Loss of Inositol Phosphorylceramide Sphingolipid Mannosylation Induces Plant Immune Responses and Reduces Cellulose Content in Arabidopsis
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ABSTRACT

Glycosylinositol phosphorylceramides (GIPCs) are a class of glycosylated sphingolipids found in plants, fungi, and protozoa. These lipids are abundant in the plant plasma membrane, forming ~25% of total plasma membrane lipids. Little is known about the function of the glycosylated headgroup, but two recent studies have indicated that they play a key role in plant signaling and defense. Here, we show that a member of glycosyltransferase (GT) family 64, previously named ECTOPICALLY PARTING CELLS1 (EPC1), is likely a Golgi-localized GIPC-specific mannosyl-transferase, which we renamed GIPC MANNOSYL-TRANSFERASE1 (GMT1). Sphingolipid analysis revealed that the Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) gmt1 mutant almost completely lacks mannose-carrying GIPCs. Heterologous expression of GMT1 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Nicotiana tabacum cv Bright Yellow 2 resulted in the production of non-native mannosylated GIPCs. gmt1 displays a severe dwarfed phenotype and a constitutive hypersensitive response characterized by elevated salicylic acid and hydrogen peroxide levels, similar to that we previously reported for the Golgi-localized, GIPC-specific GDP-Man transporter, GONST1 (Mortimer et al., 2013). Unexpectedly, we show that gmt1 cell walls have a reduction in cellulose content, although other matrix polysaccharides are unchanged.
INTRODUCTION

Glycosylinositol phosphorylceramides (GIPCs, Figure 1) and glucosylceramides are the major sphingolipid classes in the plasma membrane of plant cells. GIPCs have been estimated to make up 64% of total sphingolipids, and therefore ~25% of the plasma membrane lipids in the Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) leaf (Markham and Jaworski, 2007). Despite their abundance, the functions of GIPCs in plants are not well understood, although they have been proposed to participate in many important processes, including symbiosis (Perotto et al., 1995), pollen development (Rennie et al., 2014), defense against pathogens (Mortimer et al., 2013; Tartaglio et al., 2016), and membrane organization and trafficking (Mongrand et al., 2004; Cacas et al., 2016).

GIPCs are found only in plants, fungi and some protozoa (Sperling and Heinz, 2003; Sperling et al., 2005). The enzymes involved in GIPC biosynthesis have been well-studied in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Zäuner et al., 2010; Markham et al., 2013). The GIPC ceramide backbone is synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), starting with the condensation of serine and palmitoyl-CoA by serine palmitoyltransferase to produce 3-ketosphinganine. 3-ketosphinganine is reduced to the long chain base (LCB) sphinganine (d18:0) by 3-ketosphinganine reductase. The sphinganine can be used directly or modified by hydroxylation or desaturation for ceramide biosynthesis. The ceramide synthases in Arabidopsis have different substrate preferences. Dihydroxyl LCBs are primarily acylated by ceramide synthase I (CSI) for glucosylceramide biosynthesis. Trihydroxyl LCBs are primarily acylated with very long chain fatty acyl-CoAs by ceramide synthase II (CSII) for both glucosylceramide and GIPC biosynthesis (Markham et al., 2013). For glucosylceramide biosynthesis, the glucosylation occurs in the ER and is catalyzed by glucosylceramide synthase (Hillig et al., 2003). For GIPC biosynthesis, the ceramide is trafficked to the Golgi, where a phosphorylinositol headgroup is added to form an inositol phosphorylceramide (IPC) by IPC synthase (IPCS) (Wang et al., 2008). The IPC core can then be glycosylated by various glycosyltransferases (GTs) to produce mature GIPCs. The biosynthesis of IPCs is well-conserved between plants and fungi (Dunn et al., 2004).
However, plant GIPCs are more highly glycosylated than those in *S. cerevisiae*, usually containing α-glucuronic acid (GlcA) linked to the IPC core structure, to which additional sugar units such as glucosamine (GlcN), N-acetyl-glucosamine (GlcNAc), mannose (Man) and arabinose (Ara) may be attached (Markham et al., 2006; Buré et al., 2011; Markham et al., 2013). In most Arabidopsis tissues, the major GIPC glycosylation consists of one hexose (Hex) attached to GlcA linked to IPC (Hex-GlcA-IPC), whilst in seeds the dominant GIPC contains an amino sugar linked to the GlcA (Tellier et al., 2014). A recent report found that Arabidopsis pollen GIPCs also have a different glycosylation pattern, consisting of a complex array of N-acetyl-glycosylated GIPCs, including species with up to three pentose units (Luttgeharm et al., 2015). Other plant tissues, such as tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) leaves, do not contain the Hex-GlcA-IPC found in Arabidopsis but instead the dominant structure consists of GlcNAc attached to the GlcA linked to IPC (Carter et al., 1958; Kaul and Lester, 1975; Hsieh et al., 1981; Buré et al., 2011). The significance of the glycan structural variation is not clear.

The site of GIPC glycosylation is the Golgi apparatus. The Golgi contains a large and diverse group of membrane-bound GTs responsible for the biosynthesis of non-cellulosic cell wall polysaccharides, and for the glycosylation of glycoproteins and GIPCs. It is not yet clear how this myriad of glycosylation reactions is controlled, and various hypotheses including spatio/temporal separation and substrate channeling have been proposed (Moore et al., 1991; Seifert et al., 2002; Mortimer et al., 2013; Oikawa et al., 2013). This complexity, combined with the notorious difficulty of predicting GT activity based on amino acid sequence and the lack of corresponding GTs in yeast, has meant that the use of bioinformatic approaches to predict the identity of GIPC GTs has been limited.

Recent work has identified the first two genes involved in plant GIPC glycosylation, and demonstrated the importance of the glycan headgroup for plant growth and development (Mortimer et al., 2013; Rennie et al., 2014). INOSITOL PHOSPHORYLCERAMIDE GLUCURONOSYLTRANSFERASE1 (IPUT1) is responsible for transferring GlcA from UDP-GlcA to IPC (Rennie et al., 2014). Mutations in *IPUT1* are not transmitted through the pollen, indicating that GIPCs are critical to pollen function. GOLGI LOCALISED NUCLEOTIDE SUGAR TRANSPORTER1 (GONST1) is a GDP-sugar transporter that appears to specifically
transport GDP-Man into the Golgi for use in GIPC glycosylation (Mortimer et al., 2013). gonst1 Arabidopsis plants have a dwarfed phenotype and a constitutive hypersensitive response, with elevated salicylic acid and hydrogen peroxide levels. gonst1 GIPCs lack mannosylation, and the dominant GIPC headgroup structure is GlcA-IPC. Previously it had been proposed that disruption to the free-ceramide pool induces defense responses and programmed cell death (Wang et al., 2008), but the sphingolipid profile was unchanged in gonst1, suggesting that GIPC misglycosylation itself can trigger this phenotype. This, and other studies, have revealed that increased free-ceramide, increased salicylic acid and cell death may not be directly linked, but, as has been discussed (e.g. Markham et al., 2013), there may be other factors involved. In order to investigate this further, it is important that additional members of the GIPC biosynthetic pathway are identified. Since there is a GIPC-specific GDP-Man transporter, an unidentified Golgi-localized GT is therefore required to transfer the Man onto the GlcA-IPC product.

Previously, a mutant in a member of CAZy GT Family 64 ((Lombard et al., 2014), At3g55830) named *ectopically parting cells1* (*epc1-1*; later referred to in this report as *gmt1-1*) was reported to have reduced cell–cell adhesion in hypocotyl and cotyledon tissues (Singh et al., 2005). The *epc1-1* (*gmt1-1*) plants were also shown to have a severe phenotype characterized by reduced leaf expansion and defects in vascular development. A second publication described a new allele, *epc1-2* (*gmt1-2*), which displayed similar growth phenotypes to *epc1-1* (*gmt1-1*), but no cell adhesion defects were observed (Bown et al., 2007). However, the authors did report that the *epc1-2* (*gmt1-2*) mutant exhibits an exaggerated response to exogenous abscisic acid (ABA), but not to any other hormone tested. Analysis of the *epc1* (*gmt1*) mutants in the two reports did not suggest a biochemical function for of EPC1/GMT1.

In this work, we have investigated the function of EPC1/GMT1, and propose to rename it GIPC MANNOSYL-TRANSFERASE1 (GMT1) based on the evidence described in this report. Re-analysis of published *epc1/gmt1* alleles, along with isolation of a novel allele, *gmt1-3*, revealed a defect in GIPC glycosylation. Heterologous expression of GMT1 in tobacco and yeast resulted in the production of Arabidopsis-like GIPCs in these systems. As with gonst1, the *gmt1* mutants showed severely stunted growth and a constitutive defense response. We also discovered
that *gmt1* also has a reduction in cellulose quantity, although other cell wall components are unaffected. Based on these data, we propose that GMT1 is likely a mannosyltransferase (ManT) that glycosylates the major GIPC classes in Arabidopsis.

**RESULTS**

The phenotype of *gmt1* resembles that of *gonst1*

*gonst1* mutants, which lack a GIPC-specific GDP-Man transporter, are dwarfed and exhibit a constitutive defense response. We noted that this phenotype is reminiscent of the phenotype reported for *epc1* (hereafter referred to as *gmt1*) (Singh et al., 2005; Bown et al., 2007), suggesting that the GT might function in the same pathway as the transporter. We therefore hypothesized that GMT1 (EPC1) could be the GIPC-specific mannosyltransferase. To test this, three allelic homozygous T-DNA insertional mutants, *gmt1-1* (Columbia-0 [Col-0] ecotype; previously described in Singh et al., 2005), *gmt1-2* (Wassilewskija [Ws] ecotype; previously described in Bown et al., 2007), and *gmt1-3* (Col-0; a new allele) were obtained and confirmed as transcriptional knockouts (Supplemental Figure 1). All three *gmt1* allelic mutants have severely stunted growth (Figure 2A), with a fresh weight approximately half that of wild-type (WT) (Figure 2B). *gmt1* plants can successfully complete a full life cycle, but only a small number of viable seeds are produced.

GMT1 is a member of CAZy family GT64 (Supplemental Figure 2). There are two other members in the GT64 family, At1g80290 and At5g04500, although conservation among the three members of the family at the amino acid level is relatively low at ~26% (Supplemental Figure 2, 3). The function of the other two genes remains unknown. Neither of the two genes can functionally compensate for the inactivation of *GMT1* (Bown et al., 2007). The GT64 family described in the CAZy database (http://www.cazy.org/; Coutinho et al., 2003) includes members from a diverse range of species including humans and *Drosophila, Selaginella, Arabidopsis*, and rice. However, whilst most animal proteins contain both a GT64 and GT47 domain, the 143
genes currently annotated as GT64 in the plant CAZyme database have only a GT64 domain (Edvardsson et al., 2010; Ekstrom et al., 2014).

GMT1 is Golgi localized

The GMT1 protein is predicted to be a Type II membrane protein targeted to the secretory pathway. To investigate the subcellular localization of GMT1, the GMT1 coding sequence was fused to YFP (yellow fluorescent protein) at the C-terminus under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter. This construct was co-transformed with the Golgi marker α-mannosidase-ECFP into onion epidermal cells by particle bombardment (Lao et al., 2014). The localization of the fusion proteins was examined by fluorescence confocal microscopy. Consistent with a previous report (Bown et al., 2007), the signal from the Golgi marker co-localizes with that from GMT1 (Supplemental Figure 2).

gmt1 GIPCs have reduced glycosylation.

Plant GIPCs have extensive structural complexity due to the various fatty acids (FA)s, LCBs, and glycan headgroups from which they are made, with about 200 species reported in Arabidopsis (Markham and Jaworski, 2007). The major GIPC structure in callus, as detected by mass spectrometry (MS), was identified as trihydroxyl C18 monounsaturated LCBs acylated to hydroxy C24 monounsaturated LCBs (t18:1/h24:1), which is consistent with previous reports for callus (Mortimer et al., 2013) and leaves (Markham and Jaworski, 2007). The composition of the ceramide moiety (Supplemental Figure 4, Supplemental Data Set 1) in the GIPCs and glycosylceramides of gmt1 was similar compared with WT, suggesting no major changes to the lipid moiety of the GIPCs. GIPCs extracted from Arabidopsis cells contain 1 to 6 sugar residues (Buré et al., 2011) linked to the GlcA-IPC core. However, in WT Arabidopsis callus, the dominant headgroup structure is Hex-GlcA-IPC (where the hexose is a Man, sometimes referred to as Series A GIPCs), with Hex-Hex-GlcA-IPC (Hex2-GlcA-IPC or Series B) the second most abundant (Buré et al., 2011; Mortimer et al., 2013) (Figure 1). In each series, the GIPC molecular species share the same glycosylation structure, but contain many different ceramide structures (Supplemental Data Set 1). GIPCs were extracted from liquid-grown callus and analyzed by LC-MS/MS multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) as previously described (Mortimer et al., 2013).
amounts of GlcA-IPC and Hex$_2$-GlcA-IPC species were estimated using the same quantitative parameter as the Hex-GlcA-IPC, since we do not have access to these as standards (the MS response factor is shown in Supplemental Data Set 2). The relative amounts of each series of GIPCs are shown in Figure 3. The WT membranes contain mostly Hex-GlcA-IPC (~80% for Col-0 and ~90% for Ws) and a minor percentage of Hex$_2$-GlcA-IPC. By comparison, the dominant GIPC series in gmt1 was GlcA-IPC, with the amount of Hex-GlcA-IPC reduced to ~2% for gmt1-1 and gmt1-3 and to ~17% for gmt1-2. No Hex$_2$-GlcA-IPC was detectable in any of the gmt1 lines.

**gmt1 GIPCs have reduced mannosylation**

Since the identity of the hexose could not be determined directly by MS, the GIPC-containing fraction above was then further enriched by anion exchange chromatography hydrolyzed with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), and the monosaccharide composition of the headgroups was determined by high performance anion exchange chromatography coupled with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD) as previously described (Mortimer et al., 2013). The gmt1 mutants showed a significant reduction in Man compared to WT (Table 1, Supplemental Data Set 3). It should be noted that a large (and variable) amount of Glc was detected, although this was not significantly different between the WT and gmt1 lines (Table 1). The amount of Ara became undetectable in gmt1, perhaps suggesting that pentoses are added to a Hex-GlcA-IPC. Alternatively, GMT1 could function as an arabinosyltransferase. We therefore took a heterologous expression approach to testing GMT1 function.

**Heterologous expression of Arabidopsis GMT1 in a GlcA-IPC-producing yeast strain resulted in Hex-GlcA-IPC biosynthesis**

The major GIPC species in yeast are mannosylated IPCs (Obeid et al., 2002). The sur1A knock-out *S. cerevisiae* mutant is able to synthesize and accumulate IPCs, but is defective in the conversion of IPC to mannosylinositol phosphorylceramide (MIPC). The pathway for biosynthesis of GlcA-IPC, which is the predicted substrate of GMT1, has been successfully assembled in *sur1A* yeast by expressing IPUT1, UDP-Glucose Dehydrogenase 2 (UGD2), and human UDP-Galactose Transporter Relative 7 (hUGTrel7, a UDP-GlcA transporter) (Rennie et al., 2014). We additionally introduced GMT1 into this system to test its function, as outlined in
Figure 4A. Lysates from *sur1Δ* yeast expressing *GMT1* and the other three genes were analyzed by immunoblotting to ensure the GMT1 protein was expressed, as shown in Figure 4B.

Lipids from the GMT1-expressing yeast and the control yeast were extracted and analyzed by MS/MS. The production of GlcA-IPC and Hex-GlcA-IPC species with a t18:0-h26:0 ceramide backbone (the predominant *S. cerevisiae* IPC) was monitored (Figure 3C, D). The absolute intensity was used to estimate the amount of GIPC produced, since standards are not available. The level of GlcA-IPC detected in yeast in the absence or presence of GMT1 expression was comparable (Figure 4C, D). However, Hex-GlcA-IPC was detectable only in the GMT1-expressing yeast, albeit at a very low level (Figure 4D). This result indicates that GMT1 is able to add a hexose to the yeast-synthesized IPUT1 product, GlcA-IPC.

An attempt has also been made to demonstrate the *in vitro* activity of GMT1. Whilst we were able to express recombinant GMT1 protein in *Escherichia coli* and to purify a small amount as a soluble fraction, we were unable to identify GlcA-IPC hexosylation by MALDI-MS under the assay conditions tested (Supplemental Figure 5).

**Heterologous expression of Arabidopsis GMT1 in tobacco BY2 cells resulted Hex-GlcA-IPC biosynthesis**

To complement the heterologous expression of GMT1 in yeast, we introduced the GMT1 protein into tobacco BY2 cells. Unlike Arabidopsis, the major GIPCs in tobacco BY2 cells contain GlcN(Ac) linked to the GlcA-IPC core (Bure et al., 2011, 2014) (Figure 5A). *GMT1* and a homolog from GT64, At5g05400 (Supplemental Figure 1), were placed under a constitutive promoter (CaMV 35S) and introduced into tobacco BY2 cells (Figure 5C, D). GIPCs extracted from BY2 cells expressing GMT1 and At5g05400 were analyzed by LC-MS/MS (Figure 5B, Supplemental Figure 6). Hex- and Hex2-GlcA-IPCs were not detected in WT cells, or cells expressing At5g05400. However, GMT1-expressing cells contained ~20% Hex-GlcA-IPC and ~2% Hex2-GlcA-IPCs. The presence of Hex-GlcA-IPC demonstrates that when expressed in tobacco BY2 cells, the GMT1 protein is able to produce Arabidopsis-like, Hexn-GlcA-PCs. The presence of small amounts of Hex2-GIPCs can either be interpreted as GMT1 itself being able to further glycosylate Hex-GlcA-IPC to produce Hex2-GlcA-IPC, or alternatively, tobacco contains other GT(s) able to use Hex-GlcA-IPC as a substrate at a low rate.
Using this system, we now wanted to identify the hexose that was added in the GMT1-expressing BY2 cells. As described above for Arabidopsis, we performed monosaccharide analysis on a TFA-hydrolyzed fraction of the crude GIPCs (Table 2). WT BY2 cells have trace amounts of Man (0.07 ± 0.01 µg/mg) whereas the GMT1 overexpressing lines showed a large and significant increase (0.98 ± 0.20 - 1.45 ± 0.14 µg/mg) in Man. No significant difference in the amount of GlcA was recorded, indicating that the overall quantity of GlcA-IPC had not changed in the extracted crude GIPC fractions (Table 2). It should be noted that the HPAEC-PAD only detects GlcN, since the TFA hydrolyzes GlcNAc to GlcN.

**gmt1 displays a constitutive immune response**

Both gonst1 and gmt1 plants exhibit an early senescence phenotype under normal growth conditions (Figure 2A), as does the pollen-specific rescued iput1 Arabidopsis plant (Tartaglio et al., 2016). Both gonst1 and iput1 display a constitutive defense response, as measured by elevated salicylic acid (SA) and H$_2$O$_2$ levels (Mortimer et al., 2013; Tartaglio et al., 2016), and the early senescence phenotype could be partially rescued by suppression of SA production (Mortimer et al., 2013). To test whether the link between GIPC misglycosylation and plant defense signaling was also seen in the gmt1 plants, we estimated *in situ* H$_2$O$_2$ production by 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining. Darker brown precipitation in gmt1 leaves signified a greater level of constitutive H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation compared with WT (Figure 6A and 6B). The total SA was also quantified in leaves of WT and gmt1 plants by LC/MS. gmt1-1 and gmt1-3 had ~10-fold increase in SA accumulation compared with WT (Figure 6C).

**Golgi-synthesized cell-wall polysaccharides are unaffected in gmt1**

Previously, it had been proposed that GMT1 plays a role in pectin biosynthesis (Singh et al., 2005; Bown et al., 2007). However, the previous studies analyzed a single T-DNA insertion line each, and disagreed on the exact nature of the modification, which was ascribed to different growth conditions between the studies (Bown et al., 2007). Therefore, we collected three independently grown biological replicates (plants grown at three different times; more that one plant was collected each time and the material pooled) of gmt1-1, gmt1-2 and gmt1-3, and their respective WT ecotypes, and prepared destarched cell wall extracts (AIR, Alcohol Insoluble Residue) from liquid-grown callus, 15-d-old agar-grown leaves, and mature stems. The AIR was
hydrolyzed with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) to release non-cellulosic sugars, and the monosaccharides were quantified by HPAEC-PAD (Figure 7A, Supplemental Table 1, Supplemental Data Set 3). Under our growth conditions, no statistically significant difference was detected between the WT and any of the three gmt1 mutants in any of the tissue types analyzed.

**gmt1 has reduced cellulose content**

We then further hydrolyzed the residual TFA insoluble fraction with sulfuric acid to estimate the crystalline cellulose content (Figure 7B, Table 3). Surprisingly, all gmt1 mutants showed a large decrease in cellulose contents for all three tissue types (~22% for leaves, ~31% for callus and ~45% for stem).

To further investigate this reduced level of crystalline cellulose, 1D $^{13}$C solid-state NMR was used to further examine the cell wall structure differences between WT and gmt1-1 (Figure 8A). A linear subtraction of gmt1-1 from WT spectra (Figure 8B) was enriched for signals from the carbons of glucose in cellulose, suggesting a specific reduction in the cellulose content of gmt1-1. These results are consistent with the results of sulfuric acid hydrolysis and confirm that gmt1 has reduced quantities of crystalline cellulose.

**gmt1 has an increase in lignin content**

The solid state NMR data also revealed a slight increase in the signal intensity in the lignin aromatic region (110-155) ppm in gmt1 plants as compared to WT (Figure 7A). It should be noted that the cross-polarization (CP) methods used here preferentially enhances signals from rigid species such as cellulose, as opposed to the more mobile lignin signals (Dick-Perez et al., 2011), so changes to the lignin are less evident. Therefore, we estimated the total lignin content of stems of WT and gmt1 plants using the acetyl-bromide method (Foster et al., 2010). The lignin content of gmt1-1 was increased ~50% as compared to the WT (Table 3), a phenotype that has been reported for other cellulose mutants (Cano-Delgado et al., 2003). It is interesting to note that the reduced level of crystalline cellulose in stems is accompanied by increases in lignin, but not by any compensatory changes to the matrix polysaccharides.
**gmt1 has defective hypocotyl elongation**

Reduced etiolated hypocotyl length is a common phenotype associated with defects in cellulose biosynthesis (Persson et al., 2007; Harris et al., 2012). Seedlings were germinated and grown in the dark or light for 5 days, and hypocotyl length measured (Figure 9A). Whilst *gmt1* showed a slight growth defect following 5 days in the light, there was a severe and significant effect on hypocotyl elongation in the dark of ~50% reduction as compared to WT (Figure 9B).

**DISCUSSION**

A number of recent studies have now revealed that GIPCs are major components of the plant plasma membrane, and that the nature of the glycan headgroup can vary by tissue and species (Cacas et al., 2013; Buré et al., 2014; Tellier et al., 2014). In this work, our goal was to identify proteins involved in GIPC glycosylation. Since the only known GIPC glycosylation mutants are either gametophytic lethal (*iput1*, a GIPC GlcAT, (Rennie et al., 2014)) or dwarfed with a constitutive defense response (*gonst1*, a GIPC-specific GDP-Man transporter (Mortimer et al., 2013)), we screened the literature for GT mutants with severe phenotypes. *gmt1* (*epc1*) was selected as a promising candidate for further investigation, as the reported growth phenotype was reminiscent of *gonst1*. We now conclude that GMT1 is specifically involved in GIPC glycosylation, and likely functions as a GIPC-specific ManT.

We obtained both previously published mutant alleles (*gmt1-1* (Singh et al., 2005), *gmt1-2* (Bown et al., 2007)), as well as a new null mutant allele (*gmt1-3*), and when grown side-by-side, all displayed the *gonst1*-like developmental morphology of short stature, early senescence, poor survival on soil and poor seed set. Under our growth conditions, we did not detect the previously reported phenotypes of defective cell-cell adhesion or changes to the non-cellulosic cell wall fraction, although we could confirm the Golgi localization of the YFP-fusion protein.

When we isolated the GIPCs from *gmt1*, in all lines there was a specific loss of Hex-GlcA-IPC and Hex$_2$-GlcA-IPC, with the *gmt1* GIPC headgroups consisting almost entirely of GlcA-IPC. This biochemical phenotype was very similar to that reported for *gonst1*, although the proportion of Hex-GlcA-IPC loss was higher in *gmt1*. Since MS is used for the headgroup analysis, the identity of the hexoses terminal to the GlcA cannot be determined from this data. However, monosaccharide analysis of the sphingolipid enriched fraction of *gmt1* showed a significant decrease in Man compared to WT. The levels of other monosaccharides were also
reduced in the *gmt1* mutant, including galactose, which has the same mass as Man. It has been demonstrated previously that the first hexose linked to the GlcA is likely Man (Mortimer et al., 2013), and therefore we propose that these changes are due to the loss of sugars that are terminal to the Man, such as those reported previously (Cacas et al., 2013; Buré et al., 2014), and it is expected that not all have been reported. Alternatively, they could derive from non-GIPCs in the analyzed fraction. The sugar composition of the enriched GIPC fraction from callus also revealed the presence of large and variable amount of glucose. The GIPC fraction isolated from WT BY2 cells also contained a very high level of glucose, although no Hex-GlcA-IPC was detected. Thus, we propose that the glucose is derived from unknown molecule(s) other than the GIPC headgroup. We were unable to determine the source of this glucose, but our current hypothesis is that it may come from certain unknown molecules that bound to the GIPCs during the enrichment process.

Enzymatic cleavage of the phosphate group would release the glycan headgroup from the ceramide, enabling the use of standard glycobiology methods to study the range of GIPC headgroups. Although it has been reported that *Brassicacea* extracts (from cabbage and Arabidopsis) have GIPC-specific phosphatase activity (Tanaka et al., 2013), as yet the gene encoding the enzyme responsible has not been cloned. Identification of this enzyme will be very beneficial for GIPC research, enabling NMR characterization of the released glycan.

Since loss of activity in a mutant is not sufficient to infer enzyme activity, we took several approaches to test enzyme activity in a heterologous system. First, we used a yeast system we had previously developed, in which the yeast synthesizes the proposed GMT1 substrate GlcA-IPC (Rennie et al., 2014). When GMT1 was expressed in this yeast strain, we could detect a Hex-GlcA-IPC form of this substrate, although the amount was relatively small. The reasons for the poor hexosylation of the GlcA_IPC are unknown, but it could be that the product is toxic to the cell, that there is not enough of the substrate available, or that the plant proteins show poor activity in yeast. Therefore, we took a second approach using a plant system. Tobacco BY2 cells do not produce a Hex-GlcA-IPC. Instead GlcN(Ac) is linked to GlcA-IPC (Buré et al., 2011). This means that in the tobacco cells, there is native production of the proposed GMT1 substrate, prior to its glycosylation by the unknown tobacco GlcN(Ac) transferase. Expression of Arabidopsis GMT1 (but not a second member of the Arabidopsis GT64 family) resulted in the production of Arabidopsis-like Hex-GlcA-IPCs. Sugar composition
analysis of these lines revealed a significant increase in Man. It should be noted that we did not
detect a significant increase in Ara or other sugars. Whilst large amounts of glucose were
measured in the GMT1 overexpressing lines, it was also present in the untransformed BY2 lines,
where Hex-GlcA-IPCs were not detected. There was an increase in total GIPC content in both
gmt1 Arabidopsis plants and GMT1-overexpressing BY2 lines as compared to WT, while a
reduced GIPC content was measured in the iput1 mutant (Tartaglio et al., 2016). These results
suggest that modification of GIPC headgroups might cause changes to GIPC accumulation. The
reason is unknown, but may be due to feedback mechanisms which detect the abnormal GIPCs.

Our attempts to demonstrate GMT1 in vitro activity using recombinant GMT1 protein
expressed in E. coli have thus far been unsuccessful. Plant glycosyltransferases are notoriously
difficult to demonstrate in vitro activity for, for many proposed reasons including unknown co-
factors and poor protein stability when heterologously expressed (Scheible and Pauly, 2004;
Bencúr et al., 2005)). However, we believe that the heterologous expression of GMT1 in both
yeast and tobacco, as well as the mutant characterization, allows us to conclude that the most
likely function of GMT1 is as a GIPC-specific ManT.

These data, along with the previously reported work on IPUT1 (Rennie et al., 2014; Tartaglio et al., 2016) and GONST1 (Mortimer et al., 2013), confirm that GIPCs are, as in yeast,
glycosylated in the Golgi, and that the biosynthetic pathway is somehow distinct from other
glycosylation processes in the Golgi that use the same substrates, supporting a substrate
channeling hypothesis. However, these data do not reveal the function of the GIPC headgroup.
For this reason, we further investigated the phenotype of the gmt1 plants. Previously, it has been
shown that both gonst1 (Mortimer et al., 2013), pollen-rescued iput1 (Tartaglio et al., 2016) and
erh1 (inositol phosphorylceramide synthase1 (ipcs2) expressing RPW8 (a resistance gene))
(Wang et al., 2008) display constitutive SA accumulation, hypersensitive lesion formation and
stunted growth, which we now report for the gmt1 mutant. The constitutive production of this
defense hormone is likely upstream of the excessive production of reactive oxygen species (here
we measured the most stable species, H₂O₂), which leads to the cell death and senescence
phenotype visible from the seedling stage. We therefore conclude that misglycosylation of
GIPCs specifically induces a constitutive defense response. However, the signaling cascade
leading to this induction remains unknown, and is something we are continuing to investigate.
The degree of GIPC headgroup alteration is linked to the severity of the phenotypes observed. Complete loss of IPUT1, resulting in only an IPC core as the dominant GIPC, is a lethal mutation (Rennie et al., 2012), and affects pollen tube guidance (Tartaglio et al., 2016), whereas both gonst1 (Mortimer et al., 2013) and gmt1, which have GlcA-IPC as the dominant GIPC, have viable pollen. It should be noted that the pollen quality of these plants has not yet been explored. Seed yield is certainly lower than the wild type, but this could also be due to the poor overall health of the parent plants.

One unexpected finding from this study was the reduced quantity of cellulose in the gmt1 mutant, and a concomitant increase in lignin. Cellulose is synthesized at the plasma membrane by the cellulose synthase (CESA) complex (CSC). This rosette of proteins takes UDP-Glc as a substrate from the cytosol and extrudes glucan chains to the exterior of the cell, which then assembles into the crystalline microfibril. The CSC is associated with a large number of other proteins. For example, cytosolic proteins, such as KORRIGAN and CSI1, directly interact with the CESA complex and affect the direction and velocity of the CSC (McFarlane et al., 2014). Extracellular proteins, including glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchored proteins CTL1 and COBRA, also affect CSC motility. GPI anchored proteins are enriched in detergent-resistant membrane fractions (DRMs) (Borner et al., 2003), which are enriched in sphingolipids (including GIPCs) and sterols (Cacas et al., 2016). Whilst CSCs have not been found in DRM fractions, the sterol environment has previously been implicated in CSC function, since several sterol mutants are disrupted in cellulose biosynthesis, and like gmt1, no other changes to the cell wall were reported (Schrick et al., 2004). As expected, mutants in the biosynthesis of sphingolipids are lethal, and although RNAi lines have been made, these were not analyzed for cellulose content (e.g., Chen et al., 2006; Dietrich et al., 2008), something that may now be worth revisiting. The mechanism for how GIPCs may affect cellulose content is not clear. It is possible that the glycan headgroup assists in ordering proteins within lipid “microdomains” (Simons and Sampaio, 2011). It is also possible that the CSCs or associated proteins could interact with the GIPC headgroups, impeding their movement through the plasma membrane. Research trying to understand the constraints on movement of proteins within the plasma membrane has shown that the interactions of the protein with the cell wall are critical (Martinière et al., 2012). In the future, we will use fluorescently tagged CESA proteins to try to understand
whether the insertion rate, velocity or residence time of the CESA rosettes are affected in the

gmt1 plants, in order to try to test these hypotheses.

The recent identification of multiple mutants in GIPC glycosylation processes with
severe but related phenotypes supports a critical role for GIPC headgroups in plant development
and signaling. Future work should focus on linking these biochemical and physiological
phenotypes to mechanistic roles for the glycan headgroup.

**METHODS**

**Phylogenetic analysis:** GT64 protein sequences were downloaded from PlantCAZyme
(Ekstrom et al., 2014) on 2/10/2015. Sequences were aligned using ClustalOmega using default
settings (www.ebi.ac.uk, (McWilliam et al., 2013) and exported in PHYLIP format. The
PHYLIP package (v3.695) was used to produce a phylogeny
(http://evolution.genetics.washington.edu/phylip.html, (Felsenstein, 2005)). Specifically, the
alignment was first resampled using seqboot (2000 replicates, otherwise default settings),
followed by proml (maximum likelihood without a molecular clock) using an outgroup root
(GUX1) and the not-rough analysis. Consense was used to build a rooted tree, which was
visualized using drawgram. Arabidopsis GUX1 was chosen as an outgroup, since it is also a
single transmembrane, Golgi-localized GT (Family 8; Mortimer et al., 2010).

**Plant Material and Growth Conditions:** Arabidopsis thaliana seeds were surface
sterilized and sown on solid medium containing 0.5x Murashige and Skoog salts including
vitamins and 1% (w/v) sucrose. Following stratification (48 h, 4°C, in the dark), plates were
transferred to a growth room (22°C, 100-200 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, 14 h light/10 h dark, 60% humidity).
After 2 to 3 weeks, plants were transferred to Magenta boxes under the same conditions. Liquid
callus cultures were derived from Arabidopsis roots and maintained as described previously
(Prime et al., 2000). All experiments were performed on at least three independently grown
biological replicates unless otherwise stated.

**Mutant Identification:** Seeds of T-DNA mutants in At3g55830 were obtained from the
ABRC (gmtl-1 (SAIL_A11_68, as described by (Singh et al., 2005)) and gmtl-3,
SAIL_303_A11) and a kind donation from Prof. Steven Jackson, University of Warwick (gmtl-
2, (Bown et al., 2007)). Plants homozygous for the T-DNA insertion were identified by PCR
(Phire Plant Direct PCR kit, Finnzymes, Finland), and transcriptional knockouts were identified by RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted from WT and mutant plants using the RNasey Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). RNA was first treated with DNase I (Qiagen,) and first-strand cDNA synthesis was performed using the SuperScript II RT (Life Technologies, NY, USA). \textit{ACTIN7} was used as an internal control. All primers used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table 2.

**Subcellular Localization:** The construction of the pBullet expression clone, transient expression in onion cells and confocal microscopy were performed exactly as described in Lao et al. (2014).

**AIR Preparation:** Samples were collected from inflorescence stems (6-week-old plants), young leaves (15-day-old plants) and liquid-grown callus tissue. The tissue was harvested into 96% ethanol and incubated for 30 min at 70 °C to inactivate cell-wall degrading enzymes. The tissue was homogenized using a Retsch mixer mill and centrifuged. The pellet was washed with 100% ethanol and twice with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (2:1), followed by three successive washes with 65% (v/v), 80% (v/v), and 100% (v/v) ethanol. The pellet was air-dried overnight. The starch in the samples was degraded with α-amylase, amyloglucosidase and pullulanase (Megazyme, Bray, Ireland) as described previously (Harholt et al., 2006). The de-starched residue was referred to as alcohol insoluble residue (AIR).

**Analysis of Cell Wall Monosaccharide Composition:** AIR (5 mg) was hydrolyzed with fresh 2 M trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) at 121°C for 1 h. The supernatant was retained, dried under vacuum and resuspended in 200 µl water. The TFA-insoluble material was washed with water and further hydrolyzed with 72% (v/v) sulfuric acid containing 10 µg myo-inositol for 1 h at room temperature. The sulfuric acid was then diluted to 1M with water, and the samples further incubated at 100 °C for 3h and neutralized with BaCO₃ to provide the crystalline cellulose fraction. Samples were filtered through a 22-µm syringe filter and analyzed by high performance anion exchange chromatography (HPAEC) on an ICS-5000 instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with a CarboPac PA20 (3 mm ×150 mm, Thermo Fisher Scientific) analytical anion exchange column, PA20 guard column (3 mm × 30 mm), borate trap and a pulsed amperometric detector (PAD). In order to achieve full separation of all the major plant cell wall monosaccharides, we used a method modified from Mortimer et al., 2013. Following a 5 min equilibration, the samples were eluted using an isocratic gradient of 4 mM NaOH from 0 - 6 min,
followed by a linear gradient of 4 mM NAOH to 1 mM NaOH from 6 - 19 min. At 19.1 min, the
gradient was increased to 450 mM NaOH to elute the acidic sugars.

**Determination of Acetyl Bromide Soluble Lignin:** Lignin content was estimated from
6-week old stem samples by the acetyl bromide method, with slight modification (Foster et al.,
2010). Five (5) mg of finely ground freeze-dried stem was thoroughly exacted with ethanol/
toluene (1:1) until the extracts no longer absorbed UV light at 280 nm. The dried samples were
placed into loosely capped glass tubes containing 1 mL of acetyl bromide/ acetic acid (1:3) and
incubated at 70°C for 30 min. The sample was then cooled in an ice bath and then mixed with
0.9 mL of 2 M NaOH, 5 mL glacial acetic acid, and 0.1 mL 7.5 M hydroxylamine hydrochloride.
The final volume was adjusted to 10 mL with glacial acetic acid and the absorbance measured at
280 nm using a SpectraMax M2 spectrometer (Molecular Devices). A blank control was used to
correct for background absorbance. The amount of acetyl bromide soluble lignin was calculated
according to the formula described in Foster et al., (2010). The coefficient used for Arabidopsis
was 15.69.

**Cell Wall Analysis by $^{13}$C Solid-State NMR:** $^{13}$C solid-state magic angle spinning NMR
on WT and gmt1-1 air-dried stems was performed at 16.4 T on a Bruker Avance spectrometer
under 15 kHz. A Bruker narrow bore H/C/N MAS probe was used. The $^{13}$C chemical shift was
set on adamantane (38.48 ppm relative to TMS for methylene signal). $^1$H to $^{13}$C cross-
polarization (CP) and two-pulse phase modulation (TPPM) decoupling conditions were
optimized using $^{13}$C-labelled glycine. A contact time of 1 ms and a pulse delay of 6 s were used.

**GIPC Purification and Analysis:** Approximately 1 g of lyophilized callus tissue was
ground with a pestle and mortar, and resuspended in 10 mL deionized water. Lipids were first
extracted with 100 mL (CHCl$_3$/ CH$_3$OH / 4M NH$_4$OH [9:7:2 v/v/v] with 0.2 M C$_2$H$_3$O$_2$NH$_4$) at
37°C and centrifuged for 1 min at 800 x g to separate the organic and aqueous phases (Kaul and
Lester, 1975; Rennie et al., 2014). The top aqueous phase was collected, cooled to -20°C and
then centrifuged again. The bottom phase was collected as the crude GIPC fraction and dried
under a N$_2$ stream. The enrichment of GIPCs from the polar lipid fraction in the bottom phase
was performed using a weak anion exchange SPE cartridge (Strata X-AW; Phenomenex) as
described in Mortimer et al., (2013) with slight modification. The extracted lipid fractions were
resuspended in 1 mL (CHCl$_3$/C$_2$H$_5$OH/18 M NH$_3$/water [10:60:6:24 v/v/v/v]) overnight at room
temperature. After centrifugation (20,000 x g, 5 min), the supernatant was transferred to an AEX
cartridge that had been equilibrated with CHCl₃. The following wash steps were performed using one column volume (3 mL) to elute the non-GIPC fractions: CHCl₃, CHCl₃/CH₃OH (2:1, 1:1, and 1:2 v/v), and CH₃OH. After all the washing steps, the cartridge was dried overnight. Enriched GIPCs were eluted with 3 mL (CHCl₃/C2H₅OH/18 M NH₃/water [10:60:6:24 v/v/v/v]) and dried under a N₂ stream. To estimate the monosaccharide composition of GIPC headgroups, crude or enriched GIPC fractions were hydrolyzed with of 2 M TFA at 121°C for 1 h and analyzed with HPAEC-PAD. For the sphingolipidomics, sphingolipids, total sphingolipids were extracted from dried (30-50 mg) or fresh (100-200 mg) plant materials and subjected to lipid profiling by LC-MS/MS as described previously (Nagano et al., 2014). GIPCs were quantified according to Ishikawa et al., (2016).

**Cloning of Yeast Expression Vectors:** The cloning and construction of yeast expression vectors was described previously (Rennie et al., 2014). Expression of UGD2 and GMT1 was accomplished using the yeast shuttle vector pDRf1-GW-PHXT7 described in Eudes et al., (2011). The UGD2 coding sequence was inserted into the Gateway site of this vector. The GMT1 coding sequence was amplified from the Arabidopsis GT clone collection (Lao et al., 2014) with primers including a C-terminus HA tag sequence and was cloned into the multiple cloning site of the second yeast expression cassette in this vector using the NotI restriction site. Finally, the PHXT7 promoter in this cassette was replaced with PTEF1 using SacI and XmaI restriction sites in order to ensure that expression of GMT1 was similar to that of the other heterologous genes. The three vectors were co-transformed into yeast strain BY4742 using the Frozen-EZ Yeast Transformation II Kit™ (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) and plated on appropriate selective media. Yeast proteins were extracted and prepared for immunoblotting as in Rennie et al., (2014). Protein blots were probed with a 1:1000 dilution of rat monoclonal anti-HA antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) followed by a 1:15,000 dilution of goat anti-rat IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Sigma-Aldrich). The transformed yeast strain was grown for 72 h in the selective medium for GIPC analysis. The yeast cells were subjected to lipid profiling by LC-MS/MS as described previously (Nagano et al., 2014).

**Transformation of BY2 Cells:** The coding sequences of GMT1 (At3g55830) and At5g04500 were cloned into pDONR207 (Invitrogen) and transferred to pH2GW7 (Karimi et al., 2002). Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of BY2 cells were performed as described previously (Kawai-Yamada et al., 2004). Hygromycin-resistant cells were collected for lipid
analysis and RT-PCR. NtPP2A was used as a stable control gene (Schmidt and Delaney, 2010). The sugars and lipids of GIPC from BY2 cells were quantified as described above.

**Histochemical Detection of H$_2$O$_2$:** Detection of H$_2$O$_2$ was performed by endogenous peroxidase-dependent histochemical staining using 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB) a described in Mortimer et al., (2013). Leaves of 15-day-old agar grown plants were submerged in 1 mL buffer (100 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 6.8) or 1 mg mL$^{-1}$ DAB in buffer. After 4 min of vacuum infiltration, leaves were incubated at 22°C under a light intensity of 100-200 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for 6 h period. Leaves were cleared for 30 min in 96% (v/v) ethanol solution at 70°C and examined using a light microscope.

**Quantitation of Total Leaf SA:** For total SA determination, 500 mg leaves were frozen and ground in liquid nitrogen. The powder obtained was mixed with 1 mL 80% (v/v) methanol and mixed for 15 min at 70°C. This step was repeated four times. Pooled extracts were centrifuged and filtered through Amicon Ultra centrifugal filters (10,000 Da MW cutoff, EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA). Conjugated SA in the filtered extracts was dried and hydrolyzed in 1 N HCl at 95 °C for 3 h. The mixture was subjected to three ethyl acetate partitioning steps. Ethyl acetate fractions were pooled, dried *in vacuo*, and resuspended in 50% (v/v) methanol. SA was quantified using HPLC-electrospray ionization (ESI)-time-of-flight (TOF) MS. The detailed running condition were previously described (Eudes et al., 2013).

**Expression of GMT1 in E. coli and in vitro enzyme assay:** The GMT1 coding sequence was cloned into the pVP16 Gateway vector (Thermo-Fisher) containing an N-terminus 8x His and maltose binding protein (MBP) tag and transformed into *E. coli* One-Shot BL21 (DE3) competent cells (Thermo-Fisher). A single colony of the recombinant strain was inoculated into Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing 50 μg/ml kanamycin and cultured at 37 °C. An overnight treatment of 1 mM isopropylthiogalactoside (IPTG) at 18°C was used for the induction of GMT1. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and lysed using sonication. The protein in the supernatant was purified by amylose resin (New England BioLabs). Purified protein fractions were boiled for 5 min and analyzed by 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE). Proteins were visualized by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining, and protein identity confirmed by MS. To assay for GlcA-IPC mannosyltransferase activity, each reaction contained 50 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.0), 5 mM MnCl$_2$, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM DTT, 400 mM sucrose, 10 μg purified protein fractions, 15 nmol
GlcA-IPC enriched from *gmtl* callus as an acceptor and 10 µM GDP-Man/UDP-Glc as a substrate in a total volume of 50 µL. Reactions were incubated at 21°C for 2h, and the reaction was then stopped by adding CHCl₃/ CH₃OH / 4M NH₄OH [9:7:2 v/v/v].

**Accession numbers**

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL libraries under accession numbers NP_191142.1 (At3g55830, GMT1) and NP_196070.2 (At5g04500).
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Example GIPC structure, including the nomenclature used in this manuscript. The most abundant GIPC in Arabidopsis callus, t18:1/h24:1, is shown here, with the various sugar headgroup structures described in this article. It should be noted that although the final hexose is shown here as α-1,4-glucose, its identity is as yet unknown. The LCB nomenclature of t18:1 indicates that it is a trihydroxyl LCB (i.e., it has 3 hydroxyl groups), a single double bond and 18 carbon atoms. An LCB with only 2 hydroxyl groups (dihydroxy LCB) would be referred to as d18:1.

Figure 2: Phenotype of gmt1. (A) Top row: 15-day-old agar-grown WT and gmt1 plants. Scale bar = 1 cm. Bottom row: 6-week-old WT and gmt1 plants. Scale bar = 3 cm. (B) Fresh weight of seedlings of 15-day-old, agar-grown WT and gmt1, n = 6-16 individual plants grown simultaneously, ± SD; an asterisk indicates a significant difference from the WT (Student’s t test, p < 0.01).

Figure 3: Analysis of gmt1 GIPCs. GIPCs were isolated from WT and gmt1 callus total membrane preparations. The GIPCs were analyzed by MS and categorized according to the number of hexoses in the sugar moiety (Hexn-GlcA-IPC). Amounts were determined based on the peak areas of detected GIPCs, n = 3, ± SD; an asterisk indicates a significant difference from the WT (Student’s t test, p < 0.01). See Supplemental Data Set 1 for the raw data used in preparation of this figure.

Figure 4: Heterologous expression of GMT1 in GlcA-IPC-synthesizing yeast. (A) The proposed pathway for producing the Hex–GlcA-IPC using yeast. UDP-Glucose Dehydrogenase 2 (UGD2), human UDP-Galactose Transporter Relative 7 (hUGTrel7), IPUT1 as
previously reported (Rennie et al., 2014) and GMT1 were expressed in sur1A yeast. (B) Immunoblot showing expression of the GMT1 protein in yeast expressing UGD2, hUGTrel7 and IPUT1 (-) and yeast expressing UGD2, hUGTrel7, IPUT1 and GMT1 (+). The predicted size of GMT1 is 37 kDa. (C, D) MS/MS chromatograms showing the production of GlcA-IPC (black line) and Hex-GlcA-IPC (red dashed line) from yeast expressing UGD2, hUGTrel7 and IPUT1 (C) and yeast expressing UGD2, hUGTrel7, IPUT1 and GMT1 (D).

**Figure 5: Heterologous expression of Arabidopsis GMT1 in tobacco BY2 cells.** (A) The proposed mechanism for the production of Hex-GlcA-IPCs in BY2 cells expressing Arabidopsis GMT1. (B) Arabidopsis GMT1 was expressed in tobacco BY2 cells under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter. GIPCs were isolated and characterized by LC-MS and the data grouped by GIPC headgroup composition. A second member of the Arabidopsis GT64 family, At5g04500 was also transformed into BY2 cells as a control. Three independently transformed lines were analyzed for each transgene, \( n = 3 \), ± SD. RT-PCR showing expression of (C) GMT1 and (D) At5G04500, along with a control gene, NtPROTEIN PHOSPHATASE2A (NtPP2A). It should be noted that for clarity, the tobacco headgroup structure is shown as HexN(Ac), but it has been demonstrated to be GlcN(Ac) (Kaul and Lester, 1975; Hsieh et al., 1978).

**Figure 6: H2O2 and SA in gmt1 leaves.** (A) H2O2 detection in 15-day-old, agar grown leaves by DAB staining. Scale bar = 1 mm. (B) Percentage and extent of leaves stained by DAB \( (n = 21-34 \) individual plants). (C) Total SA content of 15-day-old, agar-grown leaves, \( n = 3 \), ± SD; an asterisk indicates a significant difference from the WT (Student’s \( t \) test, \( p < 0.01 \)).

**Figure 7: Cell wall composition analysis.** (A) Monosaccharide analysis of WT and gmt1 leaves, \( n = 3 \), ± SD. De-starched AIR was hydrolyzed with 2 M TFA and the released monosaccharides were quantified by HPAEC-PAD. (B) Crystalline cellulose content of gmt1 callus, leaves and stems. Following sulfuric acid hydrolysis of the TFA-insoluble fraction of AIR, the glucose released was quantified by HPAEC-PAD, \( n = 3 \); ± SD; an asterisk indicates a significant difference from the WT (Student’s \( t \) test, \( p < 0.01 \)).

**Figure 8: Solid-state\(^{13}\)C CP MAS NMR spectra of WT and gmt1-1 stems.** (A) \(^{13}\)C magic-angle-spinning solid-state NMR spectra of WT (black) and gmt1-1 (red) stems. As previously described (Zhang et al., 2016), the signal intensity was normalized to the aliphatic protein region (35-25 ppm) with the assumption that the gmt1-1 mutant does not show significant
changes to proteins or waxes. (B) The subtraction spectrum (WT - gmt1-1) reveals specific decreases in the cellulose carbons in gmt1-1. Cn refers to the carbons in the cellulosic glucose, where C1 is the anomeric C.

**Figure 9:** Skotomorphogenic response of gmt1. (A) 5-day-old dark-grown (top) and light-grown (bottom) WT and gmt1 seedlings. Scale bar = 1 cm. (B) Quantitation of etiolated hypocotyl length, n = 8-12 individual plants grown simultaneously, ± SD; an asterisk indicates a significant difference from the WT (Student’s t test, p < 0.01).

**Tables**

**Table 1:** Monosaccharide composition of an enriched GIPC fraction isolated from Arabidopsis WT and gmt1 callus. An enriched GIPC fraction from gmt1 and WT callus was hydrolyzed with TFA. The monosaccharides released were quantified by HPAEC-PAD, n = 4-6, ± SD. Bold indicates a significant difference from the WT (Student’s t test, p < 0.01).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monosaccharide (µg/mg DW)</th>
<th>Ara</th>
<th>GlcN</th>
<th>Gal</th>
<th>Glec</th>
<th>Man</th>
<th>GlecA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT Col0</td>
<td>0.17±0.04</td>
<td>0.04±0.02</td>
<td>0.13±0.06</td>
<td>2.07±0.57</td>
<td>1.53±0.29</td>
<td>0.24±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gmt1-1</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.05±0.06</td>
<td>1.09±0.60</td>
<td><strong>0.43±0.12</strong></td>
<td>0.32±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gmt1-3</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.04±0.00</td>
<td>1.67±0.99</td>
<td><strong>0.42±0.20</strong></td>
<td>0.45±0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Monosaccharide composition of a crude GIPC fraction isolated from tobacco BY2 cells overexpressing Arabidopsis GMT1. An enriched GIPC fraction from WT and three independently transformed lines were hydrolyzed with TFA. The monosaccharides released were quantified by HPAEC-PAD, n = 3, ± SD. Bold indicates a significant difference from the WT (Student’s t test, p < 0.01).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monosaccharide (µg/mg DW)</th>
<th>Ara</th>
<th>GlcN</th>
<th>Gal</th>
<th>Glec</th>
<th>Man</th>
<th>GlecA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>1.18±0.37</td>
<td>2.14±0.45</td>
<td>3.97±1.41</td>
<td>12.77±5.84</td>
<td>0.07±0.01</td>
<td>0.99±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMT1_OX1</td>
<td>1.92±0.92</td>
<td>2.98±1.43</td>
<td>3.13±1.94</td>
<td>18.48±4.88</td>
<td><strong>1.45±0.14</strong></td>
<td>1.00±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMT1_OX2</td>
<td>2.07±0.55</td>
<td>3.18±0.65</td>
<td>2.07±0.33</td>
<td>11.02±4.92</td>
<td><strong>1.28±0.43</strong></td>
<td>0.86±0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Cellulose and lignin content of gmt1 and WT stems. Stem cellulose content was estimated by sulfuric acid-hydrolysis of TFA-resistant AIR. The glucose released was quantified by HPAEC-PAD. The lignin content of stem tissue was estimated using the acetyl bromide method, $n = 3$, ± SD. Bold indicates significant difference from the WT (Student’s $t$ test, $p < 0.01$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lignin (µg/mg)</th>
<th>Cellulose (µg/mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT Col0</td>
<td>110.0±12.4</td>
<td>453.7±60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gmt1-1</td>
<td>221.3±38.0</td>
<td>248.7±34.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 3. Analysis of *gmt1* GIPCs. GIPCs were isolated from WT and *gmt1* callus total membrane preparations. The GIPCs were analyzed by MS and categorized according to the number of hexoses in the sugar moiety (Hex$_n$-GlcA-IPC). Amounts were determined based on the peak areas of detected GIPCs, $n = 3$, ± SD; an asterisk indicates a significant difference from the WT (Student’s $t$ test, $p < 0.01$). See Supplemental Data Set 1 for the raw data used in preparation of this figure.
**Figure 4.** Heterologous expression of GMT1 in GlcA-IPC synthesizing yeast. (A) The proposed pathway for producing the Hex–GlcA-IPC using yeast. UDP-Glucose Dehydrogenase 2 (UGD2), human UDP-Galactose Transporter Relative 7 (hUGTrel7), IPUT1 as previously reported (Rennie et al., 2014) and GMT1 were expressed in sur1Δ yeast. (B) Immunoblot showing expression of the GMT1 protein in yeast expressing UGD2, hUGTrel7 and IPUT1 (−) and yeast expressing UGD2, hUGTrel7, IPUT1 and GMT1 (+). The predicted size of GMT1 is 37 kDa. (C, D) MS/MS chromatograms showing the production of GlcA-IPC (black line) and Hex-GlcA-IPC (red dashed line) from yeast expressing UGD2, hUGTrel7 and IPUT1 (C) and yeast expressing UGD2, hUGTrel7, IPUT1 and GMT1 (D).
Figure 5. Heterologous expression of Arabidopsis GMT1 in tobacco BY2 cells. (A) The proposed mechanism for the production of Hex-GlcA-IPCs in BY2 cells expressing Arabidopsis GMT1. (B) Arabidopsis GMT1 was expressed in tobacco BY2 cells under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter. GIPCs were isolated and characterized by LC-MS and the data grouped by GIPC headgroup composition. A second member of the Arabidopsis GT64 family, At5g04500 was also transformed into BY2 cells as a control. Three independently transformed lines were analyzed for each transgene, $n = 3$, ± SD. RT-PCR showing expression of (C) GMT1 and (D) At5G04500, along with a control gene, NtPROTEIN PHOSPHATASE2A (NtPP2A).
Figure 6. H$_2$O$_2$ and SA in gmt1 leaves. (A) H$_2$O$_2$ detection in 15-day-old, agar grown leaves by DAB staining. Scale bar = 1 mm. (B) Percentage and extent of leaves stained by DAB ($n$ = 21-34 individual plants). (C) Total SA content of 15-day-old, agar-grown leaves, $n$ = 3, ± SD; an asterisk indicates a significant difference from the WT (Student’s t test, $p < 0.01$).
Figure 7. Cell wall composition analysis. (A) Monosaccharide analysis of WT and gmt1 leaves, $n = 3$, $\pm$ SD. De-starched AIR was hydrolyzed with 2 M TFA and the released monosaccharides were quantified by HPAEC-PAD. (B) Crystalline cellulose content of gmt1 callus, leaves and stems. Following sulfuric acid hydrolysis of the TFA-insoluble fraction of AIR, the glucose released was quantified by HPAEC-PAD, $n = 3$; $\pm$ SD; an asterisk indicates a significant difference from the WT (Student’s $t$ test, $p < 0.01$).
Figure 8. Solid-state $^{13}$C CP MAS NMR spectra of WT and gmt1-1 stems. (A) $^{13}$C magic-angle-spinning solid-state NMR spectra of WT (black) and gmt1-1 (red) stems. As previously described (Zhang et al., 2016), the signal intensity was normalized to the aliphatic protein region (35-25 ppm) with the assumption that the gmt1-1 mutant does not show significant changes to proteins or waxes. (B) The subtraction spectrum (WT - gmt1-1) reveals specific decreases in the cellulose carbons in gmt1-1. Cn refers to the carbons in the cellulosic glucose, where C1 is the anomeric C.
Figure 9. Skotomorphogenic response of gmt1. (A) 5-day-old dark-grown (top) and light-grown (bottom) WT and gmt1 seedlings. Scale bar = 1 cm. (B) Quantitation of etiolated hypocotyl length, n = 8-12 individual plants grown simultaneously, ± SD; an asterisk indicates a significant difference from the WT (Student’s t test, p < 0.01).
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