Genetic Perturbation of the Maize Methylome


INTRODUCTION

DNA methylation can play important roles in the regulation of transposable elements and genes. A collection of mutant alleles for 11 maize (Zea mays) genes predicted to play roles in controlling DNA methylation were isolated through forward- or reverse-genetic approaches. Low-coverage whole-genome bisulfite sequencing and high-coverage sequence-capture bisulfite sequencing were applied to mutant lines to determine context- and locus-specific effects of these mutations on DNA methylation profiles. Plants containing mutant alleles for components of the RNA-directed DNA methylation pathway exhibit loss of CHH methylation at many loci as well as CG and CHG methylation at a small number of loci. Plants containing loss-of-function alleles for chromomethylase (CMT) genes exhibit strong genome-wide reductions in CHG methylation and some locus-specific loss of CHH methylation. In an attempt to identify stocks with stronger reductions in DNA methylation levels than provided by single gene mutations, we performed crosses to create double mutants for the maize CHH methylation. In an attempt to identify stocks with stronger reductions in DNA methylation levels than provided by single gene mutations, we performed crosses to create double mutants for the maize CHH methylation. In an attempt to identify stocks with stronger reductions in DNA methylation levels than provided by single gene mutations, we performed crosses to create double mutants for the maize CHH methylation.
(Zemach et al., 2013; Stroud et al., 2014). Together, RdDM and CMT2 mediate nearly all CHH methylation in the Arabidopsis genome (Zemach et al., 2013). Although specific contexts of DNA methylation are largely attributed to distinct enzymes/pathways, there is evidence of interplay between different pathways. For example, in the double mutant of DRM1 and DRM2, both CHG and CHH methylation were disrupted (Stroud et al., 2013; Zhong et al., 2014). Similarly, CHH methylation at some loci was reduced in plants lacking functional CMT3, the major CHG methylation maintaining enzyme (Bartee et al., 2001; Lindroth et al., 2001; Cao and Jacobsen, 2002; Cao et al., 2003; Stroud et al., 2013). In addition to the above-mentioned DNA methyltransferase enzymes, proper establishment and maintenance of DNA methylation is dependent upon many other genes. These include chromatin remodelers such as Decrrease in DNA methylation 1 (DDM1) and Variant in Methylation 1 (VIM1) (Vongs et al., 1993; Woo et al., 2007, 2008; Jeddoleh et al., 1999). There are also genes in the RdDM pathway that either are involved in producing small RNAs or target small RNA to genomic regions for de novo methylation. These include RNA-dependent RNA polymerase 2 (RDR2) and plant-specific RNA polymerases PoIC and PoIV (reviewed in Law and Jacobsen, 2010; Matzke and Mosher, 2014).

Our understanding of the molecular mechanisms that target DNA methylation patterns in plants is largely based on studies in Arabidopsis, but many differences between Arabidopsis and other plants, including maize (Zea mays), exist. The maize genome is larger than that of Arabidopsis (2500 Mb versus 125 Mb) and contains substantially more transposable elements (Baum et al., 2009; Schnable et al., 2009). While most Arabidopsis genes are adjacent to other genes, many maize genes are flanked by heavily methylated transposons (West et al., 2014). There are also several differences in the genome-wide distribution of DNA methylation in maize relative to Arabidopsis. Maize contains higher levels of CG and CHG methylation but lower levels of CHH methylation than Arabidopsis (Jeddoleh and Richards, 1996; Gent et al., 2013; Regulski et al., 2013; West et al., 2014). CG and CHG methylation is commonly found in maize transposons but is relatively low near the start and stop of genes. On the contrary, CHH methylation tends to be found upstream of the transcription start site of maize genes and is only associated with certain types of transposons (Gent et al., 2013).

Maize is a model system for the study of epigenetic phenomena including imprinting (Alleman and Doctor, 2000), paramutation (Chandler, 2007; Hollick, 2010), and transposable element inactivation (Fedoroff et al., 1995). Genetic screens have successfully identified mutants that are defective in the establishment and/or maintenance of paramutation (Dorweiler et al., 2000; Hollick and Chandler, 2001). The molecular characterization of these mutants has revealed that most affect components of the RdDM pathway (Alleman et al., 2006; Erhard et al., 2009; Siderenko et al., 2009; Sloan et al., 2014), while others are novel proteins (Barbour et al., 2012). In some cases, these mutants have been shown to have locus-specific effects on DNA methylation (Lisch et al., 2002; McGinnis et al., 2006; Sloan et al., 2014), but the genome-wide effect of these mutations on DNA methylation has not been characterized. Several mutants that are defective for the transcriptional silencing of a transgene have also been recovered, although the specific causal genes have not been determined (Madzima et al., 2011). In addition, reverse-genetics approaches have been used to isolate mutations in one of the maize chromomethylase genes, Zmet2 (Papa et al., 2001). Plants that are homozygous mutant for zmet2-m1 have reduced levels of CHG methylation (Papa et al., 2001), resulting in altered expression of some genes (Makarevich et al., 2007).

In this study, we sought to investigate the effects of perturbation of the maize methylome using mutant alleles for at least 11 genes isolated using forward- or reverse-genetic approaches. A combination of whole-genome and sequence-capture bisulfite sequencing was used to study overall changes as well as locus specific effects. We found that in most cases, the loss-of-function alleles for specific genes had limited effects on genome-wide DNA methylation levels. The most substantial effects were observed in lines containing mutations in the chromomethylase genes and in genes that are predicted to function in the RdDM pathway. Notably, double mutants for paralogous genes were not recovered, suggesting severe phenotypic consequences of strong reductions in genomic DNA methylation levels.

RESULTS

Collection of Mutant Stocks That May Influence DNA Methylation Patterns in Maize

To investigate regulation of DNA methylation in maize, we collected a set of 17 stocks representing up to 11 different genes (Table 1; Supplemental Table 1). Reverse-genetics approaches were used to search for mutant alleles in maize DNA methyltransferase genes that are similar to Arabidopsis MET1, CMT, and DRM genes (Supplemental Figure 1). The maize genome encodes two MET1-like genes (GRMZM2G334041/Zmet1 and GRMZM2G333916) that are present as tandem duplicates. There are two full-length chromomethylase genes in the maize genome, Zmet2 (GRMZM2G025592/Dmt102) and Zmet5 (GRMZM2G005310/Dmt105), that are both more closely related to the Arabidopsis CMT3 than to CMT2. Based on their localization in colinear regions of the maize genome, these two genes are likely retained duplicates derived from the most recent whole-genome duplication event in maize (Swigonova et al., 2004). As noted previously (Zemach et al., 2013), there is no evidence for a CMT2-like gene in the reference genome of B73 (Schnable et al., 2009), and screening of a Mo17 genomic library using chromomethylase-domain probes did not recover any CMT2-like genes. The maize genome also contains several DRM-like genes: Zmet3 (GRMZM2G092497/Dmt103), Zmet6 (GRMZM2G065599/Dmt106), and Zmet7 (GRMZM2G137366/Dmt107). Zmet3 and Zmet7 are retained duplicates from the recent whole-genome duplication event and are both related to the DRM1/2 genes of Arabidopsis. The other gene, Zmet6 (Dmt106), is most similar to DRM3. While the DRM3 genes have domain structures similar to other DRM genes, they are likely catalytically inactive due to altered amino acid sequence at the critical residues in the active site (Henderson et al., 2010). In addition, we also used reverse-genetics approaches to screen for mutant alleles for orthologs of other genes known to play important roles in maintaining DNA methylation, such as DDM1 (Vongs et al., 1993) and VIM1 (Woo...
et al., 2007, 2008). The maize genome encodes two genes, Chrl01 (GRMZM2G177165) and Chrl06 (GRMZM2G071025), that are similar to Arabidopsis DDM1 and are likely retained duplicates from the most recent whole-genome duplication event. Three Vim102-like genes (GRMZM2G339151, GRMZM2G461447, and AC191534.3_FGP003) were identified in the maize genome. Two of them are likely retained duplicates (GRMZM2G339151 and GRMZM2G461447).

We screened TILLING (Till et al., 2004) and transposon insertion populations (Settles et al., 2007; McCarty and Meeley, 2009) to identify loss-of-function alleles for maize methyltransferase, DDM1-like or Vim1-like genes. Characterization efforts focused on alleles with insertion in exons or nonsense mutations. At least two alleles with transposon insertions within exons or nonsense mutations were recovered for Chrl01, Chrl06, Zmet2, Zmet5, and Zmet7 (Table 1; Supplemental Table 1). No loss-offunction alleles were identified for the Met7-like, Zmet3, or Zmet6 genes. We also obtained a single mutant allele in Vim102 (GRMZM2G339151), which encodes a gene related to Arabidopsis Vim1. No mutant alleles were isolated for the other two Vim1-like genes.

Several mutant lines identified by forward-genetic screens were also included in our analyses (Table 1). The mediator of paramutation1 (mop1), mop2, and mop3 mutants were isolated by screening for lines defective in b1 paramutation (Dorweiler et al., 2000). Mop1 (GRMZM2G042443) encodes an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase that is similar to RDR2 in Arabidopsis and is required for the accumulation of the majority of 24-nucleotide small RNA in maize (Alleman et al., 2006; Nobuta et al., 2008). Mop2 (GRMZM2G054225) encodes a protein that is similar to the second largest subunit of Arabidopsis RNA polymerases IV and V (Sidorenko et al., 2009). Mop3 (GRMZM2G007681) is predicted to encode the largest subunit of RNA Pol IV (Sloan et al., 2014). All these three genes are predicted to play a role in the RdDM pathway. The transgene reactivated1 (tgr1) and tgr9 (Madzima et al., 2011) mutations were isolated by screening for plants defective in transgene silencing (Madzima et al., 2011), and the specific molecular lesions for these mutations have not been determined.

Assessing the Effect of Single Mutants on the Maize Methylome

The relatively large size of the maize genome makes deep coverage DNA methylation profiles for a large panel of mutants expensive and inefficient. Therefore, we used an alternative approach to assess genome-wide and/or locus-specific DNA methylation levels in this panel of mutant stocks. This approach involved low-coverage whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) for a subset of the mutant lines and high-coverage sequencing of specific regions that are targeted by sequence capture for most of the stocks in WGBS as well as several additional mutants (Table 1; Supplementary Table 1). For WGBS, ~20 million 100-bp read pairs were generated for each mutant stock, with ~60% of reads being mapped uniquely, providing ~1X coverage for the maize genome (Supplementary Table 2). While the low-coverage data are not useful for assessing locus specific effects of the mutations on DNA methylation, it can provide an accurate assessment of total DNA methylation levels and assessment of DNA methylation in categorical genomic regions such as genes or transposable elements. To illustrate this, we performed profiles of DNA methylation over the length of maize genes by subsampling the deeper coverage data for B73 (~10X coverage). The use of even 5 to 10 million reads in our data set provides very similar DNA methylation profiles as those observed for the deeper coverage data set with relatively little variance among different subsamples (Supplementary Figure 2).

The mutant stocks were obtained from a diverse set of genetic backgrounds (Supplemental Table 1). Many of these alleles have been backcrossed into a common genetic background (B73), but there are likely introgressions from other genotypes, and in some cases, such as the vim102-1 or chrl06-T11 alleles, the stocks are in a different genetic background (W22). To control for natural variation in DNA methylation levels or for the influence...
of DNA sequence polymorphisms, we performed whole-genome bisulfite sequencing for five different maize inbreds (B73, Mo17, Oh43, Tx303, and CML322). The average value for these genotypes is used to represent DNA methylation levels in wild-type plants. By assessing DNA methylation profiles or locus-specific DNA methylation levels in these lines, we can assess how much variation might be contributed by diverse genetic backgrounds.

The average levels of CG, CHG, and CHH methylation were determined for each of the mutant and wild-type genotypes using whole-genome bisulfite sequencing data (Figure 1). Methylation levels were defined as the average of 100-bp tiles with data (Methods). None of the genotypes exhibit significantly reduced (>10%) levels of CG methylation (Figure 1A). The biggest reduction was seen in chr106-T11 mutant, but it was <5%. Significant reductions of CHG methylation were observed for zmet2 (27% reduction), zmet5 (10% reduction), and chr106 (11% reduction) and for all the alleles representing these genes (Figure 1B). CHH methylation was influenced in plants with a loss-of-function allele for zmet2 (37% reduction), zmet5 (30% reduction), mop1 (21% reduction), and chr106 (13% reduction) (Figure 1C). These genome-wide DNA methylation levels are likely to be highly influenced by the DNA methylation levels in transposable elements since ~80% of the maize genome is derived from these elements, and they tend to be highly methylated in CG and CHG contexts (Gent et al., 2013; Regulski et al., 2013; West et al., 2014). In order to assess the effects of these mutants on various genomic features, we separately analyzed transposable elements (TEs), exons, introns, and non-TE intergenic DNA (Figures 1D to 1F). None of the mutants display >10% alterations in CG methylation in any subset of genomic regions, and the variation among the different wild-type genotypes was as large as the variation observed in the mutant lines (Figure 1D). There are similarities and differences in the effects of zmet2 and zmet5 on different genomic regions. Both mutants show a similar significant effect on CHG methylation in exonic and intronic regions, but the effect of zmet5 in transposons and intergenic regions is not as strong as that observed in zmet2 (Figure 1E). The CHG methylation for TEs was also reduced in chr106 in all four types of genomic features (Figure 1E). For CHH methylation, zmet2, zmet5, and mop1 showed the strongest reduction in all regions. CHH methylation for TEs was also reduced in zmet7, chr106, tgr1, and tgr9, but to a lesser extent than that in zmet2, zmet5, and mop1 (Figure 1F).

There are many different types of transposable elements in the maize genome with varied profiles of DNA methylation (Eichten et al., 2012; Gent et al., 2013; West et al., 2014). We investigated DNA methylation changes for specific types of transposons in each of the mutant backgrounds (Figure 2). Using available genome-wide annotation (Baucom et al., 2009; Schnable et al., 2009) and previous analyses of DNA methylation levels in flanking
regions (Eichten et al., 2012), the maize transposable elements were divided into 15 different classes (www.maizetedb.org). These include two subtypes of LINE elements, three subtypes of LTR retrotransposons (RLG-gypsy, RLC-copia, and RLX-unknown), and five subtypes of DNA transposons (two of which were split into coding and noncoding groups). The LTR retrotransposons were further divided into two classes based on whether the DNA methylation spreads to flanking regions. Although CG methylation remains largely unaffected in most of the mutants, there is evidence for \(-10\%\) CG methylation reduction in the Tc1/Mariner DTT DNA transposon class in mop1 and tgr1 mutants (Figure 2A). The mop1 and tgr1 mutants also have detectable methylation reduction in the CHG context at the DTT transposons (Figure 2B). The zmet2 mutants also exhibit reduced CHG methylation in the DTT family as well as other transposon families. Lower reductions in CHG methylation for many transposon subtypes were also observed in zmet5 and chr106 (Figure 2B). For CHH methylation, all transposon types show significant reduction in the zmet2 and zmet5 mutants (Figure 2C). In contrast, while the zmet7, chr106, mop1, tgr1, and tgr9 mutants influenced CHH methylation for many transposon types, they have limited influence on the LTR transposons classified as “spreading” elements and on the CACTA DNA transposon family (Figure 2C).

### Locus-Specific Changes in CG DNA Methylation in mop and tgr1 Mutants

In order to study the locus-specific effects of these mutations on DNA methylation, a second DNA methylation profiling method was employed. Sequence-capture bisulfite sequencing was used to perform targeted bisulfite sequencing of \(-5\) Mb of the maize genome, resulting in consistent, deep coverage of the same regions for all samples tested (Supplemental Table 3 and Supplemental Data Set 1). For genomic regions with coverage in both the sequence capture and low-coverage WGBS, there is quite strong agreement in the DNA methylation levels from both methods (Supplemental Figure 3). The loci targeted by the capture are not representative of the whole genome. Instead, loci with specific methylation states were selected for this capture based on B73 and Mo17 WGBS data (Eichten et al., 2013). This includes 2182 loci that have high methylation in all sequence contexts, 1164 regions with CG/CHG methylation, 330 regions with only CG methylation, 64 regions with only CHG methylation, and 140 regions with particularly high CHH methylation. By focusing on these regions of high methylation, we can assess whether the mutants included in this study affect methylation in the selected regions. We also performed targeted bisulfite sequencing in different maize inbred lines (B73, Mo17, Oh43, W22, and CML322) to provide a control for natural variation in DNA methylation levels.

To assess the effects of the mutants upon CG, CHG, or CHH methylation, we identified the subset of targeted loci that exhibit consistently high levels of DNA methylation and coverage for each DNA methylation context in all five wild-type genotypes that were subjected to sequence capture bisulfite sequencing and then determined the level of DNA methylation for these regions in each of the mutant samples (Figures 3 to 5).

While there was not strong evidence for genome-wide alterations in CG methylation levels (Figures 1A and 1D), there are a subset (296/1043) of loci that exhibit reductions in CG methylation in mop1, mop2, mop3, and tgr1 plants (Figure 3A). These same regions do not show CG methylation change in the tgr9 mutant, which has a similar genetic background as tgr1, or in

---

**Figure 2.** Context-Specific Changes in DNA Methylation Levels at Each Subfamily of TEs.

Only the TE body was used. Heat maps are used to visualize differences in DNA methylation levels relative to the wild type in CG (A), CHG (B), or CHH (C) sequence context. Methylation change was calculated as (mutant – wild type)/wild type, and the wild-type values are the average of the five analyzed inbred lines. Each row represents one transposon family, including two LINE families (LINE_RIL and LINE_RIX), three types of retrotransposons (LTR_RLC, LTR_RLG, and LTR_RLX), which were further classified into spreading and nonspreading five DNA transposons (TIR_DTC, TIR_DTA, TIR_DTH, TIR_DTM, and TIR_DTT) with DTA and DTC families further classified into noncoding type (www.maizetedb.org). Each column represents one mutant, with the genotype shown in the bottom right table. The color scheme for heat map was displayed in the bottom left. Red indicates methylation loss in mutants and green shows methylation gain.
zmet7 mutants, which may show redundant function with its paralog Zmet3. The regions that exhibit loss of CG methylation in mop1, mop2, mop3, and tgr1 mutants tend to have consistently higher levels of CHH and CHG methylation in wild-type plants compared with regions that do not require functional Mop1, Mop2, Mop3, and Tgr1 genes (Figure 3B). In addition, the genomic loci that exhibit reduced CG methylation in mop1, mop2, mop3, and tgr1 mutants are enriched for small RNAs (Figure 3C), suggesting that these regions might be active targets of the RdDM pathway.

Distinct Control of CHG Methylation by RdDM Components and Zmet2

Locus-specific changes in CHG methylation were assessed for 767 regions that exhibit high (>30%) CHG methylation and coverage (>10 reads per region) in all wild-type genotypes (Figure 4A). One subset of 372 regions requires the RdDM components (Mop1, Mop2, and Mop3), while a distinct set of 86 regions requires Zmet2 (Figure 4A). The remaining 309 regions did not exhibit substantially altered CHG methylation levels in any of the single gene mutations. Genomic regions near longer TEs are more likely to require Zmet2 to maintain proper CHG methylation (Figure 4B), so does regions that are depleted of 21- to 24-nucleotide small interfering RNAs (Figure 4C). On the contrary, the regions that require RdDM components for CHG methylation are enriched for 21- to 24-nucleotide small interfering RNAs (Figure 4C). The analysis of DNA methylation levels in all three sequence contexts for the different subsets of loci reveals that the regions that require RdDM components for proper CHG methylation tend to have elevated CHH levels in wild-type plants, while the regions that require Zmet2 tend to have very low levels of CHH methylation (Figure 4D). The analysis of the low-coverage genome-wide data provided further support for two different pathways for CHG methylation depending on the level of CHH methylation (Figure 4E). Regions with elevated CHH methylation depend upon RdDM components for proper CHG methylation, while regions with low CHH methylation only require Zmet2 (Figure 4E).

Overlapping Control of CHH Methylation by RdDM Components and CMT Genes

The sequence capture data included 394 genomic regions with detectable CHH methylation (≥1%) and at least 10 reads in all wild-type genotypes (Figures 5A to 5C). These include 68 regions with 1 to 5% CHH methylation, 102 regions with 5 to 20% CHH methylation, and 224 regions with >20% CHH methylation. These three groups of regions show distinct levels of small interfering RNAs (Figure 5D). Methylation of loci with >5% CHH methylation tends to require the RdDM components Mop1, Mop2, Mop3, as well as Tgr1 (Figures 5B and 5C). However, the loci with lower levels of CHH (1 to 5%) are variable in their requirement for RdDM activity (Figure 5A). CHH methylation changes in lines with mutated CMT3 genes show the opposite trend with greater dependency upon CMT genes for regions with low CHH levels than for regions with elevated CHH levels (Figures 5A to 5C). Consistent with the WGBS data (Figure 2), chr106 and zmet7 also show mild CHH loss at many of the sampled regions.

Figure 3. Locus-Specific Changes in CG Methylation.

(A) The changes in CG methylation levels in each genotype are plotted for genomic regions that are consistently captured (coverage >10 in all wild-type plants) and have high levels of CG methylation (>60% in all wild-type plants). The level of DNA methylation change in each mutant relative to the wild-type average was calculated and used to perform clustering (Ward’s method) of all mutant and wild-type genotypes with red color indicating loss of DNA methylation. The regions were divided into two groups (indicated on the right side) according to whether they exhibit reduced DNA methylation levels in mop and tgr1 mutations or not. The “RdDM-dependent” group shows loss of DNA methylation in mop or tgr1 mutants that has a putative function in the RNA-directed DNA methylation pathway, while the “Other” group does not show reduction in these mutants.

(B) Wild-type DNA methylation levels for each sequence context for the two subsets of regions.

(C) Small RNA counts for the two types of regions. Small RNAs with a size between 21 and 24 nucleotides were used. **P < 0.01.

Figure 4. Sequence-specific Changes in DNA Methylation Levels.

(A) The changes in DNA methylation levels in each genotype are plotted for genomic regions that are consistently captured (coverage ≥10 in all wild-type plants) and have high levels of DNA methylation (>60% in all wild-type plants). The level of DNA methylation change in each mutant relative to the wild-type average was calculated and used to perform clustering (Ward’s method) of all mutant and wild-type genotypes with red color indicating loss of DNA methylation. The regions were divided into two groups (indicated on the right side) according to whether they exhibit reduced DNA methylation levels in mop and tgr1 mutations or not. The “RdDM-dependent” group shows loss of DNA methylation in mop or tgr1 mutants that has a putative function in the RNA-directed DNA methylation pathway, while the “Other” group does not show reduction in these mutants.

(B) Wild-type DNA methylation levels for each sequence context for the two subsets of regions.

(C) Small RNA counts for the two types of regions. Small RNAs with a size between 21 and 24 nucleotides were used. **P < 0.01.
To further investigate the contribution of RdDM components and CMT genes to CHH methylation, we studied genome-wide CHH profiles at exons, introns, and specific transposon families using WGBS data. Our results suggest multiple pathways are required for the control of CHH methylation levels in maize. The “CHH islands” observed near the 5’ and 3’ ends of many genes (Gent et al., 2013) are most sensitive to the mop1 mutation. However, other mutations, including tgr1, tgr9, zmet2, and zmet5, exhibit partial reductions in the magnitude of this peak and also show reduced CHH methylation levels in introns (Figures 6A and 6B). The analysis of CHH methylation in maize transposons revealed variation in the effect for different subtypes of transposons (Figures 2C and 6C). Nonspreading class I retrotransposons and class II DNA transposons have high levels of CHH methylation in wild-type plants (Figures 6D and 6E), while class I retrotransposons that result in spreading of CG/CHG methylation to flanking regions tend to have lower CHH methylation (Figure 6C). The low levels of CHH methylation observed within the spreading retrotransposons are reduced in zmet2 but are less altered in mop1 plants (Figure 6C). The elevated CHH methylation in nonspreading retrotransposons and DNA transposons is strongly reduced in mop1, zmet2, and zmet5 mutant plants (Figures 6D and 6E). The 24-nucleotide small RNA levels at each transposon type correspond well with CHH methylation levels of that transposon and the putative genes that mediate the CHH methylation (Supplemental Figure 4). The spreading retrotransposons, which have low CHH methylation levels, tend to be depleted of 24-nucleotide small RNA; thus, the RdDM components whose function depends on 24-nucleotide small RNAs seldom show an effect for this transposon type. Short DNA transposons are particularly enriched for 24-nucleotide small RNAs and show the highest levels of CHH methylation (Supplemental Figure 4). The CHH methylation at this transposon type was significantly reduced in mutants of RdDM components.

Recent findings in Arabidopsis suggest that mechanisms controlling CHH methylation in transposons vary for long and short TEs (Zemach et al., 2013). Whereas DNA methylation within the
Inability to Recover zmet2 zmet5 and chr101 chr106 Double Mutants

Paralogous genes can exhibit redundant function such that abolishing the full functionality of maize DDM1 (Chr101/Chr106), CMT3 (Zmet2/Zmet5), or DRM (Zmet3/Zmet7) genes may require generation of double mutant stocks. These gene pairs show substantially overlapping expression patterns across a collection of ~60 tissues (Sekhon et al., 2013), with one transcript accumulating more abundantly than the other in most tissues (Zmet2>Zmet5, Chr101>Chr106, and Zmet7>Zmet3) (Supplemental Figure 6). For the Zmet2/Zmet5 and Chr101/Chr106 gene pairs, we had obtained mutant alleles for both genes and made crosses in an attempt to isolate double mutant individuals. Parental plants that were heterozygous for two different alleles or parents that were homozygous mutant for one gene and heterozygous for the other were self-pollinated to create offspring that are expected to segregate for double mutant individuals (Table 2). Despite screening a substantial number of offspring, we were not able to identify any homozygous double mutant individuals for the CMT3- or DDM1-like paralogs in maize (Table 2).

The failure to recover double mutants could be either due to reduced viability or transmission of double mutant gametes through one parent or reduction of viability for the fertilized double mutant zygote. These possibilities were tested by looking at the observed segregation ratio in the offspring of plants from parents with the chr101-m3/chr101-m3; chr106-m1/+ genotype. If the double mutant gamete can only be transmitted through one parent, we would expect a 1:1 segregation ratio for the wild type and heterozygotes at the chr106 locus; otherwise, 1:2 segregation ratio would be expected (Supplemental Figure 7). Genotyping of 128 offspring revealed a segregation ratio of 36:92 (Supplemental Table 4), which is close to 1:2 (P = 0.2332, $\chi^2$) and deviates from 1:1 (P = 1.058e-06). A similar analysis was performed on the offspring of zmet2-m1/++; zmet5-m1/zmet5-m1 or zmet2-m1/zmet2-m1; zmet5-m1/+ plants. In both cases, the segregation ratio was consistent with successful transmission of the segregating mutant allele.
Figure 6. Alterations in CHH Methylation Profiles over Genes and Transposons.

(A) CHH levels in exonic and intronic regions in the wild type, mop1, tgr1, and tgr9. The methylation levels at exons and introns were plotted separately. The filled black boxes at the x axis represent either exons or introns. Since the average mRNA size is ~1.7 kb, we plotted one kilobase from both 5’ and 3’ ends into exons. For introns, three kilobases from both 5’ and 3’ ends were plotted. The exon/intron sizes are not from one single exon/intron; they are concatenated from multiple individual exons or introns. Untranslated regions were considered as exon regions. Also included in the exon plot were 2-kb upstream and downstream regions. The black line indicates average wild-type levels, while the gray shading indicates the variance observed among the wild-type genotypes. The colored lines show the profile for different mutant genotypes. The vertical dashed lines show gene transcriptional start and stop sites.

(B) Similar plots are shown for zmet2 and zmet5, and in both cases these are for the average of the different alleles that were tested.

(C) to (E) The profile of DNA methylation over three major types of transposons is shown for the wild type, zmet2, zmet5, and mop1 using the same color scheme used in (A) and (B). Separate plots are shown for retrotransposon elements that exhibit spreading of CG and CHG methylation to flanking regions (C), retrotransposons that do not exhibit spreading of CG or CHG methylation (D), or DNA transposons (E).

(F) to (K) Similar plots but only including the subset of transposable elements in each class that are <1 kb in length (F) to (H) and show similar plots for elements that are longer than 3 kb (I) to (K). In (C) to (K), the filled black box at the bottom of each plot represent the transposable element, and the two dashed vertical lines in each plot represent the start and end of the transposable elements. Methylation levels in the 1-kb regions that are upstream or downstream of the transposable elements are also shown.
through both parents (Supplemental Table 4). We did not observe substantially decreased germination rates for the offspring of these plants, which suggests that the double mutant individuals do not complete seed development. Our search for double mutant individuals also revealed reduced transmission of the single mutant alleles (Table 2). In most of the screened populations, we found that while the mutant allele could be transmitted, it was found at lower than expected rates. This bias was significant for many of the offspring populations, and the recovery of the mutant gamete is ~70 to 80% of that of the wild-type gamete for all the alleles we used to create double mutants (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

DNA methylation can contribute to chromatin-based regulation of gene expression and is an important component of some epigenetic phenomena. Understanding the mechanisms that control DNA methylation can help to understand the basis for some examples of epigenetic regulation. The low cost of sequencing and use of bisulfite modification have provided the ability to obtain high-resolution profiles of methylomes. Stroud et al. (2013) provide a seminal example of how WGBS can be used to better understand the role of many different genes to genome-wide DNA methylation patterns by profiling 86 different Arabidopsis mutants. While maize has provided a model system for studying several epigenetic phenomena, such as imprinting, paramutation, and transposable element inactivation, we have a limited understanding of the molecular mechanisms and targets of DNA methylation in the maize genome. In part this is due to the limited access to mutant stocks with documented effects on genome-wide DNA methylation patterns, but it may also be due to the large size and complex organization of the maize genome.

In this study, we demonstrate how the combined use of low-coverage WGBS and high-coverage targeted capture of specific loci following bisulfite conversion can be used to characterize the influence of specific mutant alleles on the maize methylome. This approach could easily be applied to other plant species and will provide lower cost alternatives for understanding how a panel of mutants perturbs the methylome. It is worth noting that the use of either technique alone can miss important observations that are revealed by combining both approaches. For example, zmet2-m1 and zmet2-m2 appear to have the strongest reduction in CHH in the low-coverage WGBS data (Figure 1C), while mop1 has a greater effect in the sequence capture data set (Figure 5). This is likely due to the ascertainment bias for the regions included in the sequence capture. The regions selected for capture often included genomic loci that exhibited unusually high DNA methylation levels in all sequence contexts or rare regions with context specific DNA methylation. The greatest effect of a loss of Mop1 was apparent in regions with high CHH methylation, while the zmet2 mutations had stronger phenotypes in regions with low, but detectable, CHH methylation (Figure 6). Since the majority of the maize genome has quite low CHH levels (West et al., 2014), the cumulative effect of zmet2 mutations may be greater than that of the mop1 mutation even though specific loci with high CHH methylation are impacted more strongly by the mop1 mutation. The combination of these two approaches provides an efficient strategy to study perturbations of DNA methylation in organisms with large and complex genomes.

**RdDM Targets in the Maize Genome**

The RdDM pathway provides a mechanism to target de novo methylation at specific genomic regions and can induce CHH methylation as well as methylation in other sequence contexts. The mutations in mop1, mop2, and mop3 are all predicted to impair the RdDM pathway based on homology to Arabidopsis genes with roles in RdDM (Alleman et al., 2006; Sidorenko et al., 2009; Sloan et al., 2014). The low-coverage WGBS data provide evidence that CHH levels are reduced in mop1, and the sequence capture data reveal a strong loss of CHH methylation in mop1, mop2, and mop3 for nearly all regions with CHH methylation >5% in the wild type. In regions of elevated CHH methylation, both CHH islands 5’ of genes and certain families of DNA transposons, methylation is strongly reduced in the mop1 mutant. The reduction in CHH methylation observed in mop1 mutants is greater at short DNA transposons and nonspraying retrotransposons than at longer elements of these families. There is only a minor role for Mop1 in CHH methylation of the retrotransposons that exhibit spreading of methylation to surrounding regions. This suggests that similar to RdDM in Arabidopsis (Zemach et al., 2013), maize RdDM machinery is targeted to regions that are near euchromatin and helps to maintain silencing of these regions. We expect that maize DRM-like genes are also important for RdDM in maize. However, the lack of an effect in zmet7 mutants may reflect redundancy of the paralogs Zmet3 and Zmet7 in providing de novo methylation targeting by RdDM.
While the low-coverage WGBS analysis did not reveal a significant role for Mop1 in CG or CHG methylation in most genomic regions, we did find that Mop1 is required for CG and CHG methylation at some transposon types, especially the DTT transposons that has high level of CHH methylation (Gent et al., 2013). The DTT transposon also shows the lowest average size (123 bp) compared with other DNA transposons, making it a more prone to be targeted by the RdDM pathway. Similarly, we also find some genomic regions that require Mop1 for CG or CHG methylation using the sequence capture data. These regions had elevated CHH methylation and tend to be enriched for small interfering RNAs. It may be that active targeting of de novo methylation is required to maintain high levels of methylation in all contexts for these regions. Similarly, in Arabidopsis, the dm1 dmr2 double mutant displays loss of methylation not only at CHH sites but also at CHG sites (Zhong et al., 2014), and some of the CHH DMRs also exhibit reduced CG methylation (Stroud et al., 2013).

Although the specific molecular lesion of tgr1 has not been characterized, the DNA methylation changes in this mutant line are quite similar to those observed for the mop1, mop2, and mop3 mutants, suggesting that Tgr1 is a component of the RdDM machinery. The tgr9 mutant individuals do not show the same level of change in CHG or CHH methylation as that observed for the mop1, mop2, and mop3 mutations. A small subset of regions loses CHG or CHH methylation in tgr9, suggesting that this gene has a more locus-specific effect on methylation.

**Role of Maize CMT Genes in CHG and CHH Methylation**

Chromomethylase genes were originally identified as CHG maintenance methyltransferases (Lindroth et al., 2001; Papa et al., 2001). Recent studies in Arabidopsis on two chromomethylases (CMT2 and CMT3) suggest that different chromomethylase proteins may play distinct roles in DNA methylation (Stroud et al., 2013, 2014; Zemach et al., 2013). The CMT2 gene plays an important role in CHH methylation, particularly in long heterochromatic elements (Zemach et al., 2013), while CMT3 is more important for CHG methylation in a self-reinforcing loop with H3K9me2 (Du et al., 2012; Stroud et al., 2013, 2014) and plays a limited role in CHH methylation (Stroud et al., 2013). While the maize genome encodes two orthologs of CMT3 (Zmet2 and Zmet5), there is no evidence for a CMT2-like gene in the reference maize genome (Zemach et al., 2013). It is possible that maize lacks the functions provided by a CMT2-like gene. Alternatively, the Zmet2 and Zmet5 genes may provide both the CMT2 and CMT3 functions in maize. This latter idea was partly supported by the observation that zmet2-m1 mutant plants have reduced levels of CHH methylation, particularly in the central region of long transposable elements. However, the level of CHH methylation present in the center of long transposable elements in maize is much lower than that observed in Arabidopsis (West et al., 2014). One interpretation of our results is that Zmet2 is recruited to heterochromatic regions by H3K9me2 (Du et al., 2012) and primarily performs CHG methylation, but at a low rate can also catalyze some CHH methylation. Consistent with this are the findings that the Arabidopsis CMT3 can play a role in CHH methylation at some loci (Cao and Jacobsen, 2002) and that CMT3 CHH DMRs tend to be found in LTR/Copia elements (Stroud et al., 2013). It is interesting that maize retrotransposons tend to be quite effectively silenced despite the reduced levels of CHH methylation relative to Arabidopsis.

**Requirement for DNA Methylation for Embryo Development in Maize**

One of the most interesting findings in this study is the lack of ability to recover specific double mutants that would be predicted to more strongly affect the maize methylome. Despite several genetic screens for mutations affecting epigenetic regulation (Dorweiler et al., 2000; Hollick and Chandler, 2001; Madzima et al., 2011), there are no maize mutants that have been recovered that have lost nearly all DNA methylation. Based on findings in Arabidopsis, we might expect that mutations in the maize orthologs of MET1, DDM1, or CMT genes would have the strongest effect on CG and/or CHG methylation. In maize, there are two MET1 orthologs that are present as a tandem duplication of nearly identical sequences (~99% identical), and we have not been able to recover Mu insertions or nonsense TILLING alleles for these genes. Concerted attempts at generating RNA interference lines using the protocols described by McGinnis et al. (2007) could successfully reduce Zmet1 mRNA levels in callus but could not be recovered as plantlets (H. Kaeppler and S.M. Kaeppeler, unpublished data). Our attempts to create double mutants for Zmet2/Zmet5 (maize paralogs of CMT3) or Chr101/Chr106 (maize paralogs of DDM1) in this study were not successful. The analysis of the segregation ratios suggest that this lethality most likely happens following fertilization during seed growth and development as opposed to at gamete development. However, we did notice some reductions in transmission of the mutant alleles. Similar observations for reduced transmission of the zmet2-m1 mutant allele were reported by Garcia-Aguilar et al. (2010). While this mutant can be transmitted through the male or female gametes, there is evidence that ~40% of the pollen grains produced by zmet2-m1 plants are abnormally large and may represent unreduced polyploid gametes. The full seed set for female zmet2-m1 plants suggests that female gametogenesis is not impaired by this mutation (Garcia-Aguilar et al., 2010). In this study, it was difficult to assess the seed set on plants that were homozygous for one mutant allele and segregating for the other. These plants were not vigorous, potentially due to inbreeding depression or due to effects of the mutant alleles, and we could not determine the specific timing of potential seed abortion for double mutant individuals.

Although we cannot rule out the possibility that the maize orthologs of CMT or DDM are required for other functions, our observations are consistent with a suggestion that strong reductions in CG/CHG methylation may have more phenotypic consequences in maize than in Arabidopsis. There is evidence that this may also be true for rice (Oryza sativa) as homozygous met1 mutant individuals were not recovered (Hu et al., 2014). The increased requirement for DNA methylation to successfully complete embryo development in maize but not Arabidopsis could be due to the increased transposon content and higher frequency of genes being located very near to transposons in maize (Schnable et al., 2009). The loss of DNA methylation for these regions may result in misregulation of many genes and...
impair proper seed development. The difficulty in recovering maize lines with strong reductions in DNA methylation has several important implications for our ability to probe the role of DNA methylation in maize. The inability to strongly affect DNA methylation complicates the ability to generate epiRILs (Johannes et al., 2009; Reinders et al., 2009) or similar populations in maize. These epiRILs would be very useful in studying how proper DNA methylation patterns influence cryptic information in the maize genome and for studying the phenotypic role of DNA methylation. The inability to recover maize lines with strongly reduced DNA methylation also greatly complicates our ability to study the role of DNA methylation in epigenetic phenomena, such as paramutation, imprinting, or transposon regulation. Alternative approaches may be necessary to probe the role of DNA methylation in maize.

METHODS

Plant Materials

Supplemental Table 1 lists the 17 maize (Zea mays) mutants used in this study. For each mutant, the source, genetic background, and type of mutation (if known) as well as other details about these mutants are indicated. All the plants, except tgr1, which was grown in the field, were grown in a greenhouse condition with a cycle of 15 h of light and 9 h of dark. For mop1 and tgr1, the immature ear shoot was used for DNA isolation, and for the rest of the genotypes, the fully expanded 3rd leaf of seedlings was harvested for DNA extraction.

Genotyping of Double Mutants

We tried to create double mutants for two pairs of genes, Zmet2/Zmet5, both of which are homologs of Arabidopsis thaliana CMT3 gene, and Chr101/Chr106, both of which are homologs of Arabidopsis chromatin remodeler DDM1. Each of the four genes has two different mutant alleles (Supplemental Table 1). Combinations of multiple alleles were tested. Table 2 and Supplemental Table 4 list the genotypes for which we self-pollinated plants and used the derived ears to search for double mutants. We grew the kernels from each self-pollinated ear in the greenhouse, and DNA was isolated from the leaf tissues using standard CTAB method. We performed PCR on the isolated DNA using primers that can differentiate mutant from wild-type allele. Primer sequences and representative band pattern for the wild-type and mutant alleles are listed in Supplemental Table 5.

Whole-Genome Bisulfite Sequencing and Analysis

One microgram of genomic DNA was used for library construction. DNA was sheared to fragments between 200 and 300 bp. The fragments were subject to end repair, dA tailing, and ligating to methylated adapters, followed by bisulfite conversion. The bisulfite converted libraries were then PCR amplified and purified, and sequenced if they passed quality control (size range between 200 to ~400 bp and concentration greater than 2 nM), which was checked by analyzing the library on an Agilent DNA 1000 chip. The 100-bp paired end reads were obtained for each library using Illumina HiSeq2000. Reads were trimmed to remove adapters and bases with poor sequencing quality using FASTQC. The aligner Bismark (version 0.10.0; Krueger and Andrews, 2011) was used to map quality-passed reads to maize B73 reference genome version 2, allowing one mismatch in the 25-nucleotide seed sequence (-N 1 -L 25). Methylation status at each cytosine was then determined using the methylation extractor command in Bismark. Due to the large size of the maize genome, the methylation level at each sequence-context (CG, CHG, and CHH) was calculated for nonoverlapping 100-bp sliding tiles across the whole genome instead of individual cytosine using the weighted DNA methylation computing method (#C/#C+T) (Schultz et al., 2012).

We computed genome-wide methylation levels by averaging all the tiles with coverage. Each 100-bp tile was then associated with the nearest TEs (ZmB73_5b_MTEC) or genes (ZmB73_5b_FGS) using the closestBed command from BEDTools (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). The tiles that overlap each genomic feature were flagged by assigning a distance of 0 between the 100-bp tile and the genomic feature. We filtered the tiles overlapping four genomic features: exons, introns, transposons, and nontransposon intergenic regions. Nontransposon intergenic regions were defined as regions that didn’t overlap any genes or transposons. We used the average of all 100-bp tiles overlapping a specific genomic feature to represent methylation levels of that genomic feature. The methylation levels at different transposon subfamilies were calculated in a similar way: 100-bp tiles overlapping each subfamily were identified using BEDTools, and methylation levels at those tiles were then averaged to represent methylation level at each transposon subfamily. The above methylation levels were calculated for each mutant as well as the wild-type inbred lines (B73, Mo17, Oh43, CML322, W22, and Tx303). The relative DNA methylation loss at each genomic feature was calculated as (mutant – wild type)/wild type, whereas DNA methylation level in the wild type was calculated as the average of the wild-type inbreds.

To generate line plots for methylation levels over the transposon and its flanking regions, we first identified 100-bp tiles in or close to each transposon type. The distance between the tiles and transposon was determined as the distance between the midpoint of each tile and the start position of the transposon. Tiles within, upstream of, and downstream of a transposon were assigned 0, a negative, and a positive value respectively. Tiles within a transposon were further positioned at a scale of 1 to 1000 bp by normalizing the distance between tile and transposon start site to the size of the transposon, multiplied by 1000. We divided each of the three 1000-bp bins (upstream, within, and downstream) into 20 equal bins each with 50 bp. Methylation at CG, CHG, and CHH was calculated for each bin for each transposon and were then averaged over transposons within the same subfamily. The averaged methylation level was then plotted against the position of each bin and displayed in a line plot (example in Figure 6C). Similar plots were generated for transposons with different sizes (examples in Figures 6F to 6K).

For line plots at genes, a total of 10-kb genomic region, including the regions 3 kb into genes from both the 5’ end and 3’ end, along with 2 kb surrounding regions on each side were plotted. For genes that are less than 3 kb, only the actual gene region was used; thus, those genes will have no contribution to methylation level of regions that are beyond their size. The 10-kb region was divided into 200 bins of 50 bp each. The methylation level for each sequence context was calculated for each bin for each gene and was then averaged over all genes for a particular bin. The derived methylation level was used to plot against the center position of each bin (see example in Figure 6A).

Sequence Capture, Sequencing, and Analysis

The sequence capture bisulfite sequencing was performed using the Roche NimbleGen SeqCap Epi protocol (http://www.nimblegen.com/products/lit/07162839001_NG_SOC_UG_SeqCapEpi_0114.pdf). In short, a standard bisulfite-treated library was constructed, followed by hybridization to probes designed to target a set of genomic regions selected for analysis (Supplemental Data Set 1). After hybridization, the captured library was recovered, amplified using 16 PCR cycles, and sequenced on MiSeq using 150 cycles from both ends (see Supplemental Table 3 for read numbers and mapping efficiency for each sample). The probe sequences used to perform the capture were designed to target all possible sequences that might result from different DNA methylation states.

Reads were aligned to maize genome version 2 using the aligner Bismark allowing one mismatch in the 25-nucleotide seed sequence (-N 1 -L 25). Only
uniquely mapped reads were kept for subsequent analysis. Conversion rate was determined using the cytosines mapped to the unmethylated chloroplast genome. The output alignment file from Bismark was used to derive methylation level (i.e., number of methylated and unmethylated reads) for each cytosine in all three sequence contexts (CG, CHG, and CHH) using methylation extractor from Bismark. The methylation level of a specific target region was calculated based on the cytosines within the region using the weighted DNA methylation method (#C/(#C+#T)). Read coverage per target regions was obtained by counting the number of reads overlapping with the target regions, which was determined using BEDTools. The DNA methylation levels for each captured region are available in Supplemental Data Set 1, and accession numbers for the raw data are available in Supplemental Table 3.

Analysis of Small RNA

We used a small RNA data set from the study by Regulski et al. (2013) (Gene Expression Omnibus accession number GSE39232). This data set was generated from sequencing small RNAs that were isolated in the B73 (Gene Expression Omnibus accession number GSE39232). This data set was generated from sequencing small RNAs that were isolated in the B73 genotype from shoot tissue at the coleoptile stage 5 d after planting. The FASTX toolkit was used to remove 3’ adapters, control sequencing quality, and select small RNAs with a size between 21 and 24 nucleotides. We removed the types of small RNA that were mapped to RNA, rRNA, and microRNA. The remaining small RNA was mapped to maize genome version 2, allowing only one mapping location. Small RNA abundance was then computed for the target regions that were included in sequence capture. To make small RNA levels comparable across different target regions, we normalized small RNA counts to the size of target regions. The normalized small RNA counts were then plotted using boxplot for regions where DNA methylation was mediated by different pathways.

Accession Numbers

Sequencing data from this study can be found in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the accession number SRP048795. The SRA number for each experiment can be found in Supplemental Tables 2 and 3.
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