The accumulation of specific small RNAs was regulated developmentally. We propose that Arabidopsis small RNAs participate in a wide range of post-transcriptional and epigenetic events.

INTRODUCTION

Eukaryotes have several types of tiny regulatory RNAs (Ruvkun, 2001; Waterhouse et al., 2001; Grosshans and Slack, 2002). Small temporal RNA (stRNA) and small interfering RNA (siRNA) are involved in the post-transcriptional control of gene expression. The stRNAs, such as those encoded by the Caenorhabditis elegans lin-4 and let-7 genes, bind to target mRNAs to repress translation (Lee et al., 1993; Moss et al., 1997; Olsen and Ambros, 1999; Reinhart et al., 2000; Slack et al., 2000; Grosshans and Slack, 2002). The siRNAs are associated with RNA silencing (or RNA interference [RNAi]), serving as guides for sequence-specific nucleolytic activity of the silencing-associated RISC complex (Hamilton and Baulcombe, 1999; Hammond et al., 2000, 2001; Zamore et al., 2000). The stRNA and siRNA are 21 to 25 nucleotides in length and arise from precursor molecules with extensive base-paired structure (Carthew, 2001; Ruvkun, 2001).

The functions of RNA silencing and stRNA-mediated repression of translation are partly understood. RNA silencing in plants is an antiviral response triggered by most or all viruses (Carrington et al., 2001; Vance and Vaucheret, 2001; Voinnet, 2001; Waterhouse et al., 2001). Based on analyses of virus-encoded silencing suppressors, RNA silencing limits the extent of virus multiplication and the ability of viruses to move long distances (Anandalakshmi et al., 1998; Brigneti et al., 1998; Kasschau and Carrington, 1998, 2001; Voinnet et al., 1999), siRNAs of plants also were suggested to direct the sequence-specific methylation of nuclear DNA and intercellular signaling to trigger silencing in distal tissues (Dalmay et al., 2000a; Sijen and Kooter, 2000; Jones et al., 2001; Metzke et al., 2001; Mette et al., 2001; Waterhouse et al., 2001).

In C. elegans, RNAi serves to suppress the activity of several classes of transposons (Ketting et al., 1999; Tabara et al., 1999). Also, genetic defects in C. elegans genes (e.g., ego1 and dcr1 mutants) required for RNAi have specific developmental defects, suggesting that RNAi components are necessary for normal growth and development (Smardon et al., 2000; Grishok et al., 2001; Knight and Bass, 2001). stRNA-mediated repression of translation is required for the regulation of development in C. elegans (Ambros, 2000; Banerjee and Slack, 2002; Grosshans and Slack, 2002). The lin-4 and let-7 stRNAs, for example, bind to complementary sequences within the 3′ untranslated regions of target mRNAs that are necessary for the temporal control of larval development (Lee et al., 1993; Wightman et al., 1993; Moss et al., 1997; Reinhart et al., 2000; Slack et al., 2000). Mutations that affect stRNA genes or stRNA target genes result in heterochronic defects (Ambros and Horvitz, 1984; Grishok et al., 2001).

Both stRNAs and siRNAs arise by cleavage of precursor molecules containing exclusively or extensive duplex secondary structure by an RNaseIII-like enzyme (Bernstein et al., 2001; Hutvagner et al., 2001; Ketting et al., 2001; Knight and Bass, 2001), which in Drosophila was termed Dicer. Dicer is a multidomain protein with RNA helicase-like
and double-stranded (ds) RNA binding domains in addition to RNaseIII-like regions (Bernstein et al., 2001). Using fully duplexed RNA as a substrate, Dicer-catalyzed reaction products are predominantly dsRNA molecules containing 21 to 22 nucleotides in each strand and two nonpaired nucleotides at each 3' end (Bass, 2000; Bernstein et al., 2001; Elbashir et al., 2001). Unlike single-stranded ribonucleases, RNaseIII-like enzymes such as Dicer yield products with 5' monophosphate and 3' hydroxyl termini (Bass, 2000).

The relevance of small RNAs may be much broader than was suspected previously. Recent analyses of C. elegans, Drosophila, and human revealed dozens of small RNAs termed micro-RNAs (miRNAs) (Lagos-Quintana et al., 2001; Lau et al., 2001; Lee and Ambros, 2001). Most or all of the miRNAs arise from structured precursor RNAs from intergenic regions (IGRs). Several lines of evidence indicate that Dicer is required for the maturation of stRNA and possibly miRNA in general (Bernstein et al., 2001; Grishok et al., 2001; Hutvagner et al., 2001; Ketting et al., 2001; Ruvkun, 2001; Grosshans and Slack, 2002). Although the functional significance of most miRNAs has yet to be determined, at least some are likely to function in a manner similar to that of stRNAs.

In this study, a large set of small RNAs was identified in Arabidopsis. The majority of these RNAs arose from intergenic sequences, although several were identified from coding sequences and introns of conventional genes and transposable elements. The Arabidopsis small RNA populations may be more diverse structurally and functionally than the animal small RNA populations.

**RESULTS**

**Amplification and Analysis of siRNAs from Plants Using a Model RNA-Silencing System**

The primary goal of this study was to explore naturally occurring, endogenous small RNAs of plants. However, siRNAs induced in a transient RNA-silencing system were analyzed initially for two purposes. First, siRNAs formed using defined RNA-silencing inducers provided a relatively simple model to adapt and refine methods for analyzing small RNAs in plants. Second, determination of the chemical nature of siRNAs in plants was necessary for comparison with endogenous small RNAs. *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* cultures containing expression cassettes for transcripts encoding green fluorescent protein (35S:GFP) or transcripts corresponding to intron-spliced, inverted-repeat forms of the GFP sequence (35S:dsGFP) (Figure 1A).

The 35S:GFP and 35S:dsGFP constructs are weak and strong inducers, respectively, of RNA silencing in the infiltration zone (Johansen and Carrington, 2001). The GFP-related siRNAs from the infiltration zone were analyzed by blot assay using a radiolabeled GFP-specific probe. The siRNAs produced using either inducer migrated as a heterogeneous population with two predominant bands of ~21 to 22 and 24 to 25 nucleotides in length (Figure 1B). The quantity of siRNA induced by the 35S:dsGFP construct was ~20-fold greater than that induced by the 35S:GFP construct. Additionally, the ratio of the two major bands differed between
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**Figure 1. RNA Silencing and siRNAs in an Agrobacterium Infiltration System.**

(A) Constructs used in the transient assay. The 35S:GFP construct contains a functional copy of the GFP coding region, and the 35S:dsGFP construct contains both sense and antisense GFP sequences separated by an intron. The constructs were introduced into Agrobacterium and injected into *N. benthamiana*.

(B) Silencing-associated siRNAs from Agrobacterium-inoculated tissue. Small RNA from injected tissues was analyzed with a radiolabeled GFP-specific probe. A series of two exposures (0.5 and 2 h) is shown. RNA standards of 21 and 24 nucleotides containing 5' phosphate and 3' hydroxyl ends were used (M). Equivalent loading of samples was shown by staining the gel with ethidium bromide before transfer (bottom gel).
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The siRNAs triggered by the two inducers, with the slower migrating band accumulating to relatively high levels using the 35S:dsGFP inducer (Figure 1B).

The siRNAs were gel purified and ligated to RNA oligonucleotide adapters using T4 RNA ligase (Figure 2A). Adapter 1 (A1) contained hydroxyl groups at both 5′ and 3′ ends and could ligate only to the 5′ end of siRNAs. Adapter 2 (A2) contained 5′ monophosphate and 3′ inverted deoxythymidine groups and could ligate only to the 3′ end of siRNA molecules. Intermediate and final ligation products using siRNAs were analyzed by RNA gel blot assay using a radiolabeled GFP-specific probe that detected both sense and antisense sequences (Figure 2B). siRNA self-ligation control reactions yielded products with electrophoretic mobilities consistent with the formation of siRNA dimers and larger concatemers (Figure 2B, lane 2). Ligation of siRNAs with the A1 adapter yielded major products with mobilities consistent with the joining of one siRNA and one adapter molecule (Figure 2B, lanes 3 and 5) and minor products with slower electrophoretic mobilities. The major A1-siRNA (using both inducers) ligation product was gel purified (Figure 2B, lane 6) and ligated to adapter A2.

The A1-siRNA-A2 ligation products were reverse transcribed, amplified by PCR, and cloned. The inserts in 72 recombinant plasmids containing GFP sequences (based on hybridization) were sequenced, revealing 59 unique siRNAs (Figure 2C and supplemental material). Nineteen sequences were from siRNA derived from the 35S:GFP inducer, whereas 40 sequences were from 35S:dsGFP-derived siRNA (Figure 2C). The siRNAs ranged from 20 to 25 nucleotides in length, with the most common lengths being 21 (44%) and 22 (25%) nucleotides (Figure 3A). The distribution of siRNA sizes using the two inducers generally was similar, although the 24-nucleotide size class was recovered more often using the 35S:GFP inducer (26%) compared with the 35S:dsGFP inducer (13%). The relative proportion of 24-nucleotide siRNA in the sequenced populations was not reflected in the blot assay, in which siRNAs that comigrated with the 24-nucleotide standard were overrepresented using 35S:dsGFP (Figure 1B).

The unidirectional adapter ligation strategy allowed unambiguous determination of siRNA polarity. The ratio of sense-to-antisense siRNA was 8:11 using the 35S:GFP inducer and 20:20 using the 35S:dsGFP inducer (Figure 2C). Some clustering of siRNAs along the inducer sequences was detected. This was particularly evident for siRNAs of sense polarity induced by 35S:dsGFP (Figure 2C). Whether the apparent nonrandom distribution was caused by an amplification or sampling problem, or by a biologically relevant process such as preferential cleavage zones in siRNA precursors or siRNA stability, was not investigated. None of the siRNAs contained nontemplated 5′ or 3′ nucleotides. Although little or no bias was detected in the nucleotide composition of siRNAs, 5′ guanosine and 3′ thymidine were underrepresented among the total cloned sequences.

Small RNA Populations in Arabidopsis

Using the same methods that were used with the siRNA analysis, naturally occurring small RNAs from inflorescence tissue of Arabidopsis ecotype Columbia-0 were extracted, ligated to adapters, amplified, cloned, and sequenced. The RNA samples used for ligation to adapters were eluted from denaturing polyacrylamide gels in the zone corresponding to 15 to 35 nucleotides (based on RNA oligonucleotide...
Naturally occurring small RNAs in the absence of an exogenous inducer of silencing could reflect endogenous RNA silencing activity, the activity of stRNA-like genes similar to *C. elegans* lin-4 and let-7, or other small RNA-mediated processes. The availability of the complete genome sequence of Arabidopsis enabled confirmation of the identity of each small RNA sequence.

A total of 131 inserts were analyzed, yielding 125 unique Arabidopsis sequences (Table 1 and supplemental material). These ranged in length from 16 to 25 nucleotides, although the vast majority contained 21 to 24 nucleotides (Figure 3A). The overall size distribution of Arabidopsis small RNAs was similar to that of siRNA generated in the GFP- and dsGFP-silencing system, although the relative proportion of RNAs containing 21, 22, 23, and 24 nucleotides differed between the two sets (Figure 3A). Four of the small RNAs (15, 17, 33, and 125) contained single internal mismatches compared to the published Arabidopsis genome sequence (see supplemental data). Several lines of evidence argue against these sequences being formed as a result of the random breakdown of cellular RNAs.

The size distribution of the Arabidopsis small RNAs was coincident, over a narrow range, with that of the siRNAs (Figure 3A), even though the RNA substrates for adapter ligation were eluted from gels in the zone corresponding to 15 to 35 nucleotides. Also, the terminal structures of RNA fragments formed as a result of single-strand–specific ribonucleases typically contain 5' hydroxyl and 3' phosphate groups, which would prevent ligation to adapters. These data support the hypotheses that Arabidopsis contains large populations of small RNAs resembling siRNAs and that the small RNAs originate through processing by an RNaseIII-like mechanism.

Although 125 unique sequences were identified, 539 genomic loci with sequence identity to small RNAs were mapped to IGRs, protein-coding genes, transposon-like sequences, and a structural RNA gene (Table 1, Figures 3B to 3D). Eighty small RNAs corresponded to unique loci, whereas 45 sequences corresponded to multiple sites (IGR and/or predicted protein-coding genes). There was no correlation be-
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between the polarity of small RNAs and the polarity of known or predicted genes flanking these sites (see below), supporting the hypothesis that these RNAs originate through independent transcription events. The proportion of small RNAs mapping to IGR sequence was significantly higher than the proportion of the Arabidopsis genome assigned as IGR (~50% [Arabidopsis Genome Initiative, 2000]), indicating that IGRs are relatively rich in small RNA–yielding sequences.

Several small RNAs originated from each of five loci containing clusters of two to four small RNA sequences spaced irregularly within an IGR interval (Figure 4). In four of these clusters, the small RNA sequences overlapped partially. Interestingly, three of the clusters contained small RNA sequences of both sense and antisense polarities (Figure 4). The sequences surrounding and including each of the clustered small RNAs were predicted to fold into relatively stable base-paired structures containing up to 323 nucleotides (Figure 4). The predicted IGR structures generally were larger and more complex than those predicted to form in miRNA precursors in animals (Lagos-Quintana et al., 2001; Lau et al., 2001; Lee and Ambros, 2001).

The accumulation patterns of several IGR-derived small RNAs from the clustered loci were analyzed in replicated, normalized samples from Arabidopsis leaf, stem, and inflorescence tissue by blot hybridization using radiolabeled oligonucleotide probes. Discrete RNA bands that comigrated with either the 21- or 24-nucleotide standards were detected consistently using probes for small RNAs 19, 96, and 5 (Figure 5). In each case, the electrophoretic mobility corresponded precisely with the size determined through sequence analysis. As shown in the blot for small RNA 5 (Figure 5), no slower migrating species corresponding to putative precursors were detected using any of the labeled probes. Each small RNA exhibited tissue specificity, with small RNA 5 and 19 accumulating predominantly in leaves and small RNA 96 accumulating in inflorescence tissue. In addition, a small RNA band was detected in N. benthamiana leaf extracts that were probed for small RNA 5 (Figure 5).

| Table 1. Small RNAs in Arabidopsis |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coding Regions</th>
<th>Intron Regions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nontransposons</td>
<td>Nontransposons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transposons</td>
<td>Structural RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-locus small RNAs</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple-locus small RNAs</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total small RNAs (single + multiple)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small RNA loci in the genome</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a One small RNA corresponds to the 5S rRNA repeat unit.

b Contains all small RNAs with sequence identity to IGRs, including pseudogenes.

c Identified as small RNAs with complete sequence identity to only one locus in the Arabidopsis genome.

d Identified as small RNAs with complete sequence identity to multiple loci in the Arabidopsis genome.

e Total number of loci with complete sequence identity to small RNAs.

Small RNAs from Arabidopsis Coding Regions and Introns

Twenty-seven small RNAs (22%) corresponded to at least one genomic sequence of either sense or antisense polarity within a known or predicted protein-coding gene (Table 1, Figure 3D). Eight of these sequences corresponded to unique loci, and 19 were present at multiple sites (IGR or other protein-coding genes). Seventeen small RNAs mapped to sense or antisense sequences within predicted coding sequences, and 10 small RNAs mapped to sense or antisense intron sequences.

Nine small RNAs corresponded to genes resembling transposases or other proteins commonly found in transposable elements (Table 2). These included both long terminal repeat (LTR) and non-LTR retrotransposons (class I) and MULE and CACTA-like transposons (class II). The percentage (33%) of small RNAs mapping to transposon-like genes relative to the percentage corresponding to nontransposon protein-coding genes is substantially higher than that predicted based on the number of class I and II transposons in the Arabidopsis genome (Arabidopsis Genome Initiative, 2000; Le et al., 2000).

Small RNAs containing sense or antisense sequences of 16 nontransposon protein-coding genes were identified (Table 3). However, ESTs or cDNA clones have been identified for only four of these predicted genes. For two genes, which were predicted to encode a Ser carboxypeptidase–like protein and a protein of unknown function, multiple small RNAs were identified.

Two of the protein-coding genes were predicted to yield mRNA or pre-mRNA with unusual secondary structures. The small RNA 2 sequence was identified at two positions, one corresponding to a sequence annotated as IGR and the other corresponding to an antisense segment in the 3’ proximal coding sequence of a predicted gene of unknown function (Figure 6, Table 3). These two small RNA loci were adjacent to one another on chromosome V. However, the sequences surrounding the two small RNA sites contained
extensive complementarity, which would yield an exceptionally stable stem structure in an mRNA precursor (Figure 6A). Small RNAs corresponding to sense and antisense small RNA 2 were detected by blot assay, and both accumulated predominantly in inflorescence tissue (Figure 6B). This region of chromosome V also contained a cluster of two other overlapping small RNA sequences (30 and 71).

At a Ser carboxypeptidase–like gene, small RNA sequences 90 and 101 were identified at two sites, separated by 41 nucleotides, within the predicted coding sequence. Complementary sequences to both small RNA 90 and 101 also were identified in a predicted intron for this gene. Secondary structure prediction indicated that a significant portion of the putative pre-mRNA would form an extensive base-paired stem composed of both coding and intron sequences (data not shown). These data indicate that at least some of the small RNAs from protein-coding genes are associated with sequences capable of forming extensively base-paired structures.

Several additional small RNAs mapped to both IGR and protein-coding genes. Small RNA 39 was identified at four positions in the Arabidopsis genome, including a chromosome III IGR sequence with the potential to form a stable stem structure (Figure 7A). This RNA also corresponded to an antisense segment from the central region of three closely related genes (Figure 7B), at least two of which are expressed. These genes belong to the Scarecrow-like (also known as GRAS) family of plant-specific transcription factors, >30 of which are predicted in Arabidopsis (Figure 7C). These factors are involved in a number of signaling and developmental processes, including the control of radial cell divisions in roots (SHR and SCR1), hormonal signaling by gibberellin (GA1 and RGA1), and light signaling (PATT1) (Di-Laurenzio et al., 1996; Silverstone et al., 1998; Bolle et al.,
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**Figure 4.** Predicted Secondary Structures of RNA from Loci Containing Clusters of IGR-Derived Small RNA Sequences.

Small RNA sequences (highlighted) occurred in both sense (blue) and antisense (orange) polarities. The orientation of the known or predicted genes (accession numbers are indicated) flanking each small RNA locus is shown at right by the large arrows (black), along with genome coordinates (nucleotides) as annotated in GenBank. The orientation of the small RNAs in relation to the chromosome is represented by the orange and blue arrows. Chr, chromosome.
Small RNAs from Arabidopsis Structural RNA

Two small RNAs (75 and 123) were clustered in or around the repeated 5S rRNA gene. The 5S rRNA gene is repeated within large head-to-tail arrays on three chromosomes (III, IV, and V), the typical repeat unit (~500 nucleotides) being composed of transcribed sequence (120 nucleotides) and flanking spacer sequence (Cloix et al., 2002). The small RNA 123 sequence was identified in the sense orientation within the 5S transcribed region in 116 of the chromosome III repeats and 21 of the chromosome V repeats. This sequence overlapped box A of the internal promoter. The small RNA 75 sequence was in the opposite polarity relative to small RNA 123 and mapped to the spacer sequence on the 5' side of the transcribed sequence in 64 of the repeated units. All of the small RNA 75 sequences were in the centromere-proximal 5S rRNA array on chromosome III. This array was shown to be inactive in Arabidopsis (Cloix et al., 2002).

DISCUSSION

Endogenous Small RNAs in Arabidopsis

A diverse population of small RNAs in Arabidopsis was identified in this study. Although these were isolated from plants that lacked any exogenous inducers of RNA silencing, the Arabidopsis small RNAs resembled siRNAs triggered by two types of RNA-silencing inducers in N. benthamiana. The endogenous small RNAs of Arabidopsis and siRNAs of N. benthamiana were clearly related based on similarity of size (predominantly 21 to 24 nucleotides in length) and inferred terminal structure, which strongly suggest that both types of RNAs are formed by an RNaseIII-like mechanism. Importantly, the Arabidopsis small RNAs also resemble miRNAs of animals, which in C. elegans and Drosophila are likely formed through the activity of Dicer (Hutvagner et al., 2001; Ketting et al., 2001; Knight and Bass, 2001; Lee and Ambros, 2001). Arabidopsis contains nine predicted genes with at least one RNaseIII-like domain similar to that in Dicer (Z. Xie and J.C. Carrington, unpublished data), although the roles of these genes in small RNA biosynthesis have yet to be assigned.

Among the 125 endogenous small RNAs identified in this study, only two were identified in more than one clone, suggesting that the screen was far from saturating. Further adding to the complexity is the likelihood that the production of small RNAs is under developmental control. Small RNA 39, for example, accumulated to detectable levels only in inflorescence tissue. Like the mRNA transcriptome, the composition of small RNA populations is unique in different cell types and tissues.

Small RNAs from IGR Sequences

The predominant class of Arabidopsis small RNA derives from IGR sequences. These small RNAs have similarities to the recently identified miRNAs of C. elegans, Drosophila,
and human (Lagos-Quintana et al., 2001; Lau et al., 2001; Lee and Ambros, 2001). The miRNAs are small (predominantly 21 to 24 nucleotides), arise by processing of precursor transcripts (~70 nucleotides) containing imperfectly paired stem structures in a Dicer-dependent manner, and originate primarily from intergenic sequences (Ruvkun, 2001; Grosshans and Slack, 2002). Both animal miRNAs and Arabidopsis small RNAs arise by transcription events that are independent of adjacent conventional genes. However, there are some notable differences that may reflect distinct mechanisms of biosynthesis. First, the Arabidopsis IGR small RNAs are predicted to arise from heterogeneous precursor RNAs. Some may contain relatively short and simple stem-loop structures, such as those in animal miRNA precursors, whereas others are associated with extensive or complex stem-loop structures (Figure 4). Second, several small RNAs originate from irregularly spaced or overlapping loci within presumed precursor RNAs of variable length. Third, Arabidopsis small RNAs from clustered loci occur in both orientations.

These distinct properties of Arabidopsis IGR small RNAs can be explained by a biosynthetic mechanism that includes transcription of IGR DNA to form a highly base-paired precursor. Dicer-like cleavage to yield limited small RNAs, and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase–mediated amplification of part of the precursor RNA. The initial and subsequent small RNAs would serve as primers in a PCR-like amplification mechanism, similar to that proposed for the amplification of target RNAs during RNAi in C. elegans and Drosophila (Lipardi et al., 2001; Sijen et al., 2001). The amplification products then would be cleaved efficiently by an RNaseIII-like mechanism to yield small RNAs of both polarities.

In fact, clustering of small RNAs in both orientations is precisely what happens during RNA silencing using either weak or strong inducers (Figure 2). Amplification of these IGR precursor transcripts to yield sequences in both orientations may be catalyzed by SDE1/SGS2, an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase–like protein that is required for RNA silencing triggered by transgenes and some viruses (Dalmay et al., 2000b; Mourrain et al., 2000). However, Arabidopsis contains at least six other genes with high similarity to SDE1/SGS2 (L.K. Johansen and J.C. Carrington, unpublished data), one or more of which may have specialized functions in small RNA formation.

What are the functions of IGR-derived small RNAs? First, at least some small RNAs may be similar to C. elegans stRNAs. These would anneal imperfectly to mRNA targets to modulate translation. The imperfect nature of the base pairing between small RNAs and target mRNAs, however, precludes the computer-based identification of interacting partners using available data. Second, the IGR small RNAs might interact with target mRNAs, as do stRNAs, but have

| Table 2. Transposon-like Genes Corresponding to Small RNAs |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Annotation                        | Accession Numbera | Chromosome (Small RNA Start Position)b | Small RNAc       | Small RNA Orientation | Position in Gened |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Class I                          |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| LTR retrotransposons             |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At3g44570 III (16168450)         | 4 Sense CR      |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At3g50490 III (18748214)         | 67.18 Sense CR-NCR |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At4g03860 IV (1806346)           | 82 Antisense CR |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At1g35970 I (13402547)           | 109.4 Antisense Intron |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At2g10650 II (4118437)           | 109.33 Antisense Intron |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Non-LTR retrotransposons         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At2g31080 II (13173209)          | 64 Antisense CR |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At1g26860 I (9305299)            | 105.1 Antisense CR |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Class II                         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| MULEs                            |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At2g25370 II (10756003)          | 42 Antisense CR |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| CACTA-like elements              |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At1g41910 I (15208566)           | 6.1 Antisense CR |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At2g10000 II (3735856)           | 6.2 Antisense CR |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At1g36080 I (13484620)           | 53.1 Sense CR   |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At1g23930 I (8461386)           | 53.2 Sense CR   |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At5g37390 V (14547631)           | 53.3 Sense CR   |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At5g33220 V (12243390)           | 53.5 Sense CR   |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At4g09370 IV (4908254)           | 53.6 Sense CR   |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At4g28960 IV (13245060)          | 53.7 Sense CR   |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| At3g47330 III (17445738)         | 53.8 Sense CR   |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| annotations:                      |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| a Determined by BLAST search with the small RNA sequence. |
| b First nucleotide position in the chromosome corresponding to the small RNA sequence. |
| c Unique code for each small RNA or small RNA locus. |
| d Location of the corresponding small RNA sequence in the gene coding sequence. CR, coding region; NCR, noncoding region. |

and human (Lagos-Quintana et al., 2001; Lau et al., 2001; Lee and Ambros, 2001). The miRNAs are small (predominantly 21 to 24 nucleotides), arise by processing of precursor transcripts (~70 nucleotides) containing imperfectly paired stem structures in a Dicer-dependent manner, and originate primarily from intergenic sequences (Ruvkun, 2001; Grosshans and Slack, 2002). Both animal miRNAs and Arabidopsis small RNAs arise by transcription events that are independent of adjacent conventional genes. However, there are some notable differences that may reflect distinct mechanisms of biosynthesis. First, the Arabidopsis IGR small RNAs are predicted to arise from heterogeneous precursor RNAs. Some may contain relatively short and simple stem-loop structures, such as those in animal miRNA precursors, whereas others are associated with extensive or complex stem-loop structures (Figure 4). Second, several small RNAs originate from irregularly spaced or overlapping loci within presumed precursor RNAs of variable length. Third, Arabidopsis small RNAs from clustered loci occur in both orientations.

These distinct properties of Arabidopsis IGR small RNAs can be explained by a biosynthetic mechanism that includes transcription of IGR DNA to form a highly base-paired precursor. Dicer-like cleavage to yield limited small RNAs, and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase–mediated amplification of part of the precursor RNA. The initial and subsequent small RNAs would serve as primers in a PCR-like amplification mechanism, similar to that proposed for the amplification of target RNAs during RNAi in C. elegans and Drosophila (Lipardi et al., 2001; Sijen et al., 2001). The amplification products then would be cleaved efficiently by an RNaseIII-like mechanism to yield small RNAs of both polarities.

In fact, clustering of small RNAs in both orientations is precisely what happens during RNA silencing using either weak or strong inducers (Figure 2). Amplification of these IGR precursor transcripts to yield sequences in both orientations may be catalyzed by SDE1/SGS2, an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase–like protein that is required for RNA silencing triggered by transgenes and some viruses (Dalmay et al., 2000b; Mourrain et al., 2000). However, Arabidopsis contains at least six other genes with high similarity to SDE1/SGS2 (L.K. Johansen and J.C. Carrington, unpublished data), one or more of which may have specialized functions in small RNA formation.

What are the functions of IGR-derived small RNAs? First, at least some small RNAs may be similar to C. elegans stRNAs. These would anneal imperfectly to mRNA targets to modulate translation. The imperfect nature of the base pairing between small RNAs and target mRNAs, however, precludes the computer-based identification of interacting partners using available data. Second, the IGR small RNAs might interact with target mRNAs, as do stRNAs, but have
effects on processes other than translation. These interactions could affect RNA splicing, mRNA localization or RNA turnover, depending on how the small RNA interacted with the factors that normally control these events.

Third, IGR-derived small RNAs with perfect or nearly perfect complementarity to an mRNA might trigger site-specific cleavage of the mRNA. This could happen if the small RNA incorporates into a functional RISC-like complex with sequence-specific nucleolytic function, as occurs during RNA silencing (Hammond et al., 2000, 2001). The inflorescence-specific small RNA 39 is interesting in this respect, because it is perfectly complementary to mRNAs for three Scarecrow gene family members.

Fourth, some small RNAs may serve as guides for the modification of chromosomal DNA. RNA silencing is known to trigger cytosine methylation within homologous sequences in chromosomal DNA, and siRNAs have been proposed to function as guide sequences in this process (Jones et al., 1999; Sijen and Kooter, 2000; Matzke et al., 2001; Mette et al., 2001). Small RNA–directed methylation of chromosomal DNA would have implications for the epigenetic control of nuclear genomes (Wassenegger, 2000). The small RNAs also might guide the modification of functional or structural RNA molecules. Small nucleolar RNAs, for example, serve such a function during 2′-O-ribose methylation and pseudouridylation modification of rRNAs (Tollervey, 1996; Smith and Steitz, 1997).

**Small RNAs from Protein-Coding and Structural RNA Genes**

A less abundant class of Arabidopsis small RNA contained sense or antisense sequences for coding regions and introns of conventional genes and transposon-like elements. In cases in which the small RNA sequences were unique (e.g., small RNAs 40 and 97), the small RNAs must have derived from the genes containing the homologous sequences. In cases of small RNAs corresponding to multiple genome loci, such as those containing identity with several of the transposon sequences or SS rRNA, the origin was ambiguous.

Does the presence of this class of small RNA reflect the silencing of endogenous mRNA or structural RNA targets? In *C. elegans*, genetic analysis revealed that several factors required for RNAi also are required for transposon silencing (Ketting et al., 1999; Tabara et al., 1999). The highly repetitive nature of transposon sequences in the Arabidopsis genome (Arabidopsis Genome Initiative, 2000; Le et al., 2000), as well as the likelihood that some active transposons will

---

**Table 3. Protein-Coding Genes Corresponding to Small RNAs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annotation</th>
<th>Accession Number&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Chromosome (Small RNA Start Position)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Small RNA&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Small RNA Orientation</th>
<th>Position in Gene&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>ESTs&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic phosphate transporter</td>
<td>At1g20860</td>
<td>I (7255467)</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>Antisense</td>
<td>Intron</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochrome P450</td>
<td>At1g47630</td>
<td>I (16956099)</td>
<td>104.1</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>CR-intron</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical protein, no known similarities</td>
<td>At1g79970</td>
<td>I (29737207)</td>
<td>107.1</td>
<td>Antisense</td>
<td>Intron</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak cathepsin L similarity</td>
<td>At2g39160</td>
<td>II (16282272)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Antisense</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc-finger/BTB domain–containing protein</td>
<td>At2g40440</td>
<td>II (16839165)</td>
<td>17.2&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Antisense</td>
<td>Intron</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-box–containing protein</td>
<td>At3g21170</td>
<td>III (7420343)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarecrow-like</td>
<td>At4g015150</td>
<td>IV (57411)</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>Antisense</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarecrow-like</td>
<td>At3g06830</td>
<td>III (22420503)</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>Antisense</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical protein, similar to nuclear protein in rice</td>
<td>At1g18980</td>
<td>IV (9360949)</td>
<td>24.19</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>Intron</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical protein, no known similarities</td>
<td>At4g7930</td>
<td>IV (12867464)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Antisense</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ser carboxypeptidase–like</td>
<td>At5g22960</td>
<td>V (7660496)</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>Intron</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V (7659853)</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>Antisense</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V (7659933)</td>
<td>101.1</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V (7660416)</td>
<td>101.2</td>
<td>Antisense</td>
<td>Intron</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical protein, no known similarities</td>
<td>At5g56070</td>
<td>V (22423005)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Antisense</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical protein, no known similarities</td>
<td>At5g69590</td>
<td>V (19422956)</td>
<td>105.2</td>
<td>Antisense</td>
<td>Intron</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical protein, no known similarities</td>
<td>At4g34690</td>
<td>IV (15520101)</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>Antisense</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK2-like RNA helicase protein</td>
<td>At5g61140</td>
<td>V (24315538)</td>
<td>110.2</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>Intron</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Determined by BLAST search with the small RNA sequence.

<sup>b</sup>First nucleotide position in the chromosome corresponding to the small RNA sequence.

<sup>c</sup>Unique code for each small RNA or small RNA locus.

<sup>d</sup>Location of the corresponding small RNA sequence in the gene. CR, coding region.

<sup>e</sup>+, EST in GenBank; –, no EST in GenBank.

<sup>f</sup>Small RNA 17 contained a single mismatch relative to the published Arabidopsis genome sequence.
integrate in a manner that yields dsRNA-forming sense and antisense transcripts, suggest that transposons are a probable target of RNA silencing in plants.

In addition to small RNAs from transposons, the small RNAs from protein-coding gene sequences that are predicted to form extensive duplex structures may reflect RNA-silencing activity. Two such small RNAs, one corresponding to a Ser carboxypeptidase–like gene and one corresponding to a gene of unknown function, were detected by blot assay or identified in the cloned library (Table 3, Figure 6). As with the artificial 35S:dsGFP RNA-silencing inducer, extensive duplex structures in mRNA or pre-mRNA may be tar-
geted efficiently for cleavage. These small RNAs then would function in the subsequent RNA silencing of homologous and closely related sequences.

Endogenous Small RNAs and Signaling

The finding of so many small RNAs in plants and animals leads to the logical conclusion that a broad range of processes are affected by this class of RNA. Given the size of these species and the known capacity of intercellular junctions to transport nucleic acids in plants, it is reasonable to propose that small RNAs serve as local or systemic signaling molecules. Viral nucleoprotein complexes, proteins, and functional mRNAs all are known to move from cell to cell and long distances in plants (Wu et al., 2002).

Cell-to-cell transport of small RNAs through plasmodesmata may enable signaling for post-transcriptional or epigenetic control in cells near sites of small RNA production. Long-distance transport of small RNAs through the phloem could contribute to certain types of regulation that occur systemically (Kuhn et al., 1997; Voinnet and Baulcombe, 1997; Palauqui and Vaucheret, 1998; Ruiz-Medrano et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2001). The major challenges that lie ahead are the identification of targets for small RNAs and understanding the function of small RNA–target interactions.

METHODS

Plants, Plasmids, Strains, and Agrobacterium tumefaciens Injection

Nontransgenic Nicotiana benthamiana and Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia-0 were used. The 35S:green fluorescent protein (GFP) construct (pRTL2-smGFP) contained a functional copy of the soluble modified GFP coding sequence. The intron-spliced, inverted-repeat form of the GFP sequence (35S:dsGFP) construct (pRTL2-ds-GFP) contained both sense and antisense soluble modified GFP sequences separated by a 120-nucleotide intron of the RTM1 gene (Chisholm et al., 2000), as described previously (Johansen and Carrington, 2001). These plasmids contained the 3SS promoter and terminator sequences. Expression cassettes were inserted into vector pSLJ755I5, and the resulting constructs were introduced by triparental
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**Figure 7.** Characterization of Small RNA 39.

(A) Predicted secondary structure of the IGR region corresponding to small RNA 39 (blue) and the upstream flanking sequence. The orientation (black arrows) and genome coordinates (nucleotides as annotated in GenBank) of predicted genes flanking the IGR sequence are shown.

(B) Three genes in the Scarecrow-like gene family with sequences complementary (long arrow) to that of small RNA 39. For continuity with supplemental material, the small RNA 39 sequence is written 5’ to 3’.

(C) Dendrogram of the Scarecrow-like gene family. Genes highlighted in boldface contained sequences complementary to that of small RNA 39.

(D) Blot analysis of duplicate small RNA samples isolated from three tissue types of Arabidopsis using a radiolabeled oligonucleotide that was complementary to small RNA 39. The RNA standards (21 and 24 nucleotides) and sample loading controls are described in Figure 1.

Chr, chromosome; nts, nucleotides.
mating into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV2260 (Johansen and Carrington, 2001).

Agrobacterium infiltration of leaves was performed as described (Llave et al., 2000). Bacteria containing either the 35S:GFp or 35S:dsGFp construct were resuspended in infiltration solution to 1 and 0.5 OD_{600}, respectively. Infiltrated leaves were harvested after 6 to 7 days and used for RNA isolation.

RNA Isolation and Blot Analysis

Total RNA was extracted using TRIZOL reagent (Bethesda Research Laboratories Life Technologies) as described (Johansen and Carrington, 2001). Low molecular weight RNA was isolated subsequently by anion-exchange chromatography (RNA/DNA Midi Kit; Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For amplification and cloning experiments, small RNAs were further gel purified as follows. RNA was resolved by electrophoresis on 15% polyacrylamide (30:0.8) gels containing 7 M urea in TBE buffer (45 mM Tris-borate, pH 8.0, and 1.0 mM EDTA). A gel slice containing RNAs of ~15 to 35 nucleotides (based on RNA oligonucleotide size standards) was excised and eluted in 0.3 M NaCl at 4°C for ~16 h. The eluted RNAs were precipitated using ethanol and resuspended in diethyl pyrocarbonate–treated deionized water.

Blot hybridization analysis was performed as described (Llave et al., 2000) with some modifications. Small RNA was resolved on denaturing 15% polyacrylamide (30:0.8) gels, electrophoresed by NuTop SuperCharge membranes (Schleicher & Schuell) using a trans-blot semidy transfer cell (Bio-Rad) for 1 h at 400 mA, and UV cross-linked. Radiolabeled probes for the GFp coding sequence were made by random priming reactions in the presence of α-33P-dATP. DNA oligonucleotides complementary to small RNA sequences were end labeled with γ-33P-ATP using T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA). Blots used for the analysis of small RNA sequences were prehybridized and hybridized using PerfectHyb Plus buffer (Sigma). Hybridization of blots using random-primed GFp probes was performed at 38°C, whereas hybridization of blots using oligonucleotide probes was performed at 20°C below the calculated dissociation temperature (T_d) [T_d (°C) = 4(G+C) + 2(A+T)]. Blots were washed at 50°C as described (Llave et al., 2000).

Densitometric analysis of at least four independent RNA gel blots exposed to x-ray film was used to assess the relative levels of small RNA accumulation. Synthetic oligonucleotides of 21 and 24 nucleotides, with 5′ phosphate and 3′ hydroxyl ends, were used as size standards during electrophoresis. Ethidium bromide staining and visualization of the SS RNA/RNA bands in small RNA gels were used to monitor the loading of RNA samples.

Amplification from small RNA cloning experiments were resolved on 2% agarose gels in TBE buffer. Products from GFP-derived small RNAs were subjected to DNA gel blot analysis as described (Llave et al., 2000), except that hybridizations were performed at 40°C using a radiolabeled GFp probe.

Amplification and Cloning of Small Interfering RNAs from N. benthamiana

Small RNA was isolated from mature N. benthamiana leaves (8 to 10 g) that were infiltrated with Agrobacterium containing either 35S:GFp or 35S:dsGFp constructs (Johansen and Carrington, 2001). Gel-purified small interfering RNA (siRNA) molecules were ligated sequentially to 5′ and 3′ RNA oligonucleotide adapters using T4 RNA ligase (1 unit/μL) (New England Biolabs). 2′-ACE-protected RNA oligonucleotides (Dharmacon Research, Boulder, CO) were designed to contain either 5′ or 3′ end modifications that prevented self-ligation. The 5′ RNA adapter 1 (5′-CACUGCGUUUGCGUGUUUGGCGUAG-3′) possessed 5′ and 3′ hydroxyl groups. The 3′ RNA adapter 2 (5′-CAGUAGUGCUAGUGAAGAGA-idT-3′) possessed a 5′ monophosphate and a 3′ inverted deoxythymidine (idT). RNA oligonucleotides were 2′ deprotected according to the instructions of the manufacturer and gel purified as described previously.

The siRNAs were first ligated to the 5′ RNA adapter 1. The ligation products were gel eluted and ligated to the 3′ RNA adapter 2 as described above. Individual ligation reactions of siRNA to each adapter were performed as controls, and reaction products were monitored by electrophoresis on 15% polyacrylamide gels and RNA gel blots. The final ligation product then was used as a template in a reverse transcription reaction using the reverse primer (5′-ACTCTTGACGACTAC-3′) and Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Bethesda Research Laboratories Life Technologies). This was followed by PCR using the reverse and forward (5′-CGTTTGCTGCTTTG-3′) primers and Taq DNA polymerase.

Amplification products were purified by phenol-choroform extraction and ethanol precipitation and then ligated into the T/A site of a pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega) using T4 DNA ligase (Promega). Electrocompetent Escherichia coli DH10B cells (Bethesda Research Laboratories Life Technologies) were transformed with the siRNA library. Positive colonies were identified by PCR using the M13F 5′-CCCATGTCAGACGTTCGTTAAGGACGACG-3′ and M13R 5′-GGCGATAACAATTTTCACAGGAAACACGC-3′ primers followed by DNA gel blot hybridization using a radiolabeled GFp probe. Plasmids were extracted from positive colonies, and the inserts were sequenced.

Amplification and Cloning of Small RNAs from Arabidopsis

Small RNA was isolated from inflorescence tissue of Arabidopsis Columbia-0 (3 to 4 g), gel purified, and ligated sequentially to RNA adapters 1 and 2 as described above. The final ligation product was reverse transcribed and amplified by PCR using a reverse transcriptase primer (5′-TTTTGCTCAGTCCACTCTTGCAGC-3′) that contained a PstI restriction site and a forward primer (5′-AAACAATTTTCACAGGAAACACGC-3′) that contained a Ncol restriction site (sites are indicated in boldface). The PCR products were phenol extracted, precipitated with ethanol, and digested with PstI and Ncol. After additional phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation, the digested products were ligated to a PstI-Ncol-linearized pGEM-T Easy vector using T4 DNA ligase. The inserts from individual colonies were amplified by PCR using the M13F and M13R primers and sequenced.

Small RNAs were named with numerical suffixes (e.g., small RNA 39). In cases in which a small RNA sequence corresponded to multiple genome loci, the loci number is indicated after the small RNA number (e.g., small RNA 39.3). Detailed information about each small RNA is provided in the supplemental material.

Computer Analysis of Small RNA Sequences from Arabidopsis

The small RNA sequences were used to search the GenBank database using BLAST. Only those clones that contained matches to one or more Arabidopsis genome sequences were analyzed further.

The potential secondary structure of RNA surrounding small RNA sequences was predicted using mfold version 3.1 (Mathews et al.,...
1999) (http://bioinfo.math.rpi.edu). For IGR-derived small RNAs, secondary structure predictions were made using sense and antisense sequences containing 100 nucleotides (based on genomic sequence) on either side of the small RNA or clusters of small RNAs. For protein-coding gene–derived small RNAs, sense and antisense sequences of up to 3000 nucleotides flanking the small RNAs were analyzed. Phylogenetic trees were generated using Clustal W 1.8 (Thompson et al., 1994; Higgins et al., 1996).

Upon request, all novel material described in this article will be made available in a timely manner for noncommercial research purposes. No restrictions or conditions will be placed on the use of any materials described in this article that would limit their use in noncommercial research.

**Accession Numbers**

GenBank accession numbers for the small RNAs are provided in the supplemental material. GenBank accession numbers for small RNAs cited in the text are as follows: small RNA 2 (AF501743), small RNA 4 (AF501745), small RNA 5 (AF501746), small RNA 6 (AF501747), small RNA 14 (AF501755), small RNA 17 (AF501758), small RNA 19 (AF501760), small RNA 23 (AF501764), small RNA 24 (AF501765), small RNA 30 (AF501771), small RNA 32 (AF501773), small RNA 35 (AF501776), small RNA 39 (AF501780), small RNA 40 (AF501781), small RNA 42 (AF501783), small RNA 49 (AF501790), small RNA 53 (AF501794), small RNA 55 (AF501796), small RNA 64 (AF501805), small RNA 67 (AF501808), small RNA 71 (AF501812), small RNA 77 (AF501818), small RNA 82 (AF501823), small RNA 85 (AF501826), small RNA 88 (AF501829), small RNA 90 (AF501831), small RNA 96 (AF501837), small RNA 97 (AF501838), small RNA 100 (AF501841), small RNA 101 (AF501842), small RNA 104 (AF501845), small RNA 105 (AF501846), small RNA 107 (AF501848), small RNA 109 (AF501850), small RNA 110 (AF501851), and small RNA 124 (AF501865).
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