The **ARC1** E3 Ligase Gene Is Frequently Deleted in Self-Compatible Brassicaceae Species and Has a Conserved Role in *Arabidopsis lyrata* Self-Pollen Rejection
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Self-pollen rejection is an important reproductive regulator in flowering plants, and several different intercellular signaling systems have evolved to elicit this response. In the Brassicaceae, the self-incompatibility system is mediated by the pollen S-locus Cys-Rich/S-locus Protein11 (SCR/SP11) ligand and the pistil S Receptor Kinase (SRK). While the SCR/SP11-SRK recognition system has been identified in several species across the Brassicaceae, less is known about the conservation of the SRK-activated cellular responses in the stigma, following self-pollen contact. The ARM Repeat Containing1 (ARC1) E3 ubiquitin ligase functions downstream of SRK for the self-incompatibility response in *Brassica*, but it has been suggested that ARC1 is not required in *Arabidopsis* species. Here, we surveyed the presence of ARC1 orthologs in several recently sequenced genomes from Brassicaceae species that had diversified 20 to 40 million years ago. Surprisingly, the ARC1 gene was deleted in several species that had lost the self-incompatibility trait, suggesting that ARC1 may lose functionality in the transition to self-mating. To test the requirement of ARC1 in a self-incompatible *Arabidopsis* species, transgenic ARC1 RNA interference *Arabidopsis lyrata* plants were generated, and they exhibited reduced self-incompatibility responses resulting in successful fertilization. Thus, this study demonstrates a conserved role for ARC1 in the self-pollen rejection response within the Brassicaceae.

**INTRODUCTION**

Many flowering plants have reproductive controls to avoid in-breeding when self-related male pollen appears on the female pistil. Self-incompatibility is one such system as it causes self-pollen rejection, and in so doing, allows pollination and fertilization by other genetically distinct plants. In the Brassicaceae (mustard family), the self-incompatibility response is regulated by the pistil-specific S Receptor Kinase (SRK) and the pollen S-locus Cys-Rich/S-locus Protein11 (SCR/SP11) ligand (Schopfer et al., 1999; Takasaki et al., 2000; Takayama et al., 2000; Silva et al., 2001). While polymorphic SRK and SCR/SP11 genes have been identified in several Brassicaceae species, including *Arabidopsis lyrata* (Kusaba et al., 2001; Schierup et al., 2001) and *Capsella grandiflora* (Paetsch et al., 2006; Boggs et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2009), their functions have been best defined in *Brassica* crop species (reviewed in Ivanov et al., 2010; Tantikanjana et al., 2010; Ivano and Takayama, 2012). Following self-pollination, the pollen grain contacts a stigmatic papilla at the top of the pistil, and the SCR/SP11 ligand on the pollen binds to the haplotype-specific SRK present in the papilla (Kachroo et al., 2001; Takayama et al., 2001; Shimosato et al., 2007). SRK then activates a pollen rejection pathway to rapidly block pollen hydration or pollen tube penetration into the stigmatic surface (reviewed in Chapman and Goring, 2010; Ivanov et al., 2010).

Downstream components required for self-pollen rejection in the SRK signaling pathway have also been identified in Brassica species, and two positive regulators that interact with SRK are the M-locus Protein Kinase (MLPK) and the ARM-Repeat Containing1 (ARC1) E3 ubiquitin ligase. *Brassica rapa* MLPK is required for the self-incompatibility response, and *mlpk* mutants are unable to reject self-pollen (Murase et al., 2004). MLPK belongs to the receptor-like cytoplasmic kinase subfamily and is located in the plasma membrane with SRK (Murase et al., 2004). MLPK has been shown to interact transiently with SRK at the plasma membrane, potentially with the inactive SRK complex before activation via SCR/SP11 (Kakita et al., 2007a, 2007b). *B. napus* ARC1 was originally identified through a yeast two-hybrid screen and binds to the phosphorylated SRK kinase through its C-terminal Armadillo (ARM) repeats (Gu et al., 1998). ARC1 is able to be phosphorylated in vitro by both SRK and MLPK, suggesting that perhaps ARC1 may be recruited to a SRK-MLPK complex at the plasma membrane (Samuel et al., 2008). ARC1 is a member of the Plant U-box (PUB) family of E3 ubiquitin ligases and is required for the self-incompatibility response (Stone et al., 1999, 2003; Azevedo et al., 2001). The U-box domain in ARC1 classifies it as an E3 ligase and has been shown to bind the E2 conjugating enzyme in the closely related...
Arabidopsis thaliana PUB14 protein (Stone et al., 2003; Andersen et al., 2004). In addition to the ARM repeat domain and the U-box domain, ARC1 contains a U-box N-terminal domain (UND) domain (Stone et al., 2003; Mudgil et al., 2004; Samuel et al., 2006). The UND domain is found in a subset of PUB proteins and may participate in protein-protein interactions (Mudgil et al., 2004; Samuel et al., 2006). More recently, the ARC1 UND domain was used in a yeast two-hybrid screen resulting in the identification of Exo70A1 as a target for ARC1 (Samuel et al., 2009). Exo70A1 is required in the stigma to accept compatible pollen and is proposed to function as part of the exocyst complex to deliver secretory vesicles to the stigmatic papillary plasma membrane under the pollen contact site, thereby providing resources to the compatible pollen for hydration and pollen tube penetration into the stigmatic surface. ARC1 is predicted to promote self-pollen rejection in the self-incompatibility response by negatively regulating Exo70A1 and blocking the delivery of secretory vesicles to the pollen contact site (Samuel et al., 2009).

Outside of the Brassica spp in the Brassicaceae, several population and functional studies have been performed using A. lyrata and A. thaliana. Surveys on self-incompatible A. lyrata populations across North America and Europe have shown a similar diversity in the polymorphic populations across North America and Europe have shown a similar diversity in the polymorphic SCR/SP11 and SRK genes when compared with Brassica spp (Schierup et al., 2001, 2006; Mable et al., 2005; Prigoda et al., 2005; Mable and Adam, 2007). A. thaliana is a fully self-compatible species, and the loss of A. thaliana SCR/SP11 and SRK genes (and self-incompatibility) has been studied in various ecotypes through analyses on the genomic region encompassing these genes (Kusaba et al., 2001; Bechsgaard et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2007; Shimizu et al., 2008; Tsuchimatsu et al., 2010; Guo et al., 2011). Transgenic studies with SCR/SP11 and SRK genes have also been conducted to restore self-incompatibility in A. thaliana. The transformation of A. lyrata SRK and SCR/SP11 genes has been reported to confer self-incompatibility in some A. thaliana ecotypes, but not all ecotypes tested (Nasrallah et al., 2004; Boggs et al., 2009). Additionally, the transformation of a functional SCR/SP11 allele into the A. thaliana Wei-1 ecotype, which has an intact endogenous SRK allele, resulted in a self-incompatibility phenotype (Tsuchimatsu et al., 2010). In these A. thaliana transgenic experiments, the signaling pathway activated by SRK is unknown as MLPK and ARC1 do not appear to function in the reconstituted self-incompatibility response in transgenic A. thaliana (Rea et al., 2010; Kitashiba et al., 2011). For example, while an ARC1 ortholog has been identified in the A. lyrata genome, ARC1 exists as a pseudogene in A. thaliana (Kitashiba et al., 2011). Thus, Kitashiba et al. (2011) proposed that an alternate SRK signaling pathway functions in Arabidopsis species, perhaps related to the fact that Brassica and Arabidopsis belong to different lineages that have been estimated to have diversified 20 to 40 million years ago (Franzke et al., 2011). However, it is difficult to know if the SRK signaling pathway(s) has become altered in A. thaliana with the transition to self-compatibility. In this study, we set out to investigate the presence of ARC1 orthologs in other members of the Brassicaceae and use RNA interference (RNAi) suppression to examine the role of ARC1 in the naturally occurring self-incompatible A. lyrata. The growing availability of sequenced genomes for members of the Brassicaceae allowed us to take an evolutionary genetics approach to conduct a more widespread examination of the ARC1 gene in regards to self-incompatibility. Our results indicate that ARC1 is frequently deleted in self-compatible Brassicaceae species and that ARC1 is required for the self-incompatibility response in A. lyrata.

RESULTS

Synteny of the ARC1 and PUB17 Genomic Regions in the Brassicaceae

Brassica ARC1 is a member of a PUB family of E3 ubiquitin ligases and was previously found to be most closely related to A. thaliana PUB17 (At1g29340), a protein involved in plant defense responses (Azevedo et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2006). Brassica ARC1 was initially thought to be an ortholog of A. thaliana PUB17, but more recently, a potential Brassica ARC1 ortholog was identified in the A. lyrata genome, a self-incompatible species, while a corresponding pseudogene was found in the self-compatible A. thaliana Columbia-0 (Col-0) and C24 ecotypes (Rea et al., 2010; Kitashiba et al., 2011). A. lyrata is closely related to A. thaliana with speciation occurring ~5 to 10 million years ago (Koch et al., 2001; Charlesworth and Vekemans, 2005; Bailey et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2011), so this raised the question of whether ARC1 orthologs have been lost in other Brassicaceae species and whether this loss was correlated with self-compatibility. We conducted a broader survey of potential ARC1 orthologs using genome sequences now available for several other Brassicaceae species: B. rapa (Wang et al., 2011); Thellungiella parvula (Dassanayake et al., 2011); Capsella rubella (Capsella rubella Genome Project 2011; www.phytozome.net/capsella); Thellungiella halophila (Thellungiella halophila Genome Project 2011; www.phytozome.net/thellungiella); and Sisymbrium irio, Leavenworthia alabamica race a4, and Aethionema arabicum (Value-Directed Evolutionary Genomics [VEGI] consortium; biology.mcgill.ca/vegi). Of the aforementioned species, B. rapa is another self-incompatible species, while the remaining sequenced genomes were from self-compatible plants. With PUB17 being the most closely related ARC1 paralog, its presence or absence was also surveyed.

Predicted ARC1 and PUB17 genomic regions were first examined by synteny between A. lyrata, T. halophila, A. thaliana, S. irio, L. alabamica, T. parvula, B. rapa, and A. arabicum using the whole-genome orthologous alignment conducted by the VEGI consortium (http://grandiflora.eeb.utoronto.ca:8086) (Figure 1A). The most striking observation is that the predicted ARC1 gene is not found in five of the genomes (T. halophila, A. thaliana, L. alabamica, T. parvula, and A. arabicum) while the PUB17 gene is highly conserved in all eight genomes. This is unlikely to be an artifact of incomplete genome assemblies, as the flanking genes were identified in a syntenic block in all cases. Furthermore, all of the species that appear to be missing a predicted ARC1 gene are self-compatible, while PUB17 gene expression to examine the role of PUB14 in the naturally occurring self-incompatible A. lyrata. 2 of 14 The Plant Cell
The ARC1 genomic regions can be identified by the flanking SecY-related (A. thaliana At2g34250) and WD40-repeat (A. thaliana At2g34260) genes, and these genes were found in a syntenic gene order with ARC1 missing. This analysis also includes another self-compatible species, C. rubella, and three regions from the triplicated B. rapa genome. In A. thaliana, the first 143 bp of the ARC1 coding region (with a missing start sequence) are present, but the rest of ARC1 and the DUF1296-related gene are both deleted. Interestingly, the C. rubella genome does contain a predicted ARC1 gene, despite being self-compatible, but the flanking DUF1296-related gene is deleted. In B. rapa, the MF1 subgenome has all four genes present, while the LF and MF2 subgenomes only have the flanking SecY-related/WD40-repeat genes and the SecY-related gene, respectively. Finally, as indicated in Figure 1A, the self-compatible T. halophila genome is missing ARC1 in this syntenic region, but still has the other three predicted genes. Thus, from these analyses, five of the seven self-compatible species appear to have lost the ARC1 ortholog, and S. irio is the only self-compatible species that does not appear to have undergone any large deletions in this region. These results seem to suggest a link between the loss of ARC1 and self-compatibility in these Brassicaceae species.

A similar analysis for the PUB17 region shows that an ortholog can be readily identified in all the genomes (Figure 1B, Table 1), including two copies in B. rapa (LF and MF1 subgenomes), PUB17 (At1g29340) is flanked on the right by a DUF1296-related gene (A. thaliana At1g20350), and in both A. thaliana (At1g29370) and the B. rapa LF subgenome, a second copy of a DUF1296-related gene is also present. Further to the right, two more conserved genes (A. thaliana At1g29380 and At1g29390) are present across all genomes surveyed. On the left side of PUB17, there are three conserved genes (A. thaliana At1g29380 and At1g29390) present across all genomes with the exception of the SecY-related gene absent from the B. rapa MF2 subgenome (and the MF1 subgenome that only contains a DUF1296-related gene). Thus, in contrast with the ARC1 genomic region,
The tree also shows that the ARC1 orthologs form a monophyletic clade, and the A. lyrata and Capsella ARC1 proteins cluster with the Brassica ARC1 proteins. As predicted from the synteny analysis in Figure 1, an ARC1 ortholog was not found in the self-compatible species, A. thaliana (Kitashiba et al., 2011) and T. halophila. Also, self-compatible C. rubella contains a copy of ARC1 that is very closely related to the ARC1 ortholog from the very closely related self-incompatible C. grandiflora.

### Loss of the ARC1 Region in A. thaliana Is Conserved across All Ecotypes Surveyed

The source of self-compatibility in A. thaliana has been attributed to the loss of functional SRK and SCR genes (Kusaba et al., 2001; Tang et al., 2007; Tsuchimatsu et al., 2010). While A. lyrata SRK and SCR genes can be transformed into A. thaliana to confer self-incompatibility, the strength of the response can be quite variable, with some ecotypes showing a good self-incompatibility response to other ecotypes being completely self-compatible with a wild-type acceptance of pollen grains (Nasrallah et al., 2004; Tang et al., 2007; Tsuchimatsu et al., 2010). While the loss of ARC1 in A. thaliana is beneficial, the presence of other self-incompatible plants, B. oleracea and C. grandiflora, were also included in this analysis. The phylogenetic tree generated by this analysis clearly resolves that the predicted PUB17 proteins are different from the predicted ARC1 proteins. The tree also shows that the ARC1 orthologs form a monophyletic clade.

### Table 1. Gene Identifiers and Accession Numbers for the ARC1 and PUB17 Genomic Regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Accession Numbers</th>
<th>ARC1</th>
<th>PUB17</th>
<th>DUF1296-related</th>
<th>Deleted Accession Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. thaliana</td>
<td>At2g34250 NP_180972</td>
<td>934022</td>
<td>321144</td>
<td>934024</td>
<td>At2g34260 NP_565782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. lyrata</td>
<td>XP_002879488</td>
<td>934022</td>
<td>XP_002881327</td>
<td>XP_002879490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. rubella</td>
<td>10023123</td>
<td>10025155</td>
<td>10023519</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. rapa LF</td>
<td>Bra005441</td>
<td>Bra021899</td>
<td>Bra021900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. rapa MF1</td>
<td>Bra021898</td>
<td>Bra021899</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. halophila</td>
<td>10016599</td>
<td>10016218</td>
<td>10016919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The PUB17 genomic region is highly conserved and present in all nine Brassicaceae species surveyed. Interestingly, the PUB17 genomic region also shares some synteny with the ARC1 genomic region. For example, DUF1296-related genes flank both ARC1 and PUB17 on the right side, and a SecY-related gene appears in the same orientation relative to ARC1 and PUB17. The WD40-repeat genes in the two genomic regions are paralogs, but in different positions relative to ARC1 and PUB17. The high degree of similarity of these two genomic regions likely originated from an ancient angiosperm whole-genome duplication (Jiao et al., 2011), followed by ARC1 and PUB17 acquiring divergent functions in the Brassicaceae (ARC1 in self-incompatibility; PUB17 in plant defense responses; Stone et al., 1999; Yang et al., 2006).

To confirm that the predicted ARC1 orthologs are in fact most closely related to the originally identified B. napus ARC1 (Gu et al., 1998), a phylogenetic analysis was conducted with a subset of eight most closely related U-box proteins, PUB12-19, that share the same domain organization as ARC1: a conserved UND domain followed by the U-box domain and the ARM repeat domain (Mudgil et al., 2004; Samuel et al., 2006). The full-length A. thaliana PUB12-PUB19 and B. napus ARC1 sequences were used to conduct BLAST analyses of sequenced genomes from A. lyrata (Hu et al., 2011), B. rapa (Wang et al., 2011), C. rubella (www.phytozome.net/capsella), and T. halophila (www.phytozome.net/thellungiella). All of the sequences that were identified in the BLAST search were full-length protein sequences that were then used for the phylogenetic analysis. Most species appeared to contain a single-copy gene for each of these PUB proteins (Figure 2, Table 2). The one exception was B. rapa, which appeared to have two copies of PUB11, PUB13, PUB16, and PUB17, likely resulting from the triplication of the genome (Wang et al., 2011). Predicted ARC1 proteins from two other self-incompatible plants, B. oleracea and C. grandiflora, were also included in this analysis. The phylogenetic tree generated by this analysis clearly resolves that the predicted PUB17 proteins are different from the predicted ARC1 proteins. The tree also shows that the ARC1 orthologs form a monophyletic...
Figure 2. Bayesian Phylogeny Showing the Relationships between the ARC1 Proteins and the PUB5 and PUB12-19 Proteins from Different Brassicaceae Species.

Predicted amino acid sequences were identified from the *A. lyrata* (Al), *C. rubella* (Carub), *T. halophila* (Thhalv), and *B. rapa* (Bra) genomes by BLAST searches with the *A. thaliana* (At) PUB protein sequences. Predicted ARC1 sequences from *B. oleracea* (Bo), *B. napus* (Bn), and *C. grandiﬂora* (Cgr) were also included. ARC1 proteins are found in a clade sister to the most closely related PUB17 proteins, and PUB16 forms a single clade sister to the closely related ARC1 and PUB17 proteins. Node values = posterior probabilities.
the A. thaliana ecotypes. The PCR screen revealed that all of the A. thaliana ecotypes surveyed contained the deletion region and therefore lacked a functional copy of ARC1 (Figure 3; see Supplemental Data Set 1 online). To verify that a similar deletion was present, PCR bands from 10 different A. thaliana ecotypes were selected for sequencing: C24, Kro-0, Ler-0, and Mrk-0 from Germany, Ra-0 from France, Br-0 from the Czech Republic, Wei-1 from Switzerland, LL-0 from Spain, Bur-0 from Ireland, Col-0 from the US, and Cvi-0 from Cape Verde Islands. The sequences from the PCR products indicated that the 10 ecotypes shared identical sequences for the ARC1 5' coding region and the deletion breakpoint (Figure 4). A few single nucleotide polymorphisms were detected between the 10 different ecotypes in the region upstream of the ARC1 5' region. A total of 10 diverse ecotypes were surveyed for the sequence and deletion breakpoint in the region, and the conservation observed for the sequence and deletion breakpoint in the 10 diverse ecotypes.

**Knockdown of ARC1 Expression in Transgenic A. lyrata Plants Leads to the Breakdown of Self-Incompatibility**

With our observation that the ARC1 genomic region has undergone distinct deletions in several self-compatible species, we were interested in testing ARC1's role in a naturally occurring self-incompatible Arabidopsis species, A. lyrata. Previously, we found that when ARC1 expression was knocked down in transgenic B. napus plants, the self-incompatibility response was attenuated resulting in successful self-fertilization (Stone et al., 1999). Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was first used to examine the expression patterns of A. lyrata ARC1 (Al-ARC1), and it was found to be expressed at highest levels in the pistil and buds, and lower levels of expression could also be detected in the anthers and leaves (Figure 5A; see Supplemental Figure 1 online). The closely related Al-PUB17 was also tested, and it was expressed in all tissues with the highest level of expression detected in the flower bud. Thus, Al-ARC1 was found to be expressed in the pistil where it could function in the self-incompatibility response, and the expression pattern is consistent with self-incompatibility genes often showing increased or specific expression in the pistil (e.g., SRK and B. napus ARC1; Goring et al., 1992; Gu et al., 1998).

To test the requirement of Al-ARC1 for the self-incompatibility response, transgenic Al-ARC1 RNAi A. lyrata lines were generated to suppress Al-ARC1 expression. An Al-ARC1–specific hairpin RNAi driven by either the stigma-specific SLR1 promoter or the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter (Franklin et al., 1996; Helliwell and Waterhouse, 2003) was transformed into self-incompatible perennial A. lyrata ssp petraea (Kimiväki et al., 2007) using a modified Agrobacterium tumefaciens–mediated floral dip transformation method (Clough and Bent, 1998; Lu and Kang, 2008). As the A. lyrata plants were self-incompatible, flowers were manually pollinated with cross-compatible pollen following transformation. Eleven independent transgenic A. lyrata plants were generated, and stigma RNA from each line was analyzed by qRT-PCR to determine the degree of the ARC1 RNAi knockdown. The ARC1 mRNA levels were found to be reduced to varying degrees in all 11 independent transgenic lines (Figure 5B). While the 489-bp ARC1 fragment used in the hairpin RNAi vector was chosen for

### Table 2. Gene Identifiers and Accession Numbers for the Predicted PUB Proteins Used in the Phylogenetic Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>PUB5</th>
<th>PUB12</th>
<th>PUB13</th>
<th>PUB14</th>
<th>PUB15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. thaliana</td>
<td>At4g36550</td>
<td>At2g28830</td>
<td>At3g46510</td>
<td>At3g54850</td>
<td>At5g42340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NP_195373</td>
<td>NP_585676</td>
<td>NP_190235</td>
<td>NP_191045</td>
<td>NP_199049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. lyrata</td>
<td>320670</td>
<td>937358</td>
<td>XP_002881013</td>
<td>XP_002877490</td>
<td>XP_002876275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. rubella</td>
<td>1002257</td>
<td>10016804</td>
<td>10016842</td>
<td>10018442</td>
<td>10028542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. rapa</td>
<td>Bra035665</td>
<td>Bra033820</td>
<td>Bra018212</td>
<td>Bra07105</td>
<td>Bra027977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. halophila</td>
<td>10016364</td>
<td>10002430</td>
<td>10010199</td>
<td>10003163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>PUB16</th>
<th>PUB17</th>
<th>PUB18</th>
<th>PUB19</th>
<th>ARC1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. thaliana</td>
<td>At5g01830</td>
<td>At1g29340</td>
<td>At1g10560</td>
<td>At1g60190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NP_195803</td>
<td>NP_174228</td>
<td>NP_172526</td>
<td>NP_176225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. lyrata</td>
<td>486885</td>
<td>473144</td>
<td>471171</td>
<td>475300</td>
<td>934022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP_002872992</td>
<td>XP_002890080</td>
<td>XP_002889817</td>
<td>XP_002888147</td>
<td>XP_002879489</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. rubella</td>
<td>10008498</td>
<td>10008444</td>
<td>10008393</td>
<td>10021483</td>
<td>10025155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. rapa</td>
<td>Bra019022</td>
<td>Bra010834</td>
<td>Bra019924</td>
<td>Bra051521</td>
<td>Bra021899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. rapa</td>
<td>Bra001998</td>
<td>Bra032309</td>
<td>Bra018212</td>
<td>Bra07105</td>
<td>Bra027977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. halophila</td>
<td>10022583</td>
<td>10008444</td>
<td>10008393</td>
<td>10023320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. napus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2558938</td>
<td>AAB97738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. oleracea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>164470360</td>
<td>ABY58019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The PCR results from the 96 Nordborg ecotype set are shown in alphabetical order with 10 samples per gel. The PCR product for the deleted ARC1 region is 1.4 kb, and all ecotypes were found to have the deletion, based on the presence of this PCR product. The different intensities in the PCR bands reflect only experimental variation. L, Gibco BRL 1-kb ladder. 
(A) Ag-0, An-1, Bay-0, Bil-5, Bil-7, Bor-4, Br-0, Bur-0, and C24. 
(B) CIBC-5, CIBC-17, Col-0, CS22491, Ct-1, Cvi-0, Eden-1, Eden-2, Edi-0, and Edi-2. 
(C) Est-1, Fab-2, Fab-4, Fei-1, Ga-0, Got-7, Got-22, Gu-0, Gy-0, and HR-5. 
(D) HR-10, Kas-2, Kin-0, Knox-10, Knox-18, Kondara, Kz-1, Kz-9, Ler-1, and LL-0. 
(E) Lov-1, Lov-5, Lp2-2, Lp2-6, Lz-0, Mr-0, Mrk-0, Ms-0, Mt-0, and Mz-0. 
(F) Ns-1, NFA-8, NFA-10, Nok-3, Cmo2-1, Cmo2-3, Oy-0, Pna-10, Pna-17, and Pro-0. 
(G) Pu2-7, Pu2-23, Ra-0, Ren-1, Ren-11, Rmx-A02, Rmx-A180, RRS-7, RRS-10, and Sakhadara. 
(H) Se-0, Sorbo, Spr1-2, Spr1-6, Sq-1, Sq-8, Tamm-2, Tamm-27, Ts-1, and Ts-5. 
(I) Tsu-1, Ull2-3, Ull2-5, Uod-1, Uod-7, Van-0, Var2-1, Var2-6, Wa-1, and Wei-0. 
(J) Ws-0, Ws-2, Wt-5, Yo-0, Zdr-1, and Zdr-6.

Figure 3. PCR Screen to Survey for the ARC1 Deletion Region in 96 A. thaliana Ecotypes.

The PCR results from the 96 Nordborg ecotype set are shown in alphabetical order with 10 samples per gel. The PCR product for the deleted ARC1 region is 1.4 kb, and all ecotypes were found to have the deletion, based on the presence of this PCR product. The different intensities in the PCR bands reflect only experimental variation. L, Gibco BRL 1-kb ladder. 
(A) Ag-0, An-1, Bay-0, Bil-5, Bil-7, Bor-4, Br-0, Bur-0, and C24. 
(B) CIBC-5, CIBC-17, Col-0, CS22491, Ct-1, Cvi-0, Eden-1, Eden-2, Edi-0, and Edi-2. 
(C) Est-1, Fab-2, Fab-4, Fei-1, Ga-0, Got-7, Got-22, Gu-0, Gy-0, and HR-5. 
(D) HR-10, Kas-2, Kin-0, Knox-10, Knox-18, Kondara, Kz-1, Kz-9, Ler-1, and LL-0. 
(E) Lov-1, Lov-5, Lp2-2, Lp2-6, Lz-0, Mr-0, Mrk-0, Ms-0, Mt-0, and Mz-0. 
(F) Ns-1, NFA-8, NFA-10, Nok-3, Cmo2-1, Cmo2-3, Oy-0, Pna-10, Pna-17, and Pro-0. 
(G) Pu2-7, Pu2-23, Ra-0, Ren-1, Ren-11, Rmx-A02, Rmx-A180, RRS-7, RRS-10, and Sakhadara. 
(H) Se-0, Sorbo, Spr1-2, Spr1-6, Sq-1, Sq-8, Tamm-2, Tamm-27, Ts-1, and Ts-5. 
(I) Tsu-1, Ull2-3, Ull2-5, Uod-1, Uod-7, Van-0, Var2-1, Var2-6, Wa-1, and Wei-0. 
(J) Ws-0, Ws-2, Wt-5, Yo-0, Zdr-1, and Zdr-6.

its specificity, this region does share 72% sequence identity to the closely related PUB17 gene and, as a result, could affect PUB17 expression as well. No other significant target genes were identified in BLAST searches with the 489-bp Al-ARC1 sequence. The qRT-PCR analysis indicated that PUB17 mRNA levels were also reduced in all lines, except the 35SRNAi 6-8 where PUB17 mRNA levels were increased (Figure 5B). These results were also verified for the transgenic A. lyrata 35SRNAi 6-8 line using a standard RT-PCR analysis (see Supplemental Figure 1 online).

The 11 transgenic ARC1 RNAi A. lyrata lines with reduced ARC1 RNA levels were then examined for the robustness of their self-incompatibility response phenotypes. Similar to the Brassica species, self-pollen rejection in A. lyrata occurs very early as rejected pollen grains are unable to germinate and form pollen tubes. Therefore, the ability of the ARC1 RNAi plants to reject or accept self-pollen was measured by examining pollen grains and pollen tube penetration on the stigmatic surface. For the A. lyrata ARC1 RNAi lines, the pistils were stained with aniline blue to visualize the pollen tubes 24 h after pollination. Typically in the aniline blue staining process, only pollen grains that have been accepted remain on the surface. All rejected pollen grains would no longer remain on the stigmatic papillae as they would have been removed by the washes inherent in the aniline blue staining protocol. Therefore, in a successful self-incompatibility response, pollen grains are absent from the stigmatic surface (Figure 6A) and no pollen tubes can be observed (Figure 6B). By contrast, the cross-compatible pollinated stigma shows pollen grains on the stigmatic surface (Figure 6C) and numerous pollen tubes growing into the stigma (Figure 6D) and into the style and ovary (Figure 6F). For all 11 transgenic ARC1 RNAi A. lyrata lines, the self-pollen rejection response was impaired following self-pollination, and both pollen grains (Figure 6E; see Supplemental Figure 2 online) and pollen tubes could be observed growing into the stigmas (Figure 6F; see Supplemental Figure 2 online). Also, pollen tubes were observed growing into the style and ovary (Figure 6H). Thus, the reduction in Al-ARC1 mRNA levels was associated with a breakdown in the self-incompatibility response.

To determine the extent of self-pollen acceptance in the Al-ARC1 RNAi lines, seed production, as a measure of successful pollen tube growth and fertilization, was examined. While cross-compatible pollinations led to well-developed siliques with ~13 seeds/silique, the siliques from self-incompatible pollinations were very small and empty (Figures 6I to 6K). Following self-pollinations, transgenic ARC1 RNAi A. lyrata lines displayed a range of silique sizes, and some examples of larger siliques are shown for the SLR1RNAi 6-3 line in Figure 6I. The average silique sizes from the RNAi lines ranged from 6 mm in the 35SRNAi line 1-1 and fell between the average 4-mm siliques from self-incompatible pollinations and 22.4-mm siliques from cross-compatible crosses (Figure 6K). Additionally, the number of seeds present in the siliques from the transgenic plants was variable and was usually less than the cross-compatible control (Figure 6J). Importantly though, all of the transgenic Al-ARC1 RNAi lines produced some seeds when self-pollinated while the wild-type self-incompatible pollinations failed to produce any seeds (Figure 6J). Thus, the transgenic ARC1 RNAi A. lyrata lines show clear evidence of breakdown in the self-incompatibility response following self-pollination. Also, the observed pseudo-self-compatibility phenotype was similar to that seen for B. napus ARC1 antisense lines (Stone et al., 1999).
DISCUSSION

ARC1 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase that functions in the pistil as part of the Brassica self-incompatibility pathway (Gu et al., 1998; Stone et al., 1999, 2003; Samuel et al., 2009), and this study demonstrates that ARC1 is also required for the A. lyrata self-incompatibility response. We found that the RNAi suppression of Al-ARC1 resulted in the acceptance of self-incompatible pollen, despite the presence of the upstream SCR/SP11 and SRK components. While PUB17, the most closely related paralog to ARC1, was also suppressed in many of these lines, PUB17 is unlikely to be responsible for this phenotype as one line, 35SRNAi 6-8, had increased PUB17 mRNA levels and still showed an attenuated self-incompatibility phenotype. Also, Rea et al. (2010) reported that when the A. lyrata SRK and SCR/SP11 genes were transformed into the A. thaliana pub17 mutant, the self-incompatible phenotype was unaffected, implying that PUB17 is not involved in the SRK signaling pathway. Finally, PUB17 has been previously reported to have a very different function where it is required for disease resistance and the hypersensitive response in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) and A. thaliana (Yang et al., 2006).

With ARC1 being required for the self-incompatibility response in both B. napus and A. lyrata, ARC1 may play a more widespread signaling role in self-pollen rejection in the Brassicaceae than previously suggested by Kitashiba et al. (2011).

Brassica and Arabidopsis belong to separate lineages in the Brassicaceae with Brassica in lineage II and Arabidopsis in lineage I (Franzke et al., 2011). As part of this study, we also investigated the occurrence of the ARC1 gene in other species in the Brassicaceae. This includes lineage I species (A. thaliana, A. lyrata, C. grandiflora, C. rubella, and L. alabamica), lineage II...
species (B. rapa, S. irio, T. halophila, and T. parvula), and a species outside of the core Brassicaceae (A. arabicum) (Franzke et al., 2011). Previously, ARC1 was reported to be present in the genome of self-incompatible A. lyrata but deleted from two self-compatible A. thaliana ecotypes, Col-0 and C24 (Kitashiba et al., 2011). A genome-wide survey of these sequenced genomes led to the intriguing observation that there were independent deletions in the ARC1 genomic region for most of the self-compatible species tested (A. thaliana, L. alabamica, T. halophila, T. parvula, and A. arabicum). In contrast with ARC1, the genomic region including PUB17 was highly conserved across all the genomes examined. The PUB17 gene was present in all the genomes and the structure and gene order in the PUB17 region was quite conserved.

While most of the self-compatible species did not carry a functional copy of the ARC1 gene, C. rubella was one self-compatible species that retained ARC1, and this may reflect the recent transition to self-pollination that occurred for this species. The breakdown of self-incompatibility in C. rubella occurred ~30,000 to 50,000 years ago (Foxe et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2009), while the breakdown of self-incompatibility of A. thaliana has been estimated to be much more distant, between ~400,000 and 1 million years ago (Bechsgaard et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2007; Bomblies and Weigel, 2010). The speciation of C. rubella and the transition to a self-pollinating species was also a direct result of a population bottleneck, and the inactivation of the SCR/SP11-SRK genes was likely the initial event that allowed self-pollination to occur (Foxe et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2009).

Previously, it has been hypothesized that A. thaliana became a self-compatible species due to the pseudogenization of the SCR/SP11 and SRK genes (Kusaba et al., 2001). While the majority of the A. thaliana ecotypes surveyed to date carry pseudogenes for SCR/SP11 and SRK, some ecotypes were discovered to have intact copies of SRK or SCR/SP11 (Kusaba et al., 2001; Bechsgaard et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2007; Shimizu et al., 2008; Boggs et al., 2009; Tsuchimatsu et al., 2010; Guo et al., 2011). This indicated that the transition from self-incompatibility to self-compatibility in A. thaliana has occurred multiple times with independent SCR/SP11-SRK mutations. With the previous report that the ARC1 gene was deleted in the A. thaliana Col-0 and C24 ecotypes (Kitashiba et al., 2011), we also investigated how widespread this specific ARC1 deletion was in 357 A. thaliana ecotypes. The complete loss of the ARC1 gene in all 357 ecotypes tested, including those with a functional SCR/SP11 or SRK gene, suggests that ARC1 became a pseudogene before the inactivation of SCR/SP11 or SRK in A. thaliana. Tsuchimatsu et al. (2010) observed variations in the manifestation of the reconstituted self-incompatibility responses in different A. thaliana ecotypes, with the self-incompatibility response weakening in older flowers (pseudo-self-compatibility). They suggested that mutations conferring pseudo-self-compatibility could have occurred prior to the loss of the SCR/SP11 or SRK self-incompatibility genes and account for the pseudo-self-compatibility in A. thaliana. The widespread loss of A. thaliana ARC1 could be one such pseudo-self-compatibility mutation. In both B. napus and A. lyrata, we observed that the suppression of ARC1 expression is correlated with an incomplete breakdown of self-incompatibility. While this could be due to inefficient ARC1 suppression, it may also indicate that there are other elements functioning downstream of SRK (e.g., MLPK) and that loss of these elements, in addition to ARC1, would be required to produce a full breakdown of the self-incompatibility response. Thus, perhaps in A. thaliana, the weakening of the self-incompatibility response with the loss of ARC1 could have allowed for some self-pollination to occur, leading to conditions for further breakdown by the pseudogenization of the SCR/SP11 and SRK genes.

While we have described a role for ARC1 in a simple linear SRK signaling pathway (Samuel et al., 2009; Chapman, 2010), receptor kinase signaling pathways in animal systems are typically much more complex with multiple branches (Seet et al., 2006; Lemmon and Schlessinger, 2010). Thus, it would not be unexpected for SRK to signal through other unknown branches to cause self-pollen rejection, and the loss of one branch involving ARC1 may lead only to a pseudo-self-compatibility phenotype. This may also offer an explanation for the large ecotype-dependent range of...
self-incompatibility phenotypes observed in transgenic A. thaliana expressing the A. lyrata SCR/SP11 and SRK genes (Nasrallah et al., 2004; Boggs et al., 2009; Tsuchimatsu et al., 2010). The range of phenotypes from self-compatibility to stronger levels of self-incompatibility may reflect activity levels of other elements or pathways functioning downstream of SRK in these different ecotypes. In the future, it would be of interest to test whether the transformation of Al-ARC1 into transgenic A. thaliana displaying a pseudo-self-compatibility phenotype would strengthen the self-incompatibility response. In conclusion, we have shown that ARC1 has been independently deleted in the genomes of a number of self-compatible species, and its role in the self-incompatibility response is conserved between Arabidopsis and Brassica species.
**METHODS**

**Synteny and Phylogenetic Analyses**
For the nine-species whole-genome orthologous alignment assembled by the VEGI consortium (available on their genome browser at http://grandiflora.eeb.utoronto.ca:8080), the genomes of six species were obtained from the genome assemblers or from public databases (www.phytozone.org). Three additional species, *Sisymbrium irio*, *Leavenworthia alabamica* race a4 (Busch et al., 2011), and *Aethionema arabicum* were sequenced by the VEGI consortium using exclusively Illumina paired-end and mate-pair approaches and were assembled using a combination of Ray (Boisvert et al., 2010) for contigging and SOAPdenovo (Li et al., 2010) for scaffolding. A whole-genome multiple alignment of the nine genomes was produced using a progressive alignment pipeline similar to that previously published for the alignment of vertebrate genomes (Miller et al., 2007). Briefly, the procedure starts by computing pairwise alignments between each species and the *Arabidopsis lyrata* genome, which is used as a reference, using the lastZ program (Schwartz et al., 2003). A progressive multiple alignment procedure is then applied to assemble a multiple alignment, using the Mutliz program (Blanchette et al., 2004). In the case of the *Brassica* rapa and *L. alabamica* genomes, which have both undergone whole-genome triplications, only the best conserved, up to three remaining paralogous regions, was retained for the alignment.

The nine-species whole-genome orthologous alignment was accessed using the Synteny viewer in the University of California, Santa Cruz Genome Browser (Fujita et al., 2011) to construct the gene syntenies for the *ARC1* and PUB17 genomic regions from the *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *A. lyrata*, *S. intoro*, *L. alabamica*, *Thellungiella halophila*, *Thellungiella parvula*, *B. rapa*, and *A. arabicum* genomes. The synteny viewers in The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR; Rhee et al., 2003) and the Brassica database (BRAD; Cheng et al., 2011) were also used in these analyses. Finally, *A. lyrata*, *Capsella rubella*, *B. rapa*, and *T. halophila* genomic sequences were extracted and protein BLAST searches were conducted using Phytozone v8.0 (Altschul et al., 1990; Goodstein et al., 2012). Gene identifiers are listed in Table 1.

For the phylogenetic analysis, the *A. thaliana* PUB12-19 and *A. lyrata* ARC1 amino acid sequences were used in TBLASTN searches to identify orthologs from the *A. lyrata*, *C. rubella*, *T. halophila*, and *B. rapa* genomes. Default TBLASTN parameters were used in the Phytozone and BRAD databases (expect threshold =1, comparison matrix BLOSUM62, word length 3, allowed for gaps). The synteny viewers from TAIR (Rhee et al., 2003) and BRAD (Cheng et al., 2011) were also used to identify the genes encoding *A. lyrata* and *B. rapa* PUB10-19 and ARC1 proteins. Gene identifiers are listed in Table 2. The ARC1 sequences from *B. napus* (2,558,938) and *B. oleracea* (164,470,360) were obtained from GenBank, and the *Capsella grandiflora* ARC1 sequence was extracted from an RNAseq analysis (K.Hazzouri and S.I. Wright, unpublished data). Full-length PUB5, PUB12-19, and ARC1 amino acid sequences were aligned using MAFFT (Katoh et al., 2005). The alignment is available as Supplemental Data Set 2 online. The protein FASTA alignment file was used in MrBayes for a Bayesian phylogenetic analysis, using the mixed model option and 300,000 generations, and two runs used to check for convergence (Ronquist et al., 2012).

**Plant Material**
The *A. lyrata* plants used in this study were from the self-incompatible perennial *A. lyrata* ssp *petraea* P6 and P7 populations collected by Kivimäki et al. (2007) in Northern Sweden. Plants from the P6 population were cross-compatible with the P7 population. The *A. thaliana* ecotypes screened for the *ARC1* deletion were obtained from the ABRC and were from the Nordborg collection (96 ecotype set; Nordborg et al., 2003) and Beck collection (261 ecotype set; Beck et al., 2008). All plants were grown under long-day growth chamber conditions consisting of a 16-h-light/8-h-dark photoperiod at 22°C. Flowering was induced in the *A. lyrata* plants by vernalizing rosettes of ~12 cm in size for 30 d on a short-day cycle of an 8-h-light/16-h-dark photoperiod at 5°C (Kuittinen et al., 2008).

**Nucleic Acid Extractions and PCR Assays**
For PCR genotyping of the transgenic *A. lyrata* plants and screening for the presence of the *ARC1* deletion in the *A. thaliana* ecotypes, genomic DNA was extracted from young leaves using the Plant DNeasy kit (Qiagen). The *A. thaliana* *ARC1* deletion region was PCR amplified using primers to the last exon of At2g34250 and the first exon of At2g34260. For the RT-PCR assays, RNA was extracted from *A. lyrata* anthers, leaves, buds, pistils, and stigmas tissues using of the Plant RNeasy kit (Qiagen). The RNA was quantified with a Nanodrop (GE Nanovue Plus) and then treated with DNase I (amplification grade; Invitrogen) to remove any contaminating genomic DNA. The DNase I was removed from the RNA samples by acid-phenol:chloroform (Ambion) extraction, followed by a phenol-chloroform extraction and nucleic acid precipitation (Sambrook and Russel, 2001). cDNA synthesis was performed on 50 ng/μL of RNA per tissue using the Super Script III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). The cDNA was tested by PCR reaction with *A. lyrata* *Elf1α*-specific primers. RT-PCR and qRT-PCR assays were then conducted on the cDNA samples. The qRT-PCR on the transgenic *ARC1* RNAi *A. lyrata* lines was performed as previously described (Bassel et al., 2008; Indriolo et al., 2010) using stigma cDNA and 2X Power SYBR green (Applied Biosystems). All primers were optimized before use, and the *ARC1* and PUB17 expression levels were normalized to *Elf1α* (for the different RNAi lines) or *TUB4* (for the different tissues). The standard PCR conditions used for all reactions were a 2-min denaturation at 94°C, followed by a three-step cycle of 30 s 94°C denaturation, 30 s at the appropriate annealing temperature for each primer pair, and then extension at 72°C with a time of 1 kb/1 min for 25 to 35 cycles, followed by a final extension of 10 min at 72°C. Tsg polymerase (Biobasics) was used for all PCR reactions. See Supplemental Table 1 online for all primers used in this study.

**A. lyrata ARC1 RNAi Constructs and Transformation**
The Al-ARC1 hairpin RNAi construct was designed using an Al-ARC1 fragment covering nucleotides 1260 to 1748 of the coding sequence. The cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter:Al-ARC1 RNAi construct was made by amplifying the 489-bp Al-ARC1 fragment with Advantage 2 polymerase (Clontech) using a forward primer with BamHI and Xhol sites and a reverse primer with Clal and KpnI sites. The PCR product was subcloned into pGEMT-easy (Promega) and was verified by sequencing. The Al-ARC1 hairpin was assembled in the pKannibal vector (Xhol and KpnI for the sense fragment, and BamHI and Clal for the antisense fragment) and then transferred into the pART27 plant transformation vector using Ncol (Hellwiel and Waterhouse, 2003). To generate the SLR1 promoter:Al-ARC1 RNAi construct, the Al-ARC1 hairpin was amplified from pKannibal using a forward primer with an Xmal site and a reverse primer with an EcoRI site. The PCR product was then digested with Xmal and EcoRI and ligated into the pORE3 vector (Coutu et al., 2007) containing the SLR1 promoter (Chapman, 2010). For the transformation of the Al-ARC1 hairpin RNAi plant transformation vectors into *A. lyrata*, the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain containing the pTiB7280 vector was used (Shaner et al., 2004). *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain LBA4404 (PVX; for the *ARC1* constructs) was used for the transformation of 100 healthy plants, and selection on hygromycin B-containing medium for 6 weeks was used to identify the transgenic plants. Seeds were sown in 12 cm pots in 70% vermiculite and 30% peat, and the plants were grown in a greenhouse at 22°C/18°C day/night temperature, 18-h-light/6-h-dark photoperiod, a photosynthetic photon flux density of 300 μmol m−2 s−1, and 60% relative humidity. The *ARC1* transcripts were determined by qRT-PCR and qRT-PCR assays were then conducted on the cDNA samples. RNA was extracted from young leaves of the transgenic *ARC1* RNAi *A. lyrata* lines using the Plant RNeasy kit (Qiagen). The RNA was quantified with a Nanodrop (GE Nanovue Plus) and then treated with DNase I (amplification grade; Invitrogen) to remove any contaminating genomic DNA. The DNase I was removed from the RNA samples by acid-phenol:chloroform (Ambion) extraction, followed by a phenol-chloroform extraction and nucleic acid precipitation (Sambrook and Russel, 2001). cDNA synthesis was performed on 50 ng/μL of RNA per tissue using the Super Script III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). The cDNA was tested by PCR reaction with *A. lyrata* Elf1α-specific primers. RT-PCR and qRT-PCR assays were then conducted on the cDNA samples. The qRT-PCR on the transgenic *ARC1* RNAi *A. lyrata* lines was performed as previously described (Bassel et al., 2008; Indriolo et al., 2010) using stigma cDNA and 2X Power SYBR green (Applied Biosystems). All primers were optimized before use, and the *ARC1* and PUB17 expression levels were normalized to *Elf1α* (for the different RNAi lines) or *TUB4* (for the different tissues). The standard PCR conditions used for all reactions were a 2-min denaturation at 94°C, followed by a three-step cycle of 30 s 94°C denaturation, 30 s at the appropriate annealing temperature for each primer pair, and then extension at 72°C with a time of 1 kb/1 min for 25 to 35 cycles, followed by a final extension of 10 min at 72°C. Tsg polymerase (Biobasics) was used for all PCR reactions. See Supplemental Table 1 online for all primers used in this study.
Crosses and Pollination Assays

For the A. lyrata crosses, pistils from 1- to 2-d-old flowers were pollinated with pollen from 2- to 3-d-old flowers as this was determined to be the optimal timing for pistil receptivity and pollen viability using flower development in A. thaliana as a guide (Smyth et al., 1990). Aniline blue staining for pollen tubes was conducted on pistils that had been pollinated for 24 h as previously described (Samuel et al., 2009). Siliques from self-incompatible and cross-compatible crosses were characterized by measuring length and the number of seeds present in each siliqua for 10 randomly selected siliques from each control and transgenic ARC1 RNAi A. lyrata line.
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