Arabidopsis Cell Division Cycle 20.1 Is Required for Normal Meiotic Spindle Assembly and Chromosome Segregation
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Cell division requires proper spindle assembly; a surveillance pathway, the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC), monitors whether the spindle is normal and correctly attached to kinetochores. The SAC proteins regulate mitotic chromosome segregation by affecting CDC20 (Cell Division Cycle 20) function. However, it is unclear whether CDC20 regulates meiotic spindle assembly and proper homolog segregation. Here, we show that the Arabidopsis thaliana CDC20.1 gene is indispensable for meiosis and male fertility. We demonstrate that Arabidopsis thaliana CDC20.1 spindle assembly and proper homolog segregation. Here, we show that the segregation by affecting CDC20 function. However, it is unclear whether CDC20 regulates meiotic whether the spindle is normal and correctly attached to kinetochores. The SAC proteins regulate mitotic chromosome

INTRODUCTION

Accurate chromosome segregation is required for genomic stability. In the mitotic cell cycle, following DNA replication, sister chromatids segregate to opposite poles. By contrast, meiosis involves two rounds of chromosome segregation: homologous chromosomes (homologs) separate during meiosis I and sister chromatids separate during meiosis II (Uhlmann, 2001). Several mechanisms ensure faithful chromosome segregation in both mitosis and meiosis. In particular, the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC), a cell cycle surveillance pathway, delays chromosome segregation to allow correction of erroneous kinetochore attachments to the spindle or to allow unattached kinetochores to attach. SAC ensures proper chromosome alignment and the onset of anaphase, thereby facilitating faithful chromosome segregation (Homer et al., 2009; Musacchio and Ciliberto, 2012; Kim et al., 2013).

SAC components are highly conserved across eukaryotes, including the Ser/Thr kinases monopolar spindle 1 (MPS1), Aurora and Budding Uninhibited by Benomyl 1 (BUB1), and BUB3, and the non-kinase components Mitotic Arrest Deficient 1 (MAD1), MAD2, and BUB1 Related 1 (BUBR1) (Foley and Kapoor, 2013). The SAC proteins regulate chromosome segregation by sequestering CDC20 (Cell Division Cycle 20), preventing it from serving as a cofactor for the E3 ubiquitin ligase anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) (Izawa and Pines, 2015). Correction of mistakes in kinetochore attachment to the spindle releases CDC20, which then activates APC/C for the removal of cohesin, thus promoting entry into anaphase (Salah and Nasmyth, 2000; Singh et al., 2014). In mitosis, the SAC proteins, including the protein kinase Aurora B, are recruited to unattached kinetochores to delay chromosome segregation until all chromosomes are correctly attached to the bipolar spindle (Kang and Yu, 2009; Zich and Hardwick, 2010). In animal cell lines and yeast, the centromeric localization of Aurora B depends on phosphorylation of Thr-3 on histone H3 (H3T3ph), which is mediated by Haspin (Dai et al., 2005; Kelly et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010; F. Wang et al., 2012). Active
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Aurora B then phosphorylates Ser-10 of histone H3 (H3S10ph), which affects chromosome condensation and segregation (Yamagishi et al., 2010; Castellano-Pozo et al., 2013). A recent study reported that a mutation in the Arabidopsis thaliana Aurora1 gene results in a defect in meiotic chromosome segregation (Demidov et al., 2014), but the cellular defects of the aberrant meiosis were not described in detail.

The SAC components and their regulators have been studied extensively in both animal and fungal mitosis and meiosis (Mansfeld et al., 2011; Sun and Kim, 2012; Paganelli et al., 2015). By contrast, only a few SAC proteins have been found to be involved in plant meiosis, including the Arabidopsis MPS1 and Aurora kinases (Jiang et al., 2009; Demidov et al., 2014) and the rice (Oryza sativa) Bub1-Related Kinase (BRK1; M. Wang et al., 2012). CDC20 is a cofactor for both SAC and APC/C and has multiple roles in meiotic chromosome segregation in mice, Drosophila melanogaster, and bovine oocytes (Chu et al., 2001; Jin et al., 2010; Sun and Kim, 2012; Yang et al., 2014); however, the role of CDC20 in plant meiosis is unclear. Arabidopsis has five CDC20-like genes (CDC20.1-CDC20.5). CDC20.1 and CDC20.2 function redundantly in mitosis, while the three other genes appear to be pseudogenes (Kevei et al., 2011). Yeast two-hybrid assays demonstrated that Arabidopsis CDC20.1/2 could physically interact with the SAC protein MAD2/3, suggesting that association of CDC20 with SAC is conserved, at least in mitosis (Kevei et al., 2011).

Here, we show that CDC20.1 is required for normal plant fertility and meiosis. Disruption of CDC20.1 results in incomplete alignment of bivalents at metaphase I, leading to unequal chromosome segregation in both meiosis I and II. Cytological analysis shows that cdc20.1 exhibits aberrant spindle morphology. In addition, histone modifications associated with cell cycle progression are altered in cdc20.1. H3S10ph is abnormally distributed, unlike its centromere-specific localization in normal meiocytes, and H3T3ph was greatly reduced. Finally, cdc20.1 meiocytes exhibit delayed transition from metaphase I to anaphase I. These observations support a role for CDC20.1 in meiotic chromosome segregation by affecting SAC-dependent functions. Consistent with this model, meiosis-specific knockdown of the critical SAC factor Aurora1 causes a meiotic chromosome segregation defect similar to that observed in cdc20.1, providing additional evidence that CDC20.1 plays a role in SAC-dependent meiotic chromosome segregation.

RESULTS

CDC20.1 Is Required for Male Fertility in Arabidopsis

CDC20.1 and CDC20.2 have distinct expression patterns in somatic and reproductive tissues, whereas the three other genes lack detectable expression and are likely pseudogenes (Kevei et al., 2011). CDC20.1 and CDC20.2 share 99% protein sequence identity and have overlapping expression patterns in somatic tissues, suggesting functional redundancy of these proteins in the mitotic cell cycle. This idea is supported by the observations that simultaneous silencing of both genes results in a dwarf phenotype but that mutations in either gene alone do not cause developmental abnormalities (Kevei et al., 2011). In addition, CDC20.1 is expressed at high levels in male meiocytes (Yang et al., 2011), but CDC20.2 expression is nearly undetectable, suggesting that CDC20.1 might have a more prominent role than CDC20.2 in meiosis.

To investigate the function of CDC20.1 in meiosis, we obtained two mutant alleles for CDC20.1 from public T-DNA insertion line collections (Alonso et al., 2003). The T-DNA insertions are located in the fourth and the fifth exon, respectively (Supplemental Figure 1A). To distinguish these two alleles from the two previously identified weak alleles of cdc20.1-1 and cdc20.1-2 (Kevei et al., 2011), we named the two insertional alleles cdc20.1-3 (CS369798) and cdc20.1-4 (CS369975). We found that homozygous cdc20.1-3 and cdc20.1-4 plants showed no obvious defects in vegetative development nor in development of somatic anther tissues, as shown by semi-thin section microscopy (Figure 1A; Supplemental Figure 2A).

Mitotic chromosome morphologies showed no obvious differences between the wild type and cdc20.1-3 (Supplemental Figure 2B), suggesting that mitosis in the mutant is normal. However, both mutant plants had greatly reduced fertility with obviously short silques (Figure 1A), whereas heterozygous plants showed normal fertility, indicating that the mutations are recessive. Progeny of plants heterozygous for either mutant allele segregated mutant and normal phenotypes in a 1:3 ratio as expected for single Mendelian recessive mutations [cdc20.1-3, 44:141:1 mutant/normal, $r^2_{[\mathrm{F1}]} = 0.145$, $P > 0.5$; cdc20.1-4, 43:136 mutant/normal, $r^2_{[\mathrm{F1}]} = 0.08$, $P > 0.5$]. Alexander staining for pollen viability showed a significant reduction in the number of viable pollen grains per anther ($P < 0.01$) in cdc20.1-3 (43 ± 16/ anther, $n = 24$) and cdc20.1-4 (93 ± 32/anther, $n = 10$), in comparison to the wild type (508 ± 63/ anther, $n = 14$) (Figure 1B). Pollen grains from both mutants had a range of sizes (Figure 1C; Supplemental Figure 2A), suggesting variable amounts of nuclear DNA, possibly due to meiotic defects. Consistent with this observation, unlike the four similarly sized wild-type microspores at the tetrad stage, both mutant anthers contained polyads with more than four microspores of variable sizes (Figure 1D). Furthermore, cdc20.1-3/cdc20.1-4 transheterozygous progeny created by crossing cdc20.1-3/cdc20.1-3 as the male parent with cdc20.1-4/cdc20.1-4 as the female parent showed similar defects in fertility (Figure 1). This cross produced a normal number of F1 seeds, and the subsequent progeny plants segregated in a 1:1 phenotypic ratio [mutant/normal = 18:16, $r^2_{[\mathrm{F1}]} = 0.12$, $P > 0.5$], indicating normal female development. Because the cdc20.1-3 and cdc20.1-4 alleles are independent T-DNA insertion mutants, these results strongly support the conclusion that the observed mutant phenotypes are caused by insertions in CDC20.1. However, the male sterility in both cdc20.1-3 and cdc20.1-4 is discordant with the normal fertility in cdc20.1-1 and cdc20.1-2 (Kevei et al., 2011), possibly because the T-DNA insertions in cdc20.1-1 and cdc20.1-2 are weak alleles.

To determine whether the T-DNA insertions in CDC20.1 analyzed here disrupt its transcription, we used qRT-PCR to compare CDC20.1 expression in the wild type and mutants and found no CDC20.1 expression in cdc20.1-3 and transcription upstream of, but not spanning, the T-DNA insertion in cdc20.1-4 (Supplemental Figure 1C), indicating a truncated CDC20.1 transcript. In addition, we performed RNAi-mediated CDC20.1 knockdown driven by the meiosis-specific DMC1 promoter, as used previously (Y. Wang et al., 2012). The results showed that the expression of CDC20.1 was significantly decreased ($t$ test, $P < 0.01$) in male meiocytes of...
transgenic plants compared with the wild type, but not in
florescences and leaves (Supplemental Figure 4B). Phenotypic
analyses showed that the ProDMC1: CDC20.1RNAi transgenic
plants exhibited normal vegetative growth, but reduced fertility
and meiotic defects (Supplemental Figures 4A and 4C), similar to
the phenotypes of both cdc20.1-3 and cdc20.1-4. These data
strongly support the conclusion that CDC20.1 is required for male
fertility and meiosis in Arabidopsis.

**Figure 1.** **CDC20.1 Mutant Plants Exhibit Reduced Fertility.**

(A) Plant growth phenotypes of the wild type and mutants. Short siliques, indicating reduced fertility, are marked by arrowheads in cdc20.1. Bar = 5 cm.
(B) Pollen grain viability in wild-type and mutant anthers as assayed by Alexander Red staining. Anthers of the CDC20.1 mutants show a significant proportion of dead pollen stained green and a few residual viable pollen grains. Bar = 50 μm.
(C) Wild-type pollen grains are of uniform size, while the size of cdc20.1 pollen grains was variable, with larger (black arrows) and smaller (red arrows) grains than those of the wild type. Bar = 25 μm.
(D) Tetrad stage microspores in the wild type and mutants. Wild-type tetrad stage microspores have four equal size members, while cdc20.1 samples at the same stage contain more than four microspores (numbered). Bar = 25 μm.

**CDC20.1 and CDC20.2 Are Not Functionally Redundant in Meiosis**

CDC20.1 and CDC20.2 are redundant in mitotic cell division (Kevei et al., 2011), but their meiotic function has not been examined. To test for possible functional redundancy in meiosis, we obtained

two mutant alleles for CDC20.2 (At4g33260) with T-DNA ins-

sertions in the third intron (cdc20.2-1, Salk_114279c) and the

fourth exon (cdc20.2-2, Salk_136710) (Supplemental Figure 1B),
as described previously (Kevei et al., 2011). Consistent with

previous observations, both homozygous cdc20.2 mutants

showed normal vegetative growth and reproductive development
(Supplemental Figure 4A), suggesting CDC20.2 is not essential for

plant development.

Because the CDC20.1 and CDC20.2 loci are physically linked

with only ~1 kb separating them, it is difficult to obtain the
double mutant through crosses. Instead, we used meiosis-
specific RNAi-mediated knockdown of either CDC20.2 spe-
cifically (ProDMC1: CDC20.2RNAi) or both genes simultaneously
using a target region conserved between the two genes (ProDMC1: CDC20\textsuperscript{RNAi}). As expected, ProDMC1: CDC20\textsuperscript{2RNAi} plants had normal fertility, resembling the cdc20.2 T-DNA insertion mutants (Supplemental Figure 4C). By contrast, ProDMC1: CDC20\textsuperscript{RNAi} plants showed defects in fertility and meiosis, similar to the cdc20.1 single mutant (Supplemental Figures 4A and 4C), providing evidence that CDC20.1 and CDC20.2 are not redundant in meiosis.

**CDC20.1 Is Required for Bivalent Alignment and Chromosome Segregation**

The observation of polyads with more than four microspores in cdc20.1 and ProDMC1: CDC20.1\textsuperscript{RNAi} plants suggests meiotic defects. Chromosome spreads stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) showed that cdc20.1-3 and cdc20.1-4 have normal chromosome morphology through diakinesis, similar to those in the wild type (Figure 2). At metaphase I, wild-type meiocytes have five well-aligned bivalents at the equatorial plane (Figure 2C), whereas 16.3% of cdc20.1 cells (\(n = 98\)) showed abnormal alignment (Figures 2G, 2K, and 2O), leading to subsequent improper chromosome segregation at anaphase I (21%, \(n = 52\)) (Figures 2H, 2L, and 2P). At metaphase II, wild-type meiocytes have two sets of aligned chromosomes, and segregation results in four nuclei each containing five chromosomes (Figures 2Q to 2T). However, at a similar stage, 68.7% of cdc20.1 cells (\(n = 64\)) had misaligned chromosomes (Figures 2V, 2A2, and 2E2), resulting in abnormal chromosome segregation (69.2%, \(n = 52\)) at anaphase II (Figures 2W, 2A2, and 2E2). As a consequence, cdc20.1 meiocytes form more than four spores after meiosis (Figures 2X, 2B2, and 2F2), consistent with the observed polyads. Similar meiotic defects were also observed in ProDMC1: CDC20.1\textsuperscript{RNAi} and ProDMC1: CDC20.2\textsuperscript{RNAi} transgenic plants, but not in ProDMC1: CDC20.1\textsuperscript{RNAi} (Supplemental Figure 4C). These data demonstrate that CDC20.1 is required for meiotic chromosome alignment and normal segregation.

In yeast, meiotic chromosome segregation requires the removal of cohesin in a two-step manner. Degradation of cohesin is controlled by the protease separase, which is activated by APC/CCDC20 through degradation of securin, a separase inhibitor (Peters, 2006). Arabidopsis SYN1 is a homolog of the yeast meiosis-specific cohesin REC8 (Meiotic recombination-deficient 8) (Cai et al., 2003). We examined SYN1 distribution in the wild type and cdc20.1. In wild-type pachytene meiocytes, SYN1 is distributed in a linear pattern along chromosomes (Figure 3A) and its signal decreases gradually after pachytene (Figures 3B and 3C). At anaphase I, SYN1 is only detected near the centromeric region (Figure 3D), consistent with previous observations (Chelysheva et al., 2010; Cromer et al., 2013), suggesting that the normal removal of cohesin from chromosome arms is required for homolog separation. At a similar stage, cdc20.1 meiocytes displayed SYN1 patterns similar to those of the wild type (Figures 3A to 3D), supporting the idea that the observed defect in cdc20.1 chromosome segregation is not caused by abnormal cohesin degradation. These results also suggest that the removal of cohesin on chromosome arms, to facilitate meiotic chromosome separation, is likely not mediated via CDC20.1-dependent APC/C activation in Arabidopsis.

**Kinetochore Biorientation of Bivalents Is Defective in cdc20.1**

Misaligned metaphase I bivalents in cdc20.1 suggest a defect in chromosome orientation between homologs. To test this hypothesis, we examined chromosomes using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) using a probe for a 180-bp centromeric repeat (Y. Wang et al., 2012) and found that centromere signals showed
no obvious difference between the wild type and cdc20.1-3 through pachytene (Figure 4A). At late diplotene and diakinesis, when the synaptonemal complex is disassembled, the wild-type homologous centromeres of a bivalent were detected as two signals (Figures 4B and 4C), whereas ~31.4% \((n = 51)\) of the centromere signals in cdc20.1-3 were associated with two independent bivalents (Figures 4B and 4C). At metaphase I, the five wild-type bivalents are aligned at the equatorial plate with sister chromatids orientated unidirectionally on the spindle, enabling segregation of homologous chromosomes to opposite poles (Figure 4D). In cdc20.1-3, 15.6\% \((n = 32)\) had one bivalent failing to align properly with the other bivalents (Figure 4D, arrow), similar to the previously defined misorientation of kinetochores during meiosis I (Hauf and Watanabe, 2004). At anaphase I, unlike the five centromere signals observed on each side of the equatorial plate in the wild type, the mutant meiocytes exhibited abnormal chromosome segregation, consistent with the earlier misalignment (Figure 4E). At metaphase II, misaligned chromosomes were also observed in cdc20.1-3 (Figure 4F, indicated by the arrow), supporting the idea that CDC20.1 is required for chromosome alignment in both meiosis I and II. At anaphase II, centromeric FISH signals segregated unevenly in cdc20.1-3 (Figure 4G). We conclude that the misaligned chromosomes in cdc20.1-3 are likely caused by disturbed kinetochore orientation between homologs and sister chromatids. This is supported by the observation of unequal distribution of CENP-A (also called HTR12), which is a kinetochore marker (Talbert et al., 2002; Kawabe et al., 2006) in cdc20.1-3 (Supplemental Figure 3). Thus, these results support a role for CDC20.1 in kinetochore orientation during Arabidopsis meiosis.

**CDC20.1 Is Required for Establishing Normal Spindle Morphology**

Accurate chromosome segregation requires the establishment of proper spindle morphology and polarity (Watanabe, 2012). To investigate whether the abnormal chromosome alignment in cdc20.1 is associated with aberrant spindle assembly, we examined spindle morphology by immunolocalization with an \(\alpha\)-tubulin antibody. At prophase I, wild-type and cdc20.1 meiocytes had similar perinuclear microtubule arrangements (Figure 5A). By metaphase I, the wild-type microtubules were organized into a typical bipolar structure (Figure 5A). A similar organization was observed surrounding the two clusters of chromosomes at metaphase II (Figure 5A). At telophase II, four nuclei are formed with microtubules between the nuclei.

By contrast, at metaphase I, cdc20.1 exhibited atypical spindle morphology, which could be caused by incorrect attachment of kinetochores to the spindles, as exemplified by lagging chromosomes (Figure 5A). Abnormal spindle morphology was also observed in cdc20.1-3 at both metaphase II and telophase II (Figure 5A). Previous studies showed that poor alignment of chromosomes can cause longer spindles at metaphase I (Nagaoka et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2015). We also estimated the mean length and diameter of spindles in both the wild type and cdc20.1-3 and found that cdc20.1-3 had a significantly \(t\) test, \(P < 0.01\) longer average spindle length of 14.9 \(\mu\)m \((n = 65)\) compared with the wild type length of 6.9 \(\mu\)m \((n = 43)\) (Figure 5B). No significant difference \(t\) test, \(P > 0.05\) was found in the spindle diameter between the wild type and mutant (Figure 5B). These findings are consistent with previous findings that mutation in a rice SAC protein, BRK1, causes a longer average spindle length (M. Wang et al., 2012). Thus, we conclude that CDC20.1 is required for proper spindle assembly and likely participates in SAC-dependent correction of erroneous attachments between microtubules and kinetochores during Arabidopsis meiosis.

**Mutation in CDC20.1 Delayed Metaphase I-Anaphase I Transition**

In meiotic prophase I, kinetochores of sister chromatids should attach to microtubules from the same pole, whereas homologus
kinetochores should attach to microtubules from two different poles. Incorrect attachment triggers the SAC, which creates a “wait signal” until all kinetochores are properly attached to microtubules to prevent chromosome mis-segregation (Zamariola et al., 2014). Persistent incorrect attachment between spindles and kinetochores results in meiotic arrest at metaphase I in animals (Wassmann et al., 2003; Hamant et al., 2006). In Arabidopsis, meiocytes in an individual anther show a distribution of meiotic stages depending on the time of the day (Wang et al., 2004). To investigate whether CDC20.1 affects the timing of meiotic progress, we compared the distribution of meiocytes at different time points between the wild type and cdc20.1-3 (Figure 6). Cell distribution through pachytene in both the wild type and mutant showed a similar pattern. Wild-type cells maintained a stable proportion of cells in diakinesis across six time points (Figure 6A), while the fraction of cdc20.1 cells in diakinesis peaked at ZT2 (3 h after the start of the daily light cycle) and then decreased to a wild type level at ZT5 (Figure 6A), suggesting that cdc20.1-3 cells are stalled at diakinesis, before transitioning to metaphase I, compared with the wild type. In addition, at similar time points, the cdc20.1-3 has a persistently higher percentage of metaphase I cells compared with the wild type, except for at ZT1 (Figure 6B). Furthermore, the peak in the number of cells at anaphase I was delayed by 0.5 h in cdc20.1-3 compared with the wild type (Figure 6C). Together, these results suggest that cdc20.1-3 is defective in normal progression from metaphase I to anaphase I.

**Figure 4.** Chromosome Orientation Is Defective in cdc20.1-3.

Pachytene (A), diplotene (B), diakinesis (C), metaphase I (D), anaphase I (E), metaphase II (F), and anaphase II (G). Chromosomes are stained with DAPI in blue, and centromeric regions are marked by red fluorescence. The wild type has five paired homologs (bivalent) at diakinesis and the paired centromeres in each bivalent are indicated with numbers (C). The arrows indicate abnormal association at diplotene (B) and diakinesis (C), and improper orientations at metaphase I (D) and metaphase II (F) in cdc20.1-3. Bars = 5 μm.

**CDC20.1 Is Required for Centromere Localization of H3S10ph and Normal Levels of H3T3ph**

Abnormal spindle assembly and metaphase I-anaphase I transition in cdc20.1-3 suggest a defect in SAC function, as supported by the fact that yeast and animal CDC20 activates SAC (Sacristan and Kops, 2015). SAC is positively regulated by the Aurora kinase (Saurin et al., 2011), which is localized to centromeres at diakinesis. We hypothesized that the normal distribution of Aurora kinase might be affected in cdc20.1. Arabidopsis has three Aurora kinases, but no antibodies have been developed to examine their distribution. Nevertheless, Aurora phosphorylates Ser-10 of histone H3 (H3S10), which is highly conserved in animals, yeast, and plants, and its phosphorylated form serves as a marker for Aurora activity (Ditchfield et al., 2003; Kawabe et al., 2005; Gorbsky, 2015). We examined the distribution of H3S10ph in the wild type and cdc20.1-3 by immunofluorescence with an H3S10ph antibody. In the wild type, H3S10ph was localized at centromeric regions at diakinesis (Figure 7A) and then along the whole chromosome at metaphase I (Figure 7B), which is in agreement with previous observations (Houben et al., 2007; Paula et al., 2013). By contrast, cdc20.1-3 had a similar distribution of H3S10ph at metaphase I (Figure 7B), but at diakinesis it exhibited a diffuse distribution in contrast to the wild type (Figure 7A), suggesting that CDC20.1 is required for the centromere-specific Aurora localization at diakinesis.

It has been demonstrated that the centromeric localization of Aurora is directed by HTC3ph (Wang et al., 2010; Kurihara et al., 2011; F. Wang et al., 2012). We speculated that the abnormal distribution of H3S10ph in cdc20.1-3 could be caused by defective HTC3 phosphorylation. Immunofluorescence analysis of both the wild type and cdc20.1-3 with a HTC3ph antibody (Figure 8) showed that, at diakinesis, in wild-type meiocytes, HTC3ph is distributed along the chromosomes (Figure 8A), consistent with previous findings in both animals and plants (Manzanero et al., 2000; Caperta et al., 2008). By contrast, the HTC3ph signal was abolished in cdc20.1-3 at a similar stage (Figure 8B). Together, our
results suggest that CDC20.1 is required for faithful meiotic chromosome segregation likely by enabling H3T3 phosphorylation at diakinesis, thereby facilitating the centromere-specific distribution of Aurora and the resulting H3S10ph.

Meiosis-Specific Knockdown of Aurora1 Causes a Chromosome Segregation Defect

Three Arabidopsis Aurora homologs are capable of phosphorylating H3S10 in vitro (Kawabe et al., 2005). Due to functional redundancy, single mutants have normal cell division, whereas the double mutants are either lethal or severely defective in mitosis (Van Damme et al., 2011; Demidov et al., 2014). A recent study provided evidence that the reduced expression of Aurora results in a defect in meiotic chromosome segregation (Demidov et al., 2014). However, the role of Aurora in Arabidopsis meiosis is still unclear. We generated Aurora1 RNAi transgenic plants in which the transgenes were driven by the meiosis-specific DMC1 promoter. RT-qPCR analysis showed the expression level of Aurora1 was significantly reduced in ProDMC1:Aurora1RNAi transgenic

Figure 5. Spindle Morphology in Wild-Type and cdc20.1-3 Meiocytes.
The spindle was detected by immunostaining with anti-α-tubulin antibody (green). Chromosomes were stained with DAPI (magenta).
(A) Comparison of spindle morphology at prophase I, metaphase I, metaphase II, and telophase II between the wild type and cdc20.1-3. Horizontal and vertical yellow lines refer the length and diameter of spindles, respectively. Bars = 5 μm.
(B) Quantification of the spindle length (illustrated in [A] with horizontal yellow bar) and the diameter of spindle equator (illustrated in [A] with vertical yellow bar) at metaphase I in the wild type (blue bars; n = 43) and cdc20.1-3 (red bars; n = 62). Error bars represent SD; Student’s t test was used for statistical analysis (*P < 0.01).
male meiocytes compared with the wild type \( (P < 0.01) \) (Figure 9A). The \( \text{ProDMC1:Aurora1RNAi} \) transgenic plants showed normal vegetative growth, but greatly reduced fertility, as shown by short siliques (Figure 9B) and a large number of dead pollen grains (Figure 9C). Analysis of chromosome morphology by FISH with a centromere probe showed that chromosome morphology and centromere signals had no obvious differences between wild-type and \( \text{ProDMC1:Aurora1RNAi} \) transgenic plants through diakinesis (Figure 9D). At metaphase I, the wild type had five bivalents aligned at the equatorial plate, while \( \text{ProDMC1:Aurora1RNAi} \) chromosomes aligned asynchronously at the equatorial plate (Figure 9D) and segregated unequally at anaphase I and anaphase II (Figure 9D), similar to the \( \text{cdc20.1} \) single mutant phenotypes. Given that Aurora is a critical component of SAC, the similar defects of the \( \text{cdc20.1} \) single mutants support a role of CDC20.1 in regulating SAC-dependent meiotic chromosome segregation.

**DISCUSSION**

**Functional Diversification of Recently Tandem Duplicated CDC20 in Plant Meiosis**

CDC20 is highly conserved in eukaryotes (Smith et al., 1999). Unlike animals with a single CDC20 locus, Arabidopsis has five CDC20 homologs. \( \text{CDC20.1} \) and \( \text{CDC20.2} \) are functionally redundant in mitosis (Kevei et al., 2011), whereas the other three genes are probably pseudogenes. Previous studies showed that \( \text{CDC20.1} \) is expressed in vegetative and reproductive tissues, while \( \text{CDC20.2} \) is restricted in vegetative tissues (Kevei et al., 2011), indicative of functional diversification.

Here, we investigated the function of \( \text{CDC20.1} \) and \( \text{CDC20.2} \) in meiosis and found that only \( \text{CDC20.1} \) is indispensable for male meiosis. To test for functional redundancy, we used an RNAi-mediated knockdown strategy to simultaneously reduce \( \text{CDC20.1} \) and \( \text{CDC20.2} \) expression in transgenic plants and observed a meiotic phenotype similar to \( \text{cdc20.1} \) single mutants (Supplemental Figure 4). By comparison, meiosis-specific knockdown of \( \text{CDC20.2} \) alone did not affect fertility or meiosis (Supplemental Figure 4). Moreover, analyses of \( \text{CDC20.1} \) and \( \text{CDC20.2} \) expression in reciprocal mutant backgrounds found that \( \text{CDC20.1} \) expression in the \( \text{cdc20.2} \) mutant showed a 5- to 10-fold increase compared with the wild type, whereas \( \text{CDC20.2} \) expression was the same in \( \text{cdc20.1} \) as in the wild type (Supplemental Figures 1D and 1E). These results suggest that elevated \( \text{CDC20.1} \) expression may compensate for loss of \( \text{CDC20.2} \) function.

\( \text{CDC20.1} \) and \( \text{CDC20.2} \) are present on chromosome 4 as tandem duplicates within a 6-kb region separated by a 1-kb intergenic region. The region containing \( \text{CDC20.1} \) and \( \text{CDC20.2} \) is syntenic in \( \text{A. thaliana, Arabidopsis lyrata, and Capsella rubella} \), but not in \( \text{Brassica rapa and Eutrema salsugineum} \) (Figure 10A), implying that the duplication was recent, after the divergence of lineage I and lineage II \( \approx 27 \) to 33 million years ago (MYA) in Brassicaceae, but before the separation of the \( \text{Arabidopsis} \) and \( \text{Capsella} \) genera (Figure 10A), which occurred \( \approx 9.12 \) MYA (Kagale et al., 2014) or earlier \( \approx 15 \) to 17 MYA (Huang et al., 2015). This period was accompanied by the diversification of taxa in lineage I in Brassicaceae. The ratio of nonsynonymous-to-synonymous
nucleotide changes \( (\omega = dN/dS) \) is used widely to infer the effect of natural selection on protein-coding genes. The value for Arabidopsis CDC20.1 and CDC20.2, \( \omega = 0.0838 \) (dS = 0.0858, dN = 0.0072), is very low, reflecting strong purifying selection and highly conserved function. Considering these results, the subfunctionalization of CDC20.1 and CDC20.2 likely results from their differential temporal and spatial expression patterns, which may be mediated by distinct cis-regulatory elements, but this remains to be tested experimentally.

**A Potential Role of CDC20.1 in Meiotic Chromosome Segregation**

The faithful segregation of genetic material into daughter cells during cell division is crucial for the production of healthy progeny (Jin et al., 2010; Whitfield et al., 2013; Teixeira et al., 2014). Chromosome segregation is regulated by several mechanisms, including cohesion degradation and SAC-dependent surveillance (Musacchio and Ciliberto, 2012). The stepwise removal of cohesion during meiosis is well studied in human, mouse, and plants (Salah and Nasmyth, 2000; Riedel et al., 2006; Cromer et al., 2013). Cohesion is protected by SGO proteins in the centromere region during meiosis I and is released by separase in a process that is conserved among eukaryotes (Zamariola et al., 2013). In Xenopus laevis, Drosophila, and yeast, the activation of separase requires degradation of securin mediated by the APC/C\(^{CDC20}\)-dependent pathway (Salah and Nasmyth, 2000; Terret et al., 2003; Kudo et al., 2006; Swan and Schüpbach, 2007). However, cdc20.1 has five normal bivalents (Figure 2) and a normal distribution of meiosis-specific cohesin SYN1 through anaphase I (Figure 3), supporting the idea that its meiotic chromosome segregation defects are not caused by inappropriate cohesion degradation. It is also possible that SYN1 is not the sole substrate targeted by APC/C\(^{CDC20}\), at least in Arabidopsis meiosis. Another possibility is that CDC20 is functionally redundant with other APC/C activators.

Arabidopsis CDC20.1 can physically interact with SAC components Mad2 and BubR1 (Kevei et al., 2011), leading to the hypothesis that CDC20.1 is involved in SAC function; however, this hypothesis has not yet been tested in plants. Here, we...

---

**Figure 7.** CDC20.1 Is Required for the Recruitment of Centromeric H3S10ph.

Distribution of H3S10ph in the wild type and cdc20.1-3 in diakinesis (A) and metaphase I (B). Left column shows chromosomes stained with DAPI (blue), the middle column shows H3S10ph signals (red), and the right column shows the merged image. Bars = 5 \( \mu \)m.

---

**Figure 8.** CDC20.1 Is Required for H3T3 Phosphorylation in Meiocytes.

Immunolocalization of H3T3ph (red) at diakinesis of the wild type (A) and cdc20.1-3 (B), with chromosomes visualized by DAPI staining (blue). The boxed regions in (A) indicate a meiotic cell, which was shown under a 100× microscope in (B). 20X (bars = 20 \( \mu \)m) and 100X (bars = 5 \( \mu \)m) indicate microscope magnification.
Figure 9. Phenotypic Analysis of ProDMC1:Aurora1RNAi Plants.

(A) Analysis of expression level of Aurora1 in different tissues of ProDMC1:Aurora1RNAi transgenic plants (Aurora1RNAi) and the wild type (*P < 0.01, Student's t test).

(B) The short siliques of ProDMC1: Aurora1RNAi plants compared with the wild type indicate reduced fertility. Bars = 5 cm.
provided several lines of evidence to support the role of CDC20.1 in meiotic chromosome segregation through a SAC-dependent process. First, cdc20.1 is defective in bivalent alignment at metaphase I (Figure 2), suggesting a possible defect in spindle checkpoint control. This agrees with a previous report that proper chromosome alignment requires establishment of spindle attachment and correct biorientation by SAC associated with CDC20 (Musacchio and Ciliberto, 2012). Second, unequal chromosome segregation at anaphase I indicates defective spindle structure or function. This is supported by the abnormal spindle morphology (Figure 5) observed in cdc20.1. Third, the distribution of various stages of meiotic cells at different time points demonstrated that the kinetics of diakinesis and metaphase I in cdc20.1 cells differ from those in the wild type, similar to the finding that animal cells are arrested at metaphase I in the absence of SAC proteins (Wassmann et al., 2003; Tange and Niwa, 2008). Fourth, patterns of H3S10 and H3T3 phosphorylation were altered in cdc20.1 compared with the wild type (Figures 7 and 8), providing additional indirect evidence for the involvement of CDC20.1 in SAC. Histone H3S10 is one of the substrates of the SAC activator Aurora (Kawabe et al., 2005), whose localization at the centromere requires histone H3T3ph (Wang et al., 2010; F. Wang et al., 2012). Finally, meiosis-specific knockdown of Aurora1 phenocopied the defects in meiotic chromosome alignment and segregation observed in cdc20.1 (Figure 9), similar to previous studies in yeast and animals (Ditchfield et al., 2003; Morrow et al., 2005), further supporting a potential role of CDC20.1 in the regulation of SAC during Arabidopsis meiosis.

On the basis of these results, we present a model to illustrate the mechanism of CDC20.1 function in meiotic chromosome segregation (Figure 11). In the wild type, between diakinesis and metaphase I, kinetochores on each bivalent are attached to spindle microtubules. When erroneous attachments occur, they can be corrected following their detection by SAC. CDC20.1 promotes H3T3 phosphorylation, which facilitates the localization of the SAC activator Aurora at the centromere, thereby promoting phosphorylation of H3S10 to ensure the proper chromosome alignment at metaphase I and subsequent segregation at anaphase I (Figure 11, left panel). In the absence of CDC20.1, lack of H3T3ph results in nonspecific H3S10ph distribution, thus affecting SAC function. As a consequence, erroneous spindle attachments can persist and cause chromosome misalignment at metaphase I.

Figure 10. Analysis of CDC20.1 and CDC20.2 in Related Species.

(A) Conserved tandem arrangements of CDC20.1 and CDC20.2 in A. thaliana, A. lyrata, and C. rubella. Genes that exhibit conserved synteny in the different species are shown as arrows (red, CDC20.1; green, CDC20.2; white, flanking genes), while yellow crosses indicate missing genes at the corresponding positions. Species names and chromosome numbers are shown to the left.

(B) A model proposing the origin of CDC20.1 and CDC20.2 as tandem duplicates in A. thaliana, A. lyrata, and C. rubella. The estimated separation time of A. thaliana and C. rubella is ~15 to 17 MYA, while the estimated separation time of lineage I and II is ~27 to 33 MYA in Brassicaceae (Huang et al., 2015). The blue ovals at the nodes indicate the estimated separation time.

Figure 9. (continued).

(C) Pollen grain viability in wild-type and transgenic anthers as assayed by Alexander staining. Bars = 50 μm.

(D) Chromosome morphology in ProDMC1::Aurora1RNAi meiocytes with misalignment of homologs at metaphase I (yellow arrows) and unequal chromosome segregation at anaphase I (yellow arrow marks lagging DNA) and meiosis II. Bars = 5 μm.
metaphase I and unequal segregation at anaphase I (Figure 11, right panel).

**Conservation and Diversification of CDC20 in Cell Division between Animals and Plants**

CDC20 was first shown to be required for the activation of APC/C to initiate the mitotic metaphase–anaphase transition in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Hartwell et al., 1973). Further studies demonstrated that MAD2 interacts with CDC20 and inhibits its activation of APC/C in yeast and animals (Fang et al., 1998; Sudakin et al., 2001). Recently, Arabidopsis CDC20 was shown to interact with mitotic cyclins CYCA1;1 and CYCB2;2, and SAC proteins such as MAD2 and BubR1 (Kevei et al., 2011), indicating a conserved function for CDC20 in substrate degradation and SAC. However, unlike other organisms, plants have multiple CDC20 copies, opening the possibility that they have functionally diverged. Recently, several CDC20-like genes have been found to regulate meiosis-specific APC/C activity or be otherwise involved in fertility (Pesin and Orr-Weaver, 2008; Cooper and Strich, 2011). For example, the yeast CDC20-like gene *Ama1* is required for metaphase I spindle assembly and cohesion degradation (Cooper et al., 2000; Oelschlaegel et al., 2005; Tan et al., 2011). Also, in Drosophila, a distant member of CDC20, *cort*, is expressed specifically during oogenesis and regulates the metaphase I-to-anaphase I transition (Page and Orr-Weaver, 1996; Harms et al.,
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2000; Chu et al., 2001). In addition, CDC20 is also required for fertility and meiosis I in female mice (Jin et al., 2010). However, the function of CDC20 in plant meiosis was previously unknown. Additional information for CDC20’s role in plant meiosis comes from studies of two Arabidopsis meiotic cell division factors OSD1 and PANS1, which can physically interact with CDC20 in yeast two-hybrid assays (Cromer et al., 2012, 2013). These observations indicate a possible role for CDC20 in the degradation of cyclin and cohesin during chromosome segregation, but this hypothesis has yet to be experimentally tested. A more recent study showed that defective CDC20 in bovine oocytes results in abnormal spindle morphology and reduced MAD2 expression (Yang et al., 2014), but the underlying mechanism is still unclear. Here, we demonstrated that plant CDC20 is required for meiotic chromosome segregation, probably by regulating SAC function, in contrast to animal or yeast homologs, which are involved in APC/C activation. Given that accurate chromosome segregation is important for genome stability and human fertility, the identification of CDC20 as a regulator of SAC-dependent chromosome segregation could have significant implications for human reproductive health and crop genetic breeding.

METHODS

Plant Material and Growth Conditions

In this study, Arabidopsis thaliana, ecotype Col-0 plants were used as the wild type. Two Arabidopsis CDC20.1 T-DNA insertion lines, cdc20.1-1 (CS369798) and cdc20.1-4 (CS369975), and two CDC20.2 T-DNA insertion lines, cdc20.2-1 (SALK_114279c) and cdc20.2-2 (SALK_136710), were used. All mutants were obtained from the ABRC. Arabidopsis plants were grown in an automatically controlled greenhouse under a 16-h-day/8-h-night photoperiod, at 20°C with 70% humidity. For in vitro culture, sterilized Arabidopsis seeds were plated on medium containing 0.5% (w/v) sucrose and 1% (w/v) agar. The two-week-old plants were transferred to a growth chamber with a 16-h-day/8-h-night photoperiod at 20°C with 70% humidity.

Morphological Analysis of Plants

Plants were photographed with a Canon digital camera (Canon500D). Pollen viability was analyzed by staining with Alexander staining (Peterson et al., 2010) at 37°C for 2 h. Tetrad dissection and pollen morphology were assayed as described previously (Wang et al., 2014). Images were collected with a Zeiss Axios Imager A2 microscope. Transverse sections of plastic-embedded anthers were obtained using the Historesin kit following the manufacturer’s instructions (Leica). Then, 2-μm-thick sections were stained with 0.25% toluidine blue.

Expression Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from stage 4-7 inflorescences and leaves using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Male meiocytes were obtained as previously described (Yang et al., 2011). First-strand cDNA was synthesized using the First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Promega). Reverse transcription products were then used as the template for PCR reactions. Quantitative RT-PCR analyses were performed using the Step One Plus Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Reactions contained the SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix (Takara) in a final volume of 25 μL with the appropriate primers (Supplemental Table 1). PCR conditions were 95°C for 5 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 55°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 15 s. Each experiment included three independent biological replicates. Samples were normalized using At-EF1α expression. Relative expression levels were measured using the 2^(-ΔΔCt) analysis method. RT-PCR primers are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

Cytological Procedures

Chromosome spreads, FISH, and immunostaining were performed as described previously (Wang et al., 2014). The Histone H3 phosphorylation was detected using rabbit antiserum specifically recognizing the histone H3 Ser-10 phosphorylation peptide (Millipore), diluted 1:400, and rabbit antiserum recognizing the histone H3T3 phospho-peptide (Millipore), diluted 1:800. SYN1 immunolocalization was performed as described previously (Chelysheva et al., 2010), and rabbit anti-SYN1 was used at a 1:200 dilution. The slides were observed using a Zeiss Axios Imager A2 fluorescence microscope.

Immunolocalization of Tubulin

Inflorescences were fixed in methanol:acetone (4:1). The appropriately staged buds were digested for 2 h at 37°C as described previously (Wang et al., 2014). Meiocytes were stripped, squashed, and immobilized on poly-L-Lys-coated slides and air-dried for subsequent analysis. Slides were incubated in PBS with 1% Triton X-100 for 1 h at room temperature. After two rinses with PBS with 0.1% Tween 20, slides were incubated overnight at 4°C in primary antibodies of mouse antitubulin (Beyotime AT819) at a 1:200 dilution in PBS with 1% BSA and then washed three times in PBS with 0.1% Tween 20 for 10 min each. After 2 h of incubation at 37°C with the secondary antibodies in PBS with 1% BSA, slides were washed in PBS with 0.1% Tween 20 three times for 10 min each and then stained with 8 μL DAPI (Vector Laboratories) and observed with a Zeiss Axios Imager A2 fluorescence microscope.

Statistical Analysis of Meiocyte Distribution at Different Stages

Wild-type and CDC20.1 mutant plants were grown under the same conditions (as described above) until after bolting. Six time points after exposure to light (Huang et al., 2015) were defined (i.e., 2 h [ZT0], 2.5 h [ZT1], 3 h [ZT2], 3.5 h [ZT3], 4 h [ZT4], and 4.5 h [ZT5]), and wild-type and mutant inflorescences were collected at each time point. Inflorescences were fixed in Carnoy’s fluid with ethanol:acetic acid (3:1). Chromosome behavior was analyzed using chromosome spreads stained with DAPI. The meiocytes were observed and counted under a fluorescence microscope (Zeiss Axios Imager A2), and the data were analyzed using Prism 5.0 software.

Plasmid Construction and Plant Transformation

For DNA plasmid construction, a specific ~400-bp region of each gene for amplification using primers (Supplemental Table 1) that included restriction sites Ncol/Spel and XbaI/SalI, respectively. The sense and antisense fragment were digested with Ncol-Spel and SalI-XbaI, respectively, and sequentially cloned into the same sites in the pMeioDMC1-Intron vector. The constructs were introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101 for wild-type Arabidopsis transformation using the floral-dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Positive T1 plants were screened on 0.5× Murashige and Skoog medium containing 25 mg/L hygromycin and transferred to soil in a greenhouse under 20°C, 16 h light/8 h dark.

Evolutionary Analysis of CDC20.1 and CDC20.2

Sequence data used in this study were obtained from Phytozome 10.2 (http://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html). Synteny of CDC20 and
other genes in A. thaliana, Arabidopsis lyrata, Capsella rubella, Brassica rapa, and Thellungiella halophila was qualitatively determined using the Phytozone genome browser. Multiple sequences and protein amino acid sequences of all the duplicated gene pairs were aligned with ClustalW integrated in MEGA 5.2.2 (Tamura et al., 2011). The ds and dn (i.e., the number of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitutions per site) were determined using the aligned coding sequences by yn00 program in PAML 4.3 (Yang, 2007).

Accession Numbers
Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative database and the GenBank/EMBL libraries under the following accession numbers: CDC20.1 (AT4G33270.1, NM_119482), CDC20.2 (AT4G33260.1, NM_119480.3), AUR1 (AT4G32850.1, NM_119436), and EF1α (AT5G65430, AK318784). The sequences used for evolutionary analysis in the following species can be found in the GenBank/EMBL libraries under the following accession numbers: A. thaliana (CDC20.1, NP_195053.1; C. rubella (CDC20.1), XP_006283703.1; B. rapa (CDC20.1), XP_009123591.1; A. thaliana (CDC20.2), NP_195052.1; C. rubella (CDC20.2), XP_006283731.1; and B. rapa (CDC20.2), XP_009138177.1. The A. lyrata CDC20.1 and CDC20.2 sequences are included in XP_002867190.1.
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