Zeaxanthin Binds to Light-Harvesting Complex Stress-Related Protein to Enhance Nonphotochemical Quenching in Physcomitrella patens
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INTRODUCTION

Light is essential for photosynthesis, but when its intensity exceeds the activity of the photosynthetic electron transport chain, light can damage the photosynthetic apparatus. Indeed, excess light increases the lifetime of chlorophyll singlet excited states (1Chl*) and, thus, the probability of triplet chlorophyll (3Chl*) formation. Triplet chlorophyll can react with molecular oxygen and produce singlet oxygen (1O2), leading to photodamage and photoinhibition (Barber and Andersson, 1992; Miller et al., 2008; Takahashi and Badger, 2011). Land environments have highly variable light intensities, temperatures, and water availability, which can cause rapid changes in the excitation pressure on photosystem II (PSII), leading to photooxidative damage. Plants have evolved multiple mechanisms of photoprotection, such as light avoidance through leaf and chloroplast movements, cyclic electron transport around photosystem I (PSI), and photorespiration (Takahashi and Badger, 2011).

Three additional photoprotection mechanisms involve carotenoids: (1) modulation of 3Chl* yield, (2) scavenging of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and (3) heat dissipation of energy from light absorbed in excess (nonphotochemical quenching [NPQ]). In vascular plants, these three functions are upregulated by the synthesis of zeaxanthin from violaxanthin in excess light conditions. This reaction is catalyzed by violaxanthin deepoxidase (VDE) and together with zeaxanthin reepoxidation by zeaxanthin epoxidase (ZEP) upon return to light-limiting conditions of photosynthesis constitutes the xanthophyll cycle. Previous work focused on the modulation of 3Chl* yield in leaves (Dall’Osto et al., 2012) and ROS scavenging in green algae (Baroli et al., 2003, 2004) and in higher plants (Havaux et al., 2007; Dall’Osto et al., 2010). npq1, the Arabidopsis thaliana mutant unable to synthesize zeaxanthin, shows NPQ reduction (Niyogi et al., 1998; Li et al., 2002), implying that zeaxanthin synthesis enhances the amplitude of both qE and qZ components. qZ has been directly attributed to zeaxanthin accumulation and in particular to its binding to light-harvesting complex (LHC) proteins, specifically LHCb5 (Dall’Osto et al., 2005). qE, the fastest quenching component, requires the PSII protein, S subunit of PSII (PSBS; Li et al., 2000), which transduces low luminal pH signal into a quenching reaction by the reversible protonation of two lumen-exposed Glu residues (Li et al., 2004). Although PSBS is not a pigment binding protein (Dominici et al., 2002; Bonente et al., 2008a), its activity in triggering NPQ is enhanced by zeaxanthin, possibly by binding to LHCb proteins, where zeaxanthin occurs (Ruban et al., 1999; Caffarri et al., 2001; Holt et al., 2005; Ahn et al., 2008; Avenson et al., 2008; Betterle et al., 2009; Dall’Osto et al., 2012). In green algae, where PSBS is absent (Bonente et al., 2008b), the triggering of qE requires light-harvesting complex stress-related (LHCSR) (Peers et al., 2009), a chlorophyll binding protein sharing with PSBS the capacity of binding dicyclohexylcarbodiimide and transducing the low luminal pH into a quenching reaction (Peers et al., 2009; Bonente et al., 2011a).
The moss *Physcomitrella patens* is particularly well suited for studying the evolution of photoprotection mechanisms and their modulation by zeaxanthin because of its position in the *Viridiplantae* clade, providing insights on the novel strategies evolved to face the stressful conditions upon emersion from water. Consistent with this, NPQ in *P. patens* depends on both PSBS and LHCSR (Alboresi et al., 2010; Gerotto et al., 2011) and presents an active xanthophyll cycle (Alboresi et al., 2008). The analysis of the *P. patens* genome (Koziol et al., 2007; Alboresi et al., 2008, 2011; Rensing et al., 2008) revealed that the composition of its antenna system is more similar to that of vascular plants than to unicellular green algae due to the presence of genes encoding LHChb3 and LHChb6, which are not found in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (Elrad and Grossman, 2004). Both carotenoid accumulation and NPQ are enhanced by abiotic stress (Gerotto et al., 2011; Azzabi et al., 2012).

To study the role of zeaxanthin in *P. patens*, we produced a vde knockout (KO) mutant by homologous recombination in the wild type and in genotypes performing only LHCSR-dependent NPQ (*lhcsr1 lhcsr2* KO) (hereafter indicated as *lhcsr* KO). *vde* KO plants completely lost their capacity to synthesize zeaxanthin under excess light conditions, implying the identified *VDE* gene encodes the only VDE enzyme in *P. patens*. We show that in *P. patens*, qE is highly dependent on zeaxanthin, particularly its LHCSR-dependent component. Biochemical evidence suggests that zeaxanthin binding to LHCSR is directly responsible for enhancing quenching activity.

**RESULTS**

**Characterization of Genes Encoding the Carotenoid Biosynthetic Pathway in *P. patens***

According to previous reports, carotenoid content is enhanced by abiotic stress in the moss *P. patens* (Gerotto et al., 2011; Azzabi et al., 2012), suggesting that photoprotection is modulated by changes in carotenoid biosynthesis. The carotenoid biosynthetic enzymes are well conserved in *Viridiplantae*, and genes can be identified by similarity search (Coesel et al., 2008; Cazzonelli and Pogson, 2010). A summary of *P. patens* genes putatively encoding carotenoid biosynthesis enzymes is shown in Table 1. We observed a higher gene copy number for each carotenoid biosynthesis-related sequence than in other species, similar to the case of other metabolic pathways (Rensing et al., 2008). This feature is likely related to a recent genome duplication of *P. patens* followed by the retention of sequences encoding proteins with important functions for the adaptation to environment cues (Rensing et al., 2007). We identified two genes putatively encoding ZEP (Figure 1, Table 1) and single genes putatively encoding the *ε*-ring hydroxylase (LUT1) and VDE. The conversion of *trans*-violaxanthin to *trans*-neoxanthin in *Arabidopsis* requires the ABA4 gene, possibly encoding a neoxanthin synthase (NSY) (Dall’Osto et al., 2007; North et al., 2007). We identified two coding sequences sharing high identity with ABA4 in *P. patens* genome. The carotenoid biosynthesis genes of *P. patens* share high sequence identity with their pinophyta counterparts (Table 1).

**The VDE *Pp1s161_120V6* Gene Fully Accounts for Zeaxanthin Accumulation upon Transition from Dark to Excess Light**

In order to examine the photoprotective role of zeaxanthin, we proceeded to isolate *vde* null mutants in the moss *P. patens*, taking advantage of its capacity for efficient homologous recombination (see Supplemental Figure 1A online). We obtained targeted KO of *VDE* by using two different selection markers conferring either hygromycin B or zeocin resistance. Stably resistant plants were screened by PCR to verify that the expected event of homologous recombination took place (see Supplemental Figure 1B online), and immunoblotting analysis was performed on total protein extracts using a polyclonal antibody toward *Arabidopsis* VDE protein (see Supplemental Figures 1C and 2 online). Selected transformants were analyzed for their in vivo fluorescence behavior and NPQ kinetics using a fluorescence imaging system (see Supplemental Figures 1D and 1E online). Plants lacking VDE were also strongly affected in NPQ amplitude, irrespective of the hygromycin or zeocin resistance gene used as a marker for selection. Also, resistant lines in which gene targeting did not occur showed a wild-type NPQ kinetic (see Supplemental Figure 1E online). NPQ kinetics were calculated upon fluorometric measurements using a Dual-PAM 100 apparatus on plants grown on minimal medium (Figure 2A). NPQ of wild-type plants was 9.5-fold higher than in *vde* KO plants, and this phenotype was consistent with the effect measured on plants treated with the VDE inhibitor DTT (see Supplemental Table 1 and Supplemental Figure 3A online). Moreover, HPLC analysis performed on dark-adapted versus excess light–treated plants (Table 2) showed that *vde* KO plants were unable to convert violaxanthin into zeaxanthin. We conclude that the putative *VDE* gene (*Pp1s161_120V6*) identified in the *P. patens* genome is responsible for encoding the complete extent of VDE activity upon excess light treatment and that zeaxanthin synthesis is responsible for a very strong upregulation of NPQ activity.

**Dependence of LHCSR- and PSBS-Dependent NPQ Quenching Components on Zeaxanthin**

The strong inhibition of NPQ amplitude in the *vde* KO mutant in the moss *P. patens* raises the question whether both LHCSR- and PSBS-dependent NPQ mechanisms are upregulated by zeaxanthin in *P. patens*. In order to answer this question, we made double mutants by introducing the *vde* KO mutation in *lhcsr* KO and *psbs* KO plants and verifying that VDE enzyme did not accumulate in the resulting double mutants (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). We retained at least two independent lines for each double mutant, although a larger number shared the same phenotype (see Supplemental Figure 3B online). NPQ measurements showed that the *vde* KO mutation had a strong effect on the NPQ amplitude of both *psbs* KO and *lhcsr* KO lines (Figures 2B to 2D). However, we noticed that the effect of the *vde* KO mutation in decreasing NPQ amplitude is far stronger in *psbs* KO than in *lhcsr* KO double mutants (Figures 2B to 2D; see Supplemental Figure 3B online). Detailed analysis of NPQ kinetics showed that a residual VDE-independent qE activity is present in all *vde* KO mutants (Figure 2A), but it is absent in the triple *lhcsr psbs* KO mutant (Figure 2D). Thus,
zeaxanthin needs either PSBS or LHCSR to exert any effect on the NPQ activation of *P. patens* cells.

The weakness of the change of fluorescence yield undergone by lhcsr KO versus vde lhcsr KO casts some doubt on the capacity of zeaxanthin of upregulating PSBS-dependent qE (Figure 2C). In fact, a fraction of the quenching is likely to be of the qZ type (i.e., independent of the transmembrane pH gradient) (Dall’Osto et al., 2005; Kalituho et al., 2007; Nilkens et al., 2010).

In order to verify this possibility, we used the PSBS over-expressor line on the lhcsr KO background (PSBS OE) (Gerotto et al., 2012), which exhibits similar NPQ amplitude as the psbs KO line but fully dependent on PSBS. When NPQ was measured upon infiltration of PSBS OE with the VDE inhibitor DTT, the amplitude was clearly lower than in the sample infiltrated with buffer, confirming that PSBS-dependent NPQ in *P. patens* indeed showed a zeaxanthin-dependent enhancement (Figure 3), although to a lower level than the LHCSR-dependent component (Figure 2B). To focus on the fast and pH dependent component of NPQ, we calculated qE from NPQ induction kinetics as the difference between NPQ at the end of the actinic light period and after 2.5 min of dark relaxation (see Supplemental Figure 4 online). On this basis, the zeaxanthin-dependent enhancement of qE was found to be higher in plants expressing LHCSR than in plants expressing PSBS (see Supplemental Figure 4 online). We conclude that both qE components are enhanced by zeaxanthin in *P. patens* and yet the effect is far larger on the LHCSR-dependent component.

**Effect of vde KO and lhcsr psbs KO Mutations on Resistance to Photoinhibitory Excess Light Treatment**

Zeaxanthin has been reported to increase resistance to photoinhibitory excess light treatment in plants and algae (Havaux and Niyogi, 1999; Baroli et al., 2004; Dall’Osto et al., 2005). To verify that this was also true in *P. patens*, 10-d-old plants grown in control light were exposed to 850 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ for 6 h, and the treatment was then continued the following day after incubation overnight in the dark. The dark period during excess light treatment was maintained in order to avoid additional stress related to a sudden change in the circadian clock, in agreement with previous reports (Havaux and Niyogi, 1999). Determination of PSII quantum efficiency at different time points showed that the wild type

---

**Table 1. Genes of the *P. patens* Carotenoid Biosynthetic Pathway**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Also Known</th>
<th>Length (aa)</th>
<th>Locus Name</th>
<th>Best Hit NCBI (Locus)</th>
<th>ID (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCYE1</td>
<td>LUT2</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>Pp1s11_269V6</td>
<td><em>Picea glauca</em> (BT114509)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCYE2</td>
<td>LUT2</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>Pp1s153_133V6</td>
<td><em>P. glauca</em> (BT114509)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP97C1</td>
<td>LUT1</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>Pp1s307_64V6</td>
<td><em>P. glauca</em> (BT111413)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP97A3</td>
<td>LUT5</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>Pp1s28_409V6</td>
<td><em>P. glauca</em> (BT113884)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCYB1</td>
<td></td>
<td>559</td>
<td>Pp1s55_202V6</td>
<td>Taxodium distichum (AB161862)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCYB2</td>
<td></td>
<td>554</td>
<td>Pp1s160_155V6</td>
<td>Cryptomeria japonica (AB006553)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHYB1</td>
<td>CHY2</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>Pp1s373_23V6</td>
<td><em>P. glauca</em> (BT101326)</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHYB2</td>
<td>CHY2</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>Pp1s19_96V6</td>
<td><em>Picea sitchensis</em> (EF085473)</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHYB3</td>
<td></td>
<td>353</td>
<td>Pp1s20_24V6</td>
<td><em>P. sitchensis</em> (EF085473)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZEP1</td>
<td>ABA1/NPQ2</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>Pp1s91_16V6</td>
<td>Selaginella moellendorffii (XM_002972191)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZEP2</td>
<td>ABA1/NPQ2</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>Pp1s219_79V6</td>
<td>S. moellendorffii (XM_002972191)</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDE</td>
<td>NPQ1</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>Pp1s161_120V6</td>
<td>Vitis vinifera (XM_002267116)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSY1?</td>
<td>ABA4</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>Pp1s108_75V6</td>
<td><em>P. sitchensis</em> (EF085729)</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSY2?</td>
<td>ABA4</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>Pp1s96_157V6</td>
<td><em>P. sitchensis</em> (EF085729)</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Locus name identified by TBLASTN in Phytozome version 8 starting from Arabidopsis protein sequences. The best hit was identified by TBLASTN against the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) nucleotide collection. The identity between *P. patens* protein and its best hit is reported in the last column. aa, amino acids. Blank spaces indicate that there were no common names available.

---

**Figure 1.** Scheme of Carotenoid Biosynthetic Pathway in *P. patens*. The genes identified in this study, lycopene b-cyclase (LCYB), lycopene e-cyclase (LCYE), b-hydroxylase (CYP97A3, CHY), e-hydroxylase (CYP97C1), ZEP, VDE, and the putative NSY, are indicated. The reaction of neoxanthin synthase is indicated by a question mark (NSY1?; NSY2?) because its catalytic activity has not yet been verified in vitro (North et al., 2007). Unknown genes could participate to b-hydroxylase activity and are here indicated by two question marks (?). The dashed box includes the xanthophyll cycle.
underwent a decrease in $F_{v}/F_{m}$ from 0.75 to 0.55 at the end of the first part of the treatment and to 0.45 at the end of the second (Figure 4). In the same conditions, the vde KO plants underwent a more rapid decrease (0.28 and then 0.20 at the end of the first and second period, respectively), clearly showing enhanced sensitivity to excess light. lhcsr KO plants, completely unable to activate any NPQ but still able to synthesize zeaxanthin, showed an intermediate behavior (0.35 and then 0.28 at the end of the first and second period, respectively), implying that zeaxanthin had additional photoprotective actions besides its effect on NPQ.

Figure 2. NPQ Induction and Relaxation Kinetics of the vde KO Genotypes Described in Supplemental Figure 2 Online.

Chlorophyll fluorescence was measured after 45 min of dark adaptation using 830 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ of actinic red light and 6000 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ of saturating light. Exposure to actinic irradiance is indicated at the top of the figure. Data are mean values of plants grown on three independent plates ± SD.

(A) The wild type (WT; closed squares) is compared with the single vde KO (open squares).
(B) psbs KO (closed triangles) is compared with psbs vde KO (open triangles).
(C) lhcsr KO (closed circles) is compared with vde lhcsr KO (open circles). In (B) and (C), the wild type (closed squares) is shown as a reference.
(D) Comparison between psbs lhcsr KO (open stars) and the vde KO plants reported in (A) to (C).

Table 2. Xanthophyll Cycle Pigment Composition and Deepoxidation Index Determination of Wild-Type and vde KO P. patens Plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Vio</th>
<th>Ant</th>
<th>Zea</th>
<th>$V+A+Z$</th>
<th>DEP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type dark</td>
<td>4.36 ± 0.27</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>4.36 ± 0.27</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type light</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.88 ± 0.14</td>
<td>5.45 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vde dark</td>
<td>5.16 ± 0.26</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>5.16 ± 0.26</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vde light</td>
<td>5.16 ± 0.36</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>5.16 ± 0.36</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type thylakoids light</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.00</td>
<td>3.81 ± 0.20</td>
<td>5.48 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psbs lhcsr KO thylakoids light</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.10</td>
<td>3.80 ± 0.20</td>
<td>5.45 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plants were dark adapted for 45 min directly on minimum agar medium. Dark-adapted plants were then treated for 30 min with excess light (~830 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and either used for total extract or for thylakoid preparation. Acetone extract of each sample was separated by HPLC to separate and quantify individual pigments. Carotenoid content (mol) is normalized to chlorophylls a+b (100 mol). Vio, violaxanthin; Ant, antheraxanthin; Zea, zeaxanthin. Deepoxidation index (DEP) is calculated as follows: $(0.5 \cdot A+Z)/(A+Z+V)$. Data are expressed as means ± SD, n = 3. n.d., not detected.
Step Solubilization of Thylakoid Membranes

A direct quenching effect of zeaxanthin bound to LHC pigment-protein complexes, independent from ΔpH, has been shown to account for a slowly relaxing component in NPQ kinetics, called qZ (Dall’Osto et al., 2005; Nilkens et al., 2010), or qI in earlier reports (Farber et al., 1997; Horton et al., 2005). This effect can be measured by comparing the fluorescence yield of a thylakoid sample before and after the release of the pigment-protein interactions upon treatment with different detergents. In the original report, thylakoids isolated from genotypes either enriched in zeaxanthin or violaxanthin were shown to have different fluorescence yields on a chlorophyll basis, which was equalized upon disruption of pigment-protein interactions (Dall’Osto et al., 2005).

In order to verify if such an effect was present in *P. patens*, we exposed the wild type, *psbs lhcsr* KO, and *vde* KO genotypes to excess light for 1 h (24°C, 850 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), upon which the level of zeaxanthin accumulation was measured by HPLC analysis and thylakoid aliquots were submitted to step solubilization. The wild type and *psbs lhcsr* KO accumulated high levels of zeaxanthin but *vde* KO did not (Table 2). Fluorescence yield of a thylakoid suspension was measured under continuous stirring for 100 s. Membranes were first treated by the mild detergent n-dodecyl-alpha-d-maltoside (α-DM) at the final concentration of 0.075% (w/v), a treatment that releases the pigment-protein complexes from the thylakoid lipid bilayer without disrupting pigment-protein interactions (Dall’Osto et al., 2005). An increase in fluorescence yield was associated to membrane solubilization (Figure 5). In a second step, Triton X-100 was added to a final concentration of 1.8% (w/v), generating a further increase in fluorescence yield due to the release of chlorophyll, free from interactions with proteins and carotenoids in detergent micelles.

Overall, the full solubilization of thylakoid membranes produced a ninefold increase in fluorescence yield (Qm+pi) with 63 to 78% due to the release of protein-protein interactions caused by the solubilization of the membrane (Qm) and 37 to 22% due to disruption of pigment-protein interactions (Qpi) depending on the genotype. A small difference in fluorescence yield was observed even before thylakoid solubilization, with the *vde* KO showing 6% higher fluorescence yield with respect to wild-type and *psbs lhcsr* KO samples. Upon the first mild solubilization step, the two samples showed a clear difference in fluorescence yield, implying...
that pigment-proteins containing zeaxanthin have a lower chlorophyll fluorescence yield. This was described by Qpi, the parameter that quantifies the quenching generated by pigment–protein interactions, scoring 0.29 in vde KO or in wild-type thylakoids from dark-adapted plants versus 0.44 in the sample from excess light-treated wild type (Figure 5, Table 3).

Zeaxanthin Binds to LHCSR in \textit{P. patens}

The strong decrease in chlorophyll fluorescence quenching induced by the vde KO mutation on \textit{psbs lhcsr} KO plants both in vitro (Figure 5) and in vivo upon excess light treatment (Figure 2) showed that NPQ activation strongly depends on zeaxanthin accumulation, especially for its LHCSR-dependent component (Figure 2B). Since zeaxanthin is not a fluorescent molecule, it must affect fluorescence yield through binding one or more chlorophyll binding proteins. In order to search for interactions of zeaxanthin and chlorophyll binding proteins, we proceeded to fractionation of thylakoid membranes, isolated from plants either harvested upon growth in control light or treated with excess light (lacking or accumulating zeaxanthin, respectively). Thylakoids were solubilized with the mild detergent α-DM and loaded onto a Suc density gradient, which was centrifuged at an average of 40,000 g for 22 h. The separation pattern was very similar, irrespective of the light treatment (Figure 6). Each gradient was fractionated into 30 aliquots that were analyzed by spectrophotometry and SDS-PAGE to identify their pigment-protein content and polypeptide composition. By HPLC analysis of acetone-extracted pigments of each fraction, we identified protein-bound chromophores, particularly xanthophyll cycle pigments violaxanthin and zeaxanthin. In the lower part of the gradient (fractions 1 to 16), reaction center–containing green bands were found, namely, PSII-LHCCI supercomplexes (fractions 2 to 7), PSI-LHCI (fractions 10 to 13), and PSII core complex (fractions 14 to 16). In the upper part of the gradient, there were three closely spaced green bands containing LHCb proteins in pentameric (LHCb4-LHCb6-LHCCI trimer, fractions 17 and 18), trimeric (LHCCI, fractions 19 to 21), and monomeric (fractions 22 to 24) aggregation states, and a faint yellow top band containing free pigments, enriched in carotenoids (fractions 25 to 27).

Comparison of violaxanthin distribution among fractions in control sample versus violaxanthin plus zeaxanthin in excess light–treated samples (Figure 6) shows a very similar pattern, implying that newly synthesized zeaxanthin replaces preexisting violaxanthin within pigment-protein complexes. Zeaxanthin was present in all green bands containing LHC proteins, namely, PSII-LHCCI and PSI-LHCI, LHCb pentamers, trimers, and monomers but not in the PSII core complexes, in agreement with their binding of β-carotene only (Bassi et al., 1993). The same level of deepoxidation upon exposure to excess light and zeaxanthin binding to pigment-proteins, as assessed by Suc gradient fractionation and HPLC analysis, was found in wild-type and \textit{lhcsr psbs} KO plants, as shown by a deepoxidation index of 0.76 in both cases. We observed that the exchange of violaxanthin versus zeaxanthin is rapid and more complete on LHC binding sites with respect to previous reports on Arabidopsis, which has a deepoxidation index of 0.50 (Dall’Osto et al., 2010).

When comparing the zeaxanthin distribution pattern from wild-type excess light–treated samples, we observed an enrichment in fractions 23 to 27 of the Suc gradient (Figure 6B). Immunoblotting analysis of fractions using an antibody directed against \textit{C. reinhardtii}-LHCSR protein (Bonente et al., 2011a) revealed that the same fractions were enriched in LHCSR proteins (Figure 6A), thus suggesting zeaxanthin might be a ligand for LHCSR. This hypothesis was verified by applying a fractionation method with high resolution in the low molecular mass range (i.e., nonnondenaturing gel electrophoresis). Upon separation

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Fluorometric Analysis of the Effect of Step Solubilization on \textit{P. patens} Thylakoids}
\label{table3}
\begin{tabular}{lccc}
\hline
Quenching Parameter & Wild Type & \textit{psbs lhcsr1 lhcar2} KO & vde KO \\
\hline
Qm = (Fd − Ft)/Ft & 5.56 ± 0.07 & 5.82 ± 0.16 & 5.92 ± 0.17 \\
Qpi = (Fx − Fd)/Fd & 0.44 ± 0.05 & 0.48 ± 0.01 & 0.29 ± 0.00 \\
Qm+pi = (Fx − Ft)/Ft & 8.47 ± 0.34 & 9.08 ± 0.27 & 7.90 ± 0.23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Thylakoid membranes were purified from plants treated for 1 h by excess light (24°C, 850 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). Fluorescence yield was measured after solubilization with 0.075% α-DM and 1.8% Triton X-100 as shown in Figure 4. The samples were measured at room temperature under continuous stirring. Data are expressed as means ± so, n = 3. See Methods for details.
of α-DM solubilized thylakoids from excess light–treated wild-type and lhcsr KO samples (Figure 7A), the middle part of the gel including both samples was blotted into a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane and the migration of the LHCSR protein was detected with an anti-Cr-LHCSR antibody. Faint reactions were detectable at different apparent molecular masses in both wild-type and lhcsr KO lanes, due to cross-reactions with members of LHC family as confirmed by their presence in both samples. The only differential reaction was observed at 25 kD, consistent with location of LHCSR in the upper fractions of the Suc gradient (Figure 6). The remaining part of the gel was used for detailed fractionation: To this aim, the 20- to 100-kD molecular mass range, including LHC monomers and trimers, was cut into thin slices that were eluted in a buffer solution containing 0.03% α-DM. The eluate from each slice was submitted to HPLC pigment analysis, absorption spectroscopy, and SDS-PAGE/immunoblotting. The monomeric LHCb green band extended toward lower molecular mass in the wild type compared with the lhcsr KO lane (Figure 7B). Densitometry of the Deriphat-PAGE showed that the monomeric band contained 20% more chlorophyll in the wild type versus lhcsr KO. Anti-LHCSR immunoreaction was clearly located in the same lower molecular mass range of the gel (Figure 7A), implying the LHCSR protein migrated at that position as a green band. HPLC analysis and absorption spectra of the fractions eluted from this gel region showed the presence of a complex with very low chlorophyll b content (Figure 7C) and characterized by a Qy absorption peak red-shifted to 679 nm versus 672 of the corresponding area of the gel in lhcsr KO lane and 675 nm in the bulk monomeric fractions from either the wild type of lhcsr KO (Figures 8A and

Figure 6. Suc Density Gradient Fractionation of Wild-Type Solubilized Thylakoids, Western Blot Analysis, and Xanthophylls Distribution Along Gradients.

(A) Suc density gradient fractionation of solubilized thylakoids, isolated from wild-type plants grown under standard conditions. An equal volume of each fraction was used for immunoblotting analysis using antibody against LHCSR protein. LHCSR1 is indicated in red, LHCSR2 is indicated in green, and LHCII nonspecific signals are indicated in blue.

(B) Pictures on the left represent the Suc density gradient fractionation of wild-type solubilized thylakoids isolated from plants grown under control light conditions (CL) or treated for 30 min under ~850 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (excess light [EL]). An equal volume of each fraction was used for the HPLC profiling of the xanthophyll cycle. Data are reported in the graphs on the right. Vio, violaxanthin; Zea, zeaxanthin. The content of each band has been attributed on the basis of spectroscopy analysis and earlier determinations in Arabidopsis (Betterle et al., 2009; Dall’Osto et al., 2010).
Figure 7. LHCSR Localization among Pigment Binding Complexes of *P. patens*.

(A) Nondenaturing gel electrophoresis of pigment binding complexes from thylakoids of wild-type (WT) and *lhcsr* KO plants after solubilization with 0.8% α-DM. Plants were treated for 30 min with excess light (24°C, 850 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) before thylakoid extraction. Chlorophylls (150 µg) were loaded for each sample. The stacking gel contained 3.5% (w/v) acrylamide, and the resolving gel contained 7% (w/v) acrylamide (48:1.5 acrylamide/bis-acrylamide). Different pigment binding complexes, identified from their mobility, are indicated on the left. The central part of the gel was transferred on a PVDF membrane for immunoblotting analysis using an antibody raised against LHCSR. The image of the membrane is superposed on the picture of the green gel. The position of gel slices excised from the nondenaturing gel is shown on the right side.
8B) and a small red shift in fluorescence emission spectra (Figure 8C). It is interesting to note that this spectrum closely matches that of the C. reinhardtii recombinant LHCSR reconstituted in vitro with pigments (Bonente et al., 2011a) (Figure 8D). When the individual bands eluted from the gel were run in denaturing SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with an anti-CrLHCSR antibody, it clearly appeared that reactive bands were present in the same fractions from the wild type but not from lhcsr KO (Figure 7C) where, instead, only a faint reaction in the position of LHCII apoprotein, likely a cross-reaction with LHCSR, was observed. HPLC analysis showed that fraction 1 from the wild type has a high chlorophyll a/b ratio and is enriched in zeaxanthin (Figure 7C), together with lutein and violaxanthin. Neoaxanthin, a major component of LHCb proteins, was absent. It is interesting to note that the zeaxanthin/chlorophyll ratio increased threefold in fractions with lower molecular mass within the monomeric LHCb band (fractions 1 to 3 versus 4 and 5) and that this effect was accomplished by an increase of the chlorophyll a/b ratio. Both these effects were absent in the corresponding fractions from lhcsr KO. The above results strongly suggest that native LHCSR from P. patens is a chlorophyll a/chlorophyll b/xanthophyll binding protein exchanging its bound violaxanthin with zeaxanthin upon exposure to excess light. Yet, we cannot fully exclude that components other than LHCSR might be involved. In order to verify this issue, we performed a complementary experiment: Thylakoids, either from control plants or loaded with zeaxanthin by incubating at low pH and ascorbate as reported in Methods, were fractionated by Deriphat-PAGE. The two moieties of the monomeric LHCb band were excised from the gel using as a reference the migration pattern in Lhcsr KO in order to separate a monomeric LHCb-enriched fraction from the LHCSR-enriched fraction. These were analyzed by SDS-PAGE for polypeptide composition, HPLC for pigment content, and immunoblotting for identification of LHCSR polypeptides (Figure 9; see Supplemental Table 2 online). Coomassie blue staining (Figure 9A) and the strong reaction of anti-LHCSR antibody (Figure 9B) showed that LHCSR1 and LHCSR2 polypeptides are the major components of the LHCSR-enriched fraction with only a small contamination from LHCII. The monomeric LHCb-enriched fractions still contains small amounts of LHCSR but are enriched in LHCb5, LHCb1-2, LHCb3, and LHCb6, as assessed by immunoblotting with specific antibodies (data not shown) and by comparing the comigration with band 4 complex, containing LHCII, LHCb4, and LHCb6 (Bassi and Dainese, 1992). Absorption spectra of LHCSR-enriched and LHCb-enriched fractions were as shown in Figure 8A. HPLC analysis showed low chlorophyll/carotenoid ratio (3.0 to 3.5) in LHCSR-enriched fractions versus monomeric LHCbs (5.0 to 5.3) consistent with a lower number of chlorophylls per polypeptide and/or a higher number of carotenoid ligands in the former samples. About half of violaxanthin was accessible to deepoxidation, consistent with the presence of two distinct violaxanthin binding sites in LHCSR (Bonente et al., 2011a). An additional feature of this protein can be inferred from the absence of any reaction in fractions of higher apparent molecular mass in the gel (6 to 21 in Figure 7), implying LHCSR is a monomer.

**DISCUSSION**

Zeaxanthin accumulates in excess light conditions in many photosynthetic organisms and has been shown to upregulate the efficiency of a number of photoprotective mechanisms, including NPQ (Demmg-Adams et al., 1989; Esteban et al., 2009), ROS scavenging (Dall’Osto et al., 2010), and thylakoid membrane reorganization (Betterle et al., 2009), which together contribute to increase the resistance to excess light. The involvement of zeaxanthin in the different components of photoprotection does not appear to be the same in all organisms (Esteban et al., 2009), so that the role of master switch that zeaxanthin assumes in vascular plants (Morosinotto et al., 2003) is likely to result from the convergence of zeaxanthin dependence of distinct regulatory mechanisms. In this article, we provided three lines of evidence showing that zeaxanthin synthesis is crucial for photoprotection in P. patens. First, we showed that zeaxanthin accumulation is induced under exposure to abiotic stress, such as short excess light treatment, and that it plays an important role in the amplitude of NPQ (Table 2, Figure 2). Second, we generated vde KO mutants, which completely lose their capacity to convert violaxanthin into zeaxanthin, demonstrating that the product of a single VDE gene is essential for violaxanthin-to-zeaxanthin conversion under oxidative stress conditions. Finally, we showed that both the PSBS- and the LHCSR-dependent mechanisms activating NPQ in P. patens depend on zeaxanthin (Figure 2; see Supplemental Figure 4 online) and VDE mutants are impaired in their capacity to resist excess light treatments (Figures 5). The very high level of zeaxanthin dependence for NPQ activity is of particular interest in the light of the preeminent role of LHCSR versus PSBS in triggering this process in P. patens. Analysis of vde KO genotypes confirms preliminary indication of the importance of zeaxanthin for NPQ in P. patens from the effect of exposure to excess light (Table 2) (Alboresi et al., 2008; Gerotto et al., 2011). Moreover, it appears that both PSBS- and LHCSR-dependent
NPQ mechanisms are dependent on zeaxanthin. In fact, *P. patens* is the only organism for which independent activity of PSBS and LHCSR has been fully demonstrated (Alboresi et al., 2010; Gerotto et al., 2012), and NPQ amplitude was strongly decreased in both *psbs vde* KO and *lhcsr vde* KO mutants (Figure 2), implying that both PSBS- and LHCSR-dependent quenching mechanisms need zeaxanthin for full activity. While this is a well-known property of PSBS-dependent NPQ, as supported by the phenotype of the *npq1 Arabidopsis* mutant (Niyogi et al., 1998; Bonente et al., 2008a), heat dissipation activity exhibits little (Niyogi et al., 1997) or no (Bonente et al., 2011a) dependence on zeaxanthin in *C. reinhardtii*, where the role of LHCSR in NPQ was first demonstrated (Peers et al., 2009). It should be noted that recombinant Cr-LHCSR can bind zeaxanthin and yet its fluorescence decay properties are only weakly affected by deepoxidation state of its xanthophyll cycle ligands (Bonente et al., 2011a) consistent with the absence of a bona fide VDE encoding gene, although *C. reinhardtii* is able to accumulate zeaxanthin in high light (Grossman et al., 2010). Here, we show that LHCSR quenching activity is highly dependent on zeaxanthin (Figure 2C). In *P. patens*, the upregulation by zeaxanthin of the LHCSR-dependent NPQ component is far stronger than the complementary PSBS-dependent component, leading to a strong control of zeaxanthin over NPQ to a level unprecedented in green algae and plants (Figures 2B and 2C). High zeaxanthin dependence of NPQ amplitude was reported in diatoms (Coesel et al., 2008; Nymark et al., 2009; Bailleul et al., 2010). While NPQ is decreased in a LHCSR knockdown genotype (*lhcx1*), clear evidence that LHCSR is the only agent for NPQ is lacking in these algae (Lavaud et al., 2012).

**Molecular Basis for the Strong Dependence of qE on Zeaxanthin**

NPQ is a composite phenomenon whose major component qE is rapidly reversible and depends on a transmembrane pH...
gradient. In vascular plants, zeaxanthin-dependent enhancement of qE has been proposed to be elicited by its binding to the L2 site of monomeric LHCb proteins. This allosteric binding induces conformational changes that lead to a new chromophore–chromophore interaction favoring the formation of intramolecular quenching sites (Ahn et al., 2008; Avenson et al., 2008; Ruban et al., 2007; Ballottari et al., 2010; Miloslavina et al., 2011) and the reorganization of PSII supercomplexes in thylakoid membranes (Betterle et al., 2009; Johnson et al., 2011).

Analysis of the distribution of zeaxanthin in P. patens thylakoid membrane complexes from excess light–treated plants shows that most (75.6%) of the newly synthesized zeaxanthin is found as a complex with LHCb proteins, PSI and PSII supercomplexes (Figure 6). When compared with previous work on Arabidopsis, we observed on one hand that the extent of conversion of violaxanthin to zeaxanthin is higher in P. patens (de Bianchi et al., 2008) and, on the other hand, that LHCSR migrates as a monomer in the upper part of the Suc gradient (Figure 6A). This finding prompted us to isolate the LHCSR protein from excess light–treated plants by preparative Deriphat-PAGE. The LHCSR-enriched fraction has absorption spectrum closely resembling recombinant LHCSR from C. reinhardtii, including a red shift of the Qy transition peak (Bonente et al., 2011a; Figure 8A), a high chlorophyll a/b ratio of 5.1, and the binding of lutein, violaxanthin, antheraxanthin, and zeaxanthin but not neoxanthin (Bonente et al., 2011a; Figure 8B). Based on a chlorophyll complement of 7 to 8 per polypeptide (Bonente et al., 2011a), three xanthophyll binding sites one for lutein and two for violaxanthin/anthaxanthin/zeaxanthin are likely to be present in ppLHCSR. Deepoxidation index is 0.66 versus 0.58 in bulk monomeric LHCs, and the fraction of xanthophyll complement made by xanthophyll cycle pigments is 60% versus 23 to 29% in bulk monomeric LHCs. Thus, ppLHCSR appears to be highly enriched in xanthophyll cycle pigments, undergoing high levels of deepoxidation with respect to the overall LHCb antenna complement. Densitometric analysis of SDS-PAGE fractionation and immunoblotting showed that contamination of the LHCSR-enriched fraction by comigrating LHCII (Figure 9) was not more than 20%. Since LHCII has 4 times lower xanthophyll-cycle carotenoid content per chlorophyll due to a higher number of chlorophyll ligands per polypeptide and higher lutein content (Liu et al., 2004) with respect to LHCSR (Bonente et al., 2011a; see Supplemental Figure 2) the hypothesis of zeaxanthin binding to monomeric LHCbs rather than to LHCSR is inconsistent with the experimental data. In addition, the zeaxanthin binding properties of LHCSR is supported by the characteristics of fractions isolated from lhcsr KO thylakoids; these are far less abundant and bear contrasting features (i.e., high chlorophyll b content, blue-shifted absorption peak, and the presence of neoxanthin). It should be noted that zeaxanthin binding does not activate quenching sites in C. reinhardtii LHCSR either in vivo or in vitro (Bonente et al., 2011a), while both zeaxanthin and LHCSR are needed for qE activation in P. patens. This implies that C. reinhardtii and P. patens differ for the effect of zeaxanthin binding to LHCSR in enhancing quenching. Thus, photoprotection by scavenging ROS (Baroli et al., 2003) and by heat dissipation of excess energy (Peers et al., 2009) are independently activated in C. reinhardtii. Instead, mosses coordinately control these mechanisms through the binding of zeaxanthin to different binding sites. Zeaxanthin binding to monomeric Lhc proteins was shown to down-regulate "Chl" quenching and ROS scavenging (Dall’Osto et al., 2012), and yet in the absence of PSBS, no quenching can be induced (Li et al., 2000). Similarly, qE in P. patens is fully dependent on LHCSR and/or PSBS (Alboresi et al., 2010; Figure 2).
We conclude that during evolution from an aquatic unicellular free-living organism, *C. reinhardtii*, to a plant pioneering colonization to the subaerial environment, distinct photoprotection mechanisms became integrated through the involvement of zeaxanthin in activation of NPQ (Morosinotto et al., 2003).

**Enhancement of LHCSR- versus PSBS-Dependent Components**

It can be asked whether the quenching mechanism is the same for both the LHCSR- and PSBS-dependent qE components based on the lower zeaxanthin dependence shown by genotypes expressing PSBS versus those expressing LHCSR. Since PSBS, as isolated from thylakoid membranes, is not a pigment binding protein (Dominici et al., 2002; Bonente et al., 2008b), quenching in vascular plants was proposed to occur in LHCb proteins upon a conformational change elicited by the protonation of the interacting PSBS subunit (Bonente et al., 2008a), zeaxanthin dependence being conferred by its binding to LHCb proteins. In *P. patens*, the level of zeaxanthin binding to LHC proteins is higher than in plants (Figure 6, Table 2), thus suggesting that binding of zeaxanthin to LHCb proteins is not a limiting factor for either the amplitude of qE or its zeaxanthin-dependent enhancement (Gerotto et al., 2012; Figure 3). Thus, the low effect of zeaxanthin on PSBS-dependent NPQ component is consistent with its binding to LHCb proteins only, where it favors transition to a quenching conformation (Moya et al., 2001; Avenson et al., 2008; Ruban et al., 2012) while the LHCSR-dependent component, in addition, relies on binding to the LHCSR protein. At present we cannot state whether LHCSR acts uniquely through developing internal quenching sites (Bonente et al., 2011a) or if it can also induce quenching in neighbor LHCb proteins similar to PSBS. The low NPQ resulted upon depleting LHCbm1 (Elrad et al., 2002; Ferrante et al., 2012) is consistent with the second hypothesis. In this case, the effect of zeaxanthin binding to LHCSR and LHCb proteins (Figures 6 and 8) may yield a cooperative response and enhanced zeaxanthin dependence.

**Other Photoprotective Activities of Zeaxanthin besides Quenching**

Long-term light stress is more photoinhibiting on vde KO than on *lhcsr psbs* KO (Figure 4) despite the fact that the former has higher NPQ activity (Figure 2D), implying that zeaxanthin has additional photoprotective effects besides energy quenching. Previous work on *C. reinhardtii* (Baroli et al., 2003) and Arabidopsis (Havaux and Niyogi, 1999) showed an enhanced ROS scavenging activity of zeaxanthin with respect to preexisting violaxanthin both in the lipid phase (Havaux et al., 2007) and bound to pigment-proteins (Dall’Osto et al., 2010). Recent work has shown a photoprotective ROS-scavenging activity of zeaxanthin under salt and osmotic stress resistance in *P. patens* (Azzabi et al., 2012). On this basis, our results can be interpreted with the presence of zeaxanthin-dependent photoprotective ROS-scavenging activity, which confers increased resistance to photodamage to *lhcsr psbs* KO versus vde KO. This appears to be an early photoprotection mechanism of zeaxanthin conserved through the evolution up to higher plants.

**Conclusions**

We applied targeted insertion mutagenesis to attribute VDE activity to the product of the *Pp1s161_120V6* gene in *P. patens*. The resulting KO mutant showed increased photosensitivity because of the lack of at least two components: first, an NPQ-independent activity, such as enhancement of ROS scavenging by zeaxanthin; and second, enhancement of multiple NPQ activities by zeaxanthin. The effect of zeaxanthin on PSBS-dependent NPQ is similar to that previously observed in plants (Niyogi et al., 1998). However, by contrast, the effect of zeaxanthin on LHCSR-dependent NPQ is far stronger. A search for the basis of the high zeaxanthin dependence of NPQ led to the identification of a new zeaxanthin binding site in the LHCSR protein upon its isolation in the form of native chlorophyll a/b-xanthophyll binding protein. Properties of native LHCSR closely fit those previously reported for recombinant *C. reinhardtii*-LHCSR whose activity, however, was zeaxanthin independent (Bonente et al., 2011a). In *P. patens*, the interplay of two NPQ mechanisms, triggered respectively by PSBS and LHCSR, with different levels of zeaxanthin dependence provides an extremely flexible NPQ, an essential feature for its response in the land environment characterized by highly fluctuating light.

**METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

*Physcomitrella patens* subsp *patens* was grown in controlled-environment chambers with 16 h of light (50 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) and 8 h of dark at 24°C either on the minimal medium (PpNO₃) or on the same medium supplemented with 0.5% Glc and 5 mM diammmonium (+)-tartrate (rich medium, PpNH₄) as previously described (Ashton et al., 1979). For excess light treatments, protonema grown on PpNO₃, at 24°C and 50 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ were transferred to 850 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. The whole physiological characterization was performed on plants grown on PpNO₃, while routine plant amplification was done on PpNH₄.

**In Vivo fluorescence measurements**

Whenever a precise NPQ kinetic was needed, the excess light treatments were performed on *P. patens* plants at room temperature with a Dual PAM-100 fluorometer (Heinz Walz), with a saturating light of 6000 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and an actinic red light of 830 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. Actinic light intensity during the measurement was chosen to be just sufficient to saturate photosynthesis in control plants and ensure maximal NPQ amplitude. Plants were dark adapted for 40 min at room temperature before measurement. For DTT treatment, moss tissues were treated with 5 mM DTT in HEPES/sorbitol buffer during the 40 min of dark adaptation. The parameters Fₘ/Fₘ and NPQ were calculated as (Fₘ – Fₒ)/Fₘ and (Fₘ – Fᵣ)/Fₘ, respectively (Genty et al., 1989). Mutant screening and Fₚ/Fₘ under stress was monitored by chlorophyll fluorescence imaging with a Closed FluorCam FC 800MF (Photon Systems Instruments).

**Chlorophyll and Carotenoid Analysis**

Small pieces of 2 to 5 mm of diameter of protonema tissue were frozen in liquid nitrogen and grinded using pestles for 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tubes. Pigments were extracted in 0.5 mL of 85% acetone and analyzed by HPLC after two steps of centrifugation at maximum speed for 15 min at 4°C (Gilmore and Yamamoto, 1991).
Immunoblotting Analysis

SDS-PAGE analyses were performed as in by Laemmli (1970) with some modifications in order to separate LHCSR1 from LHCSR2. We used an acrylamide/bis-acrylamide ratio of 75: 1 and a total concentration of acrylamide of 4.5 and 15%, respectively, for stacking and running gel. Urea (6 M) was also added into the running gel. After SDS-PAGE, polypeptides were transferred onto an Immobilon PVDF membrane (Millipore) using a Mini Trans-Blot cell (Bio-Rad) and detected by specific antibodies generated in the lab.

Generation of Transgenic P. patens Plants

Genomic DNA was isolated from P. patens protonema (Allen et al., 2006) and used as a template for all molecular cloning. Two regions inside the VDE coding sequence (locus Pp1s161_120V6) were amplified by PCR and subcloned into pGEM-T Vector (catalog number A3600; Promega). The sequence of the primers used for molecular cloning is detailed in Supplemental Figure 1 online. Simple vde KO mutants were isolated by transforming wild-type plants, and multiple vde KO mutants were obtained by transforming psbs KO and thcsr1 thcsr2 KO (also called thcsr KO).

Thylakoid Isolation and Pigment Binding Complexes Purification

Thylakoids were purified from protonemal tissue of P. patens plants following the same protocol used for seed plants with minor modifications (Bass and Simpson, 1987). Tissues were harvested and freshly homogenized in cold extraction buffer (0.5% milk powder, 0.4 M NaCl, 0.02 M Tricine-KOH, pH 7.8, and 0.005M L-α-aminocaproic acid, 0.001 phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and 0.001 benzamidine as protease inhibitors). After filtration, samples were precipitated by centrifugation at 15,000g for 1 min at 4°C and then resuspended in hypotonic buffer (10 mM NaCl, 0.005 M MgCl₂, 0.02 M Tricine-KOH, pH 7.8, and protease inhibitor). After centrifugation at 10,000g for 1 min, thylakoids were resuspended in a buffer containing 0.4 M sorbitol, 0.015 M NaCl, 0.005 M MgCl₂, and 0.01 M HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5. Finally, thylakoids were either used directly or frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until use.

Thylakoid membranes corresponding to 500 µg of chlorophylls were washed with 5 mM EDTA and then resuspended in 0.5 mM of 10 mM solubilized HEPES, pH 7.5. Samples were then solubilized by adding 0.5 mL of 1.6% α-DM and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, by vortexing for 1 min. The solubilized samples were kept 10 min in ice and then centrifuged at 15,000g for 10 min to eliminate unsolubilized material. The fractionation occurred by ultracentrifugation on a 0.1 to 1 M suc gradient containing 0.03% α-DM and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5 (22 h at 40,000 rpm at 4°C). The green bands of the suc gradient were harvested continuously using a syringe needle connected to a peristaltic pump.

Violoxanthin Deepoxidation in Vitro

Thylakoids were diluted to 50 µg/mL of chlorophylls using a solution containing 330 mM sorbitol, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM NaCl, 40 mM MES/NaOH, pH 5.1, 20 mM ascorbate, 0.1% (w/v) BSA, and protease inhibitors. Thylakoids were stirred for 2 h at room temperature and then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended at 1 mg/mL of chlorophyll and solubilized with 0.8% α-DM before loaded in a non-denaturing Deriphat-PAGE.

Thylakoid Step Solubilization

Step solubilization of thylakoid membranes was performed by injecting the detergents into a cuvette in which the fluorescence was measured in the continuously stirred sample. The same procedure was already described (Dall’Osto et al., 2005), and we optimized the conditions for P. patens thylakoids. The excitation light at the sample level was of 16 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (excitation wavelength, 440 nm; emission wavelength, 680 nm).

Unstacked thylakoids corresponding to a final chlorophyll concentration of 0.5 µg/mL were added into the cuvette, and two different detergents were added: first, α-DM at final 0.075% (w/v), then Triton X-100 at final 1.8% (w/v). Quenching and fluorescence parameters were calculated as previously published (Dall’Osto et al., 2005). F0 corresponds to thylakoid fluorescence (unsolubilized membranes) at 1 min from the start of measurement. Fd is pigment-protein complexes fluorescence after 2.5 min of solubilization in α-DM, and Fx is free-pigment fluorescence measured after 5 min of solubilization in 1.8% Triton X-100. Quenching parameters are calibrated as follows: Qm = (F − Ff)/Ff describes the quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence due to protein–protein and lipid–protein interactions in the membrane; Qp = (Fx − Fd)/Fd is the quenching of free chlorophylls provided by their binding into chlorophyll-protein complexes; and Qm + Qp = (Fx − Ff)/F is the total quenching of free pigment fluorescence.

Deriphat-PAGE

Non-denaturing Deriphat-PAGE was performed following the method previously developed (Peter et al., 1991) with the following modifications: The stacking gel contained 3.5% (w/v) acrylamide (38:1 acrylamide/bis-acrylamide) and the resolving gel contained 7% (w/v) acrylamide. Thylakoids concentrated at 1 mg/mL of chlorophyll were solubilized with 0.8% α-DM, and 120 µg of chlorophylls were loaded in each lane.

Absorption Spectroscopy

Steady state spectra were obtained directly on samples collected from the suc gradients and diluted if needed with 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 0.03% α-DM, and 0.5 M Suc. Native chlorophyll binding complexes were extracted from the acrylamide gel by gridding the slices in a buffer containing 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, and 0.03% α-DM. Absorption measurements were performed using an SLM-Aminco DW-2000 spectrophotometer at room temperature.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under the following accession numbers: DSS44900 (Pp1s11_269V6, LCYE1), DSS45042 (Pp1s153_133V6, LCYE2), DSS45196 (Pp1s307_64V6, CYP97C1), DSS44917 (Pp1s28_409V6, CYP97A3), DSS44944 (Pp1s55_202V6, LCYE1), DSS45049 (Pp1s160_155V6, LCYE2), DSS45260 (Pp1s372_236V6, CHYB1), DSS44908 (Pp1s19_96V6, CHYB2), DSS44909 (Pp1s20_24V6, CHYB3), DSS44980 (Pp1s91_16V6, ZEP1), DSS45108 (Pp1s219_79V6, ZEP2), DSS45050 (Pp1s161_120V6, VDE), DSS44997 (Pp1s108_75V6, NSY1), DSS44985 (Pp1s96_157V6, NSY2), DSS45130 (Pp1s241_86V6, PSBS), DSS45102 (Pp1s213_80V6, LHCSCR1), and DSS44988 (Pp1s99_95V6, LHCSCR2).
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