Differential Transit Peptide Recognition during Preprotein Binding and Translocation into Flowering Plant Plastids
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Despite the availability of thousands of transit peptide (TP) primary sequences, the structural and/or physicochemical properties that determine TP recognition by components of the chloroplast translocon are not well understood. By combining a series of in vitro and in vivo experiments, we reveal that TP recognition is determined by sequence-independent interactions and vectorial-specific recognition domains. Using both native and reversed TPs for two well-studied precursors, small subunit of ribulose-1,5-bis-phosphate carboxylase/oxygenase, and ferredoxin, we exposed these two modes of recognition. Toc34 receptor (34-kD subunit of the translocon of the outer envelope) recognition in vitro, preprotein binding in organelar, precursor binding in vivo, and the recognition of TPs by the major stromal molecular motor Hsp70 are specific for the physicochemical properties of the TP. However, translocation in organelar and in vivo demonstrates strong specificity to recognition domain organization. This organization specificity correlates with the N-terminal placement of a strong Hsp70 recognition element. These results are discussed in light of how individual translocon components sequentially interact with the precursor during binding and translocation and helps explain the apparent lack of sequence conservation in chloroplast TPs.

INTRODUCTION

The ability of plastids to import precursor proteins posttranslationally from the cytosol has been known for over 30 years (Dobberstein et al., 1977; Chua and Schmidt, 1979). Key to this process is the role of an N-terminal extension, known as the transit peptide (TP), which directs the precursor to the plastid membrane and through the translocons at the outer and inner chloroplast envelope membranes (TOC/TIC) (Bruce, 2000, 2001). Recent analysis of multiple plant and algal genomes using various TP identification tools indicates that the nucleic-encoded precursors ranges from ∼2100 in Arabidopsis thaliana to as high as ∼4800 in rice (Oryza sativa; Richly and Leister, 2004). Despite this large number of sequences available, fundamental understanding of how TPs function is still lacking.

Early analysis suggested that TPs may be composed of distinct homology blocks that share limited sequence similarity (Karlin-Neumann and Tobin, 1986). However, this hypothesis was challenged and replaced by a loose structural organization with three identifiable regions (von Heijne et al., 1989). Multiple efforts using mutagenesis (Pilon et al., 1995; Lee et al., 2002), deletion (Kindle, 1998; Kindle and Lawrence, 1998; Rensink et al., 1998, 2000), Ala scanning (Lee et al., 2006), domain swapping (Smeekens et al., 1986; de Castro Silva Filho et al., 1996), and the use of synthetic peptides (Perry et al., 1991; Schnell et al., 1991; Pinnaduwage and Bruce, 1996) have investigated the structure and function of only a few TPs in detail. However, these results are not extendable to other TPs based on sequence analysis, and the elucidation of common TP functional domains remains enigmatic. Although earlier attempts to identify homology blocks failed due to the high degree of sequence variation, it is still possible that TPs may contain a conserved motif or nonlinear peptide pattern that may provide some common mode of recognition (Lee et al., 2006). Moreover, a systematic approach involving in vivo targeting analysis indicates that individual amino acids do not contain specific targeting information, but the overall context of the amino acid sequence is critical for targeting to the chloroplast (Lee et al., 2002). Recent efforts to identify any universal signature motif in 208 experimentally confirmed TPs have not been fruitful, and it was concluded that these TPs are highly dissimilar (Lee et al., 2008). However, when these authors used a bioinformatics-based approach to pregroup TPs into seven subgroups, one or more conserved motifs were identified within a given subgroup but were not universal (Lee et al., 2008).

This suggests that TPs do not share any consensus motifs, yet each TP may contain different functional motifs that facilitate targeting and import. In light of the conserved nature of translocon protein composition and the high fidelity of protein targeting in vitro and in vivo, it is difficult to reconcile how individual TPs can engage a common set of translocon components, without some unifying information encoded within the TP. It is possible that sequence information does not define a TP, but...
rather the physicochemical properties of the TP determine its targeting activity. This may explain why TP prediction algorithms function in the absence of detectable sequence similarity. These physicochemical properties may be environmentally sensitive and/or context specific, behaving differently as a function of pH, in a membrane-like environment, or upon receptor binding. One example of this is the tendency of TPs to convert from a random coil in an aqueous inherent environment (von Heijne and Nishikawa, 1991) to an α-helix in the presence of membranes or membrane-mimetic environments (Bruce, 1998; Krimm et al., 1999; Wierk et al., 2000). Finally, it is possible that TP interaction with different components of the TOC and TIC as well as the stromal-localized components, such as stromal processing peptidase (SPP) and molecular chaperones, uses multiple mechanisms of recognition ranging from general physicochemical properties to specific sequence recognition.

Here, we attempted to differentiate the role of TP sequence-specific contributions from the physicochemical properties using TP sequences that have been inverted with respect to their N- to C- sequence, termed retro-peptides. These retro-TPs share no more similarity to their parent sequences than any random sequence (Haack et al., 1997); however, they share with their parents many identical properties, including (1) ratio of hydrophobic/hydrophilic amino acids, (2) a global amino acid composition, (3) chirality, (4) spacing of their constituent amino acid, (5) placement of secondary structures, and (6) potential mirroring of three-dimensional structure (Guptasarma, 1992; Battistutta et al., 1994; Lacroix et al., 1998). Thus, they contain the identical amino acid composition and its associated physicochemical properties yet are sequence divergent. This inherent property has attracted considerable interest in using retro-peptides to study various structure function relationships of peptides/proteins, including antimicrobial peptides (Pellegrini and von Fellenberg, 1999) and Leu zippers (Holtzer et al., 2000). They have also been used to examine protein folding (Olszewski et al., 1996; Lacroix et al., 1998) and antibody recognition (Guichard et al., 1994; Benkirane et al., 1995).

We developed a series of assays to evaluate how the well-studied TPs of the small subunit of ribulose-1,5-bis-phosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) and ferredoxin and their retro-peptides interact with different components of the chloroplast import machinery. These assays include in vitro analyses of the interaction of pro- and retro-peptides with the isolated cytosolic receptor domain of the GTPase Toc34, the stromal motor molecular ATPase Hsp70, and the SPP. We perform in organellar analyses of the ability of isolated chloroplasts to bind and import pro- and retro-peptides and carry out in vivo analyses of onion (Allium cepa), Arabidopsis, and tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) cells' ability to sort, target, bind, and deliver pro- and retro-peptides to and into the chloroplast. Interestingly, we see that certain steps in the import process can recognize both the TP and the retro-peptide. Other steps are highly selective, such as in vitro and in vivo translocations. To reconcile these results, we further tested the requirements for the N-terminal sequence to be uncharged and largely nonpolar. This requirement seems to be a key determinant of the ability of a given sequence (pro or retro) to mediate translocation. These results are discussed in light of a possible general mechanism of TP recognition given the lack of sequence similarity that is so pervasive in chloroplast targeting sequences.

RESULTS

Generation and Analysis of Forward and Reverse Transit Sequences

To characterize the biophysical, biochemical, and targeting activities of the pro- and retro-TPs, we used an Escherichia coli expression system that allowed the production of these peptides without an attached epitope tag. This system used the self-cleavage activity of intein. Due to the heterologous nature of this system, we also were required to codon optimize these gene sequences. The DNA sequences of forward (pro) and reverse (retro) small subunit of Rubisco TPs (SSF and SSR) and ferredoxin TPs (FDF and FDR) are shown together with relative adaptiveness plots in Supplemental Figures 1A and 1B online. The optimized sequences have higher codon adaptive indices (CAI), the geometric mean of adaptiveness, at −0.8, whereas the native DNA sequences have an average CAI of 0.36 (see Supplemental Table 1 online).

The codon-optimized synthetic DNAs were cloned into pTYB2 vector and sequence verified. The amino acid sequences of the peptides are presented in Figure 1A. The peptides were expressed in E. coli ER2566 cells, purified by chitin-affinity chromatography, intein-cleaved, and lyophilized. The yield and purity of the four peptides were confirmed by SDS-PAGE and matrix-assisted laser-desorption ionization–time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) (Figures 1B and 1C). As is shown for SSF, there was a major species at 5901.49 mass-to-charge ratio that corresponds to the mass of SSF from amino acids 2 to 60 (Figure 1C). The theoretical average mass of SSF 2-60 is 5903.81 D. The peak represents the +1 charge state that corresponds to a processed peptide missing the start Met, presumably due to the E. coli Met aminopeptidase. In addition, there were multiple peaks that correspond to different levels of Met oxidation of SSF 2-60 following the major peak. As shown in Figure 1C for SSR, the major species at 5942.88 mass-to-charge ratio corresponds to the +1 charge state of SSR from amino acids 1 to 58 with four Met oxidations. The theoretical average mass is 5944.83 D. Similar analysis of the other peptides also confirmed their purity and sequence.

Bioinformatic Analysis of Functional TP Domains

There has been a proliferation of software to predict subcellular localization of proteins. Most of these tools are based on N-terminal sequence analysis. We analyzed the four sequences by seven online prediction tools. The results of these are shown in Supplemental Table 2 online. All of the programs identified SSF and FDF as chloroplast TPs. It is interesting that while SSR was recognized by ChloroP, PredSL, and ProtComp as a TP, FDR was predicted to be a mitochondrial presequence by all seven online prediction tools. The results of these are shown in Supplemental Table 2 online. All of the programs identified SSF and FDF as chloroplast TPs. It is interesting that while SSR was recognized by ChloroP, PredSL, and ProtComp as a TP, FDR was predicted to be a mitochondrial presequence by iPSORT, Predotar, PredSL, and ProteinProwler.

Although this study investigates two of the best-characterized TPs, from the small subunit of Rubisco and ferredoxin, which are both localized in the stroma, highly abundant and associated with photosynthesis, they have very limited sequence similarity. In fact, SSF shares 21.2, 12.0, and 2.2% identity and 42.4, 20.0, and 10.9% similarity with SSR, FDF, and FDR, respectively.
Figure 1. Purification of Forward and Retro-TPs and Bioinformatic and Secondary Structure Analyses of TPs.

(A) The sequences of SSF, SSR, FDF, and FDR, FGLK motifs are highlighted.

(B) SDS-PAGE gel of the four peptides.

(C) MALDI-TOF spectra of SSF and SSR peptides. a.u., arbitrary units.

(D) Analysis of N-terminal uncharged region in TP data set (n = 912). Means ± se are shown. Inset shows zoom-in without error bars. aa, amino acids.
Likewise, FDF shares 14.3, 12.0, and 2.2% identity and 42.9, 20.0, and 10.9% similarity with FDR, SSF, and SSR, respectively. Although the pro- and retro-peptides have identical physicochemical properties, they share very little sequence similarity; therefore, any similarity in activity of two TPs (SSF and FDF) must be based on properties beyond simple sequence similarity.

Despite the failure of bioinformatic algorithms to identify universally conserved motifs within TPs, there have been two short domains identified as highly characteristic of TPs. One is the short uncharged N-terminal segment that has been observed in most chloroplast TPs (von Heijne et al., 1989). This domain has also been suggested to be capable of functioning as a strong Hsp70 binding domain and is possibly key to the formation of translocation intermediates via its recognition by intermembrane space (IMS) or stromal Hsp70s (Blond-Elguindi et al., 1993; Fourie et al., 1994; Ivey et al., 2000). To verify this N-terminal property of TPs, we performed an analysis of this tendency on a data set of the 912 most conserved TPs sequences of cytosolic proteins. This analysis used the curated targeting sequences previously used as a training set for the TargetP program (Emanuelsson et al., 2007). We applied a rule-based or heuristic approach using the neural net program MEME (Bailey and Elkan, 1994) to look for the FGLK motif. The rules employed are listed in Supplemental Table 3 online. Most of the rules require the residues FGLK, and all rules exclude acidic residues Asp and Glu. Of the applied rules, rule 35 resulted in the highest correlation with TargetP results and yielded >70% agreement when the word length was >8. The discrimination of this rule compared with the training set of mitochondrial, secreted, nuclear, and cytosolic proteins is shown in Figure 1E. However, when the stricter rule 22 was applied, it provided the most discriminatory prediction of chloroplast TPs (Figure 1F). These heuristics confirm the TP specificity of the FGLK motif, which loosely can be generalized as a motif containing (in no particular order): (1) an aromatic amino acid, (2) a turn-inducing or helix-breaking amino acid, (3) small nonpolar amino acid, (4) a basic amino acid, and (5) absence of Asp and Glu. SSF has two of these motifs, whereas FDF has only one, and the positions of the FGLK motifs are highlighted in Figure 1A. Interestingly, it is this region in SSF that shows the most sequence similarity with its retro version (53.3% identity and 66.6% similarity), suggesting that evolution provided a motif with some targeting activity independent of the orientation of binding.

Structure of the Forward and Retro-TPs

It has been well documented that TPs lack secondary structure in an aqueous environment. This unusual property has led them to be proposed as the perfect random coil (von Heijne and Nishikawa, 1991) and also suggest that they may belong to an interesting group of proteins known as intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs) (Dyson and Wright, 2005). An inversion of the amino acid sequence could feasibly alter the propensity of these peptides to form secondary structures in solution and thus alter and potentially limit their recognition properties. Using circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy, we investigated the secondary structure content of the four peptides in both aqueous buffers and in the helix-inducing solvent trifluoroethanol (TFE) (Bruce, 1998; Wienk et al., 1999). The α-helical content of SSF and SSR (Figure 1G), and FDF and FDR (Figure 1H) as a function of %TFE is shown. The actual CD spectra are shown in Supplemental Figure 1C online. In aqueous buffer, all four peptides had only a very limited α-helical content (~12%). However, as TFE was increased, all of the peptides showed a TFE-induced increase in secondary structure. The spectra and deconvolution indicate that despite the opposite orientation of amino acid, the forward and reverse peptides adopted similar secondary structure. The only noticeable difference was that SSR seemed to have a slightly higher tendency to form α-helices than its forward counterpart, SSF.

Reverse Peptides Stimulate GTP Hydrolysis

One specific and potentially mechanistic role of TPs identified to date has been their ability to function as GTPase activating
proteins (GAPs) and stimulate the GTP hydrolysis of the receptor GTPases found in the TOC (Jelic et al., 2002; Reddick et al., 2008). As previously reported by our laboratory, SSF stimulates GTP hydrolysis of pea (*Pisum sativum*) Toc34 in a GAP-like manner and does not function as a guanine nucleotide exchange factor to modulate the rate of nucleotide exchange (Reddick et al., 2007, 2008). Although this activity has also been observed for several peptides, the mapping of this activity has only been refined to the C-terminal 26 amino acid of SSF (Schleiff et al., 2002).

Because of the resolved nature and mechanistic significance of this TP activity, we designed experiments to see if the retro-TPs could also stimulate the GTPase activity of ps-Toc34 in vitro. Neither of the negative controls, a mitochondrial presequence CoxIV or a model unstructured polypeptide RCMLA, increased the maximal velocity ($V_{\text{max}}$) nor did they alter the Michaelis constant ($K_m$) (Figure 2A) as we and others have demonstrated for TPs (Jelic et al., 2002; Reddick et al., 2007). We analyzed the effects of adding 25 molar excess of each of the four TPs and determined the resultant $V_{\text{max}}$ and $K_m$ (Figure 2B).

As expected, both of the forward TPs stimulated the hydrolytic rate by approximately twofold. The retro-TPs elicited GTPase activity of Toc34, however, at a much lower level than that of the pro-peptides, but still greater than that of negative controls. The observation that the retro-TPs still stimulated Toc34 GTPase activity with a slightly lower level indicates that physicochemical properties of TP alone are sufficient for GAP activity. Whether Toc34 GTPase activity alone is sufficient for full precursor import will be analyzed in the subsequent sections.

**TP-Induced Monomerization of Toc34**

A second specific interaction of TPs has been their ability to disrupt the stability of the Toc34 homodimer. The concentration-dependent monomer-dimer equilibrium of ps-Toc34 has been well documented (Sun et al., 2002; Weibel et al., 2003; Reddick et al., 2007; Yeh et al., 2007; Koenig et al., 2008). Although the specific mode of binding is not known, it is clear that TP interaction with Toc34 dimer shifts the equilibrium toward a monomer. A dynamic equilibrium existed between the 3.0 S
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**Figure 2. Effect of TPs on Toc34 Hydrolysis Rate and Monomer-Dimer Ratio.**

(A) The $V_{\text{max}}$ and $K_m$ of ps-Toc34 alone or in the presence of a 25 molar excess of the unstructured peptide RCMLA, the mitochondrial presequence CoxIV or prSSU.

(B) Hydrolysis rate of Toc34 alone or in the presence of 25 molar excess of SSF, FDF, SSR, or FDR. Means ± se are shown in (A) and (B).

(C) Analytical ultracentrifugation analysis shows ps-Toc34 exists in a monomer-dimer equilibrium with a slow transition rate (black line). Addition of SSF biases the equilibrium of Toc34 toward monomer.

(D) Quantitation of the monomeric and dimeric Toc34 species with and without various TPs. Means ± sd are shown.
monomeric and 3.4 S dimeric species of ps-Toc34 in solution when analyzed by analytical ultracentrifugation (Figures 2C, black line, and 2D). Binding of TP increased the sedimentation coefficient of Toc34 homodimer to 3.9 S. Analysis of the areas under the curves of monomer and dimer peaks revealed that addition of SSF disrupted the 3.9 S dimer and increased the relative amount of 3.0 S monomer Toc34 (Figures 2C, green versus black lines, and 2D). While quantitatively not the same as that induced by SSF, SSR also stimulated the dimer-to-monomer transition of ps-Toc34 (Figures 2C, blue line, and 2D). SSF stimulated what was effectively a 50% monomer-dimer equilibrium to be biased toward essentially a 75/25% monomer-dimer distribution, whereas the addition of SSR resulted in only a 60/40% monomer-dimer distribution (Figure 2D). Here, we have shown that reversing SSF to SSR only slightly impaired the wild type in vitro activity. This indicates that the isolated TOC component ps-Toc34 is able to bind and be stimulated (either in its hydrolytic activity or in its monomer-dimer equilibrium) by both forward and reverse TPs. Interestingly, neither FDF nor FDR biased the monomer-dimer equilibrium of Toc34 (Figure 2D). The relationship between how TPs stimulate GTP hydrolysis and how this activity correlates with the disruption of Toc34 homodimer is unclear; however, the fact that the ferredoxin TPs contains only one FGLK motif may suggest that a very high local concentration of two FDF/FDR peptides would be required to be functionally equivalent to the apparent concentration of the two tethered motifs found within a single SSF/SSR peptide.

TP Stimulation of CCS1 ATP Hydrolysis

CSS1 is the Hsp70 homolog in the chloroplast stroma and is responsible for binding to TPs as they emerge from the TIC during chloroplast import (Ivey et al., 2000). CSS1 uses the energy of ATP hydrolysis to actively pull the TP through the TOC and TIC, thus completing import. We wanted to test if forward and reverse peptides stimulated the ATPase activity of CSS1 equally. Spinach (Spinacia oleracea) CSS1 exhibited a basal ATPase rate of ~230 nmol ATP/min/µmol CSS1, and this rate was not stimulated further by addition of BSA (Figure 3). The addition of SSF, SSR, FDF, or FDR stimulated the rate of CSS1 ATP hydrolysis to very similar levels. Taken with the CD data of the unfolded peptides (Figures 1H and 1I), this suggested that unfolded peptides stimulate CSS1 and sequence information was not a factor.

In Vitro Chloroplast Binding Assays

During chloroplast protein import, it is possible to trap an intermediate state specifically associated with the chloroplast, but not yet internalized (Olsen and Keegstra, 1992). This intermediate can be observed using radioactivity or by various fluorescence assays, such as flow cytometry or confocal microscopy, that permit the quantification and imaging of the bound precursor (Subramanian et al., 2001). In the past, we employed quantitative import competition assays that used competitive inhibitors to determine specific inhibitory values of the import process, such as the inhibitor constant (K) and the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) (Dabney-Smith et al., 1999). Here, we used the competition assays to evaluate the binding of the radiolabeled precursor of small subunit of Rubisco (35S-prSSU). Binding was abrogated and eventually prevented by the addition of nonradiolabeled competitors. These competitors bound to one or more of the components of the translocon and blocked binding of the 35S-prSSU (Figures 4A to 4C). Since there was only one bound species (i.e., no processed form in Figure 4A), we developed a liquid scintillation counting (LSC) assay that would be more rapid and robust than the SDS-PAGE autoradiography assay that is traditionally used. For comparison, the LSC and autoradiograph were used to determine the equilibrium dissociation constant (Kd) of prSSU (Figure 4B). The LSC yielded nearly the same Kd as the SDS-PAGE autoradiography-based assay at 153.8 and 153.1 nM, respectively (see Supplemental Table 4 online). Thus, the separation by SDS-PAGE is not required, and we report that LSC can be used to determine the results of this assay in a quantitative manner precisely and quickly. Using this more rapid assay, we then

Figure 3. Effect of TPs on CSS1 Hydrolysis Rate.

(A) Time course of ATP hydrolysis rate of spinach CCS1 upon the addition of the different peptides. cpm, counts per minute.

(B) A bar graph of the calculated rate of ATP hydrolysis derived from the time course in (A) (n = 3, and the error bars indicate the mean ± se).
tested the ability of SSF, SSR, FDF, and FDR to function as competitive inhibitors in binding. As expected, the mature domain of the small subunit of Rubisco (mSSU) did not compete with 35S-prSSU for chloroplast binding (Figure 4C, black). Both forward peptides, SSF and FDF, competed for binding (Figure 4C, green and magenta); surprisingly, both reverse peptides, SSR and FDR, also competed (Figure 4C, blue and orange circles). The Ki and IC50 of the forward peptides were slightly lower than those of the reverse peptides (see Supplemental Table 5 online).

**In Vitro Chloroplast Import Assays**

When incubated with precursor proteins, isolated chloroplasts import and process precursors to mature proteins, as evidenced
Figure 5. Plastid Targeting of Fluorescence Proteins Directed by Forward and Retro-TPs.
by a shift in size from a larger precursor to a smaller TP-devoid mature protein (Figure 4D) (Friedman and Keegstra, 1989; Dabney-Smith et al., 1999). The import competitions can be performed by titrating competitors to a constant concentration of \( {^{35}}S\)-prSSU. The amount of imported \( {^{35}}S\)-prSSU determines the competitiveness of the competitors. We performed assays with the forward and reverse TPs, as well as mSSU and prSSU as negative and positive controls, respectively (Figure 4D). As expected, prSSU competed against \( {^{35}}S\)-prSSU (Figure 4E, dark green) and mSSU did not (Figure 4E, black). Both forward peptides SSF and FDF competed for import (Figure 4E, green and magenta). Unlike the earlier in vitro hydrolysis, monomerization, and binding assays, these import assays reveal that SSR and FDR do not compete (Figure 4E, blue and orange), suggesting that the chloroplast translocon was able to distinguish between forward and reverse sequences effectively. The inhibition curves were fitted to one-phase exponential decay. The IC\(_{50}\) of prSSU, FDF, and SSF was determined to be 202.7, 247.8, and 480.3 nM, respectively.

In Vivo Imaging of Pro- and Retro-Peptide Fusion Proteins

In addition to in vitro competition assays, we directly tested the efficiency of the forward and reverse TPs in directing the import of yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) into plastids/chloroplasts. The fusion proteins are chimeric proteins containing a TP fused to the first 20 amino acids of tobacco mSSU followed by YFP. The localization of transiently expressed TP-YFP fusion proteins were observed in onion epidermis peels, tobacco leaves, and Arabidopsis seedlings, as shown in Figures 5A and 5C and Supplemental Figure 2A online, respectively. In agreement with in vitro import assays, the forward TPs, SSF and FDF, directed YFP to the plastids/chloroplasts as observed by the punctate pattern in onion cells (Figure 5A), colocalization with chlorophyll in tobacco (Figure 5C), and colocalization with plastid cyan fluorescent protein marker in Arabidopsis (see Supplemental Figure 2A online). Using the retro-TP fusion proteins, both SSR and FDR showed low efficiency in directing YFP to the plastids/chloroplasts. Most of the YFP signals were observed outside of plastids/chloroplasts (Figures 5A and 5C; see Supplemental Figure 2A online).

One of the challenges in analyzing results from these in vivo assays is the lack of a quantitative measure similar to the \( K_i \) and IC\(_{50}\) generated from in vitro assays. Here, we developed a method to quantify the efficiency of TP in directing the import of YFP into the plastids in onion cells. The efficiency is expressed as the relative intensity ratio between the plastid YFP and cytosolic YFP signals. The ratio measurements were performed on the transiently expressed onion cells similar to that of Figure 5A, and the results are shown in Figure 5B. As expected, the average ratios for SSF and FDF were high at 7.85 and 10.0, respectively, because most of the YFP was targeted to the plastids. SSR and FDR showed lower average ratios at 1.65 and 4.61, respectively, indicating lower efficiency in directing the import.

Immunoblotting of Import and Processing of Pro- and Retro-TP Fusion Proteins

To investigate the ability of chloroplast SPP to process the chimeric fusion proteins and to confirm the in vivo import of the proteins, immunoblotting was used to detect YFP at the C terminus of fusion proteins. Immunoblotting of total protein extract from tobacco leaves transiently expressing fusion proteins showed processed forms that are indicative of protein targeting into the chloroplasts (Figure 5D). Retro-TP fusion proteins resulted in a lower amount of processed forms, indicating the lower efficiency of import similar to the in vivo imaging results. Note that the fusion protein expression levels were not equal. Different amounts of protein extracts were loaded to visualize approximately equal signals. In vitro import of the fusion protein was performed and is shown in Figure 5E. The reisolated chloroplasts showed processed forms similar to total protein extracts from tobacco for forward TPs, confirming that the processing occurred in the chloroplasts. Because the same amount of reisolated chloroplast protein was loaded here, we failed to detect the processed forms of

Figure 5. (continued).

In vivo plastid targeting functions of TPs were observed using N terminus fusions of TPs linked to the first 20-amino acid sequence of mSSU from tobacco followed by YFP. 

(A) Localization patterns of transiently expressed TP-YFP fusion proteins in onion epidermis cells observed under \( \times 20 \) objective. SS and FD, TPs of small subunit of Rubisco and ferredoxin, respectively. Bar = 50 \( \mu \)m.

(B) Quantitative analysis of plastid targeting represented in (A) \((n = 20)\). Error bars indicate the mean \( \pm \) SE.

(C) Localization patterns of transiently expressed YFP fusion proteins in N. benthamiana leaves. Autofluorescence of the chlorophylls is used as a chloroplast marker. Only some YFP signals overlap with chlorophyll signals because plastids in epidermis cells do not contain chlorophylls. Top labels indicate TPs. Left labels indicate fluorescent signals. Bar = 50 \( \mu \)m.

(D) Immunoblot analysis of YFP targeting in total protein extracts from tobacco leaves (similar to the leaves in [C]) with anti-GFP antibody. Top labels the expressed proteins. Nb and Ec indicate tobacco and E. coli extracts, respectively. The mature forms (arrow) with sizes similar to YFP were observed in all fusion proteins expressed in tobacco, indicating the import and the cleavage site occur before YFP domain. In addition, precursor and intermediate forms were observed in retro-peptide fusion proteins compared with full-length precursor from E. coli. The mature form of SSR-20-YFP showed further degradation. Asterisk indicates nonspecific bands from E. coli extract.

(E) Immunoblot analysis of in vitro imported fusion proteins. Equal protein amounts of reisolated chloroplasts were loaded. The nonspecific species from chloroplast is indicated with an asterisk.

(F) Immunoblot analysis of in vitro stromal processing assay with anti-GFP antibody. The processed forms (arrow) are comparable to that of in vivo import and in vitro import assay ([D] and [E]). All of the fusion proteins can be cleaved in stromal extract. The nonspecific species from stromal extract is indicated with an asterisk.
retro-TP fusion proteins, indicating that they were imported with less efficiency. In vitro stromal processing assays were performed using the stromal extract (Figure 5F). Here, the SPP was able to cleave all fusion proteins into the processed species similar to in vivo and in vitro import of the fusion proteins.

Role of the First 10 N-terminal Residues on in Vivo Targeting

Based on previous observations that TPs harbor an uncharged N terminus (von Heijne et al., 1989) and our analysis in Figure 1D, it is possible that the weak import efficiency of retro-TPs is due to charged amino acid present within the N terminus. To investigate the role of an uncharged N terminus, the charged N termini retro-TP YFP fusion proteins were altered by appending an extra 10 amino acids corresponding to the N terminus of the opposite pair TP (i.e., the extra SSR fusion protein contains the N-terminal 10 amino acid of SSF at the N-terminal of former SSR fusion protein) as shown in Figure 6B. The alteration of the uncharged N termini of pro-TP fusion proteins to charged N termini was generated in the same manner. In vivo targeting using onion epidermis cells was performed (see Supplemental Figure 2B online), and the plastid/cytosolic YFP ratio was calculated (Figure 6A). The extra residues seem to invert the import efficiencies of the former TPs. The fusion proteins containing Met following the extra sequence show moderate change compared with the fusion proteins with an Ala substitution of Met. This result indicates the possibility of two translation start sites at the first and second Met residues, which potentially leads to the production of a protein mixture containing both low and high import efficient proteins. In addition to the ratios obtained from 12 h after transformation, in some cases, we calculated the ratio using the images captured 24 h after transformation (see Supplemental Figure 2C online). The results showed that the ratios obtained from 24 h are always slightly higher than those from 12 h. Finally, using two algorithms to predict the Hsp70 binding site, we observed that all import-efficient peptides harbor a strong Hsp70 binding site at the N terminus, whereas the sites are not present in the import-deficient peptides (Figure 7; see Supplemental Figure 3 online).

DISCUSSION

Flexible Recognition of TPs by Toc34

Although previous studies have reported that cytosolic factors initially recognize TPs/precursors, kinetic arguments suggest
that in vitro import can attain import rates without cytosolic factors to support organelle biogenesis (Pilon et al., 1992; May and Soll, 2000). This very rapid binding and translocation occurs despite a very low density distribution of TOC complexes on the plastid outer membrane (≤1 TOC/13,600 nm²) (Friedman and Keegstra, 1989; Schleiff et al., 2003). One potential strategy to increase the kinetics of productive TP binding with the TOC complex is to relax the structural constraints of the recognition mechanism.

Although the structural basis of initial binding is unclear, it is probably mediated by one of the TOC GTPases. While the interaction between TP and Toc34 clearly has been shown (Sveshnikova et al., 2000; Jelic et al., 2002; Schleiff et al., 2002; Reddick et al., 2008), it is unknown how and where Toc34 binds to the TP. The shortest peptide directly interacting with Toc34 is the B1 peptide corresponding to amino acids 22 to 47 of tobacco SSF (Schleiff et al., 2002). These residues in pea and Arabidopsis SSFs contain two FGLK motifs (Pilon et al., 1995), suggesting that the FGLK motif is required for Toc34 recognition. Since our heuristic analysis of targeting sequences showed the best discrimination of chloroplast TPs when the FGLK motif was required, this motif may be specifically recognized by Toc34 during chloroplast protein import. We have shown that both pro- and retro-TPs can stimulate Toc34 GTP hydrolysis in vitro. This ability to interact productively with the TP independent of collision orientation is supported by the observation that both SSF and SSR can shift the monomer-dimer equilibrium of Toc34 toward the monomer form. Disruption of Toc34 dimerization by TPs may or may not be required for effective preprotein import but does appear to be a consequence of either TP binding and/or structural changes arising from GTP hydrolysis.

TPs are largely unstructured in solution, forming a perfect random coil (von Heijne and Nishikawa, 1991), suggesting that TPs may be a new example of IDPs or at least contain significant segments that are predicted to be IDPs. Mechanistically, the ability of IDPs to assume different conformations when interacting with different binding partners (Kriwacki et al., 1996; Tompa et al., 2005; Uversky et al., 2008; Narayan et al., 2011) may explain how multiple interactions can be accommodated by the relatively short TPs during binding and translocation. The kinetics of favorable recognition between two components may be accelerated by increasing the encounter productivity using the fl-y-casting model (Shoemaker et al., 2000), which proposes that TPs are rapidly recognized regardless of whether the peptide binds to the receptor with one topological orientation or the opposite. This model of binding would accommodate both the forward and retro-TPs being recognized by one or more of the TOC GTPases. The ability to successfully recognize TPs with either an N- or C-terminal orientation would greatly accelerate preprotein binding and processing. This may explain how import-deficient peptides. The results generated from the algorithm derived from Gragerov et al. show strong agreement in every prediction. However, the algorithm developed by Rüdiger et al. show some disagreement in the predictions of SSF and SSR where the calculated energy contribution within the first 10 residues shows no difference. NTT1tp is the TP of Arabidopsis nucleotide transporter 1.
kinetics of posttranslational preprotein import in vitro may be able to match the maximum rates predicted in vivo during greening and chloroplast development (Pilon et al., 1992) without the need for cytosolic factors or the topological facilitation provided during the cotranslational processes of protein transport.

**Chaperone Interactions with TPs**

Our work has clearly implicated the N-terminal region of two model TPs as being important for translocation. Prior work has shown multiple interactions of TP N termini with lipids (Pilon et al., 1995; Pinnaduwa and Bruce, 1996) and the import receptor Toc159 (Lee et al., 2009). However, our study demonstrates that retro-TPs were able to compete with prSSU for binding to the chloroplasts, yet are unable to direct fusion protein import into the plastids, suggesting that the discrimination of TPs during translocation is mediated by components relative to the outer envelope components. Based on prior work in our lab (Ivey et al., 2000) and others (Rial et al., 2000; Zhang and Glaser, 2002), there is a clear precedent for N-terminal sequences of TPs to interact with the Hsp70 class of molecular chaperones that may be located in either the IMS or the stroma. Although TP interactions with chaperones localized in the IMS could not be ruled out, only two (Hsp70-6 and Hsp70-7) of the 14 Arabidopsis Hsp70s are predicted to be chloroplast localized and both are localized in the stroma (Ratnayake et al., 2008). Moreover, there is no evidence of any Hsp93 homolog to be localized to the IMS (Constan et al., 2004). Thus, it appears that the critical role of the N-terminal residues is in fact mediated by stromal chaperones.

Although Hsp70s were initially proposed to mediate multiple steps in chloroplast protein import (Marshall et al., 1990), similar to what has been observed in mitochondria (Tomkiewicz et al., 2007), it is a member of the Hsp100 family, stromal Hsp93, that may drive import (Cline and Dabney-Smith, 2008; Jarvis, 2008). The essentiality of this chaperone family has been shown in Arabidopsis where double knockout of the two homologs HSP93-V (ClpC1) and HSP93-III (ClpC2) proved lethal (Kovacheva et al., 2007). Although Hsp93 interacts with translocon components as well as precursors (Nielsen et al., 1997), interaction with TPs remains unclear. Furthermore, recent in vivo work in both Arabidopsis (Su and Li, 2010) and Physcomitrella patens (Shi and Theg, 2010) has clearly implicated Hsp70s as having a role in chloroplast protein import. Recent evidence suggests that choloroplasts may have two separate chaperone systems facilitating protein translocation into the stroma: the cphsc70 system and the Hsp93/Tic40 system (Su and Li, 2010). Furthermore, protein import in chloroplasts from the cphsc70-1 hsp93-V double mutant had a more severe import defect than either of the single mutants, suggesting that the two proteins function independently, possibly interacting with a discreet subset of substrates.

Although Hsp93 and Hsp70 may both play vital roles in chloroplast protein import, considerably more is known about TP recognition by Hsp70 (Ivey et al., 2000; Rial et al., 2000; Zhang and Glaser, 2002). Bioinformatic and experimental approaches demonstrate that the N-terminal region of most TPs (>75%) has the highest affinity for Hsp70. Our work confirms this observation, as only the import-efficient TPs contained a strong Hsp70 binding site at the N terminus. Interestingly, the fact that all four of our TPs were able to interact productively with CSS1 in solution, yet placement of a non-Hsp70 binding segment in front of an existing binding domain reduced the translocation of a precursor in vivo, evokes a specific placement requirement for functional Hsp70-interacting sequences. Although we observed in vitro ability of forward and reverse TPs to interact with two individual translocon components, Toc34 and CSS1, the sequence determinants for actual translocation of the precursors through the TOC are more complex and strongly influenced by the N-terminal 10 amino acids. The importance of the highly uncharged N terminus of TPs (von Heijne et al., 1989) in chloroplast import has been shown repeatedly both in vitro (Pilon et al., 1995; Rensink et al., 1998) and in vivo (Lee et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2006, 2008, 2009). Collectively, it appears that the N terminus of the TP is involved only in the binding step.

Our findings provide examples of the separate recognition requirements during binding and translocation. The retro peptides behave differently from other N-terminal mutated TPs (Pilon et al., 1995; Rensink et al., 1998; Lee et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2006, 2009) such that they are undistinguishable from pro-TPs in preprotein binding (Figures 2 and 4C) yet were unable to direct translocation in vivo (Figures 5A to 5C) or in vitro (Figures 4E and 5E). These retro-peptides will permit us to elucidate further the role of the N-terminal region of TP as a stromal-protein interacting site.

**Bimodal Model of TP Design**

Based on previous studies and our observation that the N-terminal Hsp70 binding site of the TP is the major determinant for translocation, we propose a model describing a bimodal TP architecture (shown at the bottom of Figure 8A), which allows a TP to engage TOC receptors and stromal chaperones concurrently. We suggest the TP contains an N-terminal stromal protein recognition site linked to a TOC receptor recognition site via a linker region along with a SPP recognition site at the C terminus (Figure 8A). We demonstrate that the N-terminal Hsp70 binding site of SSF and FDF determines the translocation of preproteins into chloroplasts (Figures 6, 7A, and 7B). Evidence also suggests that stromal Hsp70 is a chloroplast translocation motor (Theg et al., 1989; Marshall et al., 1990; Schnell et al., 1994; Shi and Theg, 2010; Su and Li, 2010). Based on the trapping and pulling model in endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria protein import (Tomkiewicz et al., 2007), and the proposed unfolding and pulling model in chloroplast import (Keegstra and Cline, 1999), it is tempting to predict that interaction of the TP with the stromal chaperones is required to trap or pull the precursor into the chloroplasts, which is supported by our observation of a requirement for an N-terminal Hsp70 binding site for preprotein import. Apart from stromal Hsp70, Hsp93 is another example of stromal protein involved in chloroplast import, though the Hsp93 recognition sequence on the TP is unclear (Nielsen et al., 1997; Chou et al., 2006; Kovacheva et al., 2007). We propose that TPs harbor a stromal protein recognition site at their N termini, which allow the stromal proteins to trap and/or pull TP during translocation process (rule 1).

Other portions of TPs have been shown to interact with lipids and TOC components to be targeted to the chloroplast surface...
Figure 8. Bimodal Model of TP Design.

(A) The recognition elements in pro-, retro-peptide, and the MtoA constructs are shown. The sequence was highlighted and marked with color bars to indicate different elements. Predicted Hsp70 binding sites are colored red. Proposed Toc34 (FGLK) and experimentally determined Toc159 binding sites are colored green. Predicted SPP recognition sites are colored in purple, with the black and gray arrowheads indicating TargetP predicted and...
Manipulation of the Toc34 recognition site by deletion of FGLK motif in FDF (Rensink et al., 1998) or Ala scanning of the second FGLK motif in Arabidopsis SSF (Lee et al., 2006) was shown to inhibit translocation but still permit binding. Thus, TP-mediated precursor translocation is determined by both the N-terminal Hsp70 binding site and the Toc34 binding site. Nevertheless, the N-terminal Hsp70 binding site seems to be the major determinant for translocation, as it seems that it can overrule Toc34 recognition. As evidence for this, both pro-TPs and retro-TPs contain FGLK motifs, but only those harboring an N-terminal Hsp70 binding site are efficiently translocated (Figures 1A, 4D, 4E, 5, and 6; see Supplemental Figures 2 and 3 online). Upon deletion of the N-terminal Hsp70 binding site, Δ1-14 (Plión et al., 1995) and Δ6-14 (Rensink et al., 1998) of FDF, and ΔT1 (amino acids 2 to 12) of E1α-subunit of pyruvate dehydrogenase TP (Lee et al., 2009), translocation was abrogated. By contrast, a variant of N-terminal Hsp70 binding site mutant of Arabidopsis SSF, the MLM/AAA mutant (Met-5, Lue-6, and Met-11 were substituted with Ala), has decreased import efficiency (Lee et al., 2009), suggesting that the MLM/AAA mutant has lower Hsp70 affinity than that of the wild type as predicted in Figure 7. Interestingly, translocation was restored when the N terminus of MLM/AAA mutant was fused to the C terminus of E1α TP in wild-type Arabidopsis (Lee et al., 2009), suggesting that this new C terminus may provide a higher affinity binding site and compensate for the low affinity Hsp70 binding site in MLM/AAA. In fact, the translocation of MLM/AAA chimera TP was not restored when expressed in the Toc159 knockout mutant ppi2, suggesting that Toc159 interaction can substitute for reduced Hsp70 affinity (Lee et al., 2009). Thus, there is a direct connection between binding and translocation steps in chloroplast import. While the stromal protein interaction at the N terminus could possibly provide the trapping and pulling mechanism, the initial interaction with TOC components may capture or trap the TP at the surface. The TOC GTPases may provide a mechanical pushing force through domain movements in response to nucleotide status.

Spatial Requirements for Concurrent TP Recognition

The interconnection between stromal and surface interactions can occur efficiently only if both are occurring concurrently or sequentially within the rapid timeframe of protein import. Thus, for a given unfolded preprotein to engage two binding elements concurrently during translocation, the relative spatial distance between interaction domains may be a critical feature of TP design. We observed that the FGLK motif location of FDF and SSF is relatively conserved, suggesting the existence of a preferred TOC interaction site. Assuming an N-terminal Hsp70 binding site within the first 10 amino acids of a TP, the linker length between the stromal interaction site and the TOC interaction site is 22, 24, and 25 amino acids in Arabidopsis SSF, FDF, and pea SSF, respectively. We proposed that there is a linker with a preferred length of ≥22 amino acids that connects the N-terminal stromal interaction site with the TOC receptor interaction site (rule 2). Using the TP of Arabidopsis nucleotide transporter 1, the overall TP length requirement has been shown to be ~60 amino acids to translocate titin protein (Bionda et al., 2010). However, based on the cleavage site predictions, the length of this TP is only 21 amino acids, which is much shorter than most of the TPs. In relation to our model, we predicted that this TP also contains an N-terminal Hsp70 binding site (Figure 7). According to the cleavage site prediction, this TP could not accommodate a preferred linker size. However, when analyzed further, we observed an FGLK motif at amino acids 35 to 39, allowing a linker of 24 amino acids. Thus, it is possible for the two rules to be accommodated, even if the TOC interacting domain falls within the mature domain C-terminal to the SPP cleavage site.

Prior work suggests that protein import takes place at contact sites between the chloroplast outer and inner envelope membranes (Schnell et al., 1990), which are rich in galactolipids (Bruce, 1998). The thickness of monogalactosyldiacylglycerol bilayers has been measured to be 55 and 60 Å, respectively (Marra, 1985; Bottier et al., 2007). Within this distance, we assume an organization similar to a model bilayer containing a 30-Å hydrophobic core with two 15-Å polar regions on the two surfaces (White and von Heijne, 2008). For two tightly pressed membranes, the thickness of the two hydrophobic cores of outer and inner membranes would be ~90 Å (Figure 8C).

The conformation of a TP during translocation is unknown, so we looked at other systems where extended peptide lengths are known for fully unstructured peptides. Using atomic force microscopy, the extendibility of peptides under different forces has been measured and suggests an average length of ~3.0 Å amino acid (Rief et al., 1997; Chyan et al., 2004). Using the thickness of the chloroplast envelope and this value for the extended TP, the shortest linker of 22 amino acids would have a length of 66 Å. Although this observation suggests that the linker could not span 90-Å double membranes, the N terminus of TP could reach the stromal side with the length of 96 Å through the addition of 10 amino acids of Hsp70 binding site. Thus, the...
translocation in our model can occur only where the TP is bound within the TOC complex or released from TOC receptor prior to interaction with stromal protein (Figure 8C). In this model, the TOC receptor functions both to target TP from the cytosol to chloroplast and also functions to prevent the TP from escaping the translocon prior to stromal capture. Evidence for this dual trapping model was observed previously using a modified TP with an N-terminal epitope tag (His-S), which introduces multiple charged residues at the N terminus (Subramanian et al., 2001). This TP is able to compete for binding but is unable to be imported into the chloroplast. We now suspect that the His-S tag prevents the natural N terminus from interacting with the stromal chaperones. In support of this, binding of the TP was not influenced by the addition of ATP; however, when GTP was added, binding was disrupted, suggesting that GTP promotes preprotein release/transfer, which if not captured by the stromal chaperone, may escape from the translocon.

Conclusion

In this work, we combine both quantitative in vitro and in vivo analyses of the efficacy of chloroplast TPs with a large-scale bioinformatics analysis of TP sequences. Our results converge on a new model of modular design TP organization and function. This design requires the placement of a specific N-terminal domain of the TP that must be able to interact productively with one or more Hsp70 class of molecular chaperones. This domain is followed by a second element that interacts with one or more components of the TOC apparatus, which can promote binding, yet alone cannot support translocation. This supports the prior evidence that translocation is driven by a stromal ATP-dependent process that may include (but not be limited to) Hsp70-mediated recognition. Although these sequences are degenerate in nature, we observe a key spacing requirement that may reflect the coordinated translocation of the preprotein across both membranes at contact sites where the TOC and TIC complexes are tightly appressed. With this advance, we are now in position to design TP variants that may allow these spatial and energetic requirements to be tested directly. Finally, this advance may provide insight into the evolution of chloroplast preproteins and partially explain the high variability of TPs length, composition, and sequence.

METHODS

Codon Optimization and Cloning of Synthetic DNA

The wild-type amino acid sequences of Silene latifolia ferredoxin and pea (Pisum sativum) small subunit of Rubisco TPs were codon optimized using Gene Designer 1.0.7.3 (Villalobos et al., 2006) based on the codon usage of Escherichia coli highly expressed genes (Hénaut and Danchin, 1996). The adaptiveness and CAI values were calculated as previously described (Sharp and Li, 1987). For cloning, the Ndel and Xmal sites were added (see Supplemental Figure 1A online). The synthetic DNAs (Epoch Biolabs) were cloned into a pTYB2 vector (New England Biolabs) and confirmed by sequencing.

Protein Expression and Purification

Recombinant ΔTM-His-Tagged ps-Toc34 was prepared as previously published (Reddick et al., 2007). Forward and reverse peptides were expressed from pTYB2 constructs and purified as described previously (Reddick et al., 2008). The peptides were resolved by SDS-PAGE using a 19.2% Tris/Tricine gel and visualized by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining and by MALDI-TOF MS. The prSSU and mSSU proteins were expressed from pET-11d constructs and purified as described previously (Reddick et al., 2008). The proteins were solubilized in buffer containing 8 M urea, 50 mM DTT, and 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. Radiolabeled prSSU was generated as previously described (Reddick et al., 2008). YFP fusion proteins were expressed from pET-30a constructs and purified as described for prSSU.

MALDI-TOF MS

MALDI-TOF MS was performed on a Bruker Daltonics Microflex mass spectrometer. Positive ion mode mass analysis of TP sequences was performed as previously described (Reddick et al., 2007) with minor amendments. Briefly, the target plate was streaked with 50 mg/mL paraffin wax in chloroform and dried under vacuum prior to applying 2 µL peptide/matrix mixture (5 pmol/µL peptide in 10 mg/mL α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid) to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (Jackson-Constan et al., 2001). The spots were desiccated for 15 min and were washed twice with 2 µL of 10 mM diammonium hydrogen citrate.

Bioinformatic Analysis of TP Motifs

Pairwise alignment of the TP sequences was performed with the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm using Needle program in EMBOSS package (Rice et al., 2000). BLOSUM45 to 90 were tested. When gap opening and extension penalties were set as 10 and 5, respectively, BLOSUM55 generated the highest scores. The %identity and %similarity computed from BLOSUM55 are reported.

For analysis of the N-terminal property of TPs, a data set of Arabidopsis thaliana TP sequences was generated. TargetP was used to predicted TPs from the Arabidopsis genome (Emanuelsson et al., 2007). All of predicted TPs assigned reliability classes 1 and 2 with lengths from 35 to 71 amino acids (within mean ± SD) were collected into a data set resulting in a total of 912 sequences. For analysis, percentage of uncharged amino acid (not Lys, Arg, Asp, Glu, or His) was calculated within a subsequence of length L was defined and moved along the whole length of the sequence. The calculated percentage in each window was assigned to the amino acid position at the center. The average percentage of uncharged amino acids of each position is the average from all the sequence in the data set. The calculation was repeated from window length L of 5 to 17 amino acids. The percentage of uncharged amino acid data within the first 30 residues was fitted to the inverted Boltzmann sigmoidal model with nonlinear regression using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software).

CD Spectroscopic Analysis of TPs

CD spectroscopy was performed on an Aviv Series 202 CD spectrophotometer (Aviv Biomedical). Lyophilized TP was resuspended in water and quantified using BCA assay (Pierce). The TP was diluted to a final concentration of 100 µM in 0, 15, 22.5, 30, 45, or 60% TFE in water. Spectra were collected at 25°C as 2-s averages at 1-nm intervals from 185 to 285 nm. Three spectra were averaged, corrected for buffer contributions, smoothed, and converted to molar ellipticity using the Aviv software, version 2.71. Deconvolution was performed using the CDPro software as described previously (Sreerama and Woody, 1999).

Phosphate Release Assay for GTP Hydrolysis

Measurements of phosphate release, scintillation counting, and fitting to the Michaelis-Menten equation for determination of $V_{max}$ and $K_M$ of ps-Toc34 were all performed as previously reported (Reddick et al., 2007, 2008).
CSS1 ATP Hydrolysis

CSS1 was purified from baby spinach (Spinacia oleracea) leaves obtained from a local market. The chloroplasts were isolated as previously described (Reddick et al., 2008), and the CSS1 was purified as previously reported (Ivey et al., 2000).

ATP hydrolysis activity measurements of CSS1 were performed using an activated charcoal, phosphate release assay (Reddick et al., 2008). In a flat-bottomed 96-well microplate, reactions were performed with 1 µM CSS1 in 10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, 10 mM NaCl, 10 mM [γ-32P]ATP, and varying amounts of cold ATP (and 10 μM TPs) in a final volume of 100 μL. The addition of the requisite amount of CSS1 initiated the reaction, and at various time points, 12.5-μL aliquots of the reaction were removed to 200 μL of 10% (w/v) activated charcoal in 50 mM HCl and 5 mM H3PO4. This suspension was clarified via vacuum filtration, and 8-μL aliquots of the filtrate were mixed with MicroScint40 scintillation fluid and counted on a TopCount NXT Microplate Scintillation Counter (Perkin Elmer Life Sciences). At least three experiments were performed. Determination of enzymatic rate was performed as described previously (Reddick et al., 2007, 2008).

Sedimentation Velocity Experiments of ps-Toc34 and TPs

Sedimentation velocity analyses were performed using a Beckman Optima XL-I analytical ultracentrifuge using the interference mode. The ps-Toc34 and TPs were dialyzed extensively into GBS buffer (20 mM Tricine-KOH, pH 7.65, 1 mM MgCl2, 50 mM NaCl, and 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol [BME]) with 10,000 and 3500 molecular weight cut-offs, respectively. The analysis sample was generated by the gentle mixing of ps-Toc34, TPs, GTP, and dialysis buffer to a final concentration of 13.5 µM ps-Toc34, 135 µM TP, and 2 mM GTP. Analytical ultracentrifugation sample cells with sapphire windows and Epon charcoal-filled two sector 12-mm centripieces were loaded with 400 µL of sample using the final dialysis buffer as reference. Inteference scans were obtained at 50,000 rpm (~200,000g) after temperature equilibration of the An-50 Ti rotor and centerpieces for at least 1 h at 25°C. A differential distribution of the sedimentation coefficients, c(s), of the samples was fit to the experimental data using the program SEDFIT (Schuck, 2000). The solvent viscosity, v s, and density, ρ, were determined to be 0.00896 g cm−1 s−1 and 1.0033 g mL−1, respectively, at 25°C using an Anton Parr densitometer. The partial specific volume, vps, of the protein mixture was estimated to be 0.7441 mL g−1 by inputing ps-Toc34 sequence into the program SEDNTERP (Lebowitz et al., 2002). The best-fit c(s) distribution was regularized as described previously (Dam and Schuck, 2004). The c(s) distribution was then transformed to a distribution of molecular mass. The fractions of ps-Toc34 monomer and dimer were calculated by integrating the area under the sedimentation distributions from 2.6 to 3.3 S and 3.3 to 4.4 S for monomer and dimer, respectively. Two separate experiments were performed, and the fraction values reported were the averages. For presentation, the distributions were exported and graphed using GraphPad Prism 5.0.

In Vitro Chloroplast Protein Binding Assays

The chloroplasts were isolated from 12- to 14-d-old dwarf pea seedlings (P. sativum Progress No. 9) as previously described (Reddick et al., 2008). Briefly, 300 μL reaction contained 0.25 mg chlorophyll/mL chloroplasts, 100 nM 35S-prSSU, 10 mM DTT, 100 μM Na-ATP, 2 mM MgCl2, 1% BSA, 300 mM urea, 330 mM sorbitol, 50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 8.0, and various amounts of competitor proteins/TPs. The assays were performed under dim light conditions to prevent ATP synthesis. The bindings were stopped after 25 min of equilibration at room temperature, and the chloroplasts were reisolated and analyzed by SDS-PAGE autoradiography and/or scintillation counting as previously described (Reddick et al., 2008). For the homologous binding of prSSU, two concentrations of 35S-prSSU at 30 and 100 nM were used. Two independent assays of each concentration were performed, and the data were globally fitted to a one-site homologous binding model using GraphPad Prism 5.0. Fitting parameters are shown in Supplemental Table 4 online. For other competitors, three independent assays were performed in the presence of 100 nM 35S-prSSU, and the data were fit to one-site competitive binding model with nonlinear regression using GraphPad Prism 5.0. The fitting parameters are shown in Supplemental Table 5 online.

In Vitro Chloroplast Protein Import Assays

The chloroplasts were prepared as described above. The import assays were performed using a modified method from Dabney-Smith et al. (1999). Briefly, the competition assays were performed in a 300-μL reaction containing 0.125 mg chlorophyll/mL chloroplasts, 100 nM 35S-prSSU, 1 mM SBE, 2 mM Mg-ATP, 0.5% BSA, 250 mM urea, 330 mM sorbitol, 50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 8.0, and various amounts of competitor proteins/TPs. The reactions were stopped after incubation for 15 min at room temperature. The chloroplasts were reisolated and separated by SDS-PAGE. Autoradiography was performed on a Storm 840 PhosphorImager (GE Healthcare) followed by quantification with ImageQuant software (GE Healthcare). At least three separate assays were performed. The values were normalized to the values from the reaction with no competitor controls, and the data were fitted to one-phase exponential decay model with nonlinear regression using GraphPad Prism 5.0.

For in vitro import of fluorescent fusion proteins, isolated baby spinach chloroplasts were used in the reaction containing 0.25 mg chlorophyll/mL chloroplasts, 400 mM fusion protein, 10 mM DTT, 2 mM Mg-ATP, 0.5% BSA, 300 mM urea, 330 mM sorbitol, and 50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 8.0, in a total of 300 μL. The reactions were stopped after 20 min incubation at room temperature. The chloroplasts were re-isolated and separated on SDS-PAGE gel for immunoblot detection. At least two experiments were performed.

In Vitro Stromal Processing Assay

Spinach chloroplasts were prepared as described above. Stromal processing assay was modified from that previously described (Richter and Lamppa, 1996). Briefly, the chloroplasts were pelleted and resuspended in 5 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, at 0.8 mg/mL chlorophyll, incubated at 4°C for 30 min, and lysed using a Douce homogenizer (Wheaton). The lysate was centrifuged at 137,000g for 30 min, and the supernatant was used as the stromal extract. The assay was performed in 100-μL reaction volume containing 60 μL stromal extract, 250 nM YFP fusion proteins, 2 mM PMSF, and 20 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5. The reactions were incubated at room temperature. Samples of 50 μL were taken at 0, 10, and 60 min after incubation. The samples were immediately mixed with equal volume of sample buffer and boiled for 3 min. The samples were used for immunoblotting. Two experiments were performed.

Construction of TP Fusion Protein Expression Plasmid

A plastid marker construct pAN187 (Nelson et al., 2007) based on pBluescript (Stratagene) was used as an expression plasmid backbone in particle bombardment transformation. The pAN187 contains tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) small subunit of Rubisco TP and 20 amino acids of mature domain followed by YFP under the control of a double 35S promoter and a nos 3’ terminator. The TP in pAN187 was replaced by a new TP using Nhel and Mscl sites. The new TPs were amplified from the pTYB2 constructs. The new pAN197 were named pBS-SSF-YFP, pBS-SSR-YFP, pBS-FDF-YFP, and pBS-FDR-YFP.
The vectors containing extra sequence, the first 10 amino acids of the opposite TP, at the N terminus of preexisting TP, were generated by ligation of DNA fragments into the Nhel site. The DNA fragment containing the first 10 amino acids of TPs were made by hybridization of two oligonucleotides. The new constructs were named pBS-SSF10-SSR-YFP, pBS-SSR10-SSF-YFP, and pBS-FDF10-FDR-YFP. The pBS-FDR10-FDF-YFP was generated differently. The DNA fragment containing double 3SS promoter and the first 10 amino acids of FDR was amplified from pBS-FDR-YFP, digested with SacI and XbaI, and cloned into pBS-FDF-YFP using SacI and Nhel sites.

The mutated constructs with Met at the N terminus of preexisting TP substituted by Ala/Ser were generated using overlap extension PCR technique (Ho et al., 1989). The final PCR products were cloned into the vectors using SacI and NotI sites to replace the former cassette. The mutated vectors were named pBS-pBS-SSF10-MtoA-SSR-YFP, pBS-SSR10-MtoA-SSF-YFP, pBS-FDF10-MtoA-FDR-YFP, and pBS-FDR10-MtoA-FDF-YFP. The mutated residues are shown in Figure 6B.

For Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation, the expression cassettes from pBS-Tp-YFPs were subcloned into the binary T-DNA vector pFGC19 using SacI and HindIII sites and named pFGC-SSF-YFP, pFGC-SSR-YFP, pFGC-FDF-YFP, and pFGC-FDR-YFP.

For expression in *E. coli*, the fusion protein coding regions were subcloned into pET-30a using Ndel and NotI sites. The Ndel site was introduced during the PCR. The new vectors were named pET-SSF-YFP, pET-SSR-YFP, pET-FDF-YFP, pET-FDR-YFP, and pET-NISSF-YFP.

All of the oligonucleotides used for cloning are listed in Supplemental Table 6 online. All of the constructs have been verified by sequencing.

### Plant Transformation

Transient expression in onion (*Allium cepa*) epidermis peels and Arabidopsis seedlings using particle bombardment was done as previously described (Nelson et al., 2007). For each construct, 1 μg of plasmid DNA was used to coat the tungsten particles. Transient expression in tobacco (*Nicotiana benthamiana*) leaf was done as previously described (Sparkes et al., 2006). *Agrobacterium* strain GV3101 (pMP90) carrying the T-DNA was used. The intensity per pixel values from different areas in the images was calculated from the summation of intensity signals in the area divided by the total number of pixels in the area. A circle area was drawn to fit around the plastid to measure the plastid intensity per pixel. The same circle was then enlarged to threefold diameter. While sharing the same center, the enlarged circle area extended to incorporate intensity signals from cytosol. The cytosol intensity per pixel was calculated from the ring area located between the former and enlarged circles. A rectangular area outside the fluorescing cell in the same image was used to calculate the background intensity per pixel. The background intensity per pixel was subtracted from the plastid and cytosol intensity per pixel values. For each plastid, the ratio between the background removed plastid and cytosol intensity per pixel values was calculated. The ratio intensity of each cell is the average of all plastid ratio values. At least two plastids were used from each cell. The number of cells used in the measurement for each construct is stated in its figure legend.

### Immunoblotting

In vivo import of YFP fusion proteins was analyzed based on transient expression of proteins in tobacco leaves. Total protein was extracted from leaf tissue expressing fusion proteins 2 d after transformation, as previously described (Isaacson et al., 2006). Briefly, the tissue was ground in liquid nitrogen in the presence of polyvinylpyrrolidone and homogenized in extraction buffer (10% trichloroacetic acid and 2% BME in acetone). The protein pellet was then resuspended in solubilization buffer (200 mM DTT, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 10% glycerol, 2% SDS, and 8 M urea), boiled for 3 min, and stored in −80°C. Two separate transformations were performed.

The samples from in vivo import, in vitro import, and in vitro stromal processing assays were separated via 10 to 15% SDS-PAGE, transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membrane, and detected with 1:5000 rabbit polyclonal anti-Green fluorescent protein (GFP) (Gasper et al., 2009).

### Hsp70 Binding Site Prediction

Perl scripts were generated based on previously described algorithms for Hsp70 binding site prediction (Gragerov et al., 1994; Rüdiger et al., 1997; Ivey et al., 2000). The TP sequences were analyzed by the scripts, and the scores based on each algorithm were generated. The scripts are available upon request.

### Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under the following accession numbers: pea small subunit of Rubisco (CA25390), pea Toc34 (Q41009), tobacco small subunit of Rubisco (P69249), and *S. latifolia* ferredoxin (CA262821).
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- **Supplemental Figure 1.** Codon Optimization and Far-UV CD Spectra of Forward and Retro Peptides.
- **Supplemental Figure 2.** Plastid Targeting of Fluorescent Proteins by Forward and Retro-TPs.
- **Supplemental Figure 3.** Hsp70 Binding Site Prediction.
- **Supplemental Table 1.** Codon Adaptive Indices of the Competitors Based on Three Codon Usage Tables of *E. coli*.
- **Supplemental Table 2.** Subcellular Targeting Predictions of Forward and Reverse Transit Peptides.
- **Supplemental Table 3.** Rules Applied during Development of the Heuristic FGLK Motif Detection.
- **Supplemental Table 4.** Curve Fitting Parameters for prSSU Homologous Binding Data.
Supplemental Table 5. Curve Fitting Parameters for Competitive Binding Data.

Supplemental Table 6. Sequences of Oligonucleotides Used in Cloning.
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