A Systemic Small RNA Signaling System in Plants
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Systemic translocation of RNA exerts non-cell-autonomous control over plant development and defense. Long-distance delivery of mRNA has been proven, but transport of small interfering RNA and microRNA remains to be demonstrated. Analyses performed on phloem sap collected from a range of plants identified populations of small RNA species. The dynamic nature of this population was reflected in its response to growth conditions and viral infection. The authenticity of these phloem small RNA molecules was confirmed by bioinformatic analysis; potential targets for a set of phloem small RNA species were identified. Heterografting studies, using spontaneously silencing coat protein (CP) plant lines, also established that transgene-derived siRNA move in the long-distance phloem and initiate CP gene silencing in the scion. Biochemical analysis of pumpkin (Cucurbita maxima) phloem sap led to the characterization of C. maxima Phloem SMALL RNA BINDING PROTEIN1 (CmPSRP1), a unique component of the protein machinery probably involved in small RNA trafficking. Equivalently sized small RNA binding proteins were detected in phloem sap from cucumber (Cucumis sativus) and lupin (Lupinus albus). PSRP1 binds selectively to 25-nucleotide single-stranded RNA species. Microinjection studies provided direct evidence that PSRP1 could mediate the cell-to-cell trafficking of 25-nucleotide single-stranded, but not double-stranded, RNA molecules. The potential role played by PSRP1 in long-distance transmission of silencing signals is discussed with respect to the pathways and mechanisms used by plants to exert systemic control over developmental and physiological processes.

INTRODUCTION

In eukaryotic organisms, a paradigm is emerging in which RNA functions as non-cell-autonomous signaling molecules (Fire et al., 1998; Jorgensen et al., 1998; Lucas et al., 2001; Hannon, 2002; Winston et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2002; Zamore, 2002; Rognant et al., 2003). In plants, a role for non-cell-autonomous RNA has been established in terms of systemic signaling associated both with RNA interference (RNAi) (Palaquii et al., 1997; Jorgensen et al., 1998; Voinnet et al., 1998; Fagard and Vaucheret, 2000; Vance and Vaucheret, 2001; Mlotshwa et al., 2002) and development (Ruiz-Medrano et al., 1999; Xoconostle-Cázares et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2001).

Plasmodesmata (PD), the intercellular organelles of the plant kingdom (Lucas, 1995; Jackson, 2000; Zambryski and Crawford, 2000; Haywood et al., 2002), serve as the conduit through which proteins and RNA-protein complexes move, cell to cell, to exert supracellular control (Lucas et al., 1995; Sessions et al., 2000; Nakajima et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2002, 2003; Wada et al., 2002; Schiebelbein, 2003). The vascular system, and specifically the specialized cell types of the phloem, provide the pathway for the long-distance translocation of non-cell-autonomous proteins and RNA-protein complexes to distantly located tissues and organs (Fisher et al., 1992; Palaquii et al., 1997; Golecki et al., 1998, 1999; Jorgensen et al., 1998; Ruiz-Medrano et al., 1999; Xoconostle-Cázares et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2001). Delivery of such informational macromolecules into and out of the phloem translocation stream appears to occur through PD (Balachandran et al., 1997; Aoki et al., 2002; van Bel, 2003).

The protein machinery involved in RNAi is currently under intense investigation (Dalmay et al., 2000, 2001; Mourrain et al., 2000; Sijen et al., 2001; Wassenegger, 2002; Tang et al., 2003). It is now evident that an RNase III–type enzyme, termed Dicer in animals (Hammond et al., 2000; Bernstein et al., 2001) and Dicer-like (DCL) in plants (Schauer et al., 2002; Papp et al., 2003; Tang et al., 2003), is pivotal to this process. Dicer enzymes bind and cleave double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) into 21- to 25-nucleotide dsRNA species (Hamilton and Baulcombe, 1999; Zamore et al., 2001; Elbashir et al., 2001). These small RNA cleavage products then function as sequence-specific small interfering RNA (siRNA) or microRNA (miRNA) involved in transcript turnover, cleavage, or translational control (Olsen and Ambros, 1999; Huttner et al., 2001; Huttner and Zamore, 2002; Llave et al., 2002a, 2002b; Reinhart et al., 2002; Aukerman and Sakai, 2003; Khvorova et al., 2003; Kidner and Martienssen, 2003; Lim et al., 2003). In plants, the cell-to-cell and systemic spread of RNAi is considered to occur through PD (Voinnet et al., 1998; Lucas et al., 2001; Mlotshwa et al., 2002; Himber et al., 2003) and the phloem (Palaquii et al., 1997; Jorgensen et al., 1998; Fagard and
Vaucheret, 2000; Vance and Vaucheret, 2001; Klahre et al., 2002; Mallory et al., 2003), respectively; however, the RNA species and underlying mechanism of trafficking remain to be elucidated (Vance and Vaucheret, 2001; Hamilton et al., 2002; Klahre et al., 2002; Mlotshwa et al., 2002; Himber et al., 2003; Mallory et al., 2003).

In this study, we performed a detailed analysis of phloem sap collected from various plants and identified populations of small RNA species likely involved in systemic signaling processes. The dynamic nature of this population was reflected in its response to viral infection and growth conditions. Experiments conducted with spontaneously silencing plant lines and viral-infected tissues confirmed the presence of transgene- and viral-derived siRNA in the phloem. Bioinformatic analyses performed on a phloem small RNA–derived database identified potential targets for many of these phloem small RNA species. Biochemical analysis of pumpkin (Cucurbita maxima) phloem sap led to the characterization of C. maxima Phloem SMALL RNA BINDING PROTEIN1 (CmPSRP1), a unique component of the protein machinery that binds selectively to small single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) species. Evidence is presented that PSRP1 mediates cell-to-cell trafficking of small ssRNA but not dsRNA molecules. These results are discussed in terms of the long-distance transmission of silencing signals in plants.

RESULTS

Cucurbit Phloem Sap Contains a Population of Small RNA Molecules

Earlier efforts to identify the nature of the RNA species that serves as the systemic-signaling agent(s) were based on analyses conducted on whole leaf tissues (Voinnet et al., 1998; Mallory et al., 2001; Guo and Ding, 2002; Hamilton et al., 2002; Klahre et al., 2002; Mlotshwa et al., 2002), rather than directly on the phloem translocation stream. In this study, we used cucurbits from which analytical quantities of phloem sap could be collected (Balachandran et al., 1997; Golecki et al., 1998; Yoo et al., 2002); an added advantage of this system was that protocols
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**Figure 1.** Small RNA Population Detected in the Pumpkin Phloem Translocation Stream.

(A) Small RNA species present within the phloem sap and vegetative tissues of pumpkin were extracted, end-labeled with 32P-phosphate, separated using PAGE, and then visualized by autoradiography. Left top and bottom panels: samples from summer- and winter-grown plants, respectively. Loading control (LC): a constant high molecular weight band present in the unfractionated phloem sap RNA was used for between sample calibration. Right top and bottom panels: apical and mature leaf tissues from summer-grown plants and ethidium bromide–stained 5S rRNA as loading control, respectively (0.3 μg per lane). nt, nucleotides.

(B) Small RNA species detected in the phloem sap of cucumber, white lupin, caster bean, and yucca.

(C) ssRNA-specific RNase assay performed on control (synthetic 25-nucleotide ssRNA and 2-nucleotide 3’ 25-nucleotide dsRNA) and phloem small RNA preparations. Note the absence of signal associated with the synthetic 25-nucleotide ssRNA and low residual level in the phloem RNA population after treatment.
exist for the isolation and analysis of phloem-mobile proteins and RNA (Ruiz-Medrano et al., 1999; Xoconostle-Cazares et al., 1999; Yoo et al., 2002). Our analysis of pumpkin phloem sap demonstrated the presence of an endogenous population of small RNA, and as illustrated in Figure 1A, these small RNA species ranged from ~18 to 25 nucleotides in size.

The pattern of small RNA was found to be constant for plants grown under similar conditions; however, differences were detected between summer- and winter-grown plants. A comparison of the small RNA species present in leaves, the vegetative apex, and phloem sap (collected from various tissues) indicated that each displayed a characteristic pattern in terms of the relative abundance of the small RNA molecules (Figure 1A). Phloem sap was collected from an additional four plant species and analyzed for the presence of small RNA molecules; cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*), lupin (*Lupinus albus*), castor bean (*Ricinus communis*), and yucca (*Yucca filamentosa*) phloem all contained small RNA profiles that differed among these species (Figure 1B).

**Figure 2.** Molecular Size, Complexity, and Potential Targets for Phloem Small RNA Species.

(A) Size distribution and complexity, respectively, of the small RNA species contained within a phloem database (10,000 clones) generated from summer-grown pumpkin (sap collected from mature petioles). nt, nucleotides.

(B) Representative putative target genes of phloem small RNA with identified homology to cucurbit ESTs and/or Arabidopsis genes. Distribution of sense (above target gene; black, 0; green, 1; red, 2; and blue, 3 mismatches, respectively) and antisense (below target gene; colors as described for sense) clones directed against the indicated genes. Targets: cucurbit *TnL1* and *TnL2*; cucurbit small RNA identical to Arabidopsis miR159 proposed to target a MYB transcription factor (GenBank accession number At2g32460); putative *MT* (homologous to a spinach gene [GenBank accession number AF237633]); bifunctional *End* (homologous to a Zinnia elegans gene [GenBank accession number O80326]) and RNA-*Hel* (homologous to a Vigna radiata gene [GenBank accession number AF156667]). The size classes directed against the *TnL* and *Myb* genes were centered on 21 nucleotides, whereas those associated with *MT*, *End*, and *Hel* were in the 23- to 24-nucleotide range.

Enzymatic assays indicated that these phloem small RNA species appeared to exist predominantly as ssRNA (Figure 1C).

**Phloem Sap Contains Authentic siRNA and miRNA Species**

Biochemical assays were next performed on purified phloem small RNA samples to test for the involvement of an RNase III–type enzyme. The presence of 5′-phosphate was demonstrated by shrimp alkaline phosphatase treatment; the observed reduction in RNA electrophoretic mobility for both synthetic 24-nucleotide RNA (control: 5′-phosphate and 3′-hydroxyl group) and gel-purified phloem sap small RNA was consistent with removal of the negatively charged 5′-phosphate group (data not shown). Treatment of an aliquot of these same small RNA preparations with RNA ligase resulted in circularization and concatenation. These results indicate that the small RNA species extracted from the cucurbit phloem sap most probably contain 5′-phosphate and 3′-hydroxyl terminal residues (i.e., chemical
Table 1. Identification of Putative Arabidopsis miRNA Orthologs of Cloned Cucurbit Phloem miRNA Molecules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNA&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Sequence (5'/3')</th>
<th>Databases with FASTA Hits</th>
<th>Mismatches&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Direction&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Arabidopsis Best Hit</th>
<th>Description&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Target Gene Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR156&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TGACAGAAGAG AGTGAGCAC</td>
<td>Actinidia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At1g69170</td>
<td>Squamosa-promoter binding protein-related, similar to squamosa-promoter binding protein 1 GI:1183865 from (Antirrhinum majus)</td>
<td>SQUAMOSA-PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN (SBP-like proteins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At2g42200</td>
<td>Squamosa-promoter binding protein-related</td>
<td>SBP-like proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Populus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At5g50670</td>
<td>Expressed protein, contains similarity to squamosa promoter binding protein</td>
<td>SBP-like proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At5g43270</td>
<td>Squamosa promoter binding protein-related 2 (emb/CAB56576.1)</td>
<td>SBP-like proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>1 to 3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At1g53160</td>
<td>Transcription factor-related, similar to GB:X92369 from (A. majus)</td>
<td>SBP-like proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lolium</td>
<td>2 to 3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At3g15270</td>
<td>Squamosa promoter binding protein-related 5, identical to GB:CAB56571 from (Arabidopsis)</td>
<td>SBP-like proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR159&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TTTGGATTGAA GGGAGCTCTA</td>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>2 to 3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At5g06100</td>
<td>Myb family transcription factor, contains Pfam profile: PF00249 myb DNA binding domain</td>
<td>MYB transcription factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Festuca</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At3g11440</td>
<td>Myb family transcription factor, contains Pfam profile: PF00249 myb-like DNA binding domain</td>
<td>MYB transcription factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Populus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At1g30330</td>
<td>ARF6 (ARF6) mRNA</td>
<td>Auxin response factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Triticum</td>
<td>2 to 3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At5g37020</td>
<td>Auxin response factor 8 (ARF8) mRNA</td>
<td>Auxin response factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similar to miR167&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TCAAGCTGC CAGCATGAT CTGA</td>
<td>Actinidia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At1g69170</td>
<td>Squamosa-promoter binding protein-related, similar to squamosa-promoter binding protein 1 GI:1183865 from (Antirrhinum majus)</td>
<td>SQUAMOSA-PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN (SBP-like proteins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At5g50670</td>
<td>Expressed protein, contains similarity to squamosa promoter binding protein</td>
<td>SBP-like proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At5g43270</td>
<td>Squamosa promoter binding protein-related 2 (emb/CAB56576.1)</td>
<td>SBP-like proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>1 to 3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At1g53160</td>
<td>Transcription factor-related, similar to GB:X92369 from (A. majus)</td>
<td>SBP-like proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lolium</td>
<td>2 to 3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At3g15270</td>
<td>Squamosa promoter binding protein-related 5, identical to GB:CAB56571 from (Arabidopsis)</td>
<td>SBP-like proteins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
properties consistent with their being generated by RNase III activity) (Tang et al., 2003).

To further confirm the authenticity of these phloem small RNA molecules, sap was next collected from petioles of mature summer-grown plants and the small RNA population extracted and cloned to generate a bioinformatic database. The resultant distribution of the various size classes, presented in Figure 2A, was consistent with the observed phloem small RNA pattern (Figure 1A). Interrogation of these clones revealed an underlying complexity associated with this population (Figure 2B). Using available plant genome databases, it was possible to identify potential targets for several of these phloem mobile small RNA molecules (Figure 2C). The distribution of the small RNA along the transposon-like 1 (TnL1) and TnL2 target sequences suggested the action of siRNA. The small RNA patterns observed for cucurbit ESTs of a putative methyltransferase ([MT]; homologous to a spinach gene), bifunctional endonuclease ([End]; homologous to a Zinnia elegans gene), and RNA helicase ([Hel]; homologous to a Vigna radiata gene) could reflect a novel method for small RNA targeting.

Interrogation of these plant databases, against characterized plant miRNA (Reinhart et al., 2002), also identified several putative Arabidopsis thaliana orthologs contained within the phloem small RNA library; representative examples are presented in Figure 2C and Table 1. As shown in Figure 3, RNA gel blot analysis established that miR156, miR159, and miR167 were detected in RNA extracted from both plant tissues and phloem sap, whereas miR171 was absent from the phloem miRNA population. No hybridization was detected with end-labeled sense oligonucleotides. Taken together, these results implicate the involvement of both siRNA and miRNA in phloem-mediated long-distance regulation of gene function in plants.

**Viral Coat Protein–Specific siRNA Carried in Phloem of Spontaneously Silencing Plants**

Our current understanding of RNA silencing in plants is based primarily on experiments performed using leaf tissues expressing transgenes (Palauqui et al., 1997; Voinnet et al., 1998; Mlotshwa et al., 2002). Such a transgenic system was next used to test for the presence, in the phloem, of the associated siRNA. Spontaneously silencing and nonsilencing transgenic yellow crookneck squash (Cucurbita pepo) lines, expressing a viral coat protein (CP) gene (Pang et al., 2000), were examined by RNA gel blot analysis. As illustrated in Figure 4, we could detect CP siRNA, in the 23-nucleotide size range, in mature leaves and within the phloem of silenced lines; however, no such siRNA was detected from either nonsilenced CP transgenic or wild-type tissue. Note that as comparable small RNA profiles were observed in the phloem of wild-type and CP silenced squash plants (Figure 4C), it would appear that the CP siRNA is not a dominant species in the phloem translocation stream of such spontaneously silencing plants. Finally, both sense and antisense CP siRNA were detected in the phloem sap at similar levels (Figure 4B), suggesting that the dsRNA form may predominate. However, RNase enzyme assays revealed the absence of this dsRNA form (Figure 4D).

Grafting experiments were next performed to further test whether these CP siRNA were bone fide constituents of the

### Table 1. (continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNA&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Sequence (5'→3')</th>
<th>Databases with FASTA Hits</th>
<th>Mismatches&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Direction&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Arabidopsis Best Hit</th>
<th>Description&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Target Gene Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR171&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TGATTGACCG CGGCAATATC</td>
<td>Actinidia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At4g00150</td>
<td>Scarecrow-like transcription factor 6 (SCL6)</td>
<td>GRAS domain transcription factors (SCARECROW-like)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR171&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TGATTGACCG CGGCAATATC</td>
<td>Arabidopsis Glycine Lotus Medicago Pinus Populus Zea Cucurbita Eucalyptus Glycine Lotus Lycopersicon Populus</td>
<td>0 to 3</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>At4g36710</td>
<td>Scarecrow transcription factor family</td>
<td>GRAS domain transcription factors (SCARECROW-like)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Cloned and sequenced phloem sap small RNAs were interrogated by conducting FASTA analyses with the sense and complementary sequence directed against an EST-derived database. Sequences within each of these data sets were then mapped by TBLASTX against Arabidopsis genes. miR171 cloned from Arabidopsis apices was used as a control to validate our miRNA target identification process.

<sup>b</sup> Bulges and G:U wobbles were included as mismatches in these analyses.

<sup>c</sup> Direction: R represents the reverse, or complementary, reading frame.

<sup>d</sup> Descriptions are from The Arabidopsis Information Resource ([TAIR]; http://www.arabidopsis.org/info/ontologies).

<sup>e</sup> Phloem-mobile miRNA species.

<sup>f</sup> Not detected in phloem sap.
phloem translocation stream, as opposed to wound-induced contaminants (Knoblauch and van Bel, 1998; van Bel, 2003) derived from neighboring silenced tissues. Phloem sap collected from cucumber scions grafted onto either wild-type or nonsilencing CP transgenic squash lines was free of CP siRNA (Figure 4E).

By contrast, equivalent experiments performed with cucumber (scion) and the spontaneously silencing squash line 127 (stock) revealed the presence of both sense and antisense CP siRNA in the phloem sap taken from both stock and scion tissues.

Parallel experiments were conducted in which line 22(NS) was grafted onto spontaneously silencing stocks; homografts were used as controls. Three weeks after grafting, entire scion apices (terminal 1 cm of sink tissue) were excised and analyzed for CP transcripts and siRNAs. Apical tissues from 3(S) plants exhibited low CP mRNA and high siRNA signal; the converse was observed with 22(NS) scions (Figure 4F). The level of CP mRNA in the apex of heterografted 3(S):22(NS) squash plants was reduced to levels equivalent to those detected in 3(S) tissues. Consistent with 3(S) stock-induced systemic silencing, a weak CP siRNA signal was detected by RNA gel blot analysis (Figure 4F).

RNA gel blot and RT-PCR analyses were next conducted to ascertain whether the phloem sap collected from these transgenic melon lines also contained other forms of CP RNA. No full-length CP-specific signal was detected in our RNA gel blot hybridization analysis (data not shown), suggesting that, if present, any such RNA would be there at very low levels. This conclusion was supported by RT-PCR performed using a range of CP-specific primer sets (designed to amplify both full-length and internal CP fragments). No signal was amplified from RNA samples extracted from wild-type plants, but sense and antisense transcripts were detected in both mature leaves and phloem sap of spontaneously silencing squash plants (Figure 4G, lines 3[S] and 127[S]). Although the signal associated with the antisense CP transcript was always weak, it could be routinely detected. Equivalent analysis performed on squash line 22(NS) identified similar signals.

Phloem of Viral-Infected Plants Contains a High Level of siRNA

Plants use RNA silencing as a surveillance mechanism to protect against viral attack (Jorgensen et al., 1998; Voinnet, 2001; Mlotshwa et al., 2002). Viral infection of cucurbits was next used to test for the presence of viral-directed siRNA in the phloem during such a challenge. Data from our molecular analysis of the phloem sap, collected from Cucumber yellows closterovirus (CuYV)-infected pumpkin (Hartono et al., 2003), are presented in Figure 5. These results show that sap from infected plants contained both sense and antisense siRNA (20- to 21-nucleotide size class) directed along the length of the viral genome (Figure 5A). The phloem sap also contained CuYV transcripts (data not shown), probably reflecting a dynamic balance between RNAi-based surveillance and viral infection. In contrast with the spontaneously silencing CP lines, a comparison of the small RNA present in the phloem of healthy and infected plants indicated a significant shift in this population, resulting from an increase in siRNA derived from the viral RNA (Figure 5B). These findings are also consistent with the hypothesis that small RNA species participate in the systemic response of the plant to viral challenge.

PSRP1 Is a Phloem Small RNA Binding Protein

The phloem sap was earlier shown to contain proteins involved in mRNA trafficking (Xoconostle-Cazares et al., 1999). We next investigated whether the phloem translocation stream contains proteins that bind specifically to small RNA. RNA overlay assays were first performed using previously identified phloem-mobile mRNAs (Ruiz-Medrano et al., 1999) to identify the spectrum of phloem proteins from pumpkin, cucumber, and lupin that could bind to these transcripts. An example based on
CmRINGP and using fractionated pumpkin phloem proteins is presented in Figures 6A and 6B. Equivalent experiments performed with cucumber and lupin phloem proteins are shown in Figures 7A and 7B and Figures 7F and 7G, respectively. These mRNA binding patterns were then compared with those obtained using either sense or antisense synthetic 25-nucleotide RNA. Analysis of pumpkin (Figures 6D and 6E), cucumber (Figures 7C and 7D), and lupin (Figures 7H and 7I) revealed the presence of an ~27-kD protein that bound differentially and strongly to small RNA. Parallel experiments performed using phloem-purified small RNA (18 to 24 nucleotides) from pumpkin confirmed this finding (Figure 6F). Finally, experiments conducted using various double-stranded forms of small RNA (Figures 6G to 6I) showed that the pumpkin 27-kD protein bound to both small ssRNA and dsRNA, albeit with an apparent higher affinity for ssRNA species.

Biochemical protocols were next developed to purify the pumpkin 27-kD phloem protein to permit cloning of the corresponding gene. As shown in Figure 8A, a combination of Q-Sepharose and metal-chelation chromatography yielded...
by a Ca²⁺-dependent protein kinase in vitro (Yoo et al., 2002), PSRP1 is phosphorylated in vitro (Yoo et al., 2002). We previously reported that PSRP1 is phosphorylated by a Ca²⁺-dependent protein kinase in vitro (Yoo et al., 2002), PSRP1 is phosphorylated in vitro (Yoo et al., 2002).

The expression pattern of PSRP1 was next investigated using a combination of specific primers and in situ RT-PCR methods (Ruiz-Medrano et al., 1999). As illustrated in Figure 10, PSRP1 transcripts were detected in pumpkin vascular tissues, with the highest levels accumulating in both the internal and external phloem (Figure 10B). Two forms of control were employed in these studies: one involved using primers for CmPP16 (Xoconostle-Cázares et al., 1999) (Figure 10C), and in the other, primers were omitted from the reaction mixture (Figure 10D). The similarity between the patterns of transcripts was fully consistent with the presence of both proteins within the pumpkin phloem sap.

**PSRP1 Binds RNA in a Size- and Form-Specific Manner**

Gel mobility-shift assays were next performed to further investigate the RNA binding properties of PSRP1. For these studies, both phloem-purified and recombinant (R-PSRP1; Figure 8C) forms were used and yielded equivalent results. As illustrated in Figure 9, PSRP1 exhibited both form- and size-specific RNA binding properties. Parallel gel mobility-shift experiments performed on 25-nucleotide ssRNA and 25-nucleotide dsRNA indicated that PSRP1 preferentially bound to the ssRNA form (Figure 9A). Competition experiments were next performed by preincubating PSRP1 with different concentrations of unlabeled ssRNA or dsRNA, followed by the addition of ³²P-labeled 25-nucleotide ssRNA (as competitor) (Figures 9B and 9C). Analysis of these data yielded PSRP1 dissociation constants (Kₐ) for 25-nucleotide ssRNA and 2-nucleotide 3' 25-nucleotide dsRNA of 3.13 × 10⁻⁸ M and 3.06 × 10⁻⁵ M, respectively.

To investigate whether PSRP1 exhibits size specificity in binding to RNA, a competition series was conducted using ssRNA of various lengths. Purified R-PSRP1 was allowed to interact with different amounts of unlabeled 25-, 45-, 100-, 400-, and 1000-nucleotide ssRNA molecules. This preincubation step was followed by the addition of ³²P-labeled 25-nucleotide ssRNA probe. Based on these experiments, PSRP1 appears to bind preferentially to ssRNA molecules in the following order: 25 nucleotides > 45 nucleotides > 100 nucleotides > 400 nucleotides > 1000 nucleotides (Figure 9D). Taken together, our biochemical assays provided support for the hypothesis that PSRP1 functions as a phloem small RNA binding protein.

**CmPSRP1 Is Expressed in Vascular Tissues**

The expression pattern of PSRP1 was next investigated using a combination of specific primers and in situ RT-PCR methods (Ruiz-Medrano et al., 1999). As illustrated in Figure 10, PSRP1 transcripts were detected in pumpkin vascular tissues, with the highest levels accumulating in both the internal and external phloem (Figure 10B). Two forms of control were employed in these studies: one involved using primers for CmPP16 (Xoconostle-Cázares et al., 1999) (Figure 10C), and in the other, primers were omitted from the reaction mixture (Figure 10D). The similarity between the patterns of CmPSRP1 and CmPP16 mRNA accumulation was fully consistent with the presence of both proteins within the pumpkin phloem sap.

**PD Do Not Permit Cell-to-Cell Diffusion of Small RNA Species**

By virtue of their small size, it has been assumed that small ssRNA and dsRNA move from cell to cell through PD by simple...
diffusion (Mlotshwa et al., 2002). If this were the case, PSRP1 could bind to small RNA species to restrict their movement from the phloem translocation stream out into vascular cells. Microinjection techniques were employed to test whether small RNA can actually diffuse through PD. Various forms of 21- and 25-nucleotide ssRNA and dsRNA were fluorescently labeled and injected into target cells, and their movement was observed by confocal laser scanning microscopy. The results from these studies are presented in Table 2. Although small membrane impermeant fluorescent probes like LYCH could undergo extensive cell-to-cell movement, none of the small RNA species tested was observed to move out of the injected cell. Retention of these fluorescently tagged 25-nucleotide ssRNA and dsRNA probes within the target cell is illustrated in Figures 11A and 11B, respectively. Hence, the PD size exclusion limit ([SEL]; ~800 D; Robards and Lucas, 1990) in mesophyll cells appears to prevent diffusion of these small RNA molecules.

To further explore the ability of small RNA molecules to move through PD, we next used endogenous KNOTTED1 (KN1) and viral movement proteins *Cucumber mosaic virus* movement protein (CMV-MP) because they are known to mediate an increase in PD SEL during their cell-to-cell trafficking (Carrington et al., 1996; Gilbertson and Lucas, 1996; Zambryski and Crawford, 2000; Haywood et al., 2002). These studies confirmed that such movement protein trafficking potentiated the cell-to-cell diffusion of 20-kD F-dextran, but in no case did this facilitate diffusion of the coinjected small RNA (Tables 2 and 3, Figures 11C and 11D). As an additional control for these experiments, we co-injected either KN1 or CMV-MP along with Alexa fluor–labeled *CmRINGP*. Here, CMV-MP but not KN1 could mediate trafficking of this RNA molecule (Table 2). Given that the size of both the 25-nucleotide ssRNA and dsRNA (~8 and ~16 kD, respectively) is below that of the 20-kD F-dextran, and well below that of the 1-kb *CmRINGP* RNA, the observed lack of small RNA movement may reflect either sequestration within the cell or involvement of a more complex mechanism.

### Selective PD Trafficking of 25-Nucleotide ssRNA Mediated by PSRP1

Our microinjection studies raised the possibility that PSRP1 could function in the cell-to-cell trafficking of small RNA. Evidence in support of such a function was gained through experiments performed with native phloem and recombinant forms of
Figure 7. Identification of a Small RNA Binding Protein Present in Cucumber and Lupin Phloem Sap.

(A) Cucumber phloem sap FPLC-fractionated proteins.
(B) Northwestern assay performed on FPLC-fractionated proteins from (A) using a CmRINGP-specific riboprobe. Note the set of cucumber PRBs capable of recognizing this pumpkin phloem-mobile mRNA.
(C) and (D) RNA overlay-protein blot assays performed on FPLC-fractionated phloem proteins from (A) using the indicated forms of small RNA riboprobes. Note that both probes bound to a single PSRP1 in the 27-kD size range.
(E) Detection of a 27-kd PSRP1 by a monoclonal anti-His6 antibody.
(F) Lupin phloem sap FPLC-fractionated proteins.
(G) RNA overlay-protein blot assay performed on FPLC-fractionated proteins from (A) using a CmRINGP-specific riboprobe. Note the set of lupin PRB capable of recognizing this pumpkin phloem-mobile mRNA.
(H) and (I) RNA overlay-protein blot assays performed on FPLC-fractionated phloem proteins from (F) using the indicated forms of small RNA riboprobes. Note that the ssRNA probe bound to a 27-kD protein, whereas the dsRNA probe bound to a 55-kD protein.
(J) Detection of a 27-kD PSRP1 by a monoclonal anti-His6 antibody.
this protein. As illustrated by the data presented in Table 3, coinjection of phloem fraction 7, containing the highest level of PSRP1 (see Figures 6A and 6C), and Alexa Fluor-labeled 25-nucleotide ssRNA resulted in trafficking into neighboring cells. Phloem fraction 10 (containing a very low level of PSRP1) was used as a control for this experiment; note that proteins contained within this fraction were unable to mediate the trafficking of the 25-nucleotide ssRNA probe. A parallel series of experiments, conducted using 25-nucleotide dsRNA as the test molecule, demonstrated that neither phloem fraction 7 nor 10 could potentiate trafficking from the target cell (Table 3).

Experiments performed with purified native phloem PSRP1 and R-PSRP1 demonstrated that both forms were able to mediate the cell-to-cell movement of a 25-nucleotide ssRNA probe. During this trafficking event, the PD SEL was increased to >20 kD. Coinjection of R-PSRP1, 20-kD F-dextran and Alexa Fluor (568)–labeled 25-nucleotide ssRNA resulted in efficient movement of both fluorescent signals into the adjoining cells (Table 3, Figures 11E and 11F). Again, this PSRP1 movement capacity appeared to be specific to small ssRNA because it failed to traffic large RNA transcripts (e.g., CmRINGP), small dsRNA, and ssDNA (Table 3, Figures 11G and 11H). Coinjection of BSA with 25-nucleotide ssRNA or ssDNA did not result in trafficking of either probe; this provided an essential test for possible nonspecific effects that might have been associated with injection of protein, per se, into the target cell.

DISCUSSION

Small RNA Population in Phloem Sap

Our findings support the hypothesis that the phloem translocation stream contains both a small RNA binding protein and a seemingly complex population of small RNA molecules that are responsive to growth conditions, as well as to viral challenge. In contrast with the apex, the phloem of cucurbits appears to contain three discrete small RNA size classes. In summer-grown plants, the relative abundance of each size class appeared to vary as a function of position along the plant axis; however, a more uniform pattern was observed in plants grown under winter conditions. Castor bean phloem contained only one major small RNA band, whereas those of lupin and yucca exhibited a greater diversity in size (Figure 1). In vitro studies have also indicated that plants can produce a range of small RNA species (Tang et al., 2003), and in this study it was concluded that such size variation in si/miRNA probably reflected the involvement of different DCL enzymes. Given the similarity in molecular size distribution obtained in our study (Figure 2A) and that reported by Tang et al., (2003), the observed phloem si/miRNA populations might similarly reflect the involvement of several DCLs. Differences in small RNA profiles contained within samples from the apex and pumpkin phloem sap presumably reflect the action of specific DCL enzymes located in these tissues.

Authentication of Phloem Small RNA Population

Our biochemical and bioinformatic studies provided support for the conclusion that small RNA molecules, extracted from the
cucurbit phloem sap, are bone fide products of DCL action. The complexity reflected in the phloem small RNA database is to be expected, given that RNAi directed against each target RNA would yield a highly diverse population of individual siRNA molecules. The lack of a cucurbit genome database complicated our efforts to confirm the identity of potential RNAi targets of this phloem small RNA population. In any event, our goal was to establish whether these small RNA species were consistent with systemic gene silencing. Identification of both sense and antisense small RNAs, targeted against specific transcripts, supported this notion (Figure 2C). The examples presented for the cucurbit TnL1 and TnL2 are fully consistent with the operation of systemic RNAi, the function of which would be to control transposon action (Wassenegger, 2002; Rudenko et al., 2003), on a whole plant level. Localized siRNA target sites were detected for several potential transcripts, including MT, End, and Hel.

---

**Figure 9.** Recombinant PSRP1 Displayed Form- and Size-Specific RNA Binding Properties.

(A) Gel mobility-shift assays performed using R-PSRP1 and ssRNA and dsRNA probes (10 fmol), nt, nucleotides.

(B) and (C) Competition experiments performed by preincubating R-PSRP1 (0.25 μg) with different concentrations of unlabeled ssRNA or dsRNA, respectively, followed by competition with 32P-labeled 25-nucleotide ssRNA (10 fmol). R-PSRP1 dissociation constants (K_d) for 25-nucleotide ssRNA and 2-nucleotide 3’ 25-nucleotide dsRNA were 3.13 × 10^{-8} M and 3.06 × 10^{-5} M, respectively.

(D) Competition experiments performed with ssRNA of various lengths. Purified R-PSRP1 (0.2 μg) was mixed with different amounts (molar excess indicated) of unlabeled 25-nucleotide (lanes 3 to 5), 45-nucleotide (lanes 6 to 8), 100-nucleotide (lanes 9 to 11), 400-nucleotide (lanes 12 to 14), or 1000-nucleotide (lanes 15 to 17) ssRNA molecules, followed by addition of radioactively labeled 25-nucleotide ssRNA (10 fmol) probe. Complexes were analyzed by 5% PAGE. Lane 1, free probe only; lane 2, probe with R-PSRP1 only. Different length for each competitor RNA was taken into account in calculating the molar excess concentration. Note that R-PSRP1 bound preferentially to ssRNA molecules in the following order: 25 nucleotides > 45 nucleotides > 100 nucleotides > 400 nucleotides > 1000 nucleotides.
and this phenomenon could reflect a variation on miRNA-directed mRNA cleavage/gene regulation (Llave et al., 2002a; Carrington and Ambros, 2003; Palatnik et al., 2003).

In plants, miRNAs are thought to function through the RNAi pathway (Tang et al., 2003), where they exert control over developmental events (Llave et al., 2002a; Reinhart et al., 2002; Aukerman and Sakai, 2003; Bartel and Bartel, 2003; Kasschau et al., 2003; Kidner and Martienssen, 2003). Furthermore, miRNA molecules and the complementary sites within their targets display a high degree of conservation between species (Kidner and Martienssen, 2003; Lewis et al., 2003). These properties offered a powerful way to verify the authenticity of the phloem small RNA species because we could search the database for the presence of known miRNAs (Llave et al., 2002b; Reinhart et al., 2002; Rhoades et al., 2002). Detection of miR156, miR159, and miR167 (Figure 2C, Table 1), as examples, supported our notion that small RNAs in phloem sap represent a bone fide population of si/miRNA derived from the phloem translocation stream. RNA gel blot analysis performed with these miRNAs provided additional verification (Figure 3); all three miRNAs were detected to varying levels in the tissues analyzed, but the strongest signal was generally observed in the phloem sap. Here, it is noteworthy that miR171, which targets the Scarecrow-like gene family in Arabidopsis (Llave et al., 2002a), was absent from the phloem database and undetectable in phloem sap. Taken together, these results indicate that the si/miRNA population within the cucurbit phloem can provide a platform to investigate systemic gene silencing in plants.

### Systemic Activity of Transgene-Derived siRNA

Transgenic squash lines expressing a viral CP gene provided an important test for the concept that systemic silencing occurs...
The presence of CP siRNA in phloem sap collected from spontaneously silencing plants [lines 3(S) and 127(S)], but its absence from nonsilencing plants [line 22(NS)] (Figures 4A and 4B), was fully consistent with this systemic silencing hypothesis and the observed resistance toSqMV (Pang et al., 2000). It is worth noting that when expression was driven by a strong promoter, these CP-derived 23-nucleotide siRNA still seemed to represent only a minor component of the squash phloem small RNA population (Figure 4C). This finding is in marked contrast with the situation in which 57% of the clones analyzed from phloem sap derived from CuYV-infected plants exhibited 100% identity to the viral genome (Figure 5). Thus, the level of siRNA in the phloem sap probably reflects the level of mRNA and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase plus DCL activities within companion cells.

Heterografting experiments performed between spontaneously silencing squash (stock) and wild-type cucumber (scion) plants provided direct proof that CP siRNA can enter and move with the phloem translocation stream (Figure 4E). Excision of each scion from its stock means that sap cannot be contaminated with RNA that might otherwise be released from stock companion cells during sap collection. Hence, detection of CP siRNA, in the cucumber scion sap, further supports the concept that siRNA is a bone fide component of the phloem translocation stream. Our observation that CP silencing occurred in the apical tissues of the nonsilencing line 22(NS), when line 3(S) served as the stock (Figure 4F), is also consistent with CP siRNA delivery and activation of RNAi.

An unexpected finding from our studies with phloem sap derived from CP transgenic squash lines was that, using RT-PCR, we could detect full-length sense and antisense CP transcripts (Figure 4G). The presence of CP sense RNA in the phloem sap of lines 3(S), 127(S), and 22(NS) might reflect properties associated with viral movement, which would account for its presence in all lines tested. Because high transcript levels can trigger primer-independent RNA-dependent RNA polymerase–mediated synthesis of complimentary RNA (cRNA) (Tang et al., 2003), the level of CP RNA in companion cells of all three lines might have been sufficient to activate CP cRNA production. In this scenario, the presence of CP cRNA might reflect contamination from the surrounding tissues because only a weak signal could be amplified with phloem sap collected from all plant lines. However, this possibility is at variance with our controls that confirmed the integrity of the collected phloem sap (Figure 4G).

A further point of note is that the presence of low levels of sense and antisense CP RNA in the phloem of line 22(NS) did not induce silencing in the homograft combination; this result is consistent with CP 23-nucleotide siRNA acting as the silencing signal. Molecular analysis of phloem sap collected from CuYV-infected pumpkin (Figure 5) similarly provided support for the hypothesis that systemic RNAi involves a combination of sense and antisense siRNA. In any event, detection of sense and antisense siRNA (from CP transcripts or the CuYV genome) in the long-distance translocation stream suggests that these molecules probably serve as one component of the systemic silencing system (Jorgensen et al., 1998; Lucas et al., 2001; Vance and Vaucheret, 2001; Mlotshwa et al., 2002). Given that the CP and CuYV siRNA were in the 23-nucleotide and 21-nucleotide size range, respectively, it would seem that transmission of systemic silencing does not involve a specific size class (Mallory et al., 2001, 2003; Vance and Vaucheret, 2001; Hamilton et al., 2002; Klahre et al., 2002; Mlotshwa et al., 2002; Himber et al., 2003); therefore, the process probably reflects the operation of a range of DCL systems.
PSRP1: A Phloem Small RNA Binding Protein

The phloem of pumpkin, cucumber, and lupin contains a diverse population of proteins having the capacity to bind RNA. Pumpkin proteins that bind to phloem-mobile transcripts, such as CmRINGP (Ruiz-Medrano et al., 1999), do not appear to recognize small RNA species (Figure 6). Although the actual proteins involved were different, we observed a similar RNA binding capacity with FPLC-fractionated phloem protein from cucumber and lupin. The converse appeared to hold, in that PSRP1, a phloem protein that migrates in the 27-kD region on SDS-PAGE, was shown to bind specifically to small ssRNA and dsRNA, but not to the 1-kb RNA of CmRINGP. Putative PSRP1 orthologs in cucumber and lupin displayed quite similar binding specificity, except that in lupin this phloem protein did not appear to bind small dsRNA (Figure 7I). However, rather than a difference in binding properties, this situation may well reflect the lower level of total protein that could be collected from lupin phloem sap.

Considering the evolutionary divergence between these species, this similarity in protein binding pattern with small RNA suggests a high level of conservation in terms of the function of the encoding genes. Protein gel blot analysis also provided support for this hypothesis, in that a commercial anti-His6 antibody displayed a high level of specificity in that it basically reacted only with the small RNA binding protein present in the phloem sap from each of the species analyzed (Figures 6C, 7E, and 7J). Additional weight is afforded this observation when one considers the overall complexity in the protein profiles associated with each phloem translocation stream (Figures 6A, 7A, and 7F).

The low complexity associated with the PSRP1 predicted amino acid sequence (Figure 8B) confounded our search for related genes in other species. A general screen for PSRP1 orthologs might be developed based on their predicted small RNA binding properties. Proteins derived from expression of vascular-based libraries (Figure 10C demonstrates the pattern of CmPSRP1 mRNA accumulation in the phloem) could be used in RNA overlay experiments. The feasibility of this approach is strengthened by the fact that, in pumpkin, PSRP1 is a single gene family.

PSRP1 Selectively Binds and Mediates Small ssRNA Trafficking

The phloem sap of squash lines 3(S) and 127(S) contained nearly equal levels of sense and antisense siRNA (Figure 4B). Our

![Figure 11. PSRP1 Can Mediate Form-Specific Cell-to-Cell Movement of Small RNA.
(A) and (B) Retention in the target cell of microinjected fluorescently labeled synthetic 25-nucleotide ssRNA (A) or dsRNA (B). Inset shows low-magnification image of entire cell.
(C) and (D) Cell-to-cell trafficking of KN1 through PD potentiated extensive movement of FITC-labeled 20-kD dextran ([D], green signal), but the coinjected 25-nucleotide ssRNA (red signal) was unable to diffuse out of the target cell ([C]).
(E) Phloem-purified CmPSRP1 mediated cell-to-cell movement of co-injected fluorescently labeled (green) 25-nucleotide ssRNA.
(F) Equivalent experiment to that presented in (E), demonstrating movement of both 20-kD dextran (green) and 25-nucleotide ssRNA.
(G) and (H) Neither 25-nucleotide dsRNA (G) nor 25-nucleotide ssDNA (H) moved from the target cell when coinjected with phloem-purified CmPSRP1. All images were collected by confocal microscopy 20 min after injection into mesophyll cells in mature leaves of Nicotiana benthamiana. Bars = 100 μm; (C) common to (D) to (H).]
Table 3. PSRP1 Mediates Form-Specific Cell-to-Cell Movement of Small RNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injected Materiala</th>
<th>Microinjection</th>
<th></th>
<th>Movement [(%)]b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phloem fraction 7 + 25-nt ssRNA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8 (62)d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phloem fraction 10 + 25-nt ssRNA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1 (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phloem fraction 7 + 25-nt dsRNA (blunt)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phloem fraction 10 + 25-nt dsRNA (blunt)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phloem-purified PSRP1 + 25-nt ssRNA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5 (83)d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-PSRP1 + 25-nt ssRNA</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20 (80)d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-PSRP1 + 25-kd FITC-dextran</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 (100)d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-PSRP1 + 25-kd FITC-dextran + 25-nt ssRNA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5/5 (100/100)d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-PSRP1 + CmRINGP RNA (1 kb)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-PSRP1 + 25-nt dsRNA (2-nt 3' overhang)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-PSRP1 + 25-nt ssDNA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA + 25-nt ssRNA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA + 25-nt ssDNA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aFluorescent probes were as follows: FITC-dextran and RNA probes Alexa Fluor 568 (red) or 488 (green) labeled and injected at 1- \(\mu\)g/\(\mu\)L concentration.

bNumber of injections, and percentage of total injections, in which probe moved from the target cell.

cRecombinant (R)-PSRP1 was expressed in E. coli, purified, and used in microinjection studies at 1.5 \(\mu\)g/\(\mu\)L. Phloem protein fractions 7 and 10 were used at 0.1 \(\mu\)g/\(\mu\)L.

dMovement of the RNA probe (or 20-kd FITC-dextran) was restricted to neighboring cells, and the small RNA signal very often accumulated in their nuclei.

RNase assays suggested that these CP-derived siRNA are present in the phloem as ssRNA species, as opposed to double-stranded duplexes (Figure 4D). A similar conclusion was drawn with respect to the endogenous population of small RNA species (Figure 1C). Such observations are consistent with the functional properties displayed by PSRP1, in that it exhibits selectivity in terms of the form and size of RNA to which it binds (Figure 9). The 1000-fold difference in PSRP1 binding to 25-nucleotide ssRNA compared with 25-nucleotide dsRNA (Figures 9A to 9C) would allow it to form ribonucleoprotein complexes with the small ssRNA present in the phloem translocation stream. The higher level of PSRP1 binding to 25- and 45-nucleotide siRNA over 100-, 400-, and 1000-nucleotide species is also consistent with our assays conducted on the phloem sap (Figure 6). Taken together, these results suggest that PSRP1 functions in the systemic silencing pathway through its capacity to bind and form stable complexes with si/miRNA.

Microinjection experiments provided further insights into the role PSRP1 probably plays during systemic RNAi. In contrast with viral MPs and many endogenous non-cell-autonomous proteins (Lucas et al., 1995; Carrington et al., 1996; Gilbertson and Lucas, 1996; Zambrayski and Crawford, 2000), PSRP1 displays a rather unique functional characteristic, in that it mediates cell-to-cell trafficking of small ssRNA one cell layer at a time (Figure 11, Tables 1 and 2). This finding is of interest in the context of recent results obtained on SHORT ROOT (Nakajima et al., 2001) and CAPRICE (Wada et al., 2002; Schiekelbein, 2003), two Arabidopsis non-cell-autonomous proteins that also undergo limited cell-to-cell movement. Mediating movement of small ssRNA across one cell boundary would be fully consistent with the cellular context of the phloem companion cell-sieve element complex in which PSRP1 would operate. However, the possibility cannot be discounted that expression in phloem tissues may permit a greater level of cell-to-cell trafficking compared with what we observed in our microinjection assays. In any event, PSRP1 may function as a shuttle system to exchange small ssRNA between companion cells and the sieve tube system.

Lastly, because small RNA molecules do not appear to be able to move unaided between cells, nor through dilated PD microchannels (Tables 1 and 2, Figure 11), our studies implicate the involvement of additional small RNA binding proteins required for local transmission of RNAi. Here, it is interesting to note that a viral suppressor of RNAi (Voinnet et al., 1999), the 19-kd protein (p19) of the tombusvirus, has been shown to bind selectively and with very high affinity to 21-nucleotide ds/siRNAs (Silhavy et al., 2002; Vargason et al., 2003; Ye et al., 2003). This p19-siRNA interaction would probably prevent the local propagation of RNAi. Given the involvement of siRNAs and miRNAs in an ever increasing number of plant processes, it will be interesting to see whether plants have evolved a parallel system to the viral p19 to regulate cell-to-cell spread of these signaling agents.

Our identification and functional characterization of the PSRP1 class of phloem RNA binding proteins provides insight into the molecular machinery involved in systemic si/miRNA signaling. These findings now establish a foundation for further dissection of the pathways and mechanisms used to exert long-distance control over viral infection, transposon activities, and transcriptional/translational processes (Dalmay et al., 2000, 2001; Fagard and Vaucheret, 2000; Vance and Vaucheret, 2001; Foster et al., 2002; Llave et al., 2002a; Mlotshwa et al., 2002; Reinhart et al., 2002; Volpe et al., 2002).

METHODS

Plant Materials

Cucurbita maxima cv Big Max (pumpkin), Cucumis sativus cv Straight Eight (cucumber), and Ricinus communis (castor bean) plants were grown in a special insect- and pathogen-free greenhouse under natural daylight conditions (summer: midday irradiance, 1200 to 1500 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) photosynthetically active radiation [PAR], 35/20°C day/night temperatures, daylength 16 h; winter: midday PAR 800 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), 30/20°C day/night temperatures, daylength 12 h, extended by 300 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) artificial PAR). Nutrients were delivered daily as described (http://greenhouse.ucdavis.edu/materials/nutrients_soil.htm). Lupinus albus cv 1234 (white lupin) plants were grown, during the winter/spring of
Grafting Protocols

Heterografting experiments were performed as previously described (Ruiz-Medrano et al., 1999) with modifications. Heterografts were generated between scions, cut from 6- to 8-week-old cucumber plants (vegetative apex to 2nd mature leaf) and stocks, provided by 8- to 12-week-old squash plants; inclusion of mature leaves on the scions increased grafting efficiency to >90%. Each excised scion (15 to 20 cm in length) was carefully inserted into an incision made in the main stem of the stock. The graft site was fastened and sealed with Parafilm, and the scion was then covered with a clear plastic bag that was removed 1 week later. These grafting experiments were performed under winter greenhouse conditions and involved a minimum of three independent replicates (four to five heterografts per replicate). Plants were employed for phloem sap analysis 3 weeks after grafting. Larger leaves on the scion were removed 3 d before phloem sap collection.

Phloem Sap Collection for Analysis of RNA and Proteins

Phloem sap collected from well-watered plants, as previously described (Ruiz-Medrano et al., 1999; Yoo et al., 2002) with modifications. Briefly, stems or petioles for cucurbits, or inflorescent stalks for lupin (Atkins, 1999), castor bean (Jeschke and Pate, 1991), and yucca, were excised with a sterile razor blade and the cut surface blotted, several times, with sterile filter paper (3 MM; Whatman, Maidstone, UK). Phloem sap exuded thereafter was collected using sterile micropipette tips (200 μL) and immediately mixed either with an equal volume of protein sap collection buffer (100 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 5 mM EGTA, 10% [v/v] glycerol, 1% [v/v] 2-mercaptoethanol, and protease inhibitors [Complete; Roche, Indianapolis, IN]) or 200 μL with 500 μL of TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for phloem sap RNA extraction. For library construction and RNA gel blot analyses, phloem sap was collected directly into an equal volume of TRIzol. All buffers and samples were kept on ice during phloem sap collection.

Phloem RNA Quantitation

High and low molecular weight phloem RNA were separated as described below. Each sample was treated with DNase I (Invitrogen) for 15 min at 20°C, to remove any DNA that might have been released into the phloem sap, during exudate collection from sieve tube mitochondria or plastids (Knooblauch and van Bel, 1998), Phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation were next used to obtain phloem RNA. The concentration of high molecular weight RNA was measured using the RiboGreen RNA quantitation kit (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Using these methods, pumpkin and cucumber RNA was 5‘-exchanged and labeled for 30 min at 37°C as described (Sambrook et al., 1988), using 10 units of T4 poly-adenylate kinase (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) with the supplied buffer to which was added 100 μM ADP, 2.5 mM ATP, and 165 mM [γ-32P]ATP (10 μCi/μL). Unincorporated 32P-label was removed using a MicroSpin G-25 column (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For RNA analysis, an equal volume of Loading Buffer II (Ambion) was added and the sample heated at 95°C for 5 min, followed by electrophoresis (7 M urea/15% PAGE gel; 1-mm thickness, 15-cm length) at 300 V for ~2 h, using 1X TBE (90 mM Tris-borate and 2 mM EDTA) as running buffer. Gels were then exposed for autoradiography (Biomax MS film; Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY).

Isolation of low molecular weight phloem sap RNA from polyacrylamide gels was performed as follows. RNA was resuspended in a total of 30 μL of DEPC-treated water and electrophoretically separated by denaturing PAGE (7 M urea/15% PAGE); small RNA was visualized by ethidium bromide staining. The region containing the 18- to 25-nucleotide RNA was excised and purified. Isolation and enrichment of small RNA extracted from leaves, apices, and stems was as described (Di Serio et al., 2001). Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent, and a 50-μg aliquot was size fractionated (RNasey mini column; Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The small RNA present in the flow-through was precipitated, as above, and the pellet washed with 80% ethanol and then resuspended in 10 μL of RNase-free water. The concentration of small RNA in the phloem sap was determined as described above for high molecular weight RNA. The values for pumpkin were in the range of 0.3 to 6.0 fmol small RNA/μL phloem sap.

Structural Analysis of Phloem Small RNA

The 5‘-terminal residue of the phloem small RNA was examined using the following protocols. Aliquots (100 to 500 ng) of small RNA (both a 24-nucleotide synthetic RNA oligonucleotide and a gel-purified 18- to 25-nucleotide phloem sap RNA) were treated with 1 unit of shrimp alkaline phosphatase (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) using the supplied buffer. After enzymatic treatment, these small RNAs were separated, as described above, and analyzed for changes in electrophoretic mobility during denaturing PAGE. Self-ligation reactions were performed using 20 units of RNA ligase (Amersham Biosciences) with the supplied buffer to determine the capacity of low molecular weight phloem sap RNA to circularize and form concatemers.

Synthetic Small RNA

Chemically synthesized, deprotected, and HPLC-purified 25-nucleotide RNA oligonucleotides were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies (Corvalville, IA). Oligonucleotides used in experiments included FK202, 5‘-rGrCrArGrUrGrUrCrUrCrUrCrUrCrUrCrUrG-3‘; FK203, 5‘-rGrArGrUrGrUrCrUrCrUrCrArGrUrGrUrArCrUrU-3‘; FK216, 5‘-rGrArGrUrGrArGrGrArGrArGrArGrArCrArU-3‘; FK211, 5‘-rGrGrCrArGrUrGrUrCrUrCrUrCrArCrUrCrArCrUrU-3‘; FK215, 5‘-rGrGrCrArGrArGrArGrArCrArGrArG-3‘; FK219, 5‘-rGrGrCrArGrArGrArGrArGrArCrArGrArG-3‘; FK222, 5‘-rUrGrUrGrGrGrCrArGrArGrArGrArGrUrGrUrUUrU-3‘; FK223, 5‘-rUrGrUrGrGrCrArGrArGrArGrArGrUrGrUrUUrC-3‘; and FK224, 5‘-rGrGrCrArGrUrGrUrCrArGrArGrArGrArUrGrUrUUrC-3‘. These oligonucleotides were derived from green fluorescent protein. Various forms of 25-nucleotide dsRNA were generated by annealing different combinations of these oligonucleotides: 25-nucleotide dsRNA with 5‘ 2-nucleotide overhang, FK221 with FK222; blunt end, FK221 with FK223; and 3‘ 2-nucleotide overhang, FK221 with FK224. Protocols for annealing and validation of dsRNA formation were as described (Elbashir et al., 2002). Labeling of the 5‘ ends of the 25-nucleotide ssRNAs and dsRNAs with 32P was performed with T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs).
RNA was incubated with 1 ng of RNase A and 1 unit of RNase T1 (Ambion) in 10 μL of RNase digestion buffer (300 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.0, and 1 mM EDTA) at 37°C for 30 min. The reaction mixtures were then analyzed by denaturing PAGE (7 M urea/15% PAGE gel) and autoradiography.

Cloning, Sequencing, and Bioinformatics of Small RNA

Phloem sap small RNA was isolated and purified as described. This population of small RNA was ligated to adapters and amplified by RT-PCR according to established procedures (Elbashir et al., 2001). Adapter and primer sequences were as described (Elbashir et al., 2001), with the exception that adapters were fluorescein-labeled (3’ fluorescein for the 3’ adaptor and 5’ Cy5 for the 5’ adaptor). Ligation products were visualized using a Typhoon scanner (Model 9400; Amersham Biosciences) or by a UV transilluminator. The amplified sequences were gel purified and cloned into pcR4-TOPO vector (Invitrogen), followed by electroporation into Escherichia coli DH10B cells.

The transformants (~10,000 clones) were sequenced with the BigDye terminator cycle sequencing kit (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). These sequences were first analyzed to establish the size class distribution of the phloem subpopulation of small RNA. Redundancy was established using BLASTN (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLASTn) to compare each clone in this database against every other small RNA sequence. Potential rRNA and tRNA contaminants were screened based on the detection of small RNAs having close identity (0 to 3 nucleotide changes) to Arabidopsis thaliana rRNA and tRNA sequences (http://www.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?ribosomp.txt; http://rna.wustl.edu/GtRDB/). Sequences within the 10,000 clones (~2%) was identified as being derived from tRNA and rRNA. This finding indicates that the small RNA population was not contaminated with companion cell-derived tRNA/rRNA degradation products. Putative miRNA candidates were identified on the basis of phylogenetic conservation as sequences with 0 to 3 nucleotide changes to Arabidopsis intergenic region sequences (TAIR Arabidopsis intergenic sequences; http://arabidopsis.org).

Identity of potential target sequences was probed by conducting FASTA analyses with each sequence and its reverse complement against sequences; http://arabidopsis.org). Sequences within each of these data sets were then mapped by TBLASTX against Arabidopsis genes (TAIR CDS; http://arabidopsis.org). Criteria used to identify putative miRNA targets included (1) a reverse orientation match to an Arabidopsis gene, (2) instances where mapping onto the same (or similar) Arabidopsis genes was observed from two or more species, and (3) manual inspection of the Arabidopsis gene annotation in instances where multiple Arabidopsis gene identifiers were involved. For access to the AgrGenesis phloem small RNA database, contact Tony Lough (t.lough@agrigenesis.co.nz).

Identified conserved sequences were further analyzed by an RNA-folding program (RNAfold from Vienna package, version 1.4; http://www.tbi.univie.ac.at/~ivo/RNA/). This allowed for the identification of candidates with a potential fold-back precursor structure that contain an miRNA sequence within one arm of the hairpin. These small RNA candidates were confirmed both by in silico and transcriptional profiling of phloem miRNA using RNA gel blotting analysis. Parallel analyses were performed on small RNA cloned from the phloem sap collected from virally infected greenhouse-grown (summer) pumpkin plants. The virus used in these experiments was CuYV, and its presence in the phloem sap was confirmed by RT-PCR using standard molecular protocols. Phloem sap used in these studies was collected from plants showing clear symptoms of virus infection. Sequence identity of each clone was established using BLASTN against the CuYV sequence (GenBank accession numbers AB085612 [RNA 1] and AB085613 [RNA 2]). Size class analysis was performed as described.

RNA Gel Blot and RT-PCR Analyses

Analysis of leaf full-length CP transcripts was performed as follows: total RNA was extracted from mature squash leaves with TRIzol reagent, separated on a formaldehyde-containing 1% agarose gel, and transferred overnight to a Hybond-N nylon membrane (Amersham Biosciences). The membrane was UV cross-linked and prehybridized at 65°C for 1 h in hybridization buffer (0.5 M Na2HPO4, 1 mM EDTA, 1% BSA, and 7% SDS), before being hybridized overnight with full-length SqMV CP DNA probe that was radiolabeled by random priming (NEN, Boston, MA). The membrane was then washed (2 × 15 min at 65°C) in 2× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl and 0.015 M sodium citrate) and again (2 × 15 min) in 0.1× SSC, followed by exposure to x-ray film for 12 h at ~80°C. Equal loading was confirmed by stripping the membrane in 0.1% SDS at 95°C and reprobing with a squash 18S rRNA DNA probe.

RNA gel blot analysis of the enriched small RNA preparation was performed as follows. RNA was separated on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel, urea removed and the RNA stained with ethidium bromide to visualize the amounts loaded. RNA was then transferred to Hybond-N+ nylon membrane using a trans-blot SD cell (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA; 1 h at 3 mA/cm²). Prehybridization and hybridization were as described, except that the temperature was 45°C, and the probe was either full-length sense or antisense SqMV CP RNA, generated by in vitro transcription using SP6 and T7 RNA polymerase (Ambion), respectively. The membrane was washed (2 × 10 min, 50°C in 2× SSC, 0.1% SDS) and then exposed to x-ray film overnight at ~80°C.

RNA gel blot analyses of cucurbit miRNA were performed as described, with the exception that DNA sense or antisense probes were end labeled by the forward reaction using 10 units of T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs) with the supplied buffer, to which was added 300 nM [γ-32P]ATP (3000 Ci/mmol) for 10 min at 37°C. Unincorporated 32P-label was removed using a ProbeQuant G-50 microcolumn (Amersham Biosciences), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Hybridization signal was detected using a Typhoon scanner.

RT-PCR analysis of phloem sap RNA was performed as follows. An aliquot (3 μL) of the high molecular weight RNA (obtained by Giagen column size-fractionation) was used in RT-PCR with SuperScriptII RT (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations, with the following gene-specific primers: CmPP16-3’, 5’-ATGGTTTGAAGA--GCCAAGGCCACTA-3’; rbcS-3’, 5’-TTGTCGAAGAGCCACATCTGATGGA-3’; SqMVCP-3’, 5’-CATGGACATGATCCAGGCAAATCTCTCCTG-3’. An aliquot (3 μL) of the RT reaction was used for PCR amplification, with the following conditions: 5 min at 95°C (1 cycle); 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 60°C, and 90 s at 72°C (35 cycles). The same 3’ primers were used as for the RT reaction, in addition to the following 5’ primers: CmPP16-5’, 5’-TGTAAGAAGGACTTGCACAGCACC-3’; rbcS-5’, 5’-ATGGCG-TCCACGTCCTCTCCGCC-3’; SqMVCP-5’, 5’-CATGGACATCAGCTT-GGAACATTATCCCA-3’. Full-length SqMV CP was amplified using the above-described primer set. The presence of smaller SqMVCP fragments was probed using a series of internal primer sets that were evenly spaced along the entire CP coding region.
**Anion-Exchange Chromatography and Northwestern Analysis of Phloem Sap Proteins**

Anion-exchange chromatography of pumpkin, cucumber, and lupin phloem sap proteins was performed as follows. Generally, 20 mL of pumpkin or cucumber phloem sap (10 to 20 mg/mL) or 45 mL of lupin phloem sap (0.1 to 0.2 mg/mL) was employed for the chromatographic separation of phloem proteins. Phloem sap was first dialyzed against buffer A (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, and 30 mM 2-mercaptoethanol) and centrifuged at 17,000 g for 1 h. Membranes were then loaded onto a buffer A–equilibrated HiTrap Q column, connected to an FPLC system (Amersham Biosciences). After washing the column with 20 column volumes of buffer A, proteins were eluted with a linear gradient of 0 to 500 mM NaCl in buffer A supplemented with 1 M NaCl.

For northwestern analysis, phloem proteins in each of the HiTrap-Q fractions were resolved by 13% SDS-PAGE and then electrotransferred to nitrocellulose membrane. After staining with Ponceau S, to mark the lanes and molecular weight markers, membranes were thoroughly washed first with TBS (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 500 mM NaCl), followed by DEPC-treated Milli-Q water (Millipore, Bedford, MA). Washed membranes were further rinsed, briefly, with 10 mL of RNA binding buffer (RBB): 10 mM Tris, pH 7.0, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.02% (w/v) Ficoll, and 0.02% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone) and blocked at 25°C for 1 h with 10 mL of the RBB supplemented with 0.02% (w/v) ultrapure BSA (Ambion) and 0.1 mg/mL of yeast total RNA. Next, membranes were rinsed (2 × 10 min) with RBB supplemented only with BSA. Hybridization was performed with 32P-labeled probes (2 × 10^6 cpm/mL) in 7 mL of RBB supplemented with BSA at 25°C for 1 h. Membranes were then washed (3 × 5 min) with RBB, briefly air dried, and autoradiographed.

**PSRP1 Purification and Mass Spectroscopic Analysis**

All protein purification steps were performed at 4°C. Phloem proteins were fractionated by anion-exchange chromatography, as described, and then analyzed by a combination of SDS-PAGE/GelCode Blue staining (Pierce, Rockford, IL) and northwestern assays to locate PSRP1-containing fractions. Next, metal chelation chromatography was employed, based on our results for amino acid composition analysis (Molecular Structure Facility, University of California, Davis) that revealed a high content of His in this protein. For this purpose, fractions were pooled, the NaCl concentration adjusted to 500 mM, and this preparation loaded onto a metal chelation column (Novagen, Madison, WI) equilibrated with binding buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, and 10 mM imidazole). After washing with 20 column volumes of the washing buffer containing 60 mM imidazole, PSRP1 was eluted with a buffer solution containing 400 mM imidazole. Remaining PSRP1 was then released using a second elution buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, and 100 mM EDTA). Mass spectroscopic analysis of phloem-purified PSRP1 was performed using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight on a Biflex III system (Bruker, Billerica, MA) as described (Yoo et al., 2002).

**PSRP1 Cloning from Pumpkin**

PSRP1 was recalcitrant to commonly used endoproteinases. To resolve this problem, phloem-purified PSRP1 (1 µg) was digested for 2 h at 25°C with a less specific proteinase, chymotrypsin (0.1 µg), in 20 µL of digestion buffer (100 mM Heps, pH 8.0, and 10 mM CaCl2). Resultant peptides were then resolved on a 15% nondenaturing tricine PAGE gel, electroblotted to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane, stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250, and then subjected to Edman sequencing (Molecular Structure Facility). The following two microsequences were obtained: HGPG/S/G/H/GP/H/G(H/G/H/G)(P/G/S)GPA and GHS/P/A/SGGHGH/(G/H)(S/A)S. Pumpkin stem mRNA was used in RT-PCR with the degenerate primers 5’-GIGCA/GGICCI/GGGICIGICAY/GGICA-3’ and 5’-CA/GGICCI/GGGICIGIC/CGICAY-3’ (i, inosine; Y, U/C). Cloning required a high temperature of 60°C for reverse transcription, using Thermoscript RT (Invitrogen), to yield a specific PCR product of 450 bp. This experimental condition was consistent with the high G/C content of PSRP1. The resultant PCR product was then used as a probe to screen a pumpkin stem cDNA library (Yoo et al., 2002). After three cycles of screening, nine positive plaques were purified from ~1.5 × 10^6 pfu; cDNA inserts were then rescued in pBK-CMV by in vivo excision followed by sequencing.

**Expression and Purification of Recombinant PSRP1**

For expression and purification of recombinant (R)-PSRP1, an expression vector, pET15b-PSRP1, was constructed: an Ncol-Xhol fragment from pBK-CMV/PSRP1 was ligated into pET15b, which was previously digested with Ncol and Xhol, and dephosphorylated with calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase. The resultant plasmid, pET15b-PSRP1, permitted expression of PSRP1, as a native protein, without a (His)6 fusion. E. coli, BL21(DE3)pLysS, harboring pET15b-PSRP1, was induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl-β-D-galactoside for 2 to 3 h at 22°C. For purification, cells were lysed by sonication (four to six pulses for 1 min each using a Sonic Dismembrator; Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) and centrifuged at 20,000g for 30 min at 4°C. The cleared supernatant was then applied to a metal chelation column, and R-PSRP1 was purified as described.

**In Situ RT-PCR Detection of PSRP1**

Tissue localization of CmPSRP1 was determined using established protocols for in situ RT-PCR (Ruiz-Medrano et al., 1999). The primer pair used to amplify PSRP1 transcripts was as follows: forward primer, 5’-CTAATCTTGGACATCCGCGTCTTTCAATGTC-3’; reverse primer, 5’-TTAGTGCTGACCTCTGCGACAATCGTTGTCAC-3’. The pattern associated with CmPP16 was determined using the following primer pair: forward primer, 5’-GCTGAACCTCCAAGCCCGACGC-3’; reverse primer, 5’-ATGCGTTTGAAGAAGGACGACCCTTA-3’. Controls for these studies were performed in the absence of the appropriate primers.

**Protein Gel Blot Analysis**

Primary antibody used to detect PSRP1, and its probable homologs in other plant species, was a monoclonal anti-His6 antibody (Covance, Berkeley, CA). This antibody efficiently recognized PSRP1 and its probable homologs in protein gel blot analyses because of their high His content. Routine protocols for protein gel blot analysis were followed. Briefly, nitrocellulose membranes were blocked, with 5% nonfat milk made in TBS, for 0.5 to 1 h, incubated with the primary antibody for 1 h, washed four times with TTBS (TBS supplemented with 0.5% [v/v] Tween 20) for 5 min each, and then incubated for 30 min with secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (KPL, Gaithersburg, MD). Membranes were then washed as described. Visualization of antigen and antibody complexes was achieved using luminol and oxidizing reagents (Renaissance; PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Boston, MA) as substrates for the horseradish peroxidase.

**Electrophoretic Mobility-Shift Assays**

Electrophoretic mobility-shift assays were performed as described (Smith, 1998). Reactions were assembled on ice in 10 µL of binding buffer (20 mM Heps, pH 8.0, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, and 5% [v/v] glycerol). An equal amount (10 fmol) of 32P end-labeled 25-nucleotide ssRNA or dsRNA was used in assays with various concentrations of S}G}GHGH/(G/H)(S/A)S.
purified and desalted R-PSRP1. Reaction mixtures were incubated on ice for 25 min and then resolved on a 5% (v/v) nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel that was prerun for 30 min at 100 V. Electrophoresis was performed at 4°C and 200 V. The gel was then dried and phospho-imaged using a Typhoon 8600 variable mode imager (Amersham Biosciences). Competition assays were performed essentially as described for the electrophoretic mobility-shift assays, except that R-PSRP1 was first incubated with various amounts of unlabeled ssRNA for 10 min, followed by the addition of radioactively labeled 25-nucleotide ssRNA and further incubation for 15 min. Quantitation of the phospho-images was performed using ImageQuant Tools software, version 3.3 (Amersham Biosciences).

Microinjection Experiments

RNA molecules for use in microinjection experiments were labeled either with Alexa Fluor 488 or 568 using the ULYSIS nucleic acid labeling kit (Molecular Probes) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Unincorporated fluorescent label was separated by repeated ethanol precipitation or by a G-25 gel filtration column (Amersham Biosciences). The integrity of fluorescently labeled probes was verified by 3% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis and fluorescence imaging. Recombinant KN1 (R-KN1) and CMV-MP (R-CMV-MP) were expressed in and isolated from E. coli as previously described (Lucas et al., 1995; Rojas et al., 1997).

Eight-week-old Nicotiana benthamiana plants were used for microinjection studies, with a minimum of five plants for each probe tested. Leaves (3 to 5 cm in length) were excised and prepared for microinjection experiments as described (Rojas et al., 1997). Fluorescently labeled RNA was resuspended with 1 to 3 μg/μL of purified protein solution to yield a final RNA concentration of 1.0 to 2 μg/μL. Fluorescein isothiocyanate-labeled dextran (20-kD FITC-dextran; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was added to give a final concentration of 1 to 2 mM. All probes were stored at 4°C, and integrity of RNA was confirmed before use in each microinjection experiment. Microinjection protocols were as previously described (Rojas et al., 1997), and probes were introduced via pressure-mediated delivery. Cell-to-cell movement of fluorescently labeled probes was observed using a confocal laser-scanning microscope (model DM RFXE TCS-4D; Leica, Heidelberg, Germany). Spatial distribution of fluorescence within the mesophyll tissue was evaluated for a 20-min period after the probe(s) was introduced into a target cell. Images were simultaneously collected for the fluorescent signals emitted in the FITC/Alexa Fluor 488 nm (green), Alexa Fluor 568 nm (red), and chlorophyll 665 nm (blue) channels. Optical sections were stacked and then combined to generate the images presented.

Sequence data from this article have been deposited with the EMBL/GenBank data libraries under accession numbers AB085612 (RNA 1), AB085613 (RNA 2), A12g32460, AF237633, O80326, AF156667, and AY326308.
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