The Rice Pentatricopeptide Repeat Protein RF5 Restores Fertility in Hong-Lian Cytoplasmic Male-Sterile Lines via a Complex with the Glycine-Rich Protein GRP162
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The cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS) phenotype in plants can be reversed by the action of nuclear-encoded fertility restorer (Rf) genes. The molecular mechanism involved in Rf gene–mediated processing of CMS-associated transcripts is unclear, as are the identities of other proteins that may be involved in the CMS–Rf interaction. In this study, we cloned the restorer gene Rf5 for Hong-Lian CMS in rice and studied its fertility restoration mechanism with respect to the processing of the CMS-associated transcript atp6-orfH79. RF5, a pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) protein, was unable to bind to this CMS-associated transcript; however, a partner protein of RF5 (GRP162, a Gly-rich protein encoding 162 amino acids) was identified to bind to atp6-orfH79. GRP162 was found to physically interact with RF5 and to bind to atp6-orfH79 via an RNA recognition motif. Furthermore, we found that RF5 and GRP162 are both components of a restoration of fertility complex (RFC) that is 400 to 500 kD in size and can cleave CMS-associated transcripts in vitro. Evidence that a PPR protein interacts directly with a Gly-rich protein to form a subunit of the RFC provides a new perspective on the molecular mechanisms underlying fertility restoration.

INTRODUCTION

Cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS), a maternally inherited inability to produce functional pollen, has been observed in more than 200 species of higher plants. At the molecular level, CMS is correlated with aberrant, often chimeric, mitochondrial genes in all cases that have been examined (Hanson and Bentolila, 2004; Chase, 2007). The CMS phenotype can be rescued by a class of nuclear genes termed restorer of fertility (Rf) genes. In general, Rf genes belong to a large, well-defined family of genes that encode organelle-targeted pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) proteins. Notable exceptions include maize (Zea mays) Rf2, which encodes an aldehyde dehydrogenase that accumulates in mitochondria (Cui et al., 1996), Rf17 for CW-CMS rice (Oryza sativa; Fujii and Toriyama, 2009), and the Rf2 gene for Lead type-CMS (LD-CMS) in rice, a Gly-rich protein (GRP) (Itabashi et al., 2011). Rf genes encoding PPR proteins include PPRs92 in petunia (Petunia hybrida), Rf1k in Kosaena rapeseed, Rfo in radish (Raphanus sativus), PPR13 in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor), and Rf1a/Rf1b in Boro II type CMS (BT-CMS) rice (Bentolila et al., 2002; Brown et al., 2003; Desloire et al., 2003; Kazama and Toriyama, 2003; Koizuka et al., 2003; Akagi et al., 2004; Komori et al., 2004; Klein et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006). Rf genes act to reduce the accumulation of CMS-associated RNAs and/or proteins in F1 hybrids.

PPR proteins can be subdivided into two families (P and PLS) based on the structure of the PPR motif (Schmitz-Linneweber and Small, 2008). Several nuclear-encoded PPR proteins of the PLS family exhibit RNA binding activity (Schmitz-Linneweber et al., 2005; Beick et al., 2008; Pfalz et al., 2009) or RNA editing activity (Kotera et al., 2005; Okuda et al., 2006; Shikanai, 2006; Robbins et al., 2006) or contain an E motif and/or a DYW motif essential for RNA binding and/or editing. It has been postulated that the E/DYW motif may be an as yet unknown enzyme (Salone et al., 2007; Okuda et al., 2009). However, most RF-related PPR proteins belong to the P subclass, which lacks the E/DYW motif (except PPR13 in sorghum), and most P subclass PPR proteins have so far been shown to lack catalytic sites for RNA binding or editing. Accordingly, it is possible that partner proteins associated with the PPR-RNA complex could perform the RNA processing steps. Thus, at the molecular level, it remains unclear how RF proteins that contain PPR motifs process chimeric RNAs in CMS lines.

Hong-Lian (HL) CMS rice was bred by backcrossing red-awned wild rice (Oryza rufipogon) with the indica variety Lian Tang-Zao in the 1970s. HL-CMS, which is similar to BT-CMS,
belongs to a gametophytic CMS group that exhibits pollen abortion at the bicellular stage, whereas BT-CMS pollen aborts at the tricellular stage. The HL-type hybrid has been widely adopted and was planted on nearly four million hectares in China and southeast Asia in the last two decades, contributing greatly to the food security of the world. Previous work has shown that atp6-orf79 is the CMS-associated HL-CMS transcript, and there is only one copy of atp6-orf79 in the mitochondrial genome. The sequences of orf79 from HL-CMS and orf79 from BT-CMS share 98% identity but show significant divergence in the intergenic region connecting them to atp6 (Yi et al., 2002; Peng et al., 2010). Comparison of the restoration process in BT-CMS and HL-CMS has shown that the CMS-RNA, atp6-orf79 or atp6-orfH79, can be cleaved to atp6 plus either orf79 or orfH79 in the presence of Rf1 or Rf5, respectively. A previous report suggested that RF1 can bind directly to the CMS-RNA atp6-orf79 (Kazama et al., 2008). RF1A cleaves the atp6-orf79 transcript, and RF1B promotes its degradation (Wang et al., 2006), suggesting two distinct pathways for restoration. In the case of HL-CMS, fertility can be independently restored by either of two genes, Rf5 or Rf6 (Liu et al., 2004), although the mechanisms underlying CMS and fertility restoration have not been defined.

Here, we report the cloning of the Rf5 gene, which encodes a PPR protein, and we show that Rf5 is identical to Rf1 (Rf1a) in BT-CMS rice. We find that the fertility restoration function of Rf5 requires GRP162, which recruits the substrate for the RF complex (RFC) by binding to CMS-associated transcripts. Our data suggest that GRP162 plays a critical role in the CMS/Rf system.

RESULTS

Identification of Rf5 as a PPR Protein by Map-Based Cloning

Rf5 was mapped to chromosome 10 between the RM1108 and RM5373 markers (Liu et al., 2004). A BC2F1 population of 1979 individuals was used for the fine mapping of Rf5. An additional 27 simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers were used for mapping, and the Rf5 locus was narrowed down to the ~67-kb region flanked by the RM6469 and RM25659 markers (Figure 1A).

A search of the rice genome sequence database (www.gramene.org) revealed that a BAC clone, OSJNBa0017E08 BAC, covers these two SSR markers. The OSJNBa0017E08 BAC was used as a probe to isolate an Rf5-containing BAC from the Rf5 line Milyang32. A positive BAC clone, 68F6, was obtained by screening a Milyang23 BAC library and was subcloned into pUC18/SalI for sequencing. BLAST analysis of the BAC sequence revealed that it contained three genes belonging to the PPR family: PPR791, PPR683, and PPR794. These candidate genes were isolated, individually ligated into the pCAMBIA1301-UBI vector containing the ubiquitin promoter, and introduced into the r5 HL-CMS line YueTai A (YTA) for genetic complementation tests. If the introduced construct can complement the Rf5 mutation in YTA, the transgenic plants should produce viable pollen and therefore should be male fertile. The fertility of independent transformants containing the individual candidate genes was assayed by staining pollen with 1% I2-KI (see Supplemental Table 1 online). All eight of the T0 plants from the PPR791 transformation showed the expected fertile phenotype, whereas all 17, 10, and 9 of the T0 plants derived from PPR683, PPR794, and the empty vector were male sterile, indicating that these constructs failed to complement the r5 mutation in YTA. To genetically confirm the fertility restoration phenotype of PPR791, three independent T0 transgenic plants were self-bred and tested with YTA. In the progeny of the self-cross, a 1:1 genetic segregation ratio was observed in 38 T1 progeny, with 20 plants producing 93.76% fertile pollen and 18 producing 49.69% fertile pollen, which is the expected gametophytic genetic segregation ($\chi^2 = 0.013$ for 1:1, P < 0.05; Figures 1B and 1C; see Supplemental Table 2 online). These results indicate that PPR791 is the functional open reading frame (ORF) of Rf5. PPR791 has been identified as both Rf1 and Rf1a in previous studies (Akagi et al., 2004; Komori et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2006).

In addition to testing the fertility phenotype, we also determined whether the complementation construct affected the cleavage of the CMS-associated transcript, atp6-orfH79. An RNA gel blot assay with orf79 as a probe showed that atp6-orfH79 is cleaved in the presence of Rf5 in the leaves of transgenic T1 line seedlings and in the near-isogenic line (NIL) F1 progeny (Figure 1E). PCR with HptII-specific primers was used to verify the segregation of the transgenic copy of PPR791 in the F1 progeny (Figure 1E), and the PCR results were consistent with the phenotypes of these progeny, confirming the functional complementation of Rf5 in S3 F1 progeny of the test crosses (see Supplemental Table 3 online). Therefore, we concluded that PPR791 corresponds to the Rf5 locus identified in the HL-CMS system.

To characterize the allele of Rf5 present in the CMS line, PPR791 was sequenced from the YTA genome. A single-nucleotide T-to-A mutation was found at position 912 (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). This single-nucleotide polymorphism causes a codon change from TAT to TAA in the fourth PPR domain, resulting in a truncated PPR protein in the YTA line. These data further confirm PPR791 as the Rf5 product.

Rf5 Cannot Bind Directly to the atp6-orfH79 Transcript in Vitro

Following the map-based cloning of Rf5, we investigated the mechanism by which Rf5 processes the CMS-associated transcript atp6-orfH79 (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) were performed to test whether Rf5 interacts with atp6-orfH79 transcripts directly. Eighty-one amino acids were removed from the N terminus of Rf5 to permit its fusion to an Maltose Binding Protein (MBP) tag and allow the protein’s expression to be monitored. The MBP-Rf5 fusion protein expressed in Escherichia coli was soluble (see Supplemental Figure 3A online), and it was purified to enable quadrupole–time of flight mass spectrum sequencing and circular dichroism analysis to confirm its proper synthesis and folding. The quadrupole–time of flight mass spectrum results confirmed that the expressed protein was composed exclusively of the MBP tag and Rf5 twice (see Supplemental Figure 3B online). The circular dichroism analysis revealed that the recombinant protein was composed of 46.7% $\alpha$-helix and 17.6% turn-sheet (see Supplemental Figure 3C online). Comparison with the folding
predicted by the SOPMA software (http://npsa-pbil.ibcp.fr/) of 54.2% α-helix and 11.1% turn-sheet forms suggests that the expressed protein is correctly folded.

The MBP-RF5 protein was used in EMSAs to test its binding to atp6-orfH79 RNA. The results indicated that RF5 does not bind atp6-orfH79 mRNA in vitro (Figure 2A). To test the possibility of MBP interference in binding, we also removed the MBP tag and again obtained negative results for RF5 RNA binding activity.

To further explore whether RF5 directly binds to atp6-orfH79 mRNA, three overlapping ~90-nucleotide RNAs (designated A, B, and C) spanning the atp6-orfH79 intragenic region were used in a yeast three-hybrid assay (Figure 2B). No interaction was observed between RF5 and these intragenic fragments (see Supplemental Figure 4A online). The lack of interaction was further confirmed by measuring β-galactosidase activity via standard filter assays with X-Gal (see Supplemental Figure 4B online). Therefore, we conclude that RF5 does not bind to atp6-orfH79 mRNA, implying that the fertility restoration function of RF5 requires other RNA binding proteins that process atp6-orfH79 mRNA.
A GRP Interacts with RF5

To search for an RF5 interaction partner, we constructed a bacterial two-hybrid library of 1.03 × 10^6 clones averaging 1.1 kb in length from cDNA derived from an F1 hybrid spikelet (see Supplemental Figure 5 online). A pBT-RF5 construct was used as bait to screen the library, and 13 clones were obtained by stringent selection on dual selective medium plates. Sequencing of these clones revealed eight different proteins as potential RF5 interactors (see Supplemental Table 4 online). Bioinformatic analysis of the resulting protein candidates showed one GRP containing an RNA recognition motif (RRM) that is involved in RNA binding activity. Previous studies have suggested that the GRP family is essential for plant development (Vermel et al., 2002; Maris et al., 2005; Dollins et al., 2007). Thus, GRP162 (Os12 g0632000) was selected as a candidate for further study.

The yeast two-hybrid system was used to validate the interaction between GRP162 and RF5. Two constructs, pGBK7-RF5 (as bait) and pGADT7-GRP162 (as prey), were created and cotransformed into the yeast strain AH109. The interaction between GRP162 and RF5 was revealed when the transformant colonies grew on medium containing SD/-Leu/-Trp/-His/-Ade, whereas no interaction was found between either pGBK7-RF5 and pGADT7 or pGBK7T and pGADT7-GRP162 (Figure 3A).

We also examined the interaction of RF5 with GRP162 using an affinity column assay in which His-tagged GRP162 was conjugated to a HisTrap column and recombinant MBP-RF5 was applied to the column. The column was washed and fractions were eluted; the eluted fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue. We found that His-GRP and MBP-RF5 both eluted with the same profile, with maximal elution in fraction 9 (Figure 3B). Fraction 9 was further confirmed by immunoblotting with anti-His and anti-MBP antibodies. Negative controls were used to confirm that GRP162 did not bind to the MBP-tag, and RF5 did not bind to the His-tag (Figure 3C). These results demonstrated that GRP162 interacts with RF5 in vitro.

Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays were next used to further test the interaction between GRP162 and RF5. RF5 and GRP162 were fused to the inactive N-terminal and C-terminal fragments (pSPYNE and pSPYCE, respectively) of yellow fluorescent protein (YFP). Strong fluorescence was observed when the RF5 and GRP162 fusions were coexpressed in onion epidermal cells (Figure 3D), whereas no fluorescence was detected in the negative control, indicating that the two proteins physically interact in vivo. Furthermore, fluorescence was observed upon cotransformation of GRP162-YFP(N) with GRP162-YFP(C), whereas no fluorescence was observed in cells cotransformed with RF5-YFP(N) and RF5-YFP(C). These results suggested that GRP162 may form a homodimer in vivo (Figure 3E). We also tested whether the GRP-RF5 heterodimer or the GRP homodimer localized to mitochondria, as would be expected if it acts on a mitochondrial RNA, by staining with MitoTracker Red. Although GRP162 homodimers did not overlap with mitochondria, some of the RF5-GRP162 heterodimers did localize to mitochondria (Figures 3D and 3E). These data suggest that the interaction of RF5 with GRP162 changes the localization of GRP162.

In the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database, the GRP162 sequence showed that the sequence from 81 to 82 amino acids may be a dimerization site. Taken together, these results provide compelling evidence that GRP162 interacts with RF5 both in vitro and in vivo and that GRP162 may also form a homodimer.

Figure 2. Interaction between RF5 and CMS-Associated Transcripts.

(A) EMSA with MBP-RF5 and MBP tag using the atp6-orfH79 RNA probe.

(B) The atp6-orfH79 intragenic region from 978 to 1285 nucleotides, including parts of the atp6 and orfH79 sequences, was in vitro transcribed as a CMS RNA probe for EMSA. The intragenic region including parts of the atp6 and orfH79 sequences was divided into three parts, A, B, and C, for a yeast three-hybrid assay.

GRP162 Accumulates in Mitochondria in the Presence of RF5

A comparison of GRP162 sequences in the restorer line NILF1 and the CMS line YTA revealed no exonic differences. We then evaluated the expression of the GRP162 gene in anthers by quantitative RT-PCR, comparing lines YTA and NILF1. The expression of GRP162 was found to be ~2.25 times higher in the RF5 restorer line NILF1 than in YTA (see Supplemental Figure 6 online). We next used subcellular fractionation and immunoblot analysis to examine the subcellular localization GRP162 in the restorer and CMS lines. GRP162 was detected in the mitochondria of an F1 hybrid plant, but GRP162 was not detected in the mitochondria of the YTA line (Figure 4). Previous studies showed that RF5 has a mitochondrial targeting presequence (Wang et al., 2006), but analysis of the GRP162 sequence produced no evidence of a mitochondrial targeting presequence, suggesting diffuse cellular distribution, which is consistent with the results of the BiFC subcellular localization (Figure 3E). The BiFC data also indicated that the subcellular localization of GRP162 changed when it was cotransformed with RF5. These observations
Figure 3. Interaction between GRP162 and RF5.

(A) GRP162 and RF5 were fused to AD and BD vectors, respectively, in a yeast two-hybrid assay to show the interaction between GRP162 and RF5. (B) His-tagged GRP162 was conjugated to a HisTrap column, and recombinant MBP-RF5 was applied to the column. The eluted fractions 7 to 13 were loaded into lanes 4 to 10 of SDS-PAGE and visualized with Coomassie blue staining. M, prestained marker 0671 (Fermentas). (C) Anti-His and anti-MBP immunoblots of elution fraction 9 from the pull-down assay and controls. (D) BiFC assay for detecting molecular interactions between RF5-YFP(N) and GRP162-YFP(C). (E) BiFC assay for detecting interactions between GRP162 molecules. GRP162-YFP(N) and GRP162-YFP(C) were coexpressed in onion epidermal cells.
GRP162 possesses the ability to bind to (Figure 5B). These results demonstrate that the RRM domain of an interaction between the RRM and RRM domain alone was incubated with the RNA probe, indicating when the His-tag alone was incubated with the RNA (Figure 5A). The same gel shift results were obtained when the His-GRP162 showed a mobility shift when incubated with the RNA probe (diagrammed in Figure 2D), but no shift was observed suggesting that RF5 localizes to mitochondria via a targeting presequence and that GRP162 addresses to mitochondria in the presence of the RF5 protein.

**CMS-Associated Transcripts Captured by GRP162**

Bioinformatic analysis revealed that GRP162 contains an RRM motif, prompting us to test whether GRP162 binds to *atp6-orfH79* mRNA to coordinate its processing by RF5. To test this hypothesis, we used EMSA, the yeast three-hybrid system, and coimmunoprecipitation approaches to investigate *atp6-orfH79* mRNA processing. Full-length GRP162 fused with a His-tag was expressed in *E. coli* and purified with a HisTrap FF column (see Supplemental Figure 7 online). In the EMSA assay, His-GRP162 showed a mobility shift when incubated with the *atp6-orfH79* RNA probe (diagrammed in Figure 2D), but no shift was observed when the His-tag alone was incubated with the RNA (Figure 5A). The same gel shift results were obtained when the His-tagged RRM domain alone was incubated with the RNA probe, indicating an interaction between the RRM and *atp6-orfH79* mRNA (Figure 5B). These results demonstrate that the RRM domain of GRP162 possesses the ability to bind to *atp6-orfH79* RNA. The band-shifted complexes in Figures 5A and 5B migrate at a range of different sizes depending on the protein concentration, suggesting that either GRP162 binds to multiple sites on the probe or that GRP162 forms homodimers in vitro; this result also implies that GRP may bind to CMS-associated transcripts via two regions to form homodimers.

To determine which part of the *atp6-orfH79* mRNA sequence is recognized by GRP162, the intragenic *atp6-orfH79* mRNA was divided into three fragments, A, B, and C (Figure 2B). These fragments were further analyzed by EMSA. Fragments A and C displayed mobility shifts with GRP162, whereas fragment B did not (Figure 5C). These observations suggest that GRP162 binds fragments A and C, which is consistent with our previous speculation that GRP162 may form homodimers.

The truncated *atp6-orfH79* sequences were also studied in the yeast three-hybrid system. Again, GRP162 bound to fragments A and C but not B. These results were further confirmed by a β-galactosidase activity assay that suggested a strong interaction between GRP162 and intragenic fragments A and C (Figure 5D). A 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole competition assay further verified the A and C fragment binding sites for GRP162 (Figure 5E). The 3-AT results are consistent with the BiFC results, demonstrating GRP162 homodimer formation in vivo. These data indicate that GRP162 binds specifically to intragenic sequences of *atp6-orfH79* transcripts.

To assess the interaction between GRP162 and the target RNA in vivo, protein-RNA coimmunoprecipitation was performed. Mitochondrial extracts were obtained from the YTA line and its F1 hybrid, respectively, and an antiserum against GRP162 was used for coimmunoprecipitation. Following the coimmunoprecipitation, RNA was extracted from both the supernatant and the immunoprecipitated pellet for reverse transcription. RT-PCR was performed with *atp6* and *orfH79* primers, and the results showed that the transcripts from *atp6-orfH79* were enriched in the pellet of the F1 hybrid but not in the supernatant, whereas no obvious PCR product was detected in the CMS line (Figure 6A). The negative control, 26S rRNA, was present in trace amounts. Based on these results, we postulate that GRP162 binds to CMS-associated transcripts and provides the RNA substrate required for processing.

**RF5 and the GRP162 Are Components of a Fertility Restoration Complex**

To explore whether RFC exists in the F1 hybrids, we performed a coimmunoprecipitation assay with an anti-GRP162 antibody. The hybridization signal from coimmunoprecipitation pellets was detected by immunoblotting using an antiserum against RF5 (Figure 6B). The results of these assays indicate that RF5 and the GRP162 work together in F1 hybrid mitochondria, and they establish the existence of an RFC.

To confirm the presence and size of the RFC in F1 hybrids, Blue Native (BN)-PAGE analysis and size-exclusion chromatography were employed. Protein extracts from lysed mitochondria were used to isolate the RFC. These samples were subjected to BN-PAGE, Coomassie staining, and immunoblot analysis with antisera against GRP162 and RF5. Comparison with Coomassie blue staining revealed that the immunosignals were at the same molecular mass (400 to 500 kD) when GRP162 and RF5 antibodies were used for immunoblot analysis (Figures 6C and 6D). Similar results were obtained by size-exclusion chromatography. As standard samples, ferritin (440 kD, 880 kD as a dimer), catalase (220 kD), and BSA (68 kD) were also tested (Figure 6F) to establish a standard curve. Crude protein from F1 hybrid mitochondria was separated by size-exclusion chromatography. Elution fractions 1 to 22 were analyzed by immunoblotting using antibodies against RF5 and GRP162. The signal peaked in fractions 8 and 9, corresponding to a molecular mass of ~440 kD (Figure 6E). These results suggest that an RFC is present in F1
Figure 5. Interaction between the GRP162 and CMS-Associated Transcripts.

(A) EMSA of His-GRP162 and His-tag using a fixed concentration (0.5 nM) of the CMS RNA probe (diagrammed in Figure 2B) with a range of protein concentrations and His-tag as a control.

(B) EMSA of the His-tagged RRM domain of Rf5 (His-RRM) using a fixed concentration (0.5 nM) of the RNA probe (diagrammed in Figure 2B) with a range of protein concentrations.

(C) EMSAs with His-GRP162 and His-tag were performed using a fixed concentration (0.3 nM) of fragment A, B, or C as the RNA probe (diagrammed in Figure 2B) with a range of protein concentrations.

(D) β-Galactosidase activity for the three-hybrid assay and the details of rows 1 to 8. MS2-A\(^+\), MS2-B\(^+\), and MS2-C\(^+\) (indicated by A, B, and C, respectively) were ligated into pHIMS2–2 to produce sense strand RNA probes. MS2-A\(^-\), MS2-B\(^-\), and MS2-C\(^-\) denote reverse ligations that produce antisense strand RNA probes.

(E) After the transformants were selected for the presence of the plasmids, colonies were assayed for HIS3 reporter activity and 3-AT competition.
hybrid mitochondria and that GRP162 and RF5 are components of this complex.

To assess RNA cleavage activity in vitro, we first investigated whether GRP162 and RF5, individually or in association, mediate RNA cleavage. Transcribed CMS RNA was incubated with recombinant RF5 and GRP162 proteins in vitro. The recombinant proteins were unable to cleave CMS-associated transcripts in mitochondria lysis buffer with additional ATP either individually or together, suggesting that other unknown proteins in the RFC may participate in RNA cleavage (Figure 7A). To further investigate the RNA cleavage requirements, mitochondrial lysate from the CMS line was used as a substrate for incubation with additional RF5 and GRP162. RNA was extracted, reverse transcribed, and amplified by real-time quantitative PCR, confirming that the cleavage of CMS-associated RNA is dependent on additional mitochondrial components of the RFC (Figure 7B).

Figure 6. Identification of the RFC in Mitochondria.

(A) RT-PCR for atp6 and orfH79 to detect RNA-protein immunoprecipitates. Actin and 26S RNA were used as controls. cDNA was used as template in the positive control (PC), and RNA was used as template in the negative control (NC). M, marker DL2000.

(B) Coimmunoprecipitation assay with anti-GRP162 immunoprecipitates detected with anti-RF5 antibody.

(C) Coomassie blue staining of 100 μg of mitochondria separated by native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Complex I (I), Complex V (V), and Complex III2 (III2) are visible in the gel.

(D) Anti-RF5 and anti-GRP162 protein gel blots to detect the RF5 complex by BN-PAGE.

(E) Protein standards, including ferritin (440 kD, 880 kD as a dimer), catalase (220 kD), and BSA (68 kD), were run on Superdex 200.

[See online article for color version of this figure.]
Size-exclusion chromatography fractions were subsequently used to evaluate RNA cleavage activity, and total RNA from the CMS line was incubated with these fractions. RNA gel blot analysis with orfH79 as a probe established that the CMS-associated transcripts were cleaved by fraction 8 (Figure 7C). These results suggest that GRP162 interacts with RF5 and other unknown components in a complex that mediates the cleavage of CMS-associated RNAs. Our results suggest that both GRP162 and RF5 are essential for the RNA processing associated with fertility restoration.

**GRP162 Silencing Impairs RFC Activity**

To more fully characterize the biological role of GRP162 in the CMS/Rf pathway, we suppressed the expression of GRP162 by RNA interference (RNAi). The RNAi construct was transformed into calli induced from the mature seeds of restorer line Rf5(NIL), and a total of 13 T0 transformant lines expressing GRP162 were obtained. Quantitative RT-PCR results showed that three of the 13 lines displayed greatly reduced expression of GRP162 (Figure 8A); these were designated GRPi-1, GRPi-2, and GRPi-3.

We next examined the expression of CMS-associated transcripts in the three RNAi lines by RNA gel blot analysis, focusing on the processing of atp6-orfH79. The 2.0-kb transcript of atp6-orfH79 was detected in the CMS line YTA calli and transgenic calli but not in the restorer line Rf5(NIL) calli (Figure 8B). These results suggest that the processing activity of RFC is impaired in the RNAi lines, leading to the accumulation of the

---

**Figure 7.** In Vitro Cleavage Activity Assay for RF5 and GRP162.

(A) Transcribed RNAs encompassing the intragenic region of atp6-orfH79 from 19 to 1285 nucleotides were incubated in vitro with recombinant RF5 and GRP162 to assess cleavage activity.

(B) An RNA cleavage assay using RNA and protein from mitochondrial extract from the HL-CMS line together with recombinant RF5 and GRP162. Reverse transcription for time-dependent quantitative PCR analysis of the expression of atp6-orfH79. The vertical line (the y axis) corresponds to the relative transcript level, and each bar represents the mean ± SD (n = 3).

(C) An RNA cleavage assay with total mitochondrial RNA as substrate for fractions 2 to 11 from the size-exclusion chromatography and with PBS as the control in fraction 0 (line 1). The RNA was analyzed by RNA gel blotting with orfH79 as a probe.

---

**Figure 8.** Analysis of GRP RNAi Lines.

(A) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of GRP162 expression levels in Rf5(NIL) and GRP-RNAi lines. The data are shown as mean ± SD (n = 3).

(B) RNA gel blot analysis of the CMS-associated transcript atp6-orfH79 in the YTA, Rf5(NIL), and GRP-RNAi lines. Ethidium bromide staining of the gel confirms equal RNA loading.
CMS-associated transcript, further confirming the importance of GRP162 as a component of the RFC.

DISCUSSION

RF5 Plays a Critical Role in the Assembly of the Fertility Restoration Complex

The cloning of fertility restorer genes for CMS from petunia, rapeseed, radish, sorghum, and rice has identified PPR proteins as essential components for fertility restoration. Apart from the PPR13 restorer of fertility in sorghum, which belongs to the E type, all other RF-related proteins belong to the P subclass of PPR proteins. Their structural simplicity suggests the need for additional interaction partners to direct transcript binding and cleavage. PPR4 and PPR2 from maize chloroplasts associate with RNase-sensitive high molecular mass complexes that coprecipitate with ribosomes (Williams and Barkan, 2003; Schmitz-Linneweber et al., 2006). In the same vein, Arabidopsis thaliana pTAC2 (for plastid transcriptionally active chromosome 2) was copurified with transcription complexes from chloroplasts (Pfalz et al., 2006). To date, very few direct protein partners of PPR proteins have been identified (Delannoy et al., 2007). The conventional model suggests that RF proteins process CMS-associated transcripts directly, owing to the RNA binding activity of PPR proteins. So far, the fertility restoration complex and its partner proteins have not been identified, and few results have shown direct interactions between the RF and CMS-associated transcripts. A Petunia RF restorer protein, PPR592, associates with a soluble, mitochondrial, RNase-sensitive, high molecular mass protein complex attached to the inner membrane (Gillman et al., 2007). PPR-B has been demonstrated to associate with the orf138 RNA in vivo by immunoprecipitation experiments (Lytteawaal et al., 2008). It remains unclear whether these interactions between the RNA and RF proteins are direct.

In this study, we demonstrated that in mitochondria from the NILF, hybrid, an RFC with a size of 400 to 500 kD functions in the restoration of fertility. These investigations provided evidence that RF5 is not involved in RNA binding and that RNA processing occurs via a GRP with RNA binding activity that is necessary for the restoration of pollen fertility. We postulate that the critical function of RF5 is to assemble the RFC in mitochondria, wherein RF5 and GRP coordinate the processing of transcripts involved in fertility restoration in HL-CMS.

A Coordinated Model of the Functions of RF5 and GRP in HL-CMS Fertility Restoration

A previous study established that RF1 (RF1A) binds directly to a specific region of atp6-orf79 (Kazama et al., 2008). We suggest that the different RNA binding activity observed in this study may be due to the differences between the intragenic sequences of atp6-orf79 and atp6-orfH79. In LD-CMS rice, LD-atp6-orf79 is an orthologous gene associated with CMS, yet restoration can occur with either Rf1 or Rf2 (Itabashi et al., 2009). Rf2 encodes a GRP with a mitochondrial presequence, suggesting that a divergent restoration pathway may exist even within the same CMS system. GRPs are a large protein family involved in multiple functions including RNA transcription, processing, and editing in mitochondria. Previous reports have shown that certain components of such complexes can be recruited into mitochondria by their partners (Zamora et al., 2004; Zaltsman et al., 2010), although the mechanism of GRP162 entry into mitochondria remains obscure.

Figure 9. The Processing Site of atp6-orfH79 and Secondary Structure Prediction.

(A) The processing site of the atp6-orfH79 transcript was determined using a primer extension assay as indicated with the readable sequence.
(B) The secondary structure of atp6-orfH79 was predicted using RNAdraw 1.1; the processing site (at 1169 nucleotides) is marked with an arrow.
GRP162, which lacks a mitochondrial presequence, appears to be a cytosolic protein that localizes to mitochondria in association with RF5. We speculate two possibilities to account for this observation. In one scenario, GRP162 is expressed constitutively in the cytoplasm of rf/rf lines, and RF5 serves to recruit GRP162 into mitochondria in F1 hybrid plants. Alternatively, GRP162 protein may enter mitochondria in rf/rf lines in only trace amounts, perhaps because it is degraded in the absence of RF5 as a stabilizing interactor. Both explanations warrant further investigation. The elevated expression of GRP162 may be another reason for its altered localization in the presence of RF5. The constitutive expression of GRP162 in the rf/rf background suggests that it likely performs additional, still undetermined, biological functions. Our results indicate that PPR791 interacts with GRP162 both in vitro and in vivo, with the GRP protein appearing to be indispensable for atp6-orfH79 mRNA processing.

Primer extension assays indicate that the processing site within the atp6-orfH79 transcript is 1169 nucleotides away from the Atp6 start codon (Figure 9A), and the processing site does not appear to pair with other regions of the transcript according to secondary structure predictions (Figure 9B). Thus, GRP162 appears to function as a homodimer that bind regions A and C of the atp6-orfH79 transcript, likely forming a hairpin loop structure that may serve as a substrate for RNA processing. Additional components are predicted to participate in the RFC, and it remains to be determined which factor possesses an endoribonuclease for RNA processing activity. The results of this study bring us closer to answering these questions.

METHODS

Materials for Fine Mapping

An RF5 NIL (denoted NIL) was obtained by repeated backcrossing to the CMS line, YTA, for several generations with the restorer line Milyang23. YueTai B (rf5rf5) is a male-fertile maintainer line used as the male parent for propagation of YTA and has the same nuclear genomic DNA but different mitochondrial DNA. A BC8F1 population containing 1979 individuals resulted from a cross of YTA//NIL/YTB. SSR primers were used for the fine mapping of RF5, which is located on chromosome 10.

RNA Extraction, RT-PCR, and RNA Gel Blot Analysis

Total RNA was isolated with Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). Approximately 4 μg of total RNA was treated with DNase I (NEB), and cDNA was obtained by reverse transcription with SuperScriptII as described in the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time quantitative PCR was performed with the LightCycler 480 (Roche) and the SYBR Green I Master PCR kit (Roche). GRP162 was amplified in YTA and NILF1 to detect the relative expression, LightCycler 480 (Roche) and the SYBR Green I Master PCR kit (Roche). GRP162 was amplified in YTA and NILF1 to detect the relative expression, respectively. atp6-orfH79 and orfH79 were used to detect the expression. Approximately 20 μg of total RNA was used for RNA gel blots with orfH79 as a probe. A random-primer DNA labeling kit (Takara) was used for hybridization.

Screening a BAC Library for the RF5 Locus, Subcloning, and Sequencing

High-density filters from a Milyang23 genomic BAC library for colony hybridization were a gift from the Korean Agricultural Culture Collection. A BAC clone covering the RF5 locus (17E08) was purchased from the J. Craig Venter Institute and used for probe preparation. The positive BAC clone (68F6) was digested with SalI for subcloning into pUC18, and sequencing was performed by the National Center for Gene Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Complementation Tests for RF5 Candidate Genes

The RF5 candidate genes were obtained by PCR amplification using the 68F6 BAC clone as a template. The PCR products were ligated into the BamHI site of the pcAMBIa1301-UBI binary vector with expression driven by the ubiquitin promoter (Chen et al., 2007) and introduced into the CMS line YTA (Oryza sativa subsp indica) by Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation. Pollen fertility was the phenotype used to identify the transgenic plants. The fertility restoration phenotype was tested with potassium iodide (1% I₂-KI) staining and seed setting.

Construction and Screening of the Bacterial Two-Hybrid Library

A cDNA library from an F1 spikelet was constructed with the BacterioMatch II Two-Hybrid System Library Construction kit (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To screen for partner proteins, the transit peptide of RF5 was removed and the remaining sequence was ligated into the BamHI site of pJBT as bait. The screening and validation of positive clones was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Bioinformatic analysis of the sequencing data from the positive clones was performed using BLAST at the NCBI database website.

Validation with the Yeast Two-Hybrid System

The GRP162 cDNA was ligated into pGADT7, sequenced, and RF5 was cloned into the pGBKTK7 BamHI site. Competent yeast cells were prepared according to a standard protocol (Wu and Letchworth, 2004). The constructs were cotransformed into the AH109 yeast strain in pairs, and the transformants were plated on SD/-Leu/-Trp medium and incubated at 30°C for 3 d, picked and grown on SD/-Leu/-Trp/-His plates at 30°C for 3 d, and then cultured on SD/-Leu/-Trp/-His/-Ade plates for 3 d.

In Vivo Interaction by BiFC Assay

For the BIFC studies, full-length cDNA was amplified from both the candidate partner proteins and RF5 and fused to either an N- or C-terminal fragment of YFP in pUC-SPYNE (N-terminal) or pUC-SPYNE (C-terminal), respectively (Walter et al., 2004). The two vectors were cotransformed into onion epidermal cells in pairs using particle bombardment. For transient expression, all the constructs were fully sequenced, and particle bombardment was performed using onion cells as follows. Gold particles (1.0 μm in diameter) were washed with 100% ethanol and coated with 20 μg of each DNA. The DNA-coated gold particles were projected using a Bio-Rad PDS1000/He particle gun. Tissues were bombarded at a helium pressure of 1100 p.s.i., and the distance between the target tissue and rupture disc was 10 cm. Following particle bombardment, the tissues were incubated in the dark at 27°C for 16 to 20 h after bombardment on Murashige and Skoog medium. The cell layers were then stained with MitoTracker Red (Invitrogen) for 20 min and washed with PBS three times and finally mounted in water and examined via bright-field and fluorescent microscopy using a Leica DM4000B microscope.

The Expression of rRF5, rGRP162, and rRRM in E. coli

The RF5 sequence corresponding to the putative mature protein was amplified and ligated into pMAL-c2x (NEB), and the recombinant MBP-RF5 protein was purified with an amylose column. The full-length cDNAs
of the GRP162 and RRM segments were amplified by PCR (using primers designed according to their database sequences; see Supplemental Table 5 online) and then sequenced. These fragments were inserted into pET32α for expression (Novagen). The His–GRP162, His–RMM, and His-tag recombinant proteins were purified with a HiTrap FF column (GE Healthcare). The concentrations of the proteins were determined with a modified Lowry protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific). Prior to their use in the RNA assay, the proteins were dialyzed against a buffer consisting of 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 100 mM KCl, and 5 mM MgCl₂.

**Pull-Down Assay**

All the following procedures were performed with the AKTA Prime plus protein purification system (GE Healthcare). His–GRP162 was injected onto a His-Trap FF column, and the column was washed with 10 volumes of PBS, pH 7.4. After the MBP–RF5 was injected, the column was washed with an additional 10 volumes of PBS, pH 7.4. Finally, the binding proteins were eluted with 300 mM imidazoline. All fractions were subjected to 10% SDS-PAGE with Coomassie blue staining. Elution fraction 9 was subjected to immunoblotting with anti-His and anti-MBP antibodies. For the control assays, the His-tag and MBP-tag expressed from the pET32α and pMALc2x empty vectors were separately loaded onto His-Trap and amylose columns, respectively. After the columns were equilibrated with 10 volumes of PBS, the MBP–RF5 and His–GRP162 recombinant proteins were loaded onto the reciprocal columns to detect any false positive interactions caused by the fused tag.

**EMSAs**

Using PCR-amplified fragments of atp6-orfH79 as templates, RNA probes for the EMSA were transcribed in vitro via a T7 promoter at the end. The fragments were amplified using the primer pairs RNApF and RNApR. The RNA probe was gel purified, the 5' end was labeled with T4 polynucleotide kinase and [γ-32P]ATP, and the probe was purified by ethanol precipitation. For the EMSA, various quantities of purified recombinant GRP162 were incubated with labeled RNA probe in a 20-μL reaction mixture including 10 μL of 2× binding buffer (100 mM Na phosphate, pH 7.5, 10 units RNAsin, 0.1 mg/mL BSA, 10 mM DTT, 2.5 mg/mL heparin, and 300 mM NaCl). Yeast tRNA was used as a negative control. The mixture was incubated at 25°C for 30 min. The samples were separated by 5% native PAGE in 0.5× TBE buffer and then exposed to a phosphor imager screen and analyzed on a Typhoon 9200 instrument (GE Healthcare).

**The Yeast Three-Hybrid System as an RNA Binding Assay**

The yeast strain YBZ-1 was used in yeast three-hybrid assays according to standard protocols (Bernstein et al., 2002). The Rif5 sequence corresponding to the putative protein and the full sequence of GRP162 were amplified with Rif5-Y3F and Rif5-Y3R or GRP162-Y3F and GRP162-Y3R, respectively. The fragments were ligated into pACTII to construct the hybrid protein vectors. The atp6-orfH79 intragenic region was divided into fragments A, B, and C, which were amplified using three pairs of primers, AF and AR, BF and BR, and CF and CR (see Supplemental Table 5 online). The fragments were ligated into pIII/MS2-2 to construct the hybrid RNA vectors. The fusion protein vectors and the hybrid RNA vectors were then cotransformed into YBZ-1, and positive clones were selected on medium containing SD-/Leu-Ura. These recombinant yeast clones were cultured for 2 d at 28°C and scored on SD-/His plates with either 0, 1, 5, or 10 mM 3-AT. After a 3-d culture at 28°C, interactions were observed. In addition, β-galactosidase activity was measured in standard filter assays using X-Gal as the chromogenic substrate.

**Coimmunoprecipitation**

All mitochondria used in this study were isolated from etiolated seedlings cultured in the dark for 1 week at 30°C. Crude mitochondria were purified as described elsewhere (Heazlewood et al., 2003). A 19- amino acid peptide was synthesized by NewEast Biosciences and used for the preparation of anti-GRP162 serum (see Supplemental Figure 8 online). The anti-R5 serum was prepared with the recombinant MBP–RF5 protein. Coimmunoprecipitation was performed as previously described (Uyttebail et al., 2008). One milligram of crude mitochondria from YTA and the F1 hybrid etiolated seedlings was lysed in modified mitochondrial lysis buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, and a protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche]). The cell lysates were incubated with rabbit anti-GRP162 serum on ice for 5 h. After the addition of 50 μL of protein A/G beads, the lysates were incubated on ice overnight with gentle shaking. The beads were washed with PBS buffer four times while on ice. The RNA was extracted from the coimmunoprecipitation pellets, and the supernatants were reverse transcribed with SuperScript II using random primers to obtain cDNA for RT-PCR. The proteins for the immunoblots were eluted in 1× SDS running buffer by heating at 95°C for 5 min.

**Mitochondrial Protein Immunoblots**

Twenty micrograms of protein was fractionated by SDS-PAGE, electroblotted to a polyvinylidine fluoride transfer membrane (GE Healthcare), and hybridized with polyclonal antibodies to the GRP162 protein (1:2000). Anti-RPL12 antibodies (1:2000) were used as a positive control, and antitubulin antibodies (1:2000) were used as a negative control. Horseradish peroxidase–linked secondary antibodies (mouse anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse) and the ECL Plus reagent were used for visualization (Thermo Scientific).

**BN-PAGE and Size-Exclusion Chromatography**

The crude mitochondrial proteins from YTA and the F1 hybrid (100 μg each) were extracted with MLB and loaded onto BN-PAGE as described (Wittig et al., 2006). The proteins were visualized by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining and immunoblotting with anti-R5 and anti-GRP162 sera. The supernatant from the F1 hybrid mitochondria was loaded onto a 120-cm column with Superdex 200 (GE Healthcare). The standard samples, ferritin (440 kD, 880 kD as a dimer), catalase (220 kD), and BSA (68 kD), were first run on Superdex 200. The mitochondria samples treated with RNase A were then treated with 200 units of RNase inhibitor (NEB) during lysis for size exclusion chromatography. Fractions of ~1.5 mL were sequentially collected from the column. The samples were used for SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with anti-R5 and anti-GRP162 sera.

**RNA Cleavage Activity Assay**

Mitochondrial RNA from the CMS line was incubated with recombinant proteins or size-exclusion chromatography fractions for 30 min at 37°C and then extracted with trichloromethane twice for loading. For substrate, mitochondria from the CMS line were lysed in modified MLB, and recombinant proteins were added to detect whether the CMS-associated transcripts can be cleaved. The RNA gel blot analysis used orfH79 as a probe.

**Primer Extension Assay**

To determine the RNA processing site of atp6-orfH79, a primer extension assay was performed according to a standard protocol (Kazama et al., 2008). The secondary structure of atp6-orfH79 was predicted using RNAdraw 1.1 software (http://www.rnadraw.com/).
In Vivo Silencing of GRP162

GRP162 sequences (ranging from 240 to 439 bp) used in the in vivo silencing experiments were amplified from the full-length cDNA fragment and cloned into the pH7GWIWG(II) vector (Karimi et al., 2002) to produce double-stranded RNA. Calli induced from NIL seeds were used for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. Calli of the RNAi lines were selected on Murashige and Skoog medium containing 50 mg/mL hygromycin B.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article for the cDNA of Accession Numbers were selected on Murashige and Skoog medium containing 50 mg/mL hygromycin B.
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