Gene Coexpression Analysis Reveals Complex Metabolism of the Monoterpene Alcohol Linalool in Arabidopsis Flowers
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The cytochrome P450 family encompasses the largest family of enzymes in plant metabolism, and the functions of many of its members in Arabidopsis thaliana are still unknown. Gene coexpression analysis pointed to two P450s that were coexpressed with two monoterpene synthases in flowers and were thus predicted to be involved in monoterpenoid metabolism. We show that all four selected genes, the two terpene synthases (TPS10 and TPS14) and the two cytochrome P450s (CYP71B31 and CYP76C3), are simultaneously expressed at anthesis, mainly in upper anther filaments and in petals. Upon transient expression in Nicotiana benthamiana, the TPS enzymes colocalize in vesicular structures associated with the plastid surface, whereas the P450 proteins were detected in the endoplasmic reticulum. Whether they were expressed in Saccharomyces cerevisiae or in N. benthamiana, the TPS enzymes formed two different enantiomers of linalool: (−)-(R)-linalool for TPS10 and (+)-(S)-linalool for TPS14. Both P450 enzymes metabolize the two linalool enantiomers to form different but overlapping sets of hydroxylated or epoxidized products. These oxygenated products are not emitted into the floral headspace, but accumulate in floral tissues as further converted or conjugated metabolites. This work reveals complex linalool metabolism in Arabidopsis flowers, the ecological role of which remains to be determined.

INTRODUCTION

A prolific expansion of the cytochrome P450 (CYP) gene family in land plants has generated the largest family of enzymes in plant metabolism (Nelson and Werck-Reichhart, 2011). Because P450s usually catalyze specific slow and rate-limiting steps in metabolic pathways, the complexity of the P450 superfamily is expected to reflect the complexity of plant metabolism. Despite rapid advances in recent years (Bak et al., 2011; Hamberger and Bak, 2013), the function of a large proportion of the plant P450 enzymes remains either unknown or only superficially understood. The main objective of our work is to determine the function of new P450 families and subgroups using Arabidopsis thaliana as a model. To obtain leads to the function of as-yet-uncharacterized P450 enzymes, a predictive strategy based on gene coexpression analysis was recently implemented (Ehlting et al., 2008; http://www-ibmp.u-strasbg.fr/~CYPedia/), which led to the identification of orphan P450 functions in lipid, phenolic, and hormone metabolism (Matsuno et al., 2009; Sauveplane et al., 2009; Heitz et al., 2012). This predictive strategy also pointed to several sets of coexpressed genes predicted to be involved in terpenoid metabolism (Ehlting et al., 2008). Two of these gene sets were confirmed to be involved in the metabolism of the triterpenes thalianol (Field and Osbourn, 2008) and marrernal (Field et al., 2011). Several other sets provide leads for sesquiterpenoid and monoterpenoid metabolism and other pathways of terpene metabolism.

Arabidopsis had long been considered devoid of volatile terpenoids until genome sequencing revealed the presence of a quite large set of monoterpenes and sesquiterpene synthases (Aubourg et al., 2002). A complex bouquet of volatile compounds, including more than 24 monoterpenes and 26 sesquiterpenes, was found emitted from inflorescences and other aerial parts of the plant (Aharoni et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2003; Steeghs...
et al., 2004; Rohloff and Bones, 2005). It also comprised several oxygenated compounds such as the lilac aldehydes, α-terpineol, verbenone, and longiborneol. 1,8-Cineole was the main terpenoid found to be emitted from roots (Steeghs et al., 2004).

Most monoterpenic and sesquiterpenic synthases from Arabidopsis have now been characterized. The first was terpene synthase 10 (TPS10), which is highly expressed in flowers. When expressed in Escherichia coli, TPS10 was found to have mainly β-myrcene and (E)-β-ocimene synthase activity (Bohlmann et al., 2000). Three other genes expressed almost exclusively in flowers were shown to encode enzymes producing (+)-(3E)-caryophyllene and α-humulene (TPS21), α-pinene, sabinene, β-pinene, β-myrcene, limonene, and (E)-β-ocimene (TPS24) as main products (Chen et al., 2003). (E)-β-Ocimene and (E,E)-α-farnesene were produced in vitro by two enzymes encoded by other flower-expressed tandem duplicated paralogs: TPS3 (functional in the ecotype Col-0) and TPS2 (functional in Wassilewskija) (Fäldt et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2010). The respective in vivo activities of the latter, however, appeared modulated by its different subcellular localization (Huang et al., 2010). Most of the floral sesquiterpene emission was found to rely on only two TPSs (TPS21 and TPS11), producing a complex blend with (−)-(E)-β-caryophyllene (TPS21), (+)-thujopene, and (+)-β-chamigrene (TPS11) as main products (Tholl et al., 2005). The other TPSs characterized thus far are predominantly expressed in roots, and include products of two tandem duplicated genes, TPS23 and TPS27, which both form a blend of monoterpenes with 1,8-cineole as major products (Chen et al., 2003). TPS12 and TPS13 were shown to form a blend of sesquiterpenes with (Z)-γ-bisabolene being the major product (Ro et al., 2006). Not all TPS products are detectable in the volatile fraction of plant metabolites. This suggests that instead of being emitted as volatiles, they may be further converted into more hydrophilic (e.g., oxygenated and possibly further modified or conjugated) compounds retained in plant tissues. Oxidative monoterpenic and sesquiterpene metabolism is expected to involve P450 enzymes, and several examples of TPS and P450 coexpression can be found in databases (Ehlting et al., 2008; Tholl and Lee, 2011). In addition, several cases of physical associations of monoterpenic and sesquiterpenic synthases with P450 genes can be found in the Arabidopsis genome, which suggest that this clustering is not fortuitous and may correspond to functional units (Tholl and Lee, 2011). However, no monoterpeno- or sesquiterpene-metabolizing cytochrome P450 in Arabidopsis has been reported thus far. The only described P450 enzyme to be involved in the biosynthesis of a volatile terpenoid compound is CYP82G1, which is responsible for the breakdown of the C20-precursor (E,E)-geranylgeraniol into the C16 homoterpene (E,E)-4,8,12-trimethyltrideca-1,3,7,11-tetraene and of the C15 (E)-nerolidol into the C11-homoterpene (E)-4,8-dimethyl-1,3,7-nonatriene. Both compounds are common constituents of floral and herbivore-induced plant volatile bouquets (Lee et al., 2010).

We focus here on a set of four genes with strongly correlated floral expression that are predicted to be involved in monoterpenoid metabolism. This set includes two genes encoding TPSs previously described as a β-myrcene and (E)-β-ocimene synthase (TPS10) (Bohlmann et al., 2000) and as a (+)-(3S)-linalool synthase (TPS14) (Chen et al., 2003). The two others, CYP76C3 and CYP71B31, encode P450 enzymes of unknown function. We confirm their tight coexpression and show that it is at its highest during anthesis in the stamen filaments. By recombinant expression in yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) and in planta we demonstrate that all four genes contribute to a thus far overlooked and complex linalool metabolism occurring in the flowers of Arabidopsis. The role of this metabolism in plant–insect interactions and flower protection against parasite attack requires further investigation.

RESULTS

Coexpression Analysis Identifies Candidate P450 Genes Predicted to be Involved in Monoterpenoid Metabolism

The coexpression CYPedia tool based on Affymetrix ATH1 microarray data (Ehlting et al., 2008; http://www-ibmp.u-strasbg.fr/~CYPedia/) was used to predict potential P450s involved in the metabolism of monoterpenoids in Arabidopsis. All seven genes described by Aubourg et al. (2002) to encode monoterpenic synthases were used as baits to retrieve coexpressed P450s (Figure 1). TPS23 and TPS27 share the same probe set and are coexpressed with 11 P450s with main expression in seedlings and roots. TPS2, TPS3, TPS10, TPS14, and TPS24 are all mainly expressed in flowers and extracted nine P450s. The strongest coexpression was obtained in a group of two monoterpenic synthases (TPS10 and TPS14) and two P450s (CYP76C3 and CYP71B31) with correlation coefficients above 0.8 linking them (Figure 1; see Supplemental Figure 1 online). When expressed in Escherichia coli, TPS10 (At2g24210) catalyzes the formation of five olefin monoterpenes with β-myrcene and (E)-β-ocimene as the main products (Bohlmann et al., 2000). By contrast, TPS14 (At1g61680) catalyzes the stereospecific formation of (+)-(S)-linalool (Chen et al., 2003). The coexpressed CYP76C3 and CYP71B31 are members of the CYP76 and CYP71 families of P450, respectively; and both families belong to the CYP71 clan. P450s in general and CYP71 family members in particular comprise several examples of monoterpenic hydroxylases and epoxidases (Karp et al., 1990; Hallahan et al., 1992, 1994; Lupien et al., 1999; Haudenschild et al., 2000; Bertea et al., 2001; Collu et al., 2001; Aharoni et al., 2004), and as such, constitute excellent candidates for monoterpenoid oxidation. TPS10, TPS14, CYP71B31, and CYP76C3 were thus chosen for functional investigations.

Candidate TPS and P450 Genes Are Expressed during Anthesis in Petals and Stamen Filaments

Candidate gene expression was next re-evaluated by relative quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). The levels of TPS10, TPS14, CYP76C3, and CYP71B31 transcripts confirmed their coexpression in the flower (Figure 2). Samples collected from different flower development stages showed a very similar pattern of expression, which was highest at anthesis.

To obtain more precise expression patterns, plant lines transformed with gene promoter:β-glucuronidase (GUS) fusion
constructs were generated for all four candidates. GUS staining of the transformants confirmed the expression of all four genes in the stamen, and more specifically in the upper part of the filament of the open flowers (Figure 3). GUS expression in the petals was also observed for all four genes, but only after extended staining. The TPS promoters were also active in the receptacle near the junction with the style where no GUS staining was observed for both P450s. Conversely, strong staining was observed for the CYP71B31 and CYP76C3 promoters in the nectaries, where no expression of the two TPSs was detected.

Figure 1. Expression Heatmap of Arabidopsis P450s Coexpressed With Monoterpene Synthases in Various Organs and Tissues.

An enlargement of the data for floral organs is shown. Values are based on background corrected Affymetrix microarray data. Color intensity in yellow (positive) or blue (negative) is proportional to log2 ratio compared with the mean intensity of all organs and tissues. Genes are clustered according to their coexpression. An expression heatmap with detailed experiment annotation is available in Supplemental Figure 1 online.
Tight coexpression of the four selected genes suggested a functional cooperation that would be favored by subcellular colocalization and direct interaction to channel metabolic intermediates. Whereas monoterpenes are usually found localized in the plastids (Aharoni et al., 2004; Lee and Chappell, 2008; Nagegowda et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2010), P450s are most often anchored in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membranes via an N-terminal 25 to 30 amino acid signal sequence. However, some P450s have been found to be targeted to the plastidial membranes via a longer and more hydrophilic transit peptide (Froehlich et al., 2001; Helliwell et al., 2001; Watson et al., 2001; Tian et al., 2004; Kim and DellaPenna, 2006). The protein sequence of CYP71B31 shows typical characteristics of ER-anchored proteins and is predicted to be localized in the ER by TargetP and Predotar (Emanuelsson et al., 2000; Small et al., 2004). CYP76C3, however, has a longer and more hydrophilic sequence with a significant proportion of Ser and Thr residues, and was previously suggested to be a plastid-targeted protein (Schuler et al., 2006). Whereas TargetP and Predotar predict the protein to be targeted to the ER with a high probability, ChloroP (Emanuelsson et al., 1999) detects the presence of a potential chloroplast transit peptide at the N terminus of CYP76C3.

To experimentally determine the subcellular localization of the TPS and P450 proteins and their potential for interaction, enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) and monomeric red fluorescent protein (mRFP) fusions were constructed for all four, and transiently expressed via Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transfection of Nicotiana benthamiana leaves. Confocal microscopy of leaf epidermal cells confirmed that both TPS14 and TPS10 are associated with the plastid envelope. TPS10 and TPS14 are colocalized in the same vesicles when simultaneously expressed in the same leaf (Figures 4E to 4G). These vesicles do not correspond to the description of any plastidial substructures reported thus far. CYP76C3 and CYP71B31 also showed identical subcellular localizations, and were found exclusively associated with the ER (Figures 4C and 4D; see Supplemental Figure 2 online) in N. benthamiana. It is noteworthy, however, that the ER membranes encircle the plastids forming direct contacts with the
K197G yeast strain (Fischer et al., 2011), using the same truncation sites as described by Bohlmann et al. (2000) and Chen et al. (2003), respectively. The K197G strain was chosen because it accumulates more geranyl diphosphate, the substrate for monoterpane synthases, than wild-type yeast. This is attributable to the presence of a mutated farnesyl diphosphate synthase that releases substantial amounts of geranyl diphosphate, normally an enzyme-bound reaction intermediate. Two days after induction of TPS expression, the culture medium was extracted and analyzed via gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Contrary to what was previously observed after expression of TPS10 in E. coli (Bohlmann et al., 2000), both TPS10 and TPS14 expression in yeast led to the formation of linalool as the main product (Figures 5A1 and 5A2). TPS-dependent formation of additional minor products including myrcene and geraniol could not be reliably distinguished from yeast-dependent production of these monoterpenes.

It was previously shown that TPS products can vary depending on the recombinant organism used for expression and also with the subcellular localization of the protein (Huang et al., 2010; Fischer et al., 2013). We thus determined which TPS10 and TPS14 products are generated in planta by the untruncated and hence chloroplast-targeted versions of these proteins. The full-length TPS10 and TPS14 were transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaves, and emitted volatiles were analyzed by GC-MS. TPS10-transfected leaves emitted four monoterpenes, with linalool as the main product (94%) and myrcene and (E)-β-ocimene formed as minor side products (Figure 5B2). By contrast, linalool was the only volatile product detected in TPS14-transfected leaves (Figure 5B1). Both TPS10 and TPS14 thus form linalool as their major or exclusive product in planta and in yeast, whether expressed as truncated or full-length proteins.

**TPS10 and TPS14 Are Stereospecific Linalool Synthases**

Given that TPS10 and TPS14 are expressed in the same tissues, it was important to determine whether they generated the same products. We thus examined the enantiomeric composition of the products. Standard GC does not allow differentiation of linalool enantiomers. TPS10 and TPS14 were thus expressed in N. benthamiana and yeast as described above, and compounds emitted from leaves or excreted into the yeast medium were analyzed by chiral column–equipped GC-MS device. The TPS10 and TPS14 products generated from both yeast and plants were compared with authentic standards of linalool enantiomers (Figures 5C1 to 5C5). Data obtained using both expression systems show that (−)-(3R)-linalool was the main product of TPS10 and (+)-(3S)-linalool was the main product of TPS14. TPS10 and TPS14 are thus not redundant and produce opposite linalool enantiomers.

**CYP76C3 and CYP71B31 Metabolize Linalool**

To test our hypothesis that CYP76C3 and CYP71B31 use the TPS10 and TPS14 product linalool as a substrate, TPS10 was transfected into N. benthamiana leaves alone or in combination with CYP76C3 or CYP71B31. Upon simultaneous expression of multiple protein-GFP constructs, as reported above, we consistently observed a significant reduction in the expression of each individual protein fusion. TPS10 was thus also coexpressed with CYP98A3

**Figure 4. Confocal Fluorescence Microscopy of N. benthamiana Epidermal Cells Expressing or Coexpressing eGFP or mRFP-Fused Candidate Proteins.**

(A) to (D) Leaves infiltrated with a single construct, merged eGFP, and chlorophyll fluorescence are shown as follows: TPS10:eGFP (A), TPS14:eGFP (B), CYP76C3:eGFP (C), and CYP71B31:eGFP (D).

(E) to (G) Leaves coinfiltrated with TPS10:mRFP and TPS14:eGFP are shown as follows: merged image of TPS10:mRFP and chlorophyll fluorescence (E), TPS14:eGFP and chlorophyll fluorescence (F), and merged image of (E) and (F) (all channels) (G).

Chloroplasts appear red in (A) to (D) as a result of chlorophyll autofluorescence; the chloroplasts are shown in blue in (E) to (G) for better contrast with mRFP fusion proteins. Bar = 10 μm.

Linalool Is the Main Product of TPS10 and TPS14 Expressed in Yeast and N. benthamiana

Truncated versions of TPS10 and TPS14 lacking the N-terminal plastid targeting sequences were expressed in the engineered chloroplast envelope (see Supplemental Figure 3 online). This allows close proximity of TPS and P450 proteins and may enable either direct trapping or transfer of TPS products possibly through hemifused membrane bilayers, as recently suggested by Mehrshahi et al. (2013). It also has to be stressed that these proteins might be localized differently in the anther filaments themselves, which are not photosynthetic tissues. Attempts to visualize the protein fusion constructs in the anther filaments of stable Arabidopsis transformants were not successful.
(the phenolic ring meta-hydroxylase in the phenylpropanoid pathway) (Schoch et al., 2001; Franke et al., 2002) as a negative control. The amount of linalool produced by TPS10 was three times lower when coexpressed with CYP98A3 than when TPS10 was expressed alone (Figure 6). However, the amount of linalool emitted when TPS10 was coexpressed with CYP71B31 instead of CYP98A3 was significantly further reduced, and even more so when coexpressed with CYP76C3. This indicates that (+)-(S)-linalool was metabolically converted by both CYP76C3 and CYP71B31. An analysis of the leaf volatiles using headspace GC-MS, however, did not detect oxidized linalool products, most likely because of the reduced volatility of these products or their further conjugation.

CYP76C3 and CYP71B31 Convert Linalool into Different Oxygenated Products

To identify the actual CYP76C3 and CYP71B31 linalool conversion products, both P450 enzymes were expressed in the WAT11 and WAT21 yeast strains expressing Arabidopsis P450 reductases ATR1 and ATR2, respectively. In spite of the functional expression of CYP76C4 (Höfer et al., 2013) run in parallel in the same experiment, no functional P450 enzyme could be detected in the microsomal membranes harvested from transformed yeast cultures based on spectrophotometric evaluation of the CO-reduced enzyme. Accordingly, no significant linalool transformation was observed in in vitro enzyme assays conducted using preparations of these yeast microsomes. We thus explored a plant expression system and CYP76C3 and CYP71B31 were transfected into N. benthamiana leaves. After 4 d (which leads to optimal P450 expression, according to the above-described protein:GFP fusion expression experiments), leaf discs were fed the two linalool enantiomers separately, and products excreted by the leaf discs were analyzed using GC-MS. Both P450 enzymes catalyzed the formation of several products (Figures 7 and 8). Peaks were identified either based on comparison of the mass spectra with authentic standards, or
CYP71B31 could catalyze successive steps in linalool metabolism. *N. benthamiana* was thus simultaneously cotransfected with CYP76C3 and CYP71B31, and leaf discs were incubated with linalool. No additional products were detected in incubation buffer extracts compared with discs transformed with single constructs upon GC-MS analysis. However, we cannot completely exclude the formation of more oxygenated compounds because no liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry analysis of the extract was performed.

**Effect of Candidate Genes on the Flower Metabolic Profile of Arabidopsis**

In an attempt to determine the influence of the four genes on flower development and the production of linalool-derived metabolites in flower tissues, two homozygous T-DNA insertion lines were obtained and validated by PCR screening. For TPS14, only one line could be confirmed (see Supplemental Figure 4 online). Although lack of gene expression was confirmed in all insertion mutants, no alteration in flower development, morphology, or fertility was observed. GC-MS analyses of the headspace collected from blooming inflorescences detected no significant residual emission of linalool in the *tps10 tps14* double mutant (see Supplemental Figure 5 online), indicating that no other linalool synthase was expressed in the flower tissues. A small but significant (−30%) increase in the emitted linalool was observed for the *cyp76c3* mutant (see Supplemental Figure 6 online), but we did not detect significant changes in any volatile oxygenated linalool derivatives.

This raised the possibility that the oxygenated linalool products of CYP71B31 and CYP76C3 were further metabolized. Aharoni et al. (2003) reported the accumulation of glycosylated 8-hydroxylinalool in *Arabidopsis* leaves transformed with a strawberry linalool synthase, and small amounts of glycosylated hydroxylinalool were detected in the leaves of the wild-type plants. In addition, the formation of monoterpenol glycosides was reported in rosebuds (Francis and Allcock, 1969) and flowers from *Clarkia breweri* (Raguso and Pichersky, 1999). Blooming inflorescences from *Arabidopsis* wild-type and mutant lines were therefore methanol extracted for untargeted profiling using ultrahigh performance liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (UHPLC-MS) (Orbitrap). In a second approach, a targeted analysis of the same extracts was performed using ultra performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode targeting ions corresponding to linalool, 8-hydroxylinalool, 8-oxo-linalool, 8-carboxylinalool, and 1,2-epoxylinalool.

Untargeted analyses identified 13 conjugates of monoterpenoid oxides in the wild-type flowers. Exact masses of each peak were consistent with linalool oxide moieties present mainly as hexose and malonyl hexose conjugates (see Supplemental Figure 7 online). Ten of the aglycone moieties of these compounds are likely oxidized once, and two of them twice. Four of them are hexose conjugates and five are malonyl hexose adducts. Their structures could not be elucidated because of their low amounts and unavailability of reference compounds, but could be narrowed down to a few possibilities (see Supplemental Figure 7 online). Eight of these conjugates had an aglycone moiety of identical mass that could correspond to either 1,2-epoxylinalool

### Figure 6. Linalool Emission from Leaves of *N. benthamiana* Transformed with TPS10 Declines when Leaves Are Cotransformed with CYP76C3 or CYP71B31, Suggesting That Linalool Is Metabolized by Both P450 Enzymes.

CYP98A3 is used as a negative control to evaluate the decline in TPS10 expression upon coexpression of any second gene. Coexpression with CYP98A3 causes a decline in TPS10 product, but linalool emission declines further when TP10 is coexpressed with either CYP76C3 or CYP71B31. Linalool emission was quantified by GC-MS analysis of collected volatiles. Error bars indicate SE. n = 3. *P < 0.05; ***P < 0.001.

Through nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) structure determination of purified compounds.

All of the products formed were oxygenated derivatives of linalool, but the product spectrum for each enzyme was distinct, varying with the chirality of the linalool substrate administered. CYP71B31 catalyzed the formation of four products when fed (3S)-linalool, with (3S)-epoxy-linalool and diastereomers (3S,5S)-hydroxy-linalool and (3S,5R)-hydroxy-linalool being the major products accompanied by a trace of (3R)-4-hydroxy-linalool (Figure 7A). By contrast, (3R)-linalool gave rise to (3S)-4-hydroxy-linalool and diastereomers (3R,5S)-hydroxy-linalool and (3R,5R)-hydroxy-linalool, the most abundant of the two having identical chirality on carbon 5 as when (3S)-linalool was used as substrate (Figure 7D). No 1,2-epoxy-linalool was detected.

CYP76C3 catalyzed the formation of a somewhat different set of products than CYP71B31, with 8-hydroxy-linalool and an unknown linalool derivative with oxygenation at position eight or nine being formed instead of 1,2-epoxy-linalool and 4-hydroxy-linalool (Figures 7B and 7E). In addition, CYP76C3 produced the same two diastereomers of 5-hydroxy-linalool as CYP71B31 with similar relative proportions.

To further investigate the substrate specificity of CYP76C3 and CYP71B31, geraniol, nerol, myrcene, and ocimene were also tested as substrates with transfected *N. benthamiana* leaf discs, but no oxygenated products were detected in the incubation buffer extracts. Because linalool is selectively metabolized by both enzymes, we also considered the possibility that CYP76C3 and
or 6,7-epoxylinalool, hydroxyl-linalool (with hydroxylation at any position), lilac alcohol, linalool oxide, or 6-hydroxy-8-oxo-linalool. Whereas amounts of several of these compounds varied in the insertion mutants, none of them was totally suppressed, and the method was not suitable for reliable comparison of their concentrations in the different samples.

The targeted analysis identified several compounds significantly decreased or increased in the TPS or P450 mutants with retention times distinct from the free aglycones of the targeted compounds (Figure 9). Hexose and malonyl hexose conjugates of linalool were significantly decreased in the tps null mutants and increased in the cyp76c3 mutant lines, consistent with linalool production by TPS10 and TPS14 and linalool metabolism by CYP76C3 in vivo, whereas the most abundant oxygenated compound 8-hydroxy-linalyl Glc decreased in both tps mutants and increased in the cyp76c3 mutant. This may be explained by redirection of linalool for metabolism via competing oxygenase(s) with 8-hydroxylase activity. By contrast, cyp71b31 mutants displayed no significant changes in the metabolites targeted, which suggests a minor role in linalool metabolism in vivo or channeling to different products. Interestingly, two peaks with a m/z = 137 fragment (expected from linalool and derivatives) but different retention times were fully absent in both tps10 and cyp76c3 knockout lines (Figure 9), indicating coupling of the two enzymes for the formation of this compound. The compound was present in insufficient amounts for unambiguous identification. However, the complete suppression of this compound in tps10 and cyp76c3 knockout lines indicates that there is no TPS/CYP redundancy for its formation in the plant.

**DISCUSSION**

The monoterpene alcohol, linalool, is present in the floral scent emitted by many moth- and bee-pollinated plants (Raguso and Pichersky, 1999). Flowers from plants producing linalool usually also emit linalool oxides (Pichersky et al., 1994; Kreck et al., 2003; Matich et al., 2011). Arabidopsis is an essentially autogamous plant and its flowers are reported to emit only extremely low amounts of linalool and oxygenated derivatives (Chen et al., 2003; Rohloff and Bones, 2005). It was thus rather unexpected that our in silico coexpression analysis approach, aimed at plant P450 functional characterization and identification of genes contributing to common metabolic networks, revealed complex floral linalool metabolism in Arabidopsis. The four genes we selected for their highly correlated expression, encoding two linalool synthases (TPS10 and TPS14) and two P450 enzymes (CYP71B31 and CYP76C3), participate in this metabolism and contribute to the production of a complex blend of oxygenated linalool derivatives. These compounds then appear to be further processed and stored in the floral tissues as conjugated oxides.

All four genes are mainly expressed in flowers, and their expression starts shortly before anthesis. A detailed analysis of...
Figure 8. Scheme Summarizing the Catalytic Activities of the Characterized Monoterpene Synthases (TPS10 and TPS14) and P450s (CYP76C3 and CYP71B31). Calculation of the percentage of products for each enzyme is based on triplicate assays analyzed by GC–flame ionization detection. Peak correspondence with Figure 7 is indicated in squares.
gene promoter activity shows that both linalool synthases and linalool oxidases are simultaneously expressed in the same floral organs, mainly in the upper half of the anther filament and petals. This tight coexpression pattern suggests a coupling of linalool production and oxidation in these tissues, and may explain why only traces of free linalool are detected in the Arabidopsis floral headspace analysis. The highest expression in the tip of the filament also suggests a possible transfer of some final products to the anther tissues. The small amount of free linalool that can be collected from the open flowers possibly results from the TPS expression in the receptacle, where no CYP76C3 and CYP71B31 expression is detected. Conversely, the two linalool oxidases are found expressed in the nectaries, where TP10 and TPS14 are not expressed, which suggests that either their substrate in this organ is formed by another TPS or that linalool is transported from the receptacle, petal, or anther tissues.

TPS10 was previously described as a β-myrcene and (E)-β-ocimene synthase (Bohlmann et al., 2000) based on the in vitro activity of a truncated protein in E. coli. However, in our hands, TPS10 expression in yeast and N. benthamiana led to an enzyme with (−)-(3R)-linalool as a main product and β-myrcene and (E)-β-ocimene as minor side products. The (+)-(3S)-linalool enantiomer was determined to be the main product of TPS14. In both cases, we expressed truncated and full-length proteins and obtained the same products. This points to the importance of the choice of recombinant organisms for the functional characterization of TPS enzymes (Fischer et al., 2013). Analysis of the Arabidopsis floral volatiles confirmed TPS10 and TPS14 production of linalool, because no residual linalool is emitted by the tps10 tps14 double mutant and a small but significant increase in linalool emission was observed in the loss-of-function mutants of CYP76C3. From a phylogenetic point of view, TPS10 and TPS14 are not closely related paralogs, but belong to two different clades of TPS proteins (Aubourg et al., 2002). Their capacities to form linalool thus evolved independently. Accordingly, TPS10 selectively forms (−)-(3R)-linalool, whereas TPS14 produces (+)-(3S)-linalool.

P450s are often described as stereospecific enzymes. It was therefore surprising to observe that both CYP71B31 and CYP76C3 metabolized both (−)-(3R) and (+)-(3S) enantiomers of linalool. Functional enzymes were obtained in plant tissues but not in yeast microsomes. Successful expression of active enzymes in yeast was previously obtained for related P450s under the same conditions (Höfer et al., 2013), suggesting that CYP76C3 and CYP71B31 proteins might be unstable in yeast, either attributable to membrane context or to interaction with yeast metabolites. Consequently, it was not possible to compare their catalytic efficiencies with regard
to linalool enantiomers. CYP71B31 and CYP76C3 belong to the same CYP71 clan that usually metabolizes small molecules, but to different families of P450 enzymes. Their linalool oxygenase activities thus also result from independent evolution.

Whereas TPS10 and TPS14 and CYP71B31 and CYP76C3 are coexpressed in the same plant tissues, they do not colocalize in the same subcellular compartments when expressed as eGFP fusions in the N. benthamiana leaf epidermis. Both TPS10 and TP14 are associated with the plastids as expected from their predicted N-terminal transit peptide, but they are not detected in the stroma or stroma-containing plastidial extensions described as stromules (Mahr et al., 2012). They instead appear concentrated in vesicular structures located at the inner or outer surface of the plastids. This localization distinguishes them from the lipoprotein particles described as plastoglobules, associated with the thylakoids (Austin et al., 2006; Bréhélin and Kessler, 2008), but their role in sequestration and possibly channeling of reactive and potentially toxic hydrophobic compounds between membranes might be similar. Interestingly, overexpression of markers of the inner envelope was also reported to induce the formation of plastid-associated punctate structures (Breuers et al., 2012). When simultaneously expressed in fusion with different fluorescent proteins, TPS10 and TPS14 colocalized in the same vesicles (Figures 4E to 4G). Colocalization and direct protein-protein interaction would be expected to favor TPS and P450 cooperation and substrate channeling in a linalool pathway, but CYP76C3 and CYP71B31 show a clear association with the ER membranes. The plastids, however, appear to nest in a cradle of the ER network. The existence of direct contact sites between the ER and the plastidial envelope was demonstrated (Andersson et al., 2007) and is also suggested by images collected upon P450 and TPS coexpression (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). Transorganellar plastid-ER transfer of metabolites was recently confirmed (Mehrshani et al., 2013). A concentration of the linalool synthases in lipoprotein structures at the plastid surface should favor linalool sequestration and transfer to the adjacent ER membranes where the P450 linalool oxides reside, thus limiting the emission of free linalool or its conjugation in the cytoplasm.

The extensive linalool metabolism in Arabidopsis flowers raises questions about its function. Linalool is a well-documented monoterpene alcohol geraniol has been reported in the flowers of the cyp76c3 and cyp71b31 mutant lines. Moreover, the formation of nonvolatile, most soluble conjugates of linalool oxides was not suppressed in these single mutants. Coexpression analysis indicates that other P450 enzymes belonging to the CYP71 and CYP76 families (http://www-imb.p.u-strasbg.fr/-CYPedia/CYP76C3/CoExp_CYP76C3_Organs.html) are coregulated with CYP76C3. If these also metabolize linalool, they may mask changes induced by CYP76C3 and CYP71B31.

The main role of linalool metabolism in Arabidopsis flowers may be to produce the nonvolatile, oxygenated linalyl conjugates we detected by UPLC-MS. These compounds are expected to accumulate mainly at the sites of gene expression, including anther filaments, petals, and nectaries. Hence, one possible function of linalool metabolism might be the production of antioxidants to protect these organs from damage until fertilization takes place (Jerkovic and Marjanovic, 2010). However, knockout mutants of the linalool-oxidizing P450s we studied did not exhibit any changes in floral development, morphology, or fertility. A second possible function of oxygenated linalool derivatives and their conjugates might be in the defense against pathogens and insect herbivores (Herken et al., 2012). Other terpene volatiles emitted from Arabidopsis flowers have been demonstrated to function in protection against pathogen attack (Huang et al., 2012). A third possibility is that linalool metabolism serves to detoxify linalool, which is active in plant defense but is also cytotoxic (Erdogan and Ozkan, 2013; Maietti et al., 2013).

Whatever the role of linalool metabolism in Arabidopsis flowers, further transformation of this monoterpene alcohol may be a more general phenomenon in vascular plants. Linalool itself is an extremely widespread floral volatile (Knudsen et al., 2006), and the sequestration of linalool derivatives or derivatives of the isomeric monoterpene alcohol geraniol has been reported in the floral organs of several unrelated plant species (Francis and Alcock, 1969; Watanabe et al., 1994; Raguso and Pichersky, 1999). Because linalool and derivatives are also present in substantial amounts in the reproductive structures of more primitive plants, such as cycads (Terry et al., 2004) and members of the Winteraceae (Pelmyr et al., 1990), and the CYP76 and CYP71 P450 families are represented in all seed or flowering plants, respectively (Nelson and Werck-Reichhart, 2011), the P450-dependent formation of oxygenated derivatives of monoterpene alcohols might have been an early protective feature of reproductive structures in seed plants.

METHODS

Plant Growth

Seeds of Arabidopsis thaliana and Nicotiana benthamiana were sown on a standard soil compost mixture, and seedlings were grown individually in growth chambers under white fluorescent lamps under a photon fluence of 40 to 60 µmol m−2 s−1 at the rosette level and 70 to 90 µmol m−2 s−1 at the Arabidopsis inflorescence tips. The temperature was 22°C during the 12-h day period and 19°C during the 12-h night period for Arabidopsis, and 24°C during the 16-h day period and 20°C during the 8-h night period for N. benthamiana.

Synthesis of 8-Hydroxylinalool and 8-Oxo-Linalool

Following a slight modification of the procedure of Sharma and Chand (1996), a solution of linalool (1.30 g, 8.42 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (10 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of selenium dioxide (94 mg, 0.85 mmol) and 75% tert-butyl hydroperoxide (3 mL, 25.2 mmol in 25 mL CH2Cl2) at 15°C and stirred for 5 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with ether (100 mL), and then 10% aqueous KOH was added and the layers were separated. Subsequently, water and then saturated aqueous NaCl were added in sequence to the ether solution, and each time the aqueous layer was separated from the organic layer. The resulting ether solution was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. After concentration in vacuo, purification of the residue by column chromatography (petroleum ether, EtOAc) afforded (+)-2,6-dimethyl-6-hydroxy-octa-2,7-dienal (72 mg, 0.43 mmol, 5%) and (+)-(E)-2,6-dimethylocta-2,7-diene-1,6-diol (1.02 g, 5.99 mmol,
71%). Both compounds displayed identical spectroscopic data to those previously reported (Wilkins et al., 1993; Sharma and Chand, 1996; Singh et al., 2009). Treatment of (+)-(E)-2,6-dimethyl-6-hydroxy-octa-2,7-dienial with NaBH₄ in methyl alcohol afforded (+)-(E)-2,6-dimethylocta-2,7-diene-1,6-diol following the procedure of Sharma and Chand (1996).

**RNA Extraction and qRT-PCR**

Fresh material was harvested from at least five different plants and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was precipitated and extracted with a USER cassette (Nour-Eldin et al., 2006) under control of the cauliflower mosaic virus-35S promoter. Vector for the ER marker expression of mRFP-HDEL was kindly provided by C. Ritzenthaler (Boulaffou et al., 2009). The constructs were transformed into the hypervirulent Agrobacterium LBA4404 strain and transformed agrobacteria were grown at 28°C for 30 h in 5 mL liquid Luria-Bertani medium containing 25 µg mL⁻¹ rifampicin, 25 µg mL⁻¹ gentamicin, and 50 µg mL⁻¹ kanamycin. Bacteria were harvested and washed twice in distilled water. Cultures with an OD₆₀₀ = 0.4 were mixed with a culture of equal density expressing the candidate protein:p19 protein of tomato bushy stunt virus (Voinnet et al., 2003) in a ratio of 4:1 (v/v) and 2:2:1 (v/v/v) for coexpression of P450:HDEL:p19. The mixture of agrobacteria was used for the infiltration of two leaves of 39-d-old *N. benthamiana*. Four days after infiltration, leaves were detached and used for image acquisition with a LSM510 confocal microscope (software version AIM 4.2; Carl Zeiss), using a ×63, 1.2 numerical aperture water immersion objective lens at 23°C. Fluorescence of free eGFP/mRFP, eGFP/mRFP fusion proteins, or chloroplasts was observed after excitation with 488 nm (eGFP and chlorophyll) or 561 nm (mRFP) laser lines, and using 505 to 550 nm band-pass (eGFP), 560 nm low-pass (chlorophyll), or 575 to 615 nm band-pass (mRFP) emission filters.

**Yeast Heterologous Expression**

Yeast expression constructs were transformed into the K197G yeast strain (Fischer et al., 2011) as described by Gietz and Schiestl (2007). A transformed colony was grown in 10 mL selection medium (standard minimum medium consisting of 6.7 g/L yeast nitrogen base without amino acids and 2% Gal, supplemented with Leu, Trp, and His) for 48 h at 28°C. This preculture was used to inoculate a 120 mL culture consisting of the same medium at an initial OD₆₀₀ = 0.15. Gal 2% was used as a carbon source and inducer. The medium was set at pH 7.0 with 50 mM phosphate-citrate buffer and checked at the end of the culture. After 48 h culture at 28°C, the excreted products were extracted using solid phase extraction cartridges (Oasis HLB 3 cc, 60 mg extraction cartridges; Waters), which had been sequentially equilibrated with ethyl acetate, methanol, and water, prior to gradual extraction of up to 60 mL yeast culture supernatant. After drying, the cartridges were eluted with 2.5 mL ethyl acetate, and the combined organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄, concentrated in a stream of argon to ~200 μL before GC-MS analysis.

**Yeast Microsome Isolation and Enzyme Assay**

The WAT11 yeast strain was transformed with pYeDP60u2 harboring the CYP76C3 or CYP71B31 sequences as described (Gietz and Schiestl, 2007). Transformant cultivation and induction as well as preparation of yeast microsomes were performed according to the method described in Gavira et al. (2013). P450 expression in yeast microsomes was evaluated by differential spectrophotometry according to the method described by Omura and Sato (1964). Enzyme assays were performed as described by Höfer et al. (2013).

**Transient Expression in N. benthamiana and Collection of Emitted Volatiles**

Plant expression constructs were transformed into the hypervirulent Agrobacterium LBA4404 strain. The transformed agrobacteria were grown at 28°C for 30 h in 5 mL liquid Luria-Bertani medium containing 25 µg mL⁻¹ rifampicin, 25 µg mL⁻¹ gentamicin, and 50 µg mL⁻¹ kanamycin. Bacteria were harvested, washed twice in distilled water, and cultures with an OD₆₀₀ = 0.4 expressing the TPS:P450:p19 protein were mixed in a 2:2:1 ratio v/v/v. In the control, the culture harboring the P450 plasmid was replaced with water. Young, fully expanded leaves of 5-week-old *N. benthamiana* plants were infiltrated on the abaxial side with the bacterial mix using a needleless syringe. Four days after infiltration, two to four infiltrated leaves were detached and gathered as a bouquet in a small vial filled with 4 mL water. Leaf headspace was sampled according to the procedure described by Aharoni et al. (2003). Each leaf sample with petioles dipped in a water-
filled glass vial was placed in a 1-liter glass jar fitted with a Teflon-lined lid equipped with an inlet and an outlet. A vacuum pump was used to draw air through the glass jar at ~100 mL/min. The incoming air was purified through a metal cartridge (140 mm length, 4 mm diameter) containing 200 mg Tenax TA (20/35; Grace Scientific). The volatiles emitted by the flowers were trapped at the outlet on a similar Tenax cartridge. Volatiles were sampled for 4 h. Tenax cartridges were analyzed on a PerkinElmer Clarus 680 equipped with a Perkin Elmer Clarus 600T quadrupole mass spectrometer and a TurboMatrix 100 thermal desorber (TDS) (PerkinElmer). Tenax cartridges were first dry-purged with helium at 50 mL/min for 3 min at ambient temperature to remove any water in the TDS. Volatiles were released from Tenax traps using a thermal desorption cold trap setup by heating at 250°C for 5 min, with a He flow of 50 mL/min. Desorbed volatiles were then transferred to an electronically cooled focusing trap at ~30°C within the TDS. Volatiles were injected on the Perkin Elmer GC-MS device described below in 1/6 split mode into the analytical column by heating the cold trap to 280°C. Compounds were separated on a HPS-MS column (30 m × 0.50 mm i.d. × 0.5 µm thickness; Agilent Technologies).

Alternatively, for chiral analysis of TPS products, the products were eluted from the cartridge with 3×1 mL pentane:diethyl ether (v/v, 4:1) spiked with 5 µM 3-octanol as an internal standard. The organic phase was concentrated in a stream of argon to ~200 µL before GC-MS analysis.

Leaf Disc Assay
Candidate P450s were transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaves as described above with Agrobacterium cultures mix ratios P450:p19 of 4:1 (v/v). Four days after infiltration, leaves were detached and discs (11 mm diameter) were excised with a cork borer. Five discs per leaf were incubated 4 h in a glass Petri dish, floating at the surface of 15 mL PBS buffer 20 mM, pH 7.4 containing 200 µM (R)- or (S)-linalool. Ten mL of the buffer was then liquid-liquid extracted with 10 mL pentane:ethyl acetate (v/v, 4:1) spiked with 1 µM 3-octanol. The organic phase was concentrated in a stream of argon before GC-MS analysis.

Flower Volatiles Collection and Flower Extraction for Analysis
Fifteen plants per insertion mutant line and the wild type were cultivated under short-day conditions until flowering. Three replicates with five plants per replicate were used for headspace collection. Approximately 60 inflorescences per line and per replicate were gathered as a bouquet and placed in a small glass vial filled with 4 mL water. Volatile compounds emitted were collected on Tenax-containing cartridges as described above for 24 h. Tenax cartridges were analyzed on the TDS-equipped GC-MS as described above.

After volatile collection, open flowers were cut from the inflorescences, weighed, ground, and extracted without freezing in 5 mL methanol spiked with 10 µM citronellol and using a mortar and a pestle. After 1-h incubation, the solvent was recovered, centrifuged at 3500g for 4 min to remove cell debris, and concentrated under argon to ~500 µL. The concentrate was stored at ~30°C for 24 h to precipitate proteins, centrifuged at 5000g for 5 min, and the supernatant was analyzed via UPLC-MS.

GC–Flame Ionization Detection and GC-MS
Capillary GC was performed on a Varian 3900 gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies) equipped with a flame ionization detector with splitless injection. Compounds were separated on a DB5 column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d. × 0.25 µm thickness; Agilent Technologies) at 250°C injector temperature, and 0.5 min at 50°C, 10°C/min to 320°C, and 5 min at 320°C in the GC oven. Terpenoids were identified on the basis of their retention time and electron impact mass spectra (70 eV, m/z 50 to 600) after capillary GC on a HPS-MS (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d. × 0.25 µm thickness; Agilent Technologies) with an identical injector and carrier gas system on a PerkinElmer Clarus 680 gas chromatograph coupled to a PerkinElmer Clarus 600T mass spectrometer.

Alternatively, yeast-expressed TPS products described in Figure 5 were analyzed on an Agilent 6890N gas chromatograph equipped with an Agilent 7683 automatic liquid sampler coupled to an Agilent 5975B inert MSD. Separation was performed on a DB-Wax capillary column of 60 m × 0.32 mm i.d. × 0.50 µm film thickness (J&W Scientific). Helium was the carrier gas (flow rate of 1mL/min), and the injector was set to 250°C in splitless mode. One microliter was injected and the GC oven temperature was programmed without initial hold time at a rate of 2.7°C/min from 70°C to 235°C (hold 10 min). The temperatures of the interface, mass spectrometry ion source, and quadrupole were 270°C, 230°C, and 150°C, respectively. The mass spectrometer was operated in electron impact ionization mode (70 eV) and the masses were scanned over a m/z range of 29 to 300 atomic mass units. Agilent MSD ChemStation software (G1701DA, Rev D.03.00) was used for instrument control and data processing. The mass spectra were compared with the Wiley’s library reference spectral bank.

Chiral GC-MS Analysis
Chiral GC-MS analysis was conducted using an Agilent 6890 Series gas chromatograph coupled to an Agilent 5973 quadrupole mass selective detector (interface temperature, 250°C; quadrupole temperature, 150°C; source temperature, 230°C; electron energy, 70 eV). The linalool enantiomers were separated with a Rt–βDEXs column (Restek) and He as carrier gas. The sample (1 µL) was injected without split at an initial oven temperature of 50°C. The temperature was held for 2 min and then increased to 220°C with a gradient of 3°C min⁻¹, followed by a further increase to 250°C with 60°C min⁻¹ and a hold for 3 min. Enantiomers were identified using authentic standards obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

Separation and Structure Elucidation
Preparative GC
The enzyme assay products were separated by preparative GC using an Agilent 7890A GC instrument equipped with an HP-5 capillary column (30 m × 0.33 mm ID with 1.5 µm film) connected to a flame ionization detector (Agilent Technologies) and a preparative fraction collector with a cryostatic trap cooler (Gerstel). Glass sample traps of 1 µL volume were used. The oven was programmed as follows: initially 50°C for 1 min, then with 20°C min⁻¹ to 170°C, held for 4 min, then with 30°C min⁻¹ to 300°C, and held for 5 min to clean the column. The sample mixture (1 µL per run) was injected in splitless mode. The carrier gas was helium with a constant flow of 4.8 mL min⁻¹. The retention time of compound 1 was 9.20 min (fraction collected from 9.17 to 9.29 min), compound 3 eluted at 9.34 min (9.29 to 9.44 min), and compound 4 [(3R)-3,7-dimethyl-octa-1,6-diene-3,5-diol] had a retention time of 9.51 min (9.44 to 9.66 min). The complete volume of the crude enzyme assay mixture was used for separation and the number of runs was adapted to the actual volume of the sample. The sample traps were subsequently eluted into HPLC vials with 50 µL CDCl₃. The extract was then transferred into 2-mm glass capillary tubes, which were fused and subjected to NMR analysis.

NMR
NMR experiments were conducted on a Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer (Bruker-Biospin) equipped with a 5 mm TCI cryoprobe (5 mm) with z-gradient operating at 500.13 MHz for ¹H and 125.76 MHz for ¹³C. Capillaries (diameter 2 mm; filling volume 85 µL CDCl₃) were used for measuring NMR spectra with standard Bruker pulse sequences. The chemical shifts of ¹H and ¹³C NMR data were referenced to the residual solvent signals (δ (H) 7.24, δ (C) 77.23). For compound 4, a set of experiments comprising ¹H NMR, ¹H–¹H COSY, ¹H–¹³C HSQC, ¹H–¹³C HMBC, and selective NOESY was conducted. For the latter, a mixing time of 1.5 s was
used and a Gaussian Q3 cascade tailored to the excitation width of the desired signal accomplished the selective excitation. For heteronuclear correlation experiments, coupling constants were assumed to be 145 Hz and 8 Hz for $^{1}J_{\text{CH}}$ and $^{2}J_{\text{CH}}$, respectively. The structures of compound 1' [[3S]-3,7-dimethylocta-1,6-diene-3,4-diol] and compound 3' [[3R]-3,7-dimethylocta-1,6-diene-3,5-diol] were elucidated from $^{1}H$ NMR and $^{1}H$-1H COSY data. All NMR data were acquired at 298 K with a minimal relaxation delay of 2 s.

**Structure Elucidation**

In all GC-MS spectra of the unknown compounds, a fragment ion at $m/z$ 152.1 indicated a linalool-derived structure. Compound 4' showed a molecular ion signal at $m/z$ 170.1 accounting for a monohydroxylation of the parent linalool structure. $^{1}H$ NMR signals at $\delta_{H} 5.93$ (dd, 17.3/10.7 Hz, H-2), $\delta_{H} 5.22$ (dd, 17.3/1.2 Hz, H-1a), and $\delta_{H} 5.02$ (dd, 10.7/1.2 Hz, H-1b), together with HMBC correlations from all three proton signals to a carbon signal at $\delta_{C}$ 73.3 (C-3) revealed unmodified linalool-derived structure features at positions 1 to 3. The C-10 methyl group (s, $\delta_{C} 1.38$) and (8.8) attached to C-3 showed another HMBC correlation to a methylene group at $\delta_{C} 42.7$ (C-4), with corresponding $^{1}H$ resonances at $\delta_{H} 1.56$ (dd, 14.4/3.1 Hz, H-4a) and $\delta_{H} 1.78$ (dd, 14.4/9.8 Hz, H-4b). The $^{1}H$-1H COSY correlation from H-4 to a methine proton at $\delta_{H} 4.75$ (dd, 9.8/6.3/1.3 Hz, H-5) corresponding to a $^{13}C$ chemical shift of $\delta_{C} 66.3$, revealed C-5 as the second hydroxylated position of the molecule. $^{1}H$-1H COSY correlation from H-5 to the olefinic methine at C-6 [$\delta_{H} 5.20$ (m, unresolved because of overlapping) , $\delta_{C} 127.4$] marked the end of the 4-membered spin system H-4 ↔ H-5 ↔ H-6. H-6 showed HMBC correlations to the quaternary carbon at $\delta_{C} 134.9$ (C-7) and two methyl groups, C-8 ($\delta_{C} 1.71$ (dd, 12.0/1.2 Hz)($\delta_{C} 25.7$) and C-9 ($\delta_{C} 1.67$ (dd, 12.0/1.2 Hz)($\delta_{C} 18.3$). The topology relative to H-6 was deduced from a selective NOESY experiment. As a result, the C-8 methyl group was determined to be cis-positioned to H-6.

Considering the NMR data of compound 4', the structure of compound 3' was deduced from close similarities regarding chemical shifts and coupling patterns. As in compound 4', compound 3' showed a vinyl group attached to C-3, and a hydroxylated quaternary carbon that bears the C-10 methyl group ($\delta_{C} 1.28$, s). The exo-methylene protons of the vinyl moiety appeared at $\delta_{H} 5.15$ (dd, 10.7/1.5 Hz) and $\delta_{H} 5.37$ (dd, 17.3/1.5 Hz), respectively. The corresponding methine H-2 resonated at $\delta_{H} 5.22$ (dd, 17.3/10.7 Hz). Just as in the spectrum of compound 4', a four-membered spin system H-2 ↔ H-5 ↔ H-6 was detected by $^{1}H$ NMR and $^{1}H$-1H COSY for compound 3'. The signal of H-4a appeared at $\delta_{H} 1.52$ (dd, 14.4/2.2 Hz) and the signal of H-4b at $\delta_{H} 1.52$ (dd, 14.4/10.8 Hz). The H-5 methine proton resonated at $\delta_{H} 4.61$ (dd, 10.8/8.5/2.2 Hz) again indicating hydroxylation at the corresponding carbon atom, C-5. The olefinic H-6 methine proton signal appeared as an unresolved multiplet at $\delta_{H} 5.17$. The terminal methyl groups appeared at $\delta_{C} 1.67$ (C-8) and $\delta_{C} 1.63$ (C-9). Because the compounds 3' and 4' are the products of an enzyme assay conducted with pure (--)-(R)-linalool, a configuration change at C-3 seemed unlikely. Hence, the differences in chemical shifts and coupling constants between compounds 3' and 4' reflect an opposite topology at C-5.

However, because of the limited amount of substance that could be isolated and the absence of standards, the absolute configuration could not be determined. Compounds 3' and 4' represent the two diastereomers (3R, SS) and (3R, SR) but assignment remains to be determined.

**Targeted Analysis:** UPLC-MS/MS (Orbitrap)

Acetone and formic acid of liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry grade were supplied by Thermo Fisher; water was provided by a Millipore water purification system. Analysis of leaf methanolic extracts was performed using an UHPLC system (Ultimate 3000 Dionex; Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with a binary pump, an online degasser, a thermostated autosampler, and a thermostatically controlled column compartment. The chromatographic separation was performed on a C18 SB column (Rapid Resolution High Capacity, 2.1 × 150 mm, 1.8 µm particle size; Agilent Technologies) maintained at 20°C. The mobile phase consisted of water/ formic acid (0.1%, v/v) (eluant A) and acetone/formic acid (0.1%, v/v) (eluant B) at a flow rate of 0.25 mL/min. The gradient elution program was as follows: 0 to 1 min, 90% B; 1 to 10 min, 90% to 50% B; 10 to 16 min, 50% to 0% B; and 16 to 18 min, 0% B. The sample volume injected was 2 µL. The liquid chromatography system was coupled to an Exacte Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with an electrospray ionization source operating in positive mode. Parameters were set at 300°C for ion transfer capillary temperature and ~3700 V needle voltages. Nebulization with nitrogen sheath gas and auxiliary gas were maintained at 50 and 6 arbitrary units, respectively. The spectra were acquired within the m/z mass range of 90 to 800 atomic mass units, using a resolution of 50,000 at m/z 200 atomic mass units. The system was calibrated using lock mass, giving a mass accuracy <2 ppm. The instrument was operated using ExacteTune software and data were processed using XcaliburQual software.

**Isolation of Null Mutant Lines**

Insertion mutants were selected from heterozygous SALK lines (see Supplemental Table 2 online) obtained from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Center (Alonso et al., 2003). Two independent homozygous mutant lines were selected for each gene by genotyping, except for TPS14 for which only one line was successfully obtained. When the rosette was formed, one young leaf was detached and used for genomic DNA extraction. The genomic DNA of three wild-type plants was used as a control. PCR genotyping was conducted using the primers provided in Supplemental Table 1 online. Loss of transcript in null lines was assessed using RT-PCR or qRT-PCR.

**NTontarget Analysis: UPLC-MS/MS (Orbitrap)**

The leaf discs or mutant open flowers were directly ground with a mortar and pestle in 5 mL methanol and incubated at 20°C for 1 h. The cleared extracts were concentrated in a stream of argon and analyzed by UPLC-MS. Analyses were performed using a Waters Quattro Premier XE equipped with an electrospray ionization source and coupled to an Acquity UPLC system (Waters USA). Chromatographic separation was achieved using an Acquity UPLC bridged ethyl hybrid C18 column (100 × 2.1 mm, 1.7 µm; Waters) and precolumn. The mobile phase consisted of A water and B methanol, both containing 0.1% formic acid. The run started by 2 min of 95% A. Then a linear gradient was applied to reach 100% B at 12 min, followed by isocratic run using B during 2 min. Return to initial conditions was achieved in 3 min, with
a total run time of 17 min. The column was operated at 35°C with a flow rate of 0.35 mL/min, injecting 3-μL samples. Nitrogen was used as the drying and nebulizing gas. The nebulizer gas flow was set to ~50 L/h, and the desolvation gas flow to 900 L/h. The interface temperature was set at 400°C and the source temperature at 135°C. The capillary voltage was set to 3.4 kV and the cone voltage to 25 V, the ionization was in positive or negative mode. Low mass and high mass resolution was 15 for both mass analyzers, ion energies 1 and 2 were 0.5 V, entrance and exit potential were 50 V, and detector (multiplier) gain was 650 V. Data acquisition and analysis were performed with MassLynx software (version 4.0). MRM mode (137 > 80.7 for linalool, 135 > 106.8 for 8-oxo-linalool, and 153 > 43.1 for 1,2-epoxylinalool) was used for quantitative analyses.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative or GenBank/EMBL databases under the following accession numbers: TPS10 (At2g24210), TPS14 (At1g61680), CYP76C3 (At2g45580), CYP71B31 (At3g53300), SAND (At2g28330), TIPA1 (At4g34270), PP2A (At1g13320), EXP (At4g26410), TUB4 (At5g44340), eGFP (GenBank DQ768212), mRFP (GenBank AF506027), TPS21 (At1g23960), TPS24 (At3g25810), TPS23 (At3g16740), TPS27 (At4g16740), TPS22 (At4g16730), TPS11 (At5g44630), TPS23 (At3g25830), TPS12 (At4g13280), and TPS13 (At4g13300).
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