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Abstract

Plants close stomata when root water availability becomes limiting. Recent studies have demonstrated that soil-drying induces root-to-shoot sulfate transport via the xylem and that sulfate closes stomata. Here we provide evidence for a physiologically relevant signaling pathway that underlies sulfate-induced stomatal closure in Arabidopsis thaliana. We uncovered that in the guard cells sulfate activates NADPH oxidases to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) and that this ROS induction is essential for sulfate-induced stomata closure. In line with the function of ROS as the second-messenger of abscisic acid (ABA) signaling, sulfate does not induce ROS in the ABA-synthesis mutant, aba3-1, and sulfate-induced ROS were ineffective at closing stomata in the ABA-insensitive mutant ab12-1 and a SLOW ANION CHANNEL 1 (SLAC1) loss-of-function mutant. We provided direct evidence for sulfate-induced accumulation of ABA in the cytosol of guard cells by application of the ABAleon2.1 ABA sensor, the ABA signaling reporter ProRAB18:GFP, and quantification of endogenous ABA marker genes. In concordance with previous studies, showing that ABA DEFICIENT 3 (ABA3) uses cysteine as the substrate for activation of the ABA biosynthesis to close stomata and that sulfate-feeding or cysteine-feeding induces transcription of NINE-ÇIS-EPOXYCAROTENOID DIOXYGENASE 3 (NCED3), limiting the synthesis of the AAO3 substrate. Consequently, cysteine synthesis-depleted mutants are sensitive to soil-drying due to enhanced water loss. Our data demonstrate that sulfate is incorporated into cysteine and tunes ABA biosynthesis in leaves, promoting stomatal closure, and that this mechanism contributes to the physiological water limitation response.
Introduction

Global warming causes more frequent extreme weather conditions, provoking longer periods of drought, high temperatures and low humidity. The sum of these unfavorable conditions decreases the yield of important crops by up to 50% (Lobell et al., 2014). Sesile organisms, like plants, must integrate multiple environmental signals into their physiological processes to optimize the utilization of energy and chemical resources under varying conditions. This allows plants to cope with stress conditions at the expense of biomass production. Stomatal aperture regulation is a prime example of such switches between growth and stress responses in plants (Rosenberger and Chen, 2018). Stomatal aperture increases facilitate water and carbon dioxide exchange to support photosynthesis. Abiotic stress conditions like drought, heat or intense light as well as biotic stresses close stomata (Tardieu, 2016; Devireddy et al., 2018). Accordingly, the mechanisms that underlie dynamic stomatal movements are crucial for optimizing agricultural production, especially in suboptimal conditions.

Stomatal movement is driven by an osmotic motor that is based on uptake and release of potassium and counter anions chloride and malate by guard cells (Hedrich, 2012). For stomatal opening, a set of membrane ion channels, transporters, and pumps shuttle ionic osmotica to guard cells (Meyer et al., 2010; Laanemets et al., 2013; Merilo et al., 2013). The slow anion channels of the SLAC/SLAH-type represent a master switch for drought-induced stomatal closure (Vahisalu et al., 2008). The drought stress hormone ABA is a key signal inducing stomatal closure. In the guard cells, ABA acts via the PYRABACTIN RESISTANCE/PYRABACTIN LIKE-ABA INSENSITIVE 1-OPEN STOMATA 1 (PYR-/PYL-ABI1-OST1) receptor-phosphatase-kinase core signaling pathway and controls the phosphorylation-dependent activity of SLAC1 (Geiger et al., 2009). In addition, ABA tunes the activity of NADPH oxidases (RBOHD, RBOHF) for production of ROS in an OST1-dependent manner (Mustilli et al., 2002; Kwak et al., 2003; Sirichandra et al., 2009; Shang et al., 2016, reviewed in Sierla et al., 2016). Stimulation of plasma membrane Ca^{2+}-permeable channels by ROS is essential for ABA-induced stomatal closure (Pei et al., 2000; Kwak et al., 2003; Sierla et al., 2016). However, the upstream intra- and intercellular signaling cascades that lead to ABA accumulation in guard cells via ABA uptake, ABA degradation (Kuromori et al., 2018) and cell autonomous ABA synthesis (Bauer et al., 2013) remain elusive.

Water uptake from the soil is mainly mediated by roots. Therefore, it had long been accepted that ABA served as a long-distance root-to-shoot drought signal for stomatal closure (Wilkinson and Davies, 2002; Seo and Koshiba, 2011). Recent studies show that ABA produced in roots is neither sufficient nor necessary to drive stomatal closure (Christmann et al., 2007). Rather, in drought conditions, ABA produced in leaf vascular parenchyma participates in stomatal closure. In the vasculature, ABA biosynthesis is supposed to be limited by NCED3 activity, which is a drought-stress-induced isoform of five NCED genes contributing to
ABA biosynthesis (Endo et al., 2008; Nambara and Marion-Poll, 2005; Seo and Koshiba, 2011). Importantly, guard cells also harbor the machinery to produce ABA autonomously and it has been shown that this biosynthesis is sufficient to close stomata in response to decreased relative humidity (Bauer et al., 2013).

Together with ABA, sulfate is also reported to be a chemical signal observed under drought stress that enhances the anti-transpiring effect of ABA (Goodger et al., 2005; Ernst et al., 2010). Extracellular sulfate is proposed to gate the R-Type anion channel QUAC1 and thereby may contribute to feed-forward stomatal closure upon drought (Meyer et al., 2010; Malcheska et al., 2017). Sulfate can also induce the transcription of NCED3 in guard cells but the physiological relevance of the weak NCED3 induction for total ABA production is unclear (Malcheska et al., 2017). Remarkably, sulfide is proposed to be a gasotransmitter involved in stomatal closure (Lisjak et al., 2010; Jin et al., 2013; Honda et al., 2015). Sulfide is primarily produced in leaves by sulfite reductase (SiR), which catalyzes the last and committed step of sulfate reduction (Khan et al., 2010). However, the mode of action of sulfate or sulfide on stomata closure has not been identified due to the dual nature of these compounds as potential signaling molecules and as part of the primary metabolic network (Scuffi et al., 2014; Honda et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016).

Stomatal closure is also controlled by the activity of the general growth regulator Target of Rapamycin (TOR). The TOR kinase phosphorylates the ABA receptor PYL1 at Ser\textsuperscript{119} to repress stress responses under favorable conditions. This phosphorylation site is conserved in most PYR/PYL-proteins. Phosphorylation of PYL1 inhibits ABA binding and suppresses OST1 (SnRK2.6) activity by releasing constitutively active protein phosphatases of clade 2C (PP2Cs, Wang et al., 2018). Sulfate availability affects TOR kinase activity via the established glucose TOR signaling (Dong et al., 2017). As a result of the multiple connections between sulfur-metabolism and stomatal closure, the molecular mechanism of sulfate-induced stomatal closure remains to be elucidated.

Here we show that sulfate must be incorporated into cysteine to trigger stomatal closure. Cysteine promotes stomatal closure by activating ABA biosynthesis in leaves, which results in significant accumulation of ABA in the guard cells and stomatal closure after petiole feeding of sulfate or cysteine. Stomata in epidermal peels are still reactive to external application of sulfate or cysteine in the absence of the vasculature. We demonstrate that activation of the second messenger ROS by ABA in the guard cells of epidermal peels is essential for closure of stomata by sulfate or cysteine. Cysteine tunes ABA synthesis by transcriptional induction of NCED3 and, as shown previously, serves as the substrate of the molybdenum cofactor sulfonylase ABA3 activating the last step of ABA biosynthesis. Consequently, sulfate and cysteine fail to trigger stomatal closure in NCED3 or ABA3 loss-of-function mutants. In concordance with the decisive function of cysteine for tuning ABA biosynthesis, cysteine synthesis depleted mutants are sensitive to soil
drying. We furthermore reveal that the constitutive closed stomata phenotype of the γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase-depleted cad2-1 mutant is due to cysteine accumulation. We conclude from these results that cysteine biosynthesis contributes to the regulation of ABA biosynthesis in leaves and that this mechanism is vital for the physiological response to soil drying.

Results

Sulfate induces stomatal closure

Since limited water accessibility increases the sulfate concentration of the xylem sap in drought-stressed maize (*Zea mays*) plants from 0.8 to 2 mM (Ernst et al., 2010), we tested the impact of increasing sulfate concentrations on maize guard cells in epidermal peels and in detached leaves that were fed with sulfate via petioles. In both approaches, increasing sulfate concentrations from 0 to 2 mM caused significant stomatal closure (p<0.05), while application of 0.8 mM sulfate had no statistically significant impact on maize stomatal closure (Supplemental Fig 1). To allow application of reverse genetics approaches for the study of sulfate-induced stomatal closure in vascular plants, we established a sulfate-dependent stomatal closure assay in Arabidopsis by floating isolated epidermal peels on sulfate solutions (pH 5.5) with various concentrations. A 2 mM sulfate treatment resulted in a significant decrease in stomatal aperture within 180 min and the amplitude of the effect was concentration-dependent (Fig. 1A). To test the effect of sulfate in a more physiologically relevant system, we detached leaves from well-watered *Arabidopsis* plants and fed those leaves with 2 mM sulfate (pH 5.5) via the petiole. In this system, sulfate reaches the stomata via the xylem, and it significantly decreased stomatal aperture within 30 min. When sulfate treatment was prolonged for 180 min, stomatal aperture reached its minimum and was indistinguishable from the stomatal closure induced by ABA (50 μM, Fig. 1B). Application of control solution (pH 5.5) did not affect stomatal closure of detached leaves within the time frame of the experiment. Moreover, we found that specifically sulfate-containing mineral salts lead to stomatal closure. Application of other soil-borne nutrients like nitrate (15 mM MgNO₃) and phosphate (15 mM KH₂PO₄) did not affect stomatal closure (Fig. 1D). These results demonstrate that specifically sulfate is sufficient to cause closure of stomata and that, after exogenous application, xylem-delivered sulfate decreases the transpiration of wild-type leaves significantly (Fig. 1C, p<0.05).

Sulfate induces the formation of ROS in guard cells by activation of NADPH oxidases

In guard cells, the drought stress hormone ABA induces production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as hydrogen peroxide, which was shown to trigger stomatal closure (Pei et al., 2000, Hua et al., 2012). To test whether sulfate affects stomatal movement by interfering with ROS signaling, we monitored guard cell ROS production in response to sulfate treatment. Reactive oxygen species were determined by in vivo staining
with the H$_2$O$_2$-selective dye 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H$_2$DCF-DA). Sulfate application (15 mM) significantly (p<0.05) increased H$_2$O$_2$ levels in guard cells to a similar extent as the stress hormone ABA (Fig. 1E). ABA induces ROS formation in guard cells by specific activation of plasma membrane-localized NADPH oxidases via OST1 (Mustilli et al., 2002; Sirichandra et al., 2009). NADPH oxidases can be inhibited selectively by diphenylene iodonium (DPI, 10 µM; Cross and Jones, 1986). The addition of 10 µM DPI to the sulfate or ABA containing solution prevented the formation of ROS (Fig. 1F) and sulfate-induced stomatal closure (Fig. 1G). This finding is in line with the hypothesis that sulfate can mediate stomata closure via activation of NADPH oxidase-dependent ROS formation in guard cells.

**SLOW-ANION CHANNEL 1 is required for sulfate induced stomata closure**

Sulfate directly activates the R-type anion channel QUAC1 in *Xenopus* oocytes, and the *quac1* Arabidopsis mutant fails to close stomata upon sulfate application (Macheska et al., 2017). SLAC1 is the major guard cell S-type anion channel in Arabidopsis and is not thought to be activated by sulfate (Vahisalu et al., 2008). When epidermal peels of the *slac1*-3 mutant were exposed to either sulfate or ABA, ROS formation was observed (Fig. 2A), but stomata did not close in response to sulfate (Fig. 2B) or ABA (Vahisalu et al., 2008; Meyer et al., 2010, Negi et al., 2008). These results demonstrate that SLAC1, like QUAC1, is essential for sulfate-induced stomatal closure and suggests that sulfate uses a signaling pathway that addresses both anion channels.

**Sulfate-induced stomatal closure requires ABA production and ABA signal transduction by ABI2**

We next addressed the mechanism by which sulfate activates the guard cell S-type anion channel, SLAC1. Like QUAC1, SLAC1 is activated via ABA signaling, a process that requires inhibition of the type 2 protein phosphatase ABI2 (Murata et al., 2001). To test whether sulfate affects SLAC1 via a phosphorylation-dephosphorylation mechanism, a mutant with constitutively active PP2C protein phosphatase ABI2 (*abi2*-1, *ABA insensitive* 2-1) was exposed to sulfate. This mutant exhibits constitutively open stomata and drought stress sensitivity. The *abi2*-1 mutant can produce ABA but the activation of an S-type anion channel by ABA is impaired downstream of ROS production (Pei et al., 1997; Murata et al., 2001). Accordingly, the application of sulfate caused ROS formation in *abi2*-1 guard cells (Fig 2D) but failed to close stomata (Fig 2D).

In contrast to *abi2*-1, the *aba3*-1 mutant cannot synthesize drought stress-relevant levels of ABA (Bauer et al., 2013). In the *aba3*-1 mutant, feeding of sulfate did not induce ROS production and failed to close the stomata (Fig 2C, D). This result strongly suggests that sulfate-induced ROS production is a consequence of sulfate-induced ABA biosynthesis. To provide an independent line of evidence for the essential function of ABA3 during sulfate-induced stomatal closure, we fed sulfate and ABA via the petiole to detached leaves of the wild-type and the *aba3*-1 mutant (Supplemental Fig. 2). ABA feeding via the petiole decreased water loss
of wild-type and the \textit{aba3-1} mutant when compared to control conditions. Remarkably, petiole feeding of sulfate did not affect the transpiration rate of the \textit{aba3-1} mutant but sulfate decreased the water loss of wild-type to the same extent as ABA (Supplemental Fig. 2A). These results demonstrate that sulfate-induced stomatal closure requires de novo ABA synthesis and a functional ABA3 protein.

**Sulfate triggers ABA production in guard cells**

To test whether sulfate affects intrinsic ABA levels, we applied sulfate and monitored the ABA concentration in guard cells employing the genetically encoded ABA sensor ABAleon2.1 that allows direct visualization of changes in cytosolic ABA concentration by non-invasive live cell imaging (Waadt et al., 2014). A decrease of ABAleon2.1 emission ratio can be taken as read-out of an increase in intracellular ABA concentration (Waadt et al., 2014). Treatment of guard cells in epidermal peels with 2 mM MgSO$_4$ decreased the ABAleon2.1 emission ratio by 4\% (p<0.001) (Fig. 3A). A more pronounced effect (decrease of 13\%, p<0.001) was observed with higher sulfate concentrations (15 mM MgSO$_4$). When leaf peels were treated with 15 mM MgCl$_2$, the ABAleon2.1 emission ratio in guard cells was indistinguishable from the water control (p>0.25). The sulfate concentration-dependent induction of ABA biosynthesis in guard cells thus underlies the observed sulfate dose-dependent stomatal closure (Fig. 1A, B). Moreover, the transcript levels of four canonical ABA marker genes (\textit{LATE EMBRYOGENESIS ABUNDANT 7 (LEA7), HIGHLY ABA INDUCED 1 (HAI1), RESPONSIVE TO DESSICATION 20 (RD20), and RESPONSIVE TO DESSICATION 29B (RD29B)}) were determined in water (control) and sulfate-treated epidermal peels by RT-qPCR. We found that sulfate application to guard cells in epidermal peels enhanced transcription of all tested ABA-marker genes when compared to the control (Fig. 3B). The induction of ABA marker gene transcription by sulfate independently supports the induction of ABA biosynthesis by sulfate. Next, we applied the established ABA-response-reporter \textit{ProRAB18:GFP} (Kim et al., 2011) to determine activation of ABA-signaling in intact leaves after feeding of sulfate (15 mM MgSO$_4$) via the petiole at cellular resolution. Sulfate feeding resulted in significant expression of GFP in guard cells (p<0.001) that was similarly high as that induced by direct feeding of ABA (50 \textmu M) via the petiole (Fig. 3C). Petiole feeding of sulfate did not induce GFP expression from the \textit{ProRAB18} promoter in pavement cells of the epidermis. Remarkably, xylem-transported ABA was able to reach the pavement cells and induce \textit{ProRAB18} promoter-driven GFP expression (p<0.001, Fig. 3C).

**ABA production in guard cells is sufficient for sulfate-induced stomatal closure**

\textit{aba3-1} mutant plants cannot close their stomata and wilt when exposed to dry air, because of a point mutation rendering ABA3 non-functional in all cells. When ABA biosynthesis is rescued in stomata by complementation of \textit{aba3-1} with functional ABA3 under the control of a guard cell-specific \textit{MYB60} promotor, stomata of \textit{MYB60:ABA3 aba3-1} plants regain the ability to close upon a decrease in atmospheric relative
humidity (Bauer et al., 2013). When exposed to sulfate, the aba3-1 mutant did not produce ROS and did not close the stomata (Fig. 2C, 4B). Sulfate application to epidermal peels of MYB60:ABA3 aba3-1 resulted in significant induction of ROS formation in guard cells that was indistinguishable from ROS formation upon ABA application in the wild type (Fig. 1E, 4A). Remarkably, ABA biosynthesis is required, and guard cell autonomous ABA biosynthesis is sufficient for sulfate-induced stomata closure. The degree of stomatal closure induced by sulfate application between the mutant with guard-cell autonomous ABA biosynthesis and the wild type was indistinguishable (Fig. 4B). Taken together, these results demonstrate that sulfate can trigger stomatal closure by inducing ABA biosynthesis in guard cells.

Sulfate assimilation is essential for sulfate-induced stomatal closure

Sulfide, a metabolite in the sulfate assimilation pathway, has been reported to induce stomatal closure (Scuffi et al., 2014; Honda et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016). To test the requirement of functional sulfur metabolism, we analyzed if sulfate induces stomatal closure in mutants lacking the ability to produce sulfide (sir1-1) and those unable to incorporate sulfide into cysteine (serat tko). The sir1-1 knock-down mutant is characterized by a reduced ability to convert sulfite to sulfide, due to decreased expression of sulfite reductase (Khan et al., 2010). Application of sulfate to epidermal peels of sir1-1 failed to induce ROS formation and stomatal closure (Fig. 5A, C). The triple loss-of-SERAT function mutant (serat tko, lacking the cytosolic (SERAT1;1), the plastidic (SERAT2;1) and the mitochondrial isoform (SERAT2;2) of serine acetyltransferase) lacks the ability to efficiently produce the scaffold required for fixation of sulfide into cysteine (Watanabe et al., 2008). Feeding sulfate to serat tko also did not induce ROS formation and stomatal closure (Fig. 5B, C). The fact that ABA could induce ROS formation (Fig. 5A, B) and stomatal closure in both mutants (Fig. 5C) excludes the possibility that sir1-1 and serat tko do not respond to sulfate because of non-functional ABA signaling. These results implied that metabolic assimilation of sulfate into cysteine by SERAT and SiR is mandatory for sulfate-induced stomatal closure.

Cysteine triggers stomatal closure by inducing ABA biosynthesis

Cysteine is a downstream product of serine acetyltransferase and sulfite reductase. Application of cysteine to epidermal peels of wild-type leaves induced stomatal closure to an extent comparable to sulfate application (Fig. 6A). Cysteine also induced stomatal closure in the serat tko and sir1-1 mutants, indicating that sulfate failed to close stomata in both mutants due to a lack of or insufficient incorporation of sulfate into cysteine (Fig. 6B, C). Application of glycine did not affect stomata of the wild-type and the mutants (Fig. 6). These results demonstrate that assimilatory sulfate incorporation into cysteine is an integral part of sulfate-dependent stomatal closure via ABA biosynthesis.
To provide direct evidence for the impact of cysteine on ABA biosynthesis in guard cells, we exposed epidermal peels to cysteine and monitored ABA concentration changes using ABALeon2.1. Amino acids like cysteine enter cells via plasma membrane transporters that are energized by an inward-directed proton gradient (Wipf et al., 2002). Application of 500 μM cysteine buffered to pH5.5 decreased the ABALeon2.1 emission ratio by 15% (p<0.001). When exposed to ABA at a concentration as high as 50 μM the ABALeon2.1 emission ratio in the stomatal guard cells decreased by 21% (p<0.001). This indicates that cysteine elevates intracellular ABA concentration in guard cells similar to the direct application of the stress hormone (Fig. 7A). Moreover, Cys application induced ROS formation in the wild type in a similar manner as addition of ABA, but failed to trigger ROS and stomatal closure in the aba3-1 mutant (Fig. 7B, C, Supplemental Fig. 3). Application of 0.5 mM glycine did not affect ABA levels (Fig. 7A), ROS formation (Fig. 7B, C) or stomatal closure (Supplemental Fig. 3), demonstrating that ABA synthesis and stomatal closure in response to cysteine application is specific and not due to a pleiotropistic stimulation by amino acid treatment. These results demonstrated that cysteine or a downstream product of cysteine metabolism control ABA synthesis and ABA-dependent regulation of stomatal aperture. Based on these findings, we re-investigated the impact of sulfur-containing metabolites on NCED3 transcription. We found that petiole feeding of sulfate or cysteine induces transcription of NCED3 in leaves (Fig. 7D). In agreement with the rate-limiting role of NCED3 in ABA precursor production, a NCED3 loss-of-function mutant (nced3-2) failed to close stomata after application of sulfate or cysteine (Fig. 7E).

**Physiological relevance of cysteine-mediated stomatal closure**

We analyzed the stomatal apertures of the cad2-1, sir1-1 and sir1-1 x cad2-1 (s1c2) mutants to provide direct evidence for the physiological importance of cysteine-induced stomatal closure and to exclude the possibility that cysteine triggers stomatal closure by affecting the ROS scavenger glutathione (GSH). The cad2-1 mutant suffers from decreased GSH synthesis capacity, over-oxidation of the cytosol and accumulation of Cys (Cobbett et al., 1998, Speiser et al., 2018). The stomata are closed in cad2-1, but the molecular link between decreased GSH synthesis and stomatal closure was enigmatic (Okuma et al., 2012). It has been postulated that lowered levels of GSH in cad2-1 might cause increased ROS levels resulting in stomatal closure. We recently showed that the s1c2 mutant displays even more enhanced oxidation of the cytosolic glutathione pool when compared to cad2-1. Furthermore, the s1c2 mutant accumulates less Cys than cad2-1 due to the lowered reduction of sulfite to sulfide, which is the direct precursor Cys (Speiser et al., 2018). In accordance with the lower Cys levels in s1c2 when compared to cad2-1, the stomata were more open in s1c2 when compared to cad2-1 (Fig. 8A). Since GSH levels are comparable in s1c2 and cad2-1, GSH is not the trigger of stomatal closure in cad2-1 and s1c2 (Speiser et al., 2018). The s1c2 mutant is still responsive to ABA, eliminating the possibility of disturbed ABA sensing being the cause for the stomatal re-opening in s1c2. The
data strongly indicate that stomatal closure in cad2-1 is caused by the accumulation of Cys, which stimulates ABA biosynthesis and stomatal closure. Dynamic fitting of the cysteine steady-state levels against the stomatal aperture revealed a remarkably high correlation ($r^2 = 0.995$, Fig. 8B). The correlation between glutathione and the stomatal aperture was lower ($r^2 = 0.7$) in the mutants (Supplemental Fig. 4), suggesting that the stomatal closure phenotype of cad2-1 (Okuma et al., 2012) is not due to lowered GSH but a result of Cys accumulation.

To provide functional evidence for the biological relevance of sulfate-dependent cysteine synthesis for ABA production upon soil drying, we challenged two cysteine synthesis depleted mutants (serat2-1, and oastl-B, Heeg et al., 2008, Watanabe et al., 2008) with water removal. In this experimental set-up, temperature, air humidity and light intensity were kept constant between the control and the stressed plants. Thus the only trigger for stomatal closure was limited water supply due to soil drying. The two cysteine synthesis depleted mutants displayed enhanced wilting and suffered from lower relative water content than the wild type under this condition (Fig. 8 C, D). Furthermore, the survival after re-watering of both mutants was reduced when compared to the wild type (Supplemental Fig. 5). Our findings provide direct evidence for the biological relevance of cysteine synthesis to decrease the transpiration rate of leaves when soil drying occurs.

**Discussion**

**Do stomata read xylem-derived sulfate as a signal for soil drying?**

The existence of a root-to-shoot signal for drought-induced stomatal closure has been controversial (Wilkinson and Davies, 2002; Seo and Koshiba, 2011, Tardieu, 2016, Mclachlan et al., 2018). Grafting experiments with ABA-deficient tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) plants provide evidence that the signal for stomatal closure upon soil drying requires ABA biosynthesis in shoots but not in roots. Thus the proposed signal cannot be ABA itself (Holbrook et al., 2002; Christmann et al., 2007). Furthermore, these experiments showed that stomatal closure occurred when the soil dried but before the water potential of leaves was affected. A root-to-shoot drought signal must, therefore, originate in the roots, travel via the xylem and trigger ABA biosynthesis in leaves. Sulfate is a good candidate for this signal since it exclusively enters the plant via the roots and according to our findings gains competence as an inductor of stomatal closure after its reduction in plastids to sulfide and subsequent incorporation into cysteine. Cysteine itself or a downstream product of cysteine metabolism then triggers ABA biosynthesis (see below). Metabolic profiling of maize xylem sap revealed that sulfate was the only detectable xylem-born chemical that consistently showed significantly higher concentrations in the xylem sap during early and late drought, while abundance of other nutrients like phosphate, nitrate or ammonium decreased in the xylem sap of maize upon soil drying in this analysis (Ernst et al., 2010). This specific drought-induced increase of sulfate in the xylem sap has been
found in maize, common hop (Humulus lupulus, Godger et al., 2005, Ernst et al., 2010; (Korovetska et al., 2014), Malcheska et al., 2017). In maize early drought stress increases the concentration of sulfate in the xylem to up to 2 mM (Enrst et al., 2010, Godger et al., 2005). In petiole feeding experiments, application of 2 mM sulfate leads to closure of stomata of maize (Supplemental Fig. 1) and Arabidopsis (Fig. 1) in less than half an hour. Consistently, sulfate (2 mM) feeding of petioles decreased stomatal conductance of poplar leaves in the same time-scale (Malcheska et al., 2017). These findings allow speculating that fluctuations of sulfate concentration in the xylem path can serve as a trigger for stomatal closure. In line with these results, ABA steady-state levels of wild-type Arabidopsis seedlings increased upon enhanced external sulfate supply (Cao et al., 2014) and exogenous application of sulfate triggered ABA accumulation in the cytosol of guard cells (Fig. 3). The published results on the enhanced xylem transport of sulfate and the here uncovered molecular mechanism for sulfate-induced ABA biosynthesis via cysteine suggest that sulfate can work together with other drought-induced root-to-shoot signals like hydraulic signals, strigolactones, and the recently identified peptide CLAVAT3/EMBRYO-SURROUNDING REGION-RELATED 25 (CLE25) to adjust the stomatal conductance towards the root water-availability (Takahashi et al., 2018).

How and where does sulfate trigger stomata closure?

Previously, sulfate was shown to activate recombinant plant QUAC1 expressed in Xenopus oocytes. Furthermore, the Arabidopsis quac1 loss-of-function mutant was insensitive to sulfate-induced stomatal closure. Based on these results, sulfate was reported to close stomata by direct activation of the plasma membrane-localized anion channel QUAC1 (=ALMT12, Macheska et al., 2017). Here, we showed that sulfate must be reduced to sulfide and incorporated into cysteine to trigger stomatal closure in Arabidopsis. This finding contradicts a significant contribution of sulfate for direct activation of QUAC1 at the plasma membrane but does not exclude a QUAC1 function during sulfate-induced stomatal closure by other mechanisms in Arabidopsis. We also demonstrated that sulfate after incorporation into cysteine tunes ABA biosynthesis. QUAC1 is a canonical downstream target of ABA signaling (Imes et al., 2013). Consequently, the failure of quac1 to close stomata upon sulfate application can be explained by the lack of activation of QUAC1 due to sulfate-induced ABA biosynthesis.

The initial steps of ABA biosynthesis take place in the plastid, which is also the exclusive site of sulfate reduction (Khan et al., 2010; Seo and Koshiba, 2011). Accordingly, the action of sulfate on guard cell ABA synthesis requires translocation of sulfate from the cytosol to the plastids by the plastid-localized group 3 sulfate transporters (SULTR3, Cao et al., 2013, Takahashi et al., 2011). Remarkably, transcription of four out of the five SULTR3 members (3;1, 3;2, 3;4 and 3;5) is enriched in guard cells, indicating more efficient
transport of sulfate from the cytosol into the plastids of guard cells when compared to mesophyll cells (Bauer et al., 2013). In plastids, adenosine-5-phosphosulfate reductase (APR, Fig. 9) catalyzes the committed step of sulfate reduction. APR2 is tightly regulated at the transcriptional level and possesses significant flux control on the sulfate assimilation pathway (Loudet et al., 2007). Notably, APR2 transcription is also enriched in guard cells (Bauer et al., 2013) and apr2 seedlings accumulated less ABA in response to external sulfate supply when compared to wild-type seedlings (Cao et al., 2014). These findings point to a highly active sulfate metabolism in the guard cell itself, which allowed isolated stomata in epidermal peels to react to external application of sulfate in the same concentration range and with the same temporal kinetics when compared to petiole feeding of sulfate. However, our results do not exclude a significant contribution of sulfate or cysteine to the induction of ABA biosynthesis in the vasculature (see next section).

**How does cysteine trigger ABA biosynthesis?**

ABA biosynthesis is limited at two steps: 1) production of xanthoxin by 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase (NCED) in the vasculature and 2) sulfurylation of the molybdenum cofactor (MoCo) of abscisic aldehyde oxidase3 (AAO3) by the MoCo sulfurylase ABA3 (Xiong et al., 2001; Wollers et al., 2008).

A previous study demonstrated that sulfate induces the transcription of NCED3 specifically in guard cells (Malcheska et al., 2017), which was supposed to add to the stimulation of ABA biosynthesis upon enhanced sulfate availability by providing a substrate precursor for AAO3 (Nambara and Marion-Poll, 2005). We provided direct genetic evidence that a functional NCED3 gene is essential for stomatal closure after petiole feeding of sulfate and application of sulfate to guard cells in epidermal peels (Fig 7E). Since sulfate cannot close stomata in cysteine-depleted mutants (Fig. 5 and 6), we hypothesized that sulfate must be incorporated into cysteine for induction of NCED3. Indeed, cysteine can also induce NCED3 transcription in whole leaves (Fig 7D). The NCED3 induction in whole leaves by sulfate or cysteine is more pronounced than the transcriptional induction of NCED3 by sulfate in enriched guard cells (Malcheska et al., 2017), suggesting that NCED3 is also up-regulated in the vasculature. Consequently, our data are entirely consistent with the transcriptional induction of NCED3 and the significant accumulation of NCED3 protein in the vasculature of drought-stressed plants (Endo et al., 2008). NCED3 transcription is also up-regulated by drought stress-induced root-to-shoot transport of the peptide hormone CLE25 (Takahashi et al., 2018). This offers the possibility that root-derived sulfate after assimilation into cysteine modulates NCED3 transcription and ABA production in leaves together with other drought-induced signals.

The co-existence of independent signals for root-to-shoot communication during drought has already been hypothesized (Tardieu, 2016; McLachlan et al., 2018) and will add to the spatial and temporal coordination of the multiple sites of ABA synthesis in plants (Kuromori et al., 2018). By adding cysteine as a new signal for
stomatal closure, our study contributes to the understanding of the integration of the multiple internal signals to optimize the stomatal aperture under diverse environmental challenges. Remarkably, some of these environmental challenges are perceived in leaves and known to up-regulate de novo cysteine biosynthesis e.g., high light stress and pathogen attack (Kruse et al., 2007; Mueller et al., 2017). This opens the possibility that cysteine-induced stomatal closure is not only triggered by enhanced sulfate transport upon soil drying as described in Ernst et al. (2010) and Malcheska et al. (2017), but also contributes to stomatal closure upon other stresses. It is now timely to evaluate the diverse stomatal closure signals (ABA, CLE25, ethylene precursors, hydraulic signals, strigolactones and sulfate/cysteine) with high temporal resolution and to characterize the contribution of these signals to individual stress responses resulting in stomatal closure (e.g., high light stress, pathogen attack, darkness, low humidity, CO2-level, or soil drying).

NCED3 produces a precursor that is finally converted to ABA by AAO activity. Remarkably, the dominant AAO isoform, AAO3, can be post-translationally activated by the MoCo sulfurylase ABA3. The strong induction of AAO3 mRNA in guard cells by dehydration strongly suggests that AAO3 activity limits ABA biosynthesis (Koiwai et al., 2004). Furthermore, the restriction of ABA3 activity to guard cells is sufficient for stomatal closure in response to decreased relative humidity (Bauer et al., 2013). Cysteine serves as the substrate for ABA3 during activation of AAO3 (Bittner et al., 2001, Heidenreich et al., 2005). Consequently, total aldehyde oxidase activity (including AAO3 activity) is low in sulfate-depleted wild-type plants and sultr3;1 mutants, which suffer from decreased cysteine biosynthesis. Short-term exogenous application of cysteine restores the decreased aldehyde oxidase activity in sultr3;1 (Cao et al., 2014). We thus propose that cysteine is a limiting factor for ABA biosynthesis in the early stages of drought conditions in guard cells and potentially also in other cell types of the leaf (Fig. 9). Restriction of ABA3 activity to guard cells is also sufficient for sulfate/cysteine-induced stomatal closure. Remarkably, the aba3-1 mutant is unable to close stomata upon petiole feeding with sulfate, demonstrating that induction of NCED3 by cysteine in the absence of functional ABA3 is not sufficient to trigger ABA accumulation in guard cells for stomatal closure. We thus hypothesize that cysteine-induced stomatal closure depends on the activation of NCED and ABA3 activity in leaves. Further studies are required to determine if sulfate/cysteine-induced stomata closure depends on differential activation of NCED3 and AAO3 at the multiple ABA production sites. However, the drought-sensitive phenotype of cysteine synthesis-depleted mutants provides functional evidence for biological relevance of cysteine synthesis during drought stress-induced production of ABA, since this phenotype is caused by higher transpiration of leaves.

Limitation of ABA biosynthesis by cysteine in guard cells also explains the so far not understood closed stomata phenotype of the cad2-1 mutant (Okuma et al., 2012). This knock-down mutant accumulates significantly higher cysteine levels due to a reduction in glutathione synthesis (Cobbett et al., 1998, Speiser
et al., 2018). Remarkably, the s1c2 double mutant, which has lower Cys levels than cad2-1, partially re-opens the stomata when compared to cad2-1. These results strongly suggest that the trigger for stomatal closure in cad2-1 was the accumulation of Cys and not the depletion of the ROS-scavenger glutathione (Fig. 8A, B, Supplemental Fig. 5).

**Conclusion**

Stomata are the gates of plants to the environment. They allow efficient uptake of CO₂ for photosynthesis but also offer pathogens avenues to invade plants and allow water to evaporate. Consequently, the stomatal aperture is tightly controlled by several independent signals of which ABA acts as the master regulator. Independent studies identified sulfate as a molecule transported in early drought from the roots-to-shoots of woody and herbaceous plants. We have shown that sulfate feeding of the petiole leads to stomatal closure by increasing the production of cysteine, which stimulates the synthesis of the drought hormone ABA. This is one of the few examples of nutrient signaling where the end product of a primary anabolic pathway acts as a limiting factor in the initiation of hormone signaling by stimulating the biosynthesis of this hormone in stress conditions.

**Methods**

**Plant material and growth**

Seeds of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 (ecotype Columbia) and the mutants aba3-1 (CS157), abi2-1 (CS23), cad2-1 (Cobbett et al., 1998), nced3-2 (Endo et al., 2008), oastl-b (SALK_021183), quac1 (Meyer et al., 2010), slac1-3 (SALK_099139), serat2;1 (SALK_099019), sir1-1 (GABI-Kat 550A09), serat tko (SALK_050213 x SALK_099019 x Kazusa_KG752, Dong et al., 2017), *myb60:aba3-1* (Bauer et al., 2013), and ABAleon2.1 (Waadt et al., 2014) were sown on soil (Tonsubstrat from Ökohum, Herbertingen) supplemented with 10% (v/v) vermiculite and 2% quartz sand. Seeds were stratified at 4°C for 2 days in the dark. The plants were subsequently grown under long-day conditions for five weeks prior to the experiment (16 h light, 150 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (OSRAM, LUMILUX Cool White) at 22°C and 8 h dark at 18°C for day and night, respectively). Relative humidity was kept at 50%.

**Stomatal aperture bioassay**

Epidermal peels were obtained from the abaxial side of Arabidopsis leaves as described in Ernst et al. (2010) and floated on distilled water for 2 hours under constant light. The peels were then transferred to distilled water pH 5.5 supplemented without (control) or with effectors (0.8 – 20 mM MgSO₄, 15 mM MgCl₂, 15 mM
Na$_2$SO$_4$, 15 mM MgNO$_3$, 0.5 mM Cys, Gly and 50 µM ABA) for the indicated periods. Stomata were imaged before and after treatment with a conventional wide-angle microscope (Leica DMIRB). Each experiment was performed at least in triplicate and showed the same results.

**Petiole feeding bioassay**

Leaves were detached from plants by cutting the petioles under distilled water and placing them in an Eppendorf tube containing distilled water for 2 hours. Subsequently, the detached leaves were transferred to Eppendorf tubes containing just water (pH 5.5) or water (pH 5.5) supplemented with 2 mM sulfate (MgSO$_4$) or 50 µM ABA and incubated for up to three hours under constant light conditions. Stomata were imaged at the indicated time points using a conventional wide-angle microscope (Leica DMIRB).

**Transpiration bioassays**

A minimum of three equally sized leaves were detached from five individual plants and treated with or without effectors as described in the petiole feeding bioassay. Loss of water was determined by subtraction of fresh weight at the indicated time-point from fresh weight determined directly after detachment of the leaves. Leaves were incubated for up to 180 min at room temperature and short-day conditions (see above).

**RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from 100-150 mg of leaf epidermal peels incubated in water or water containing sulfate for three hours using the peqGOLD total RNA kit (VWR). RNA was converted into cDNA using a cDNA synthesis kit (Fermentas), in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis was performed using SYBR mix (qPCRBIOSyGreen Mix Lo-ROX) in a RotorQ cycler (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. The absolute amount of transcript for each gene was determined by standard curve analysis. Absolute expression data were normalized against the average expression values of the reference gene TIP41-like (Han et al., 2013). The primers used for RT-qPCR analysis of ABA marker genes are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

**H$_2$O$_2$ quantification in guard cells leaves**

The ROS in intact stomata were determined according to Pei et al. (2000). The abaxial side of Arabidopsis leaves was peeled with tweezers and floated on water without and with effectors for two hours as described above. Subsequently, 50 µM 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H$_2$DCFDA) was added to the samples. After 30 minutes of incubation, the ROS-specific fluorescence was detected using a confocal microscope.
(Nikon A2). An excitation of 488 nm and an emission of 525 nm were used. Five images were taken for each peel from an individual plant and the fluorescent signal was quantified for all stomata (50 to 120) using the open source software ImageJ (http://fiji.sc/).

**NADPH oxidase inhibitor experiments**

To evaluate the importance of NADPH oxidases for sulfate-induced ROS production and sulfate-induced stomata closure, the NADPH oxidase inhibitor diphenylene iodonium (DPI: 10 μM, Sigma) was applied. Epidermal cells were pre-treated with 10 μM DPI, pH 5.5 for 30 min prior to the application of sulfate, ABA or water (control) containing DPI for 3 h. ROS formation was then measured as described above. According to the manufacturer’s specifications, DPI solutions remain stable for at least 12 h; thus, DPI solutions were prepared immediately before use.

**In vivo analyses of ABA in guard cells with the ABAlion2.1 sensor**

ABAlion2.1 is an established sensor that allows the rapid detection of ABA concentration changes in the cytosol of guard cells in response to external stimuli (Waadt et al., 2014). ABA concentrations as high as 50 μM are used to ensure full response of the ABAlion2.1 sensor in intact plant cells. Sulfate-induced production of ABA was analyzed in leaves obtained from 4-week-old plants, grown on 0.7% Hoagland-Agar medium. For guard cell imaging, the abaxial epidermal layer was peeled from leaves of individual plants. These peels were incubated on water for 2 hours and then transferred to 15 mM sulfate solution adjusted to pH 5.5 for 2 hours. Experiments were performed in triplicates and a minimum of 5 guard cells per peel were used. In total, a minimum 67 and a maximum of 308 guard cells were analyzed for each condition. 458 nm (CFP) and 514 nm(YFP) laser lines were used in Zeiss LSM 510. C1 channel detects emission between 475-500 nm upon 458 nm excitation. C2 channel detects emission between 525-550 nm upon 458 nm excitation. C3 control channel detects 525-500 nm emission upon 514 nm excitation. All the experiments were done on the same day with exactly the same settings. Therefore, the same water control is used for experiments shown in Figure 3 and Figure 5.

The FRET ratio is calculated as the ratio of C2 to C1. A mask based on a threshold in the C1 channel is applied to restrict the background and used to compare C1 intensity among all images to avoid intensity-based changes in FRET ratio. The data were analyzed via a FIJI macro, programmed in house according to Waadt et al. (2014). Stomata are selected manually for average FRET ratio measurement in FIJI. The overall image quality was assessed based on the C3 channel to avoid epidermal cell contribution to stomata FRET signal. The data were transferred and pooled in Microsoft Excel for Student’s t-test analysis.
In vivo analyses of the ABA response with the ProRAB18:GFP sensor

The ProRAB18:GFP lines (Kim et al., 2011) were grown on soil for 25 days in short-day chambers. Five hours after onset of light, leaves were detached for petiole feeding with water, 50 µM ABA or 15 mM sulfate (pH5.5) for two hours. Intact leaf samples were visualized with an Nikon E wide-field fluorescent microscope connected to a 10x objective. GFP (488 nm excitation/ 507-514 nm emission) for reporter visualization, RFP (488 nm/562 nm emission) for background and bright field images have been obtained. For guard cell imaging, stomata with both guard cells in focus were manually selected. After background subtraction, the maximum GFP intensity in the middle of the nuclei was determined. For epidermal pavement cell GFP measurements, regions that exclude guard cells were manually selected and average intensity in the region of interest including multiple pavement cells was measured (NWater = 666 guard cells from five individual leaves, NSulfate = 534 guard cells from four individual leaves, NABA = 894 guard cells from four individual leaves).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SigmaPlot 12.5 (Systat Inc., U. S.). Different data sets were analyzed for statistical significance with the One Way Repeated Measures Analysis of Variance (one Way ANOVA), which uses the Holm-Sidak method for all pairwise multiple comparisons. Normality distribution of data points was tested with the Shapiro-Wilk method (p to reject was p>0.05). Letters indicate significant difference (P < 0.05) in the figures. In case of comparisons between two sample groups, the Student’s t-test (*P < 0.05) was applied (only in Figure 3B).

Accession Numbers

ABA3, AT1G16540; ABI2, AT5G57050; AAO3, AT2G27150; HAI1, AT5G59220; LEA7, AT1G52690; NCED3, AT3G14440; RD20, AT2g29830; RD29B, AT5G52300; SERAT1;1, AT5G56760; SERAT2;1, AT1G55920; SERAT2;2, AT3G13110; SiR, AT5G04590; SLAC1, AT1G12480; TIP41-like, AT4G34270.
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Supplemental Figure 1. Physiological relevant fluctuations of sulfate in the xylem of maize affect stomata aperture.

Supplemental Figure 2. Functional ABA3 is a prerequisite for stomata closure after petiole feeding of sulfate.

Supplemental Figure 3. Stomatal closure by cysteine requires functional ABA3.
**Supplemental Figure 4.** NCED3 is essential for stomata closure after petiole feeding of sulfate

**Supplemental Figure 5.** Correlation analysis of foliar GSH levels and stomatal aperture in mutants with depleted cysteine and/or glutathione synthesis.

**Supplemental Figure 6.** Cysteine synthesis depleted mutants display enhanced drought stress sensitivity

**Supplemental Table 1.** Oligonucleotides used for RT-qPCR analysis.
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Figure 1. Sulfate induces closure of Arabidopsis stomata in a dose- and time-dependent manner and by activation of NADPH oxidases.

(A) Stomatal apertures of epidermal peels from 5-week-old soil-grown Arabidopsis wild-type plants incubated for 180 min with water containing up to 20 mM MgSO$_4$. The apertures of 50 stomata were determined from peels of five individual plants (n = 5). Images illustrate typical stomatal apertures in response to the treatments. The applied sulfate concentration (mM) is indicated in white in the photographs.

(B) Time course of sulfate-induced stomatal closure in detached leaves fed via the petiole with 2 mM sulfate (white, MgSO$_4$) or water (black, n = 50, from leaves of five individual plants).

(C) Water loss of detached leaves from 5-week-old wild-type plants that were pre-incubated for 180 min in water (black circles) or 2 mM MgSO$_4$ (white circles, sulfate).

(D) Stomatal apertures of epidermal peels treated with different nutrient salts (15 mM).

(E) Quantification of hydrogen peroxide production after fluorescent-labeling with H$_2$DCF-DA. Epidermal peels were treated with water (control), ABA (50 µM) or sulfate (15 mM) for 180 min prior to analysis (n >100).

(F) Impact of the selective NADPH-oxidase inhibitor diphenylene iodonium (DPI, 10µM, red dash) on ABA-induced and sulfate-induced production of hydrogen peroxide in guard cells of epidermal peels (ABA, 50 µM, sulfate, 15 mM MgSO$_4$ and DPI, 10 µM, n >100).

(G) Impact of NADPH-oxidase inhibition by DPI on sulfate-induced stomatal closure (n = 50, from peels of five individual plants). Bars represent means ± SD in panel A-E and G and means ± SE in panel E-F. Letters indicate statistically significant differences between groups determined with one-way ANOVA (P<0.05).
Figure 2. Sulfate-induced stomatal closure requires ABA-signaling components and ABA-downstream effectors.

(A, C) Impact of ABA (grey, 50 µM) and sulfate (white, 15 mM MgSO₄) on hydrogen peroxide production in guard cells of epidermal peels of five-week-old slac1 (B), aba3-1 (C), and abi2-1 (C) plants. Data represent means ± SE (n ≥ 100; derived from ≥ five individual plants).

(B, D) Impact of sulfate (white, 15 mM MgSO₄) on stomatal apertures of the wild-type, slac1 (B) and mutants deficient in ABA production (aba3-1, D) or ABA sensing (abi2-1, D). Control refers to water. Data represent means ± SD in panel B and D (n ≥ 50, derived from ≥ five individual plants). Letters indicate statistically significant differences between groups determined with the one-way ANOVA (P<0.05).
Figure 3. Sulfate triggers ABA production in guard cells in a concentration dependent manner.

(A) The upper panel shows ABAleon2.1 emission ratio. Signals from guard cells treated with water alone (n=308), or water supplemented with 2 mM MgSO₄ (n=125), 15 mM MgSO₄ (n=67) or 15 mM MgCl₂ (n=110), respectively. Average ABAleon2.1 emission ratio is calculated per stomatal area. Statistical tests are performed with respect to the water control. The lower panel shows a representative stoma in the given treatment. Letters indicate statistically significant differences between groups determined with the one Way ANOVA (P<0.05).

(B) Transcript levels of ABA-responsive genes in sulfate-treated epidermal peels. Epidermal peels were collected from 5-week-old wild type plants and incubated on water supplemented without (black) or with 2 mM MgSO₄ (white, sulfate) for 3 hours. RNA was extracted and the steady state transcript levels of ABA-marker genes (LEA7, HAI1, RD20 and RD29B) were quantified by qRT-PCR. The transcript levels of respective genes from water treated samples were set to 1. Data represent mean ± SD (n=3). Asterisks indicate statistical significant differences as determined with the Student’s t-test (*P<0.05).

(C) Impact of petiole-fed ABA or sulfate on the expression of the ABA signaling marker ProRAB18:GFP in detached leaves. Leaves of 25-day-old soil grown ProRAB18:GFP plants were detached and fed via the petiole with ABA (grey, 50 µM) or sulfate (white, 15 mM MgSO₄) dissolved in water (black, Control) for 180 min prior to quantification of the GFP signal. The upper panel displays a representative image of the epidermis containing guard and pavement cells. Bright field image of the same area is shown for orientation. The lower panel depicts the quantification of GFP-signal intensities in guard- or pavement cells after the treatment. Data represent mean ± SE (guard cells: n = 666 for water, n = 534 for sulfate, n = 894 for ABA, from 5 individual leaves, pavement cells n = 20 regions of interests containing multiple pavement cells for each treatment, from 5 individual leaves). Letters indicate statistically significant differences between groups determined with the one Way ANOVA (P<0.05).
Figure 4. Guard cell-autonomous ABA synthesis in the MYB60:ABA3 complemented aba3-1 mutant is sufficient for sulfate-induced stomatal closure.

(A) Impact of ABA (grey, 50 µM) and sulfate (white, 15 mM MgSO\textsubscript{4}) on hydrogen peroxide production in guard cells of the MYB60:ABA3 complemented aba3 mutant that lacks ABA biosynthesis by ABA3 in other cell types than guard cells.

(B) Impact of sulfate (white, 15 mM MgSO\textsubscript{4}) on stomata closure of wild type, aba3-1 and the MYB60:ABA3 complemented aba3-1 mutant. Data represent means ± SD (n ≥ 50 stomata, derived from ≥ five individual plants). Letters indicate statistically significant differences between groups determined with the one Way ANOVA (P<0.05).
Figure 5. Sulfate-induced stomatal closure requires sulfate reduction and incorporation of sulfide into cysteine.

(A, B) Impact of ABA (grey, 50 µM) and sulfate (white, 15 mM MgSO₄) on hydrogen peroxide production in guard cells of sir1-1 (A) and serat ktc (B) plants. Data represent means ± SE (n ≥ 100; derived from ≥ 5 individual plants).

(C) Impact of ABA (grey, 50 µM) and sulfate (white, 15 mM MgSO₄) on the stomatal apertures of the wild type and of mutants with a strongly reduced ability to reduce sulfate to sulfide (sir1-1) or incorporate sulfide into cysteine (serat ktc). Control refers to water. Data represent means ± SD in panel C (n ≥ 50 stomata, derived from ≥ 5 individual plants). Letters indicate statistically significant differences between groups determined with the one-way ANOVA (P<0.05).
Figure 6. Exogenous application of cysteine promotes stomatal closure in cysteine-synthesis limited mutants

(A-C) Impact of sulfate (white, 2 mM MgSO₄), cysteine (yellow, 0.5 mM) and glycine (dark grey, 0.5 mM) on the stomatal apertures of wild-type (A), sir1-1 (B) and serat tko (C) plants. Data represent means ± SD in (n ≥ 50, derived from ≥ 5 individual plants). Letters indicate statistically significant differences between groups determined with the one Way ANOVA (P<0.05).
Figure 7. Exogenous application of cysteine induces ABA production in guard cells and ROS formation in an ABA3-dependent manner

(A) The upper panel shows ABAleon2.1 emission ratio, calculated from guard cells treated with only water (n=308), 500 µM cysteine (n=311), 500 µM glycine (n=54) or 50 µM ABA (n=91), respectively. The average ABAleon2.1 emission ratio is calculated per stomatal area. Statistical tests are performed with respect to the water control. The lower panel shows a representative stomata subjected to the treatment indicated in the x-axis label above.

(B-C) Impact of ABA (light grey, 50µM), cysteine (yellow, 0.5 mM) or glycine (dark grey, 0.5 mM) on hydrogen peroxide production in guard cells of wild type (B) and aba3-1 (C) as measured by H2DCF-DA staining. Data represent means ± SD in (n = 50, derived from ≥ 5 individual plants).

(D-E) Impact of sulfate (white, 15 mM), cysteine (yellow, 0.5 mM), glycine (dark grey, 0.5 mM) or ABA (light grey, 50 µM) on transcript levels of NCED3 in leaves of the wild type (D) and stomatal aperture of the wild type and the nced3-2 mutant (E). Data represent means ± SD (n = 50, derived from ≥ 5 individual plants for stomatal closure, n = 3 for determination of transcript levels).

Letters indicate statistically significant differences between groups determined with the one-way ANOVA (P<0.05).
Figure 8. Physiological relevance of cysteine-induced stomatal closure

(A) Stomatal aperture in detached leaves of wild type and mutants affected in provision of sulfide for cysteine synthesis (sir1-1), synthesis of glutathione from cysteine (cad2-1) and the sir1-1 cad2-1 double mutant (s1c2). Detached leaves were fed with ABA (grey, 50 µM) or sulfate (white, 15 mM MgSO4) dissolved in water (black, Control) for 180 min prior analysis. Data represent means ± SD (n = 50, derived from five individual plants). Letters indicate statistically significant differences between groups determined with the one-way ANOVA (P<0.05). Please note that feeding of ABA via the petiole can close the stomata in sir1-1 and s1c2.

(B) Correlation analysis between endogenous foliar Cys steady state levels and stomatal aperture in wild type (black), sir1-1 (orange), cad2-1 (red) and s1c2 (blue). The data were dynamically fitted with a linear equation (y = m x +b). The negative slope demonstrates that higher endogenous Cys levels correlate with stomatal closure in mutants with functional ABA biosynthesis and ABA response. The regression coefficient was 0.997 and the coefficient of determination (r²) was 0.995, demonstrating the significant correlation between endogenous Cys steady state levels and stomatal aperture.

(C-D) Cysteine synthesis-depleted mutants (serat2;1 and oastl-b) are sensitive to soil drying. Five-week-old soil-grown wild type and cysteine synthesis-depleted mutants were subjected to drought stress for 25 days. The serat2;1 and oastl-b mutants suffered from only mild depletion of cysteine synthesis capacity and thus grow like the wild-type under non-stressed conditions (Heeg et al., 2008; Watanabe et al., 2008). Application of drought resulted in a more pronounced wilting of both cysteine synthesis-depleted mutants when compared to the wild type (C) caused by a significantly greater water loss of both mutants upon soil drying (D). Scale bar = 4 cm. The relative water content of the leaves was determined according to the following equation: (fresh weight – dry weight) / (turgid weight – dry weight). Data represent means ± SE (n = 4 individual plants). Letters indicate statistically significant differences between groups determined with one-way ANOVA (P<0.05).
Enzymes catalyzing reactions (black arrows) in the biosynthesis pathways of cysteine and ABA as well as the sensing of ABA for stomatal closure are shown in yellow boxes. Red box indicates the non-active apoenzyme, which requires the co-factor for activation. Asterisks indicate enzymes that have been shown by this study to be essential for sulfate/cysteine-induced stomatal closure. The stimulating effects of metabolites or enzymes on downstream reactions are depicted as blue arrows or green open arrows, respectively. Numbers in grey circles indicate references for known regulations/processes not experimentally addressed: 1: Synthesis of cysteine is limited by provision of OAS and sulfide (Takahashi et al., 2011), 2: Cysteine is the substrate of the Moco-sulfurylase ABA3 required for activation of AAO3 (Bittner et al., 2001), 3: Cysteine level affects AAO activity in vivo (Cao et al., 2014), 4, PYR/PYL acts as an ABA receptor and controls PP2C activity (e.g. ABI1) (Park et al., 2009), 5: PP2C activity regulates activation of OST1 in response to ABA (Vlad et al., 2009); 6: OST1 activates SLAC1 by phosphorylation at multiple residues (Lee et al., 2009; Geiger et al., 2009), 7, OST1 phosphorylates RBOHF (NADPH oxidase) (Sirichandra et al., 2009), 8: ROS induce stomatal closure in an ABA2-dependent manner (Sierla et al., 2016), 9: SLAC1 is essential for ABA-induced stomatal closure (Vahisalu et al., 2008).